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## Résumé

Cette thèse se compose en deux parties:

Première Partie: La conception et la synthèse d'analogues pyrrolidiniques, utilisés comme agents anticancéreux, dérivés du FTY720.

FTY720 est actuellement commercialisé comme médicament (Gilenya ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) pour le traitement de la sclérose en plaques rémittente-récurrente. Il agit comme immunosuppresseur en raison de son effet sur les récepteurs de la sphingosine-1-phosphate. A fortes doses, FTY720 présente un effet antinéoplasique. Cependant, à de telles doses, un des effets secondaires observé est la bradycardie dû à l'activation des récepteurs $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ et $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{3}$. Ceci limite son potentiel d'utilisation lors de chimiothérapie.

Nos précédentes études ont montré que des analogues pyrrolidiniques dérivés du FTY720 présentaient une activité anticancéreuse mais aucune sur les récepteurs S1P $P_{1}$ et $S_{1 P_{3}}$. Nous avons soumis l'idée qu'une étude relation structure-activité (SARs) pourrait nous conduire à la découverte de nouveaux agents anti tumoraux. Ainsi, deux séries de composés pyrrolidiniques ( $O$-arylmethyl substitué et $C$-arylmethyl substitué) ont pu être envisagés et synthétisés (Chapitre 1). Ces analogues ont montré d'excellentes activités cytotoxiques contre diverses cellules cancéreuses humaines (prostate, colon, sein, pancréas et leucémie), plus particulièrement les analogues actifs qui ne peuvent pas être phosphorylés par $\operatorname{SphK}$, présentent un plus grand potentiel pour le traitement du cancer sans effet secondaire comme la bradycardie.

Les études mécanistiques suggèrent que ces analogues de déclencheurs de régulation négative sur les transporteurs de nutriments induisent une crise bioénergétique en affamant les cellules cancéreuses. Afin d'approfondir nos connaissances sur les récepteurs cibles, nous avons conçu et synthétisé des sondes diazirine basées sur le marquage d'affinité aux photons (méthode PAL: Photo-Affinity Labeling) (Chapitre 2). En s'appuyant sur la méthode PAL, il
est possible de récolter des informations sur les récepteurs cibles à travers l'analyse LC/MS/MS de la protéine. Ces tests sont en cours et les résultats sont prometteurs.

Deuxième partie: Coordination métallique et catalyse di fonctionnelle de dérivés $\beta$ hydroxy cétones tertiaires.

Les réactions de Barbier et de Grignard sont des méthodes classiques pour former des liaisons carbone-carbone, et généralement utilisées pour la préparation d'alcools secondaires et tertiaires. En vue d'améliorer la réaction de Grignard avec le 1 -iodobutane dans les conditions « one-pot» de Barbier, nous avons obtenu comme produit majoritaire la $\beta$-hydroxy cétone provenant de l'auto aldolisation de la 5 -hexen- 2 -one, plutôt que le produit attendu d'addition de l'alcool (Chapitre 3). La formation inattendue de la $\beta$-hydroxy cétone a également été observée en utilisant d'autres dérivés méthyl cétone. Étonnement dans la réaction intramoléculaire d'une tricétone, connue pour former la cétone Hajos-Parrish, le produit majoritaire est rarement la $\beta$-hydroxy cétone présentant la fonction alcool en position axiale. Intrigué par ces résultats et après l'étude systématique des conditions de réaction, nous avons développé deux nouvelles méthodes à travers la synthèse sélective et catalytique de $\beta$ hydroxy cétones spécifiques par cyclisation intramoléculaire avec des rendements élevés (Chapitre 4). La réaction peut être catalysée soit par une base adaptée et du bromure de lithium comme additif en passant par un état de transition coordonné au lithium, ou bien soit à l'aide d'un catalyseur TBD di fonctionnel, via un état de transition médiée par une coordination bidenté au TBD. Les mécanismes proposés ont été corroborés par calcul DFT. Ces réactions catalytiques ont également été appliquées à d'autres substrats comme les tricétones et les dicétones. Bien que les efforts préliminaires afin d'obtenir une enantioselectivité se sont révélés sans succès, la synthèse et la recherche de nouveaux catalyseurs chiraux sont en cours.

Mots-clés: FTY720, cancer, cycle rigide, pyrrolidine, sondes diazirine basées sur le marquage d'affinité aux photons (PAL), diazirine, réaction de Barbier, réaction de Grignard, réaction d'auto aldolisation, $\beta$-hydroxy cétone, catalyse.


#### Abstract

This thesis consists of two parts:

Part 1: Design and synthesis of constrained azacyclic pyrrolidine analogues of FTY720 as anticancer agents

FTY720 is presently marketed as a drug (Gilenya ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) for the treatment of relapsingremitting multiple sclerosis. It functions as an immunosuppressant due to its effect on sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) receptors. At higher doses, FTY720 also has antineoplastic actions. However, at such doses it induces bradycardia due to the activation of the S1P ${ }_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{P}_{3}}$ receptors. This limits its potentical to be used as a cancer therapy in humans.


Our previous studies have shown that some constrained pyrrolidine analogues of FTY720 have anticancer activity but no activity toward $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ receptors. We reasoned that a study of the structure-activity relationships (SARs) could lead to the discovery of new effective antitumor agents. Thus, two series of constrained analogues ( $O$-arylmethylsubstituted pyrrolidines and $C$-aryl-substituted pyrrolidines) were designed and synthesized (Chapter 1). These analogues showed excellent cytotoxic activity against various human cancer cells (prostate, colon, breast, pancreas and leukemia). Especially, several active analogues, which cannot be phosphorylated by SphK, have the potency to be further studied in the treatment of cancer without inducing bradycardia.

Mechanistic studies suggest that these constrained analogues trigger down-regulation of nutrient transporters, which induce a bioenergetic crisis and the cancer cells starve to death. To further investigate their target receptors, we have designed and synthesized diazirine based photo-affinity labeling (PAL) probes (Chapter 2). Aided by the PAL technique, information regarding the target receptor could be obtained through LC/MS/MS protein analysis. These tests are in progress and the preliminary results appear promising.

Part 2: Metal coordination-controlled and bifunctional catalysis toward tertiary $\beta$ ketols

The Barbier and Grignard reactions are classical methods to form carbon-carbon bonds, and generally used to prepare secondary or tertiary alcohols. In an attempt to perform a Grignard reaction with $n$-butyl iodide under Barbier one-pot conditions, we obtained major product $\beta$-hydroxyl ketol from the self-aldol reaction of 5-hexen-2-one, rather than the expected addition alcohol product (Chapter 3). The unusual $\beta$-ketol formation was also observed using other methyl ketone substrates. Interestingly, in an intramolecular reaction of a triketone substrate, which is well known to give the Hajos-Parrish ketone, the favored product was a rarely studied $\beta$-ketol with the hydroxyl group at axial position. Intrigued by these results, after systematic reaction condition studies, we developed two new methods toward the catalytic synthesis of specific $\beta$-ketols by intramolecular cylcization in high yield and selectivity (Chapter 4). The reaction can be catalyzed either by a suitable base and lithium bromide as the additive, through a lithium pre-organized transition state or by a bifunctional catalyst TBD (triazabicyclodecene), through a TBD mediated bidentate transition state. The proposed mechanisms were corroborated by DFT computation. These catalytic reactions were also extended to other triketone and diketone substrates. Although the initial efforts to achieve enantioselectivity were not successful, they merit further study of the synthesis and investigation of new chiral catalysts.

Keywords: FTY720, cancer, constrained analogue, pyrrolidine, photoaffinity labeling (PAL), diazirine, Barbier reaction, Grignard reaction, self-aldol reaction, $\beta$-ketols, catalysis.
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# Chapter 1: Design and Synthesis of Constrained Azacyclic Pyrrolidine Analogues of FTY720 as Anticancer Agents 

## 1-1 Introduction

## 1-1-1 Discovery of FTY720

Organ transplantation is one of the most challenging medical procedures. One of the major problems being transplant rejection due to immune response from the patient. During the 1970s and 1980s, the discovery and development of immunosuppressive drugs, such as rapamycin ${ }^{1,2}$ (isolated from a soil sample collected from Rapa Nui) (Figure 1, 1.1), cyclosporin $\mathrm{A}^{3-5}$ (isolated from fungus Trichoderma polysporum) 1.2, and FK506 ${ }^{6,7}$ (isolated from bacterium Streptomyces tsukubaensis) 1.3, have enhanced greatly the survival rate of organ transplant recipients, and inspired efforts of many scientists to find new immunosuppressant drugs from fungi and other microorganisms, as well as to synthetize derivatives.

In 1994, Tetsuro Fujita and coworkers reported a potent immunosuppressive agent, ( $2 S, 3 R, 4 R$ )-(E)-2-amino-3,4-dihydroxy-2-hydroxymethyl-14-oxoeicos-6-enoic acid, termed as ISP-I, which was isolated from the fermentation broth of the fungus Isaria sinclairii (ATCC 24400) (Figure 1, 1.4). ${ }^{8}$ The structure of ISP-1 (1.4) was found to be identical to the known antifungal agents myriocin ${ }^{9}$ (isolated from Myriococcum albomyces) and thermozymocidin ${ }^{10}$ (isolated from Mycelia sterilia). Also, Fujita et al. found for the first time that ISP-I (1.4) had strong immunosuppressive activity, being 5-10 fold more potent than cyclosporin A (1.2), suppressing the proliferation of lymphocytes in mouse allogeneic mixed lymphocyte reaction (MLR) assays in vitro, ${ }^{8}$ and prolonging rat skin graft survival time in vivo at $0.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ compared to $1.0 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of cyclosporin A (1.2). ${ }^{11}$ However, ISP-I (1.4) was not suitable for clinical application due to its toxicity and poor solubility.


Rapamycin (1.1)


Cyclosporin A(1.2)


FK506 (1.3)


ISP-I, (myriocin, thermozymocidin, 1.4)

Figure 1. Structure of rapamycin (1.1), cyclosporin A (1.2), FK506 (1.3) and ISP-I (myriocin, thermozymocidin, 1.4).

Optimization of ISP-I (1.4) was carefully performed and guided by structure-activity relationship (SAR) studies to simplify the structure and improve the physicochemical and biological properties. The first important analogue, ISP-I-28 (Figure 2, 1.5), ${ }^{11}$ from the reduction of ISP-I (1.4), exhibited reduced toxicity ( $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ compared to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (ISP-I (1.4)) using in vivo rat skin graft assay), ${ }^{12}$ and increased solubility compared to ISP-I (1.4). ${ }^{11,12}$ ISP-I-28 prolonged rat skin graft survival time compared to cyclosporin A (1.2). ${ }^{11,12}$ In the MLR assay, the activity of ISP-I-28 was however dramatically diminished ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ : ISP-I-28 (1.5) 1630 nM compared to ISP-I (1.4) $3 \sim 8 \mathrm{nM}$ ). ${ }^{12,13}$

Further modification of ISP-I-28 (1.5) led to a more simplified achiral compound ISP-I-36 (Figure 2, 1.6) by removing the three secondary alcohols. ${ }^{14}$ This analogue showed good immunosuppressive activity in the MLR assay $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 12 \mathrm{nM}\right)^{11}$ and was more effective in prolonging rat skin graft survival time compared to ISP-I-28 (1.5). ${ }^{11}$ Modification of the 18carbon aliphatic side-chain to a shorter 14-carbon alkyl chain generated analogue ISP-I-55 (Figure 2, 1.7), ${ }^{11,14}$ which further improved the activities in vitro and in vivo compared to ISP-I-36 (1.6). ${ }^{11,14}$ At this stage, it was clear that the 2-amino-propane-1,3-diol hydrophilic head was crucial to maintain the activity, however, the lipophilic tail chain could be further optimized.

Further optimization led to FTY720 (Figure 2, 1.8), ${ }^{15,16}$ which was obtained by introducing a phenyl moiety into the side-chain with the intention to restrict the conformation of the hydrophobic alkyl appendage. This modification facilitated to analytical detection compared to non-aromatic analogues in biological testing and future clinical studies. FTY720 (1.8) was active in vitro ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 6.1 \mathrm{nM}$ in (MLR) assay), ${ }^{15}$ and prolonged significantly survival time in the rat skin allograft assay in vivo. ${ }^{15,17}$ It was also more favorable in terms of toxicology (less toxic) and physical properties (better solubility) compared to ISP-1 (1.4). ${ }^{15,17}$ It should be noted that the activity was dependent on the position of the phenyl ring. Analogues bearing a phenyl group at other positions of the side-chain were less active than FTY720 (1.8). ${ }^{15,17}$

ISP-I, (myriocin, thermozymocidin, 1.4)
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Figure 2. Optimization of ISP-I (1.4) to FTY720 (1.8)

## 1-1-2 FTY720 in immunosuppression

## 1-1-2-1 Mechanism of FTY720 in immunosuppression

During the discovery of FTY720 (1.8), the immunosuppressive ability of analogues was always evaluated by the MLR assay in vitro and the rat skin allograft assay in vivo, which were later found to be a crucial and fortunate choices that enabled the development of FTY720 as a drug. The serine palmitoyl-transferase (SPT) inhibition assay is used commonly for measuring the activity of immunosuppressants in vitro based on inhibition of serine palmitoyltransferase, which is an enzyme participating in the first step of sphingosine and sphingolipid biosynthesis. The lead compound ISP-I (1.4) had activity in the MLR assay ${ }^{8}$ and
was also reported to inhibit SPT in $1995 .{ }^{18}$ However, the analogues FTY720 (1.8) and ISI-55 (1.7) were found to only be active in the MLR assay, and not in the SPT assay. ${ }^{12,17}$ This indicates that the mechanism of immunosuppression has changed at some stage of the structural modification, and there is another mechanism responsible for the activity of FTY720 (1.8). Thus, it is fortunate that all the analogues were screened by MLR assay; otherwise, FTY720 (1.8) may not have been discovered.

Since the discovery of FTY720 (1.8), efforts have been made to elucidate its mechanism of action. In 1996, Chiba, Fujita and coworkers noticed initially that FTY720 (1.8) induced a remarkable decrease of the number of peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBL), especially T cells, at doses that prolong allograft survival. ${ }^{19,20}$ At first, they hypothesized that the decrease of PBL was caused by the apoptosis of lymphocytes induced by FTY720 (1.8). ${ }^{21}$ After realizing the blood concentration of FTY720 (1.8) was too low to induce the cell death of PBL, they focused on the lymphocyte recirculation. ${ }^{22}$ Lymphocytes are mobile and continuously travel in the body from the blood to the secondary lymphoid organs (spleen, lymph node), and return to the blood to complete circulation and maintain the immune system. Thus, the number of PBL could decrease without apoptosis of lymphocytes if FTY720 (1.8) modulated lymphocyte homing. This hypothesis was proven by an experiment in which single oral administration of FTY720 (1.8), at 0.1 to $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, to rats caused the number of PBL to decrease significantly, while the number of lymphocytes in the lymph nodes was markedly increased. ${ }^{22}$ Further experiments ${ }^{23-26}$ illustrated a more acceptable mechanism for the immunosuppressive ability of FTY720 (1.8) involving induction of the sequestration of mature lymphocytes into the secondary lymphoid organs, resulting in a decrease in the number of lymphocytes circulating in the blood, and reduced autoimmune response to the grafted organs and inflamed tissues. The function of lymphocytes (including the activation and proliferation of T cells) was not impaired in this process, making FTY720 (1.8) potentially more advantageous and promising as an immunosuppressant compared to other immunosuppressive drugs that act via inhibition of mTOR (e.g., rapamycin (1.1) ${ }^{2}$ ) and calcineurin (e.g., cyclosporin A (1.2) $)^{27,28}$, FK506 (1.3) ${ }^{27,29}$ ), blocking the activation of T cells.

Although FTY720 (1.8) could induce redistribution of lymphocytes, the mechanism of action of FTY720 (1.8) was still not clear. Lymphocyte trafficking regulates lymphocyte recirculation via the lymphocyte-homing receptor. However, the lymphocyte-homing receptor was not required for FTY720 (1.8) to redistribute the recirculation of lymphocytes, ${ }^{30}$ and its real target of was unclear. Researchers observed and surmised that FTY720 (1.8) targets possibly G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs). ${ }^{26,31}$ Based on this hypothesis, and the structural similarity of FTY720 (1.8) with sphingosine (Scheme 1), two groups ${ }^{32,33}$ discovered independently that FTY720 (1.8) targeted sphingosine 1-phosphate receptors.

Sphingosine (2-amino-4-octadecene-1,3-diol) is the backbone of sphingolipids. Sphingolipids and their metabolites [e.g., ceramide, sphingosine and sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P) (Scheme 1)] are important signaling lipid molecules participating in a variety of cellular processes. In the sphingolipid metabolism (Scheme 1), sphingosine is generated from the N deacylation of ceramide by ceramidase. Whereas ceramide can be formed thorough either degradation of sphingomyelin or de novo biosynthesis starting from palmitoyl-CoA and Lserine, sphingosine can be phosphorylated in vivo via sphingosine kinases (SphK1, 2) to give sphingosine-1-phosphate (S1P), ${ }^{34,35}$ which functions as an activator for five different cell surface GPCRs, termed as $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{P}_{1-5}}$. These receptors are ubiquitously expressed and regulate diverse biological processes. ${ }^{35,36} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1-3}$ are widely expressed in heart, lung, brain, spleen, liver, thymus, kidney, adipose and other tissues. ${ }^{37,38}$ Specifically, S1P ${ }_{1}$ is essential for lymphocyte trafficking. It regulates lymphocyte egress from both the thymus and peripheral lymphoid organs; ${ }^{39,40} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ is also expressed in the heart and help regulate heart rate. ${ }^{39}$ Expressing of $\mathrm{S}_{1 P_{4-5}}$ is relatively restricted to the immune and nervous systems. ${ }^{38,41}$

As per the mechanism discovered in 2002, ${ }^{32,33}$ FTY720 (1.8) is structurally close to sphingosine, and can be phosphorylated by sphingosine kinases (primarily by SphK2) ${ }^{42}$ in vivo to form (S)-FTY720 phosphate ${ }^{43}$ (Scheme 1). This phosphate is the biologically active molecule, rather than FTY720 (1.8), and acts as an agonist towards four of five S1P receptors ( $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1,3,4,5}$ ) with high affinity (Table 1)..$^{32,33}$

Scheme 1. FTY720 (1.8) and sphingolipid metabolism.


Table 1. Binding affinities (nM) to S1P receptors. ${ }^{32}$

|  | S1P ${ }_{1}$ | S1P ${ }_{2}$ | $\mathrm{S1P}_{3}$ | S1P4 | S1P 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1P | $0.47 \pm 0.34$ | $0.31 \pm 0.02$ | $0.17 \pm 0.05$ | $95 \pm 25$ | $0.61 \pm 0.39$ |
| (S)-FTY720-P | $0.21 \pm 0.17$ | > 10000 | $5.0 \pm 2.7$ | $5.9 \pm 2.3$ | $0.59 \pm 0.27$ |
| FTY720 | $300 \pm 51$ | > 10000 | > 10000 | $>5000$ | $2623 \pm 317$ |

$\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ measurements determined by competition of S1P binding to membranes prepared from stably transfected CHO cells expressing the indicated S1P receptor. ${ }^{30}$

Further studies ${ }^{44-48}$ suggest that ( $S$ )-FTY720 phosphate serves as both an agonist, and operates as a functional antagonist. Internalization, followed by degradation of $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{P}_{1}}$ on lymphocytes, results in the inhibition of S1P-S1P ${ }_{1}$ signaling-dependent lymphocyte's egress from the thymus and secondary lymphoid organs (Figure 3). S1P, on the other hand, internalizes with $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$, followed by recycling of the receptor without degradation. ${ }^{49}$ This mechanism explains the previously reported observation that FTY720 (1.8) induced a decrease in the number of lymphocytes in the blood, while increasing their numbers in the lymph nodes. ${ }^{22}$ Expression of $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ on the surface of lymphocytes is dependent on the concentration of S1P, which is stringently regulated in vivo. S1P is abundant in blood and lymph. In the secondary lymphoid tissues, S1P is found in low concentrations due to regulation by S1Pdegradating enzyme (S1P lyase). Therefore, the concentration gradient of S1P causes S1P ${ }_{1}$ expression in lymphocytes to be down-regulated in blood but up-regulated in secondary lymphoid tissues. Lymphocyte egress from the secondary lymphoid organs is suggested to be mediated by S1P gradients, which are regulated by S1P lyase. ${ }^{50-52}$ Therefore, targeting this enzyme may lead to new immunosuppressive agents. ${ }^{51,52}$


Figure 3. ( $S$ )-FTY720 phosphate inhibits $\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{P} / \mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$-dependent lymphocyte egress from lymphoid tissues by long-term internalization and degradation of S1 $\mathrm{P}_{1}$.

Based on all the accumulated evidence, the generally recognized immunosuppressive mechanism is that FTY720 (1.8) is first phosphorylated by SphK2 in vivo to yield ( $S$ )-FTY720 phosphate. Then this phosphate mimics S1P, and activates the $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ receptor on lymphocytes, which is followed by long-term internalization and degradation of the $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ receptors, which resulting in a temporary state without $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{P}_{1}}$ at the surface of lymphocyte. These lymphocytes cells are then unable to sense the S1P gradient between lymphoid tissues and blood or lymph, which ultimately prevents lymphocytes from egressing out of the secondary lymphoid organs (Figure 3).

## 1-1-2-2 FTY720 in the treatment of multiple sclerosis (MS)

Since its discovery, FTY720 (1.8) has shown good immunosuppressive ability and potential to be used in organ transplantation. Early preclinical studies demonstrated that FTY720 (1.8), (daily dose from $0.1 \sim 10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) prolonged remarkably skin and cardiac
allograft survival, as well as and host survival in rats. ${ }^{19,20,53,54} \mathrm{~A}$ synergistic effect was obtained in combination therapy of FTY720 (1.8) with subtherapeutic doses of cyclosporin A (1.2) or FK506 (1.3) on canine renal allograft, as well as rat skin and cardiac allografts. ${ }^{21,23,24,54-57}$ In experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), an animal model of human MS, FTY720 (1.8) was also highly advantageous (in the doses of $0.1 \sim 0.3 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ per day) for suppressing the development of EAE. ${ }^{31,58}$ Being promising in animal models of organ transplantation, FTY720 (1.8) was advanced to clinical studies. In the phase I clinical trials for renal transplantation, FTY720 (1.8) showed a good safety profile (no serious side effects except for transient asymptomatic bradycardia when given at high does to patient)..$^{59,60}$ However, in phase II and III trials in de novo renal transplantation, combination therapy using FTY720 (1.8) and Neoral ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ (cyclosporine A (1.2) microemulsion, Novartis) failed to show a clear advantage compared to the existing standard therapy (mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) and Neoral) for preventing acute allograft rejection in terms of efficacy, or adverse effects (bradycardia and other unexpected side effects including impairment of renal function and macula oedema). ${ }^{61-64}$ After discontinuation of FTY720 (1.8) in trials in renal transplantation, the potential of FTY720 (1.8) was explored in the treatment of MS.

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is a chronic autoimmune disease of the central nervous system (CNS, including brain and spinal cord). First reported in 1868 , ${ }^{65}$ there were about 2.5 million MS patients all over the world in $2008{ }^{66} \mathrm{MS}$ is unpredictable, and its causes are still not clear. Most people are first affected by MS when they are $20 \sim 40$ years old (women twice as often as men). ${ }^{67}$ Studies show that MS is an inflammatory disease caused by myelin being attacked by the immune system. Myelin covers and protects nerves of the brain and spinal cord. Frequent demyelination and remyelination lead to scarring of axons, which leads to electrical signals being unable to conduct effectively. ${ }^{67,68}$ Generally, there are four types of MS depending on the pattern of disease: relapsing-remitting MS (RR-MS), secondary progressive MS (SP-MS), primary progressive MS (PP-MS) and progressive relapsing MS (PR-MS). ${ }^{69}$ It is noteworthy that $85 \%$ of patients have RR-MS. ${ }^{70}$

Although MS usually progresses slowly, to date there is no cure. Current therapies are focused on suppressing and slowing down the development of MS by reducing relapses and managing symptoms at the same time. Disease modifying therapies (DMTs) are the most common therapies used in the treatment of MS. Before 2010, the classic immunomodulator drugs for DMTs were interferon- $\beta$ (IFN- $\beta$ ) products (Avonex ${ }^{\circledR}$, Betaseron ${ }^{\circledR}$, Extavia ${ }^{\circledR}$ and Rebif ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), glatiramer acetate (Copaxone ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) and natalizumab (Tysabri®). These medications need to be administered by injection or intravenous (iv) infusion. They are limited due to their modest efficacy and side-effects. The discovery and development of more effective therapies for MS remains an important topic in drug discovery. In 2010, FTY720 (Gilenya ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) (1.8) was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as the first oral drug for treating MS. Then in 2014, two new oral drugs, Teriflunomide (Aubagio ${ }^{\circledR}$ ) and dimethyl fumarate (Tecfidera ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) were approved, as well as Alemtuzumab (Lemtrada ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ), which is given by iv infusion.

FTY720 (1.8) has significantly improved the efficacy in reducing relapse rate compared to a common therapy, IFN- $\beta$-1a (Avonex ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), in phase III clinic trials. ${ }^{71}$ Mechanistic studies have demonstrated that, as an immunomodulator, FTY720 (Gilenya ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ ) (1.8) works through sequestering lymphocytes in lymph tissues; therefore, there are less immune cells to infiltrate and attack the CNS causing autoimmune responses, and the resulting relapses are reduced. ${ }^{72}$ The immune system of the patient is not affected by the administration of FTY720 (1.8), and can still respond to other infections, because FTY720 (1.8) does not impair the function of lymphocytes, ${ }^{73}$ Besides effects on the immune system through phosphorylation, FTY720 (1.8) can also cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), ${ }^{74}$ and directly act on the CNS, which may contribute to its efficacy in the treatment of MS. ${ }^{73,75}$

Although FTY720 (Gilenya $\left.{ }^{\mathrm{TM}}\right)$ (1.8) has been approved for the treatment of MS, it can induce bradycardia, ${ }^{71,76}$ a potentially fatal side-effect. Thus, it is advised that the first administration should be conducted and monitored in a hospital. Bradycardia, the decreasing of heart rate, is probably caused by FTY720-phosphate activating $\mathrm{SiP}_{3}$ receptors which regulate heart rate. ${ }^{39}$ However, recent studies have suggested that bradycardia is mediated by $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1},{ }^{77}$ and may not be avoided, because $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ is targeted by FTY720 (1.8). Safety and
tolerability studies in clinical trials have deemed FTY720 (1.8) safe and promising as therapy for MS ${ }^{71,76}$

## 1-1-3 FTY720 in cancer therapy

FTY720 (1.8) is not only an immunomodulator, but also an efficient antitumor agent. ${ }^{78}$ FTY720 (1.8) has been evaluated in multiple cancer cell lines in vitro and in vivo. For example, it acts against human glioma cell line T98G with an $\mathrm{ED}_{50}$ of $1 \sim 10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$, ${ }^{79}$ and markedly suppressed the proliferation of two glioblastoma cancel cell lines (U251MG and U87MG) at concentrations above $7 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{80}$ In breast cancer studies, it killed $80 \%$ of mouse breast cancer $\operatorname{JygMC}(\mathrm{A})$ cells in vitro at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ after 12 h and significantly inhibited tumor growth in vivo at $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ per day or higher doses without any notable adverse effects. ${ }^{81}$ In test with three human breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7, MDA-MB-231 and Sk-Br-3), it also showed good antitumor activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 5 \sim 7 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{82}$ For human colon cancer cell lines (HCT116 and SW620), it also maintained activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 5 \sim 7 \mu \mathrm{M} .{ }^{82}$ FTY720 (1.8) has been evaluated against prostatic cancer shortly after it was discovered. In 1999, researchers found it induced rapid death of a human prostatic carcinoma cell line DU145 in vitro at concentrations of $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ or more. ${ }^{83}$ The following in vitro studies with another human prostate cancer cell line (PC3), as well as DU145, indicated FTY720 (1.8) exhibited very strong anticancer ability $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}: 1.48,1.50 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$ respectively), ${ }^{84}$ and suppressed the growth of human prostate cancer LNCaP-AI cells. ${ }^{85}$ In in vivo studies with nude mice xenografted with human prostate cancer cells CWR22R, the growth of tumor was significantly inhibited by treatment with FTY720 (1.8) at $10 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg} /$ day for 20 days, without notable side effects. ${ }^{86}$ FTY720 (1.8) exhibits the potential to treat various forms of leukemia, such as acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), ${ }^{87,88}$ chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) ${ }^{87}$ and chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML). ${ }^{89}$ It inhibits the proliferation of B-cell lines in vitro, including two ALL cell lines (697, RS4;11) and CLL B cells from CLL patients, MEC-1 CLL cells, and Burkitt lymphoma cell lines (Raji, Ramos). ${ }^{87}$ In in vivo tests of FTY720 (1.8) using xenografted mice, a model of disseminated B-cell lymphoma/leukemia, it was found that the survival time of the mice was markedly prolonged. ${ }^{87}$ Further studies in ALL indicated FTY720 (1.8) induced apoptosis in both Philadelphia positive $\left(\mathrm{Ph}^{+}\right)$and native $\left(\mathrm{Ph}^{-}\right)$cell lines. ${ }^{88}$ For other blood cancers, FTY720 (1.8) also showed potential in the treatment of multiple myeloma, ${ }^{90}$ lymphoblastic lymphoma ${ }^{87}$ and
mantle cell lymphoma. ${ }^{91}$ In addition to the cancers mentioned above, many studies have demonstrated that FTY720 (1.8) also has antitumor activity in lung cancer, ${ }^{92-95}$ liver cancer, ${ }^{96,97}$ ovarian cancer, ${ }^{98,99}$ bladder cancer, ${ }^{100}$ renal cancer ${ }^{101}$ and other cancers. ${ }^{78}$

Since FTY720 (1.8) acts against a variety of cancers, the anticancer mechanisms involved are varied and complicated. It has been noted that FTY720-phosphate, which is essential for the immunosuppressive effect, did not inhibit the proliferation of breast cancer cells (MCF-7, MDA-MB-231, Sk-Br-3) or colon cancer cell lines (HCT-116, SW620). ${ }^{82}$ In contrast, FTY720-phosphate induced a slight growth of MCF-7 cells at low concentration, ${ }^{82}$ thus indicating that phosphorylation of FTY720 (1.8) is not responsible for the anticancer activity. Further studies, in 2010, found that both FTY720 (1.8) and one of its analogues, $(S)$ FTY720 vinylphosphonate induced apoptosis of MCF-7 and LNCaP-AI cancer cells due to inhibition followed by degradation of SphK1. ${ }^{102,103}$ As discussed previously (Scheme 1), there are two types of sphingosine kinases (SphKs). FTY720 (1.8) is phosphorylated most by SphK2 to yield immunosuppressant FTY720-phosphate, while SphK1 is already highly recognized in association with various cancers, and it participates in the multiplication, migration and impairment of apoptosis in cancer cells. ${ }^{78,104}$ Thus, FTY720 (1.8), as a SphK1 inhibitor, disturbs S1P/S1P receptor mediated signaling to induce the apoptosis of cancer cells. ${ }^{78}$ Higher concentrations of FTY720 (1.8), and the related S1P receptor expression in tissues, may cause the former to act as an antitumor agent rather than as an immunomodulator. ${ }^{78}$ In addition to SphK1, many other targets have been proposed, such as Bcell lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2), reactive oxygen species (ROS), protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), extracellular-signal-regulated kinases (ERKs), c-Jun $N$-terminal kinases (JNKs), PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway, phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), Cyclin D1 and 14-3-3 proteins. ${ }^{78}$ By activating these molecular targets, FTY720 (1.8) induces apoptosis and cancer cell death. ${ }^{78}$

Besides apoptosis of cancer cells through a caspase-dependent pathway or caspaseindependent cell death, autophagy was also found to contribute to the anticancer activity of FTY720 (1.8). ${ }^{78}$ Autophagy is a term for cell self-degradation through lysosomes to remove unnecessary cytoplasmic components. ${ }^{105}$ It's a survival process for cells to balance the energy
levels when they are under nutrient stress. ${ }^{105}$ However, autophagy could also lead to cell death under excessive activation. ${ }^{106}$ Autophagy has been reported to be induced by FTY720 (1.8) in cancer cells, such as ALL cell lines, ${ }^{88}$ ovarian cancer cells, ${ }^{98,99}$ and multiple myeloma U266 cell lines. ${ }^{107}$ Cancer cell survival may be dependant on the type of cell. For example, FTY720 (1.8)-induced autophagy promoted the apoptosis of the multiple myeloma U266 cell line. ${ }^{107}$ In ALL, ${ }^{88}$ and ovarian cancer cells, ${ }^{98,99}$ inhibition of induced autophagy protected cells from death resulting enhanced anticancer activity. ${ }^{98,99}$ In addition, Edinger ${ }^{108}$ reported that FTY720 (1.8) induced cell starvation in the presence of abundant nutrients by down regulating nutrient transporter proteins, which resulted in autophagy for self-protection. Cancer cells starved to death but normal cells can adapt to the nutrient stress and survive. ${ }^{108}$ Meanwhile, this bioenergetic stress led to apoptosis even if it's found to be not necessary, because the nutrient stress was severe enough to kill cancer cells when apoptosis was block. Thus, FTY720 (1.8) can effectively and selectively starve cancer cells to death. ${ }^{108}$ FTY720 (1.8) induced autophagy, and induced blockage of autophagy to enhance the anticancer efficacy of milatuzumab in mantle cell lymphoma. ${ }^{109,110}$ Therefore, the autophagy and apoptosis induced by FTY720 (1.8) involved in its antitumor mechanisms are complicated. Although many targets and mechanisms have been proposed, the anticancer effects of FTY720 (1.8) remain unclear.

Bradycardia is a known side-effect of FTY720 (1.8) when used to treat MS, so the recommended dose is only 0.5 mg per day. Although FTY720 has anticancer activity against various cancers, the required dose for in vivo studies on mice generally are $5 \sim 10 \mathrm{mg}$ per day, ${ }^{81,86}$ which are much higher than those needed for immunosuppressive treatment. Even if no notable side effects were observed in mice at such high does, ${ }^{81},{ }^{86}$ the increased chance of triggering bradycardia, and other potential adverse effects, limited the use of FTY720 (1.8) in human cancer treatment. Therefore, finding the right FTY720 (1.8) analogues lacking doselimiting toxicity, but maintaining good anticancer proprieties, has attracted the attention of many scientists and has already shown promise in the discovery of new anticancer drugs. For example, AAL-149, ${ }^{33,111}$ an analogue of FTY720 (1.8) tested by Edinger, ${ }^{108}$ killed human ALL Sup-B15 cells by down-regulating nutrient transporter proteins, but does not induce bradycardia due to its inability to be phosphorylated and activate S1P receptors.

## 1-1-4 Constrained analogues of FTY720 in S1P receptors studies

As a prodrug, FTY720 (1.8) can be phosphorylated to generate FTY720-phosphate, which binds non-selectively to four of the five S1P receptors. ${ }^{32,33}$ In general, in order to bind to a receptor, a ligand needs to change its conformation to adapt itself to a certain shape (bioactive conformation), which can fit the binding pocket of the receptor to be recognized. FTY720 (1.8) bears a lipophilic long alkyl chain and a hydrophilic amino-diol, which are linked by a phenylene group in a 1,4-configuration. This structure affords a high level of flexibility, even for the phosphorylated product, FTY720-phosphate. Thus, to understand how the conformations of FTY720 (1.8) affect these receptors, and further develop new FTY720 (1.8) analogues that can selectively target S1P receptors, constrained analogues were of particular interest. For instance, MacDonald and coworkers reported a series of constrained analogues based on modification of the polar moiety of FTY720 (1.8) (Figure 4), including bond 1 -constrained analogues $\mathbf{1 . 9 a - d},{ }^{112}$ bond 2 -constrained analogue $\mathbf{1 . 1 0},{ }^{112}$ bond 3constrained analogue $\mathbf{1 . 1 1},{ }^{112}$ bond 1,2 -constrained analogues $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 a},{ }^{112} \mathbf{1 . 1 2 b},{ }^{113} \mathbf{1 . 1 2 c}$ and 1.12d, ${ }^{114}$ bond 2,3-constrained analogue $\mathbf{1 . 1 3}{ }^{112,115}$ and variety of heterocyclic analogues $\mathbf{( 1 . 1 4 a},{ }^{116} \mathbf{1 . 1 4 b}{ }^{117,118}$ ). It became clear that the constrained chemical space had a great influence on the biological activity. For example, $\mathbf{1 . 9 b}$ and 1.9 c can be phosphorylated by SphK in vivo, while 1.10, 1.11 and 1.12a cannot be phosphorylated. ${ }^{112}$ The phosphate of $\mathbf{1 . 9 b}$ is an agonist for $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1,3}$ receptors, ${ }^{112}$ but $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 b}$-phosphate is a selective $\mathrm{S} 1 \mathrm{P}_{1,3}$ antagonist. ${ }^{113}$ The phosphates of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 c}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 d}$ are $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ agonists, but $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 c}$-phosphate is an antagonist of $\mathrm{SiP}_{3}$ receptors. The indane-based analog 1.13a cannot be phosphorylated, but the tetralinbased analog 1.13b is phosphorylated by SphK2 and is a potent agonist for S1P ${ }_{1} .{ }^{112,115}$ Phenylimidazole-based analogue $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 a}$ is a selective $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ agonist, ${ }^{116}$ while the oxazole and oxadiazole heterocyclic compounds (e.g.,1.14b-f) are good substrates for SphK , and their phosphates have better $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1} / \mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ selectivity compared to FTY720-phosphate. ${ }^{17,118}$ By evaluating these analogues, potential S1P ligands were discovered, and the structural requirements of the S1P receptors were better understood.
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Figure 4. Examples of constrained analogues reported by Macdonald. ${ }^{112-11311415516117118}$

Our group has a long-standing interest in the design and synthesis of constrained analogues of FTY720 (1.8). In 2007, two enantiomeric 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyrrolidines (1.15, 1.16), were made as constrained azacyclic analogues. ${ }^{119}$ The corresponding phosphates (1.17, 1.18, 1.19, 1.20) were also synthesized (Figure 5). ${ }^{119}$ Starting from $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 1.21, cuprate 1,4 -addition generated the aryl bromide $\mathbf{1 . 2 2}$ with good diastereomeric selectivity. The alkyl chain was then incorporated by a Suzuki coupling reaction followed by hydrogenation, to yield intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3}$. Reduction of the lactam with Super-hydride,
followed by trapping as the $O$-methyl aminal, and titanium-catalyzed allylation, afforded tetra substituted pyrrolidine 1.24 as the only diastereomer. With 1.24 in hand, the terminal olefin was isomerized to the 1,2-disubstituted alkene, which was then cleaved by ozonolysis and the aldehyde was reduced with sodium borohydride to obtain the alcohol 1.25. Finally, deprotection with TBAF, followed by treatment with HCl , led to the constrained analogue 1.15. The same protocol was used to prepare the enatiomeric analogue 1.16. ${ }^{119}$

1.15

1.16




Figure 5. Constrained 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyrrolidine analogues.

Scheme 2. Synthesis of constrained 2,3,5-trisubstituted pyrrolidine analogue 1.15.
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1.24


The biological activities of enantiomers $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ are quite different. Analogue 1.15 was rapidly phosphorylated by SphK2 in vitro, being 4 times faster than the phosphorylation of FTY720 (1.8), but $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ was not a substrate for SphK. ${ }^{119}$ Further evaluation in calcium release assays demonstrated significant selective affinities for $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{5}$ receptors, over $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ receptors for all of the four chemically synthesized phosphates ( $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}, \mathbf{1 . 1 8}, \mathbf{1 . 1 9}, \mathbf{1 . 2 0}$ ) compared to FTY720-phosphate and S1P. Among these phosphates, 1.17 and 1.18 were the most efficient agonists for $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{5}$, and were generated enzymatically from 1.15. ${ }^{119}$ Thus, constraining the conformation of FTY720 (1.8), and changing the stereochemistry of the analogues has had significant influence on biological proprieties.

## 1-1-5 Design of new constrained pyrrolidine analogues of FTY720

Interesting biological results obtained using constrained FTY720 analogues, ${ }^{\text {Error: }}$ Bookmark not defined. especially the observation of low affinity toward $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ for the phosphate analogues, indicate a path for lowering the chance of triggering bradycardia to enhance the potential for drug development. We decided to continue SAR studies of novel constrained analogues of FTY720 (1.8). To evaluate their effects on cancer cell lines, we have collaborated with the Edinger group in department of cell \& developmental biology at the University of California-Irvine. Based on the idea of constraining FTY720 (1.8), we designed two new series of pyrrolidine analogues to test if both hydroxymethyl arms were necessary for activity (Scheme 3). One of the series was designed bearing $O$-substituted benzyl ethers to simplify the synthetic approach and increase conformational flexibility of the aryl appendage, and included substitution on the 2,3-, 2,4-, and 3-positions of the pyrrolidine ring, ${ }^{120}$ The other C-aryl series pursued the design of our pervious studies ${ }^{119}$ to gain further understanding of the influence of stereochemistry and to optimize the molecular structure.

Scheme 3. Design of two series of constrained pyrrolidine analogues of FTY720 (1.8).
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## 1-2 Results and Discussion

## 1-2-1 Synthesis of constrained $\boldsymbol{O}$-arylmethyl-substituted pyrrolidine analogues

In our design of the $O$-arylmethyl substituted pyrrolidine series, one of the goals was to easily and rapidly access these analogues. In our retrosynthesis, outlined in Scheme 4, we envisioned that the C 8 alkyl chain of the $O$-arylmethyl substituted pyrrolidine could be obtained through a Suzuki coupling with 1-octyne followed by hydrogenation; the same strategy used in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 2). The aryl bromide reactant for the coupling
reaction could be obtained by a simple substitution reaction with 4-bromobenzyl bromide. The hydroxypyrrolidine scaffold can be easily accessed, either directly from enantiomerically pure natural products that already possess the same stereocenters (e.g. hydroxylproline, hydroxylpyrrolidine), or by known procedures. ${ }^{120}$

Scheme 4. Retrosynthesis of constrained $O$-arylmethyl-substituted pyrrolidine analogues




## 1-2-1-1 Synthesis of 2-hydroxylmethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues

1-2-1-1-1 Synthesis of trans-2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues
As per our synthetic protocol (Scheme 4), pyrrolidine intermediate 1.29 was prepared by a known procedure starting from D-serine (1.26, Scheme 5). ${ }^{121}$ Protection of the alcohol as a tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether afforded $\mathbf{1 . 2 7}$ in $79 \%$ yield. The carboxylic acid group of $\mathbf{1 . 2 7}$ was then deprotonated by one equivalent of n-butyllithium and the lithium salt was reacted with two equivalents of allylmagnesium bromide to yield an allylketone intermediate, which was further reduced by sodium borohydride to give $\mathbf{1 . 2 8}$ as a single diastereomer in $42 \%$ yield (two steps). Oxidative cleavage of the terminal olefin of $\mathbf{1 . 2 8}$, followed by cyclization, led to an aminal intermediate, which was then reduced using triethylsilane and boron trifluoride to afford the required ( $2 R, 3 S$ )-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl-3-hydroxypyrrolidine
intermediate 1.29 (14 \% yield from 1.29 in 5 steps). With $\mathbf{1 . 2 9}$ in hand, a substitution reaction on 4-bromobenzyl bromide furnished aryl bromide intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$. The alkyl chain was then introduced through a Suzuki coupling reaction followed by hydrogenation to afford $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ in $69 \%$ yield (two steps). Finally, global deprotection of $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ using hydrogen chloride in 1,4dioxane gave the desired ( $2 R, 3 S$ )-2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogue $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ as the hydrochloride salt in 9 steps from D-serine (1.26), and $6 \%$ overall yield.

Scheme 5. Synthesis of (2R,3S)-2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.32.


After successfully synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$, the enantiomer (1.39) was prepared from L-serine 1.33 via the same route in $7 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. Synthesis of (2S,3R)-2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.39.




## 1-2-1-1-2 Synthesis of cis-2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues

Starting with the key hydroxypyrrolidine intermediate 1.29, the hydroxyl group was oxidized using Dess-Martin periodinane to yield ketone 1.40, which was then reduced by Lselectride to provide the desired $(2 R, 3 R)$-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl-3hydroxypyrrolidine intermediate 1.41 as a single isomer. Hydride attack occurred from the bottom face of pyrrolidine due to the bulky OTBS group on the top face. With $\mathbf{1 . 4 1}$ in hand, we used the same protocol as in our synthesis of the trans-2,3-substituted analogues to afford cis-2,3-substituted pyrrolidine analogue $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$ as the hydrochloride salt (6 steps from intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 2 9}, 41 \%$ overall yield, Scheme 7). In this way, hydroxymethylpyrrolidine 1.49, the enantiomer of $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$, was also prepared starting from the enantiomeric intermediate $(2 S, 3 R)$ -1.36 in $44 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 8).

Scheme 7. Synthesis of (2R,3R)-2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.44.


Scheme 8. Synthesis of (2S,3S)-2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.49.


## 1-2-1-2 Synthesis of 2-hydroxymethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues

## 1-2-1-2-1 Synthesis of trans-2-hydroxymethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues

trans-2,4-Substituted pyrrolidine analogues were first synthesized by Dr. Rebecca Fransson in our group. ${ }^{120}$ Starting from commercially available trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$, protection of the free amine $\mathbf{1 . 5 0}$ as tert-butyl carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 5 1}$, followed by the reduction of the carboxylic acid to the primary alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 5 2}$ using ethyl chloroformate to generate a mixed anhydride that was reduced by treatment with aqueous sodium borohydride and TBAB (as a phase transfer reagent). ${ }^{122}$ The resulting primary alcohol was then protected as tertbutyldimethylsilyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 5 3}$, to which was added the side-chain using the previously described substitution-coupling-hydrogenation route to give intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 5 5}$. Removal of all the protecting groups from 1.55 using TBAF yielded alcohol 1.56, and treatment with hydrogen chloride in 1,4-dioxane, led to ( $2 S, 4 R$ )-2-hydroxymethyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogue $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ as the hydrochloride salt (Scheme 9). Similarly, the enantiomer $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ was prepared from trans-4-hydroxy-D-proline 1.58 (Scheme 10).

Scheme 9. Synthesis of (2S,4R)-2-hydroxymethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.57.


Scheme 10. Synthesis of (2R,4S)-2-hydroxymethyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.65.


With the successful synthesis of trans-2-hydroxymethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidines 1.57 and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, we prepared several analogues modifying the hydroxymethyl arm. To prevent the analogues from being phosphorylated by cells, the hydroxyl group was removed. Protection of alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 6 3}$ as methyl ether 1.66, followed by treatment with hydrogen chloride in 1,4-dioxane generated ( $2 R, 4 S$ )-2-methoxymethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.67 (Scheme 11). Transformation of the alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 6 3}$ to the methanesulfonate $\mathbf{1 . 6 8}$ by reaction with MsCl and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ followed by deoxygenation using Super-hydride afforded methyl pyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 6 9}$ in 92 \% yield. ${ }^{123,124}$ Deprotection afforded ( $2 R, 4 S$ )-2-methyl-4- $O$-aryl-methylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 7 0}$ (Scheme 12). With the same synthetic strategy, enantiomer 1.73 was prepared from intermediate 1.56 (Scheme 13).

Scheme 11. Synthesis of $(2 R, 4 S)$-2-methoxymethyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.67.


Scheme 12. Synthesis of (2R,4S)-2-methyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 7 0}$.


Scheme 13. Synthesis of $(2 S, 4 R)$-2-methyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 7 3}$.


To evaluate the effects on S1P receptors of analogues $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, their phosphates 1.75 and 1.77 were also prepared. Using the protocol that was described in Charron's work, ${ }^{119}$ starting from the 2-hydoxymethyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$. The primary
alcohol was converted to a di-tert-butyl phosphite ester using phosphoramidite chemistry, and then oxidized using $m$-CPBA to generate the desired di-tert-butyl phosphate ester 1.74. Removal of the Boc protecting group using hydrogen chloride afforded phosphate $\mathbf{1 . 7 5}$ (the phosphorylated analogue of 1.57) (Scheme 14). The enantiomeric phosphate analogue 1.77 was made in the same way from $(2 R, 4 S)-1.64$ (Scheme 14).

Scheme 14.Synthesis of $(2 S, 4 R)$ - and $(2 R, 4 S)$-2-(methyl-dihydrophosphate)-4- $O$ arylmethylpyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 . 7 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 7 7}$.
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## 1-2-1-2-2 Synthesis of cis-2-hydroxylmethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues

The cis-2-hydroxylmethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues were prepared by Dr. Rebecca Fransson. ${ }^{120}$ Among these, analogue $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ was synthesized from the relatively cheap and commercially available $N$-Boc-cis-4-hydroxy-D-proline (1.78), Instead of the two steps sequence used in the synthesis of trans-analogues $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ (Scheme 9 and 10), the carboxylic acid of $\mathbf{1 . 7 8}$ was reduced with borane in THF to generate the primary alcohol 1.79. With diol 1.79 in hand, the same synthetic protocol used for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 . 5 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$
(Scheme 9 and 10) was employed to prepare (2R,4R)-2-hydroxymethyl-4-Oarylmethylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ as the hydrogen chloride salt (Scheme 15).

Scheme 15. Synthesis of $(2 R, 4 R)$-2-hydroxymethyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$.

1.78 1.79
1.80


To avoid using expensive cis-4-hydroxy-L-proline (120 \$ / mmol in Aldrich), a Mitsunobu reaction was performed on inexpesive $N$-Boc-trans-4-hydroxy-L-proline methyl ester (1.84) (7.5 \$ / mmol in Aldrich). The secondary alcohol was inverted to furnish the cis-4hydroxyproline methyl ester intermediate, which was reduced using $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ to afford cis-4-hydroxy-L-prolinol 1.85 . ( $2 S, 4 S$ )-2-hydroxymethyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 8 9}$ was prepared using the same procedure as for $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ (Scheme 16).

Scheme 16. Synthesis of (2S,4S)-2-hydroxymethyl-4- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 8 9}$.



## 1-2-1-3 Synthesis of 3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues

Compared to the di-substituted pyrrolidine analogues, the 3-O-arylmethyl substituted analogues were easier to prepare. Starting from 3-hydroxy-pyrrolidine (1.90), Boc protection of the amine, followed by our previously described procedures to furnish the long alkyl chain yielded 1.93, which was deprotected using hydrogen chloride afforded the ( $R$ ) -3-Oarylmethylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 9 4}$ in five steps (Scheme 17). The same route was used for synthesis of the enantiomer 1.99 (Scheme 18). These two analogues were also prepared by Dr. Rebecca Fransson. ${ }^{120}$

Scheme 17. Synthesis of (R)-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.94.


Scheme 18. Synthesis of (S)-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine 1.99.


In sum, we prepared a series of constrained $O$-arylmethyl substituted pyrrolidine analogues (Figure 6), including all the diastereomers of 2-hydroxymethyl-3- and -4-
arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues, 3- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues, and several 2-substituted-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues with a modified 2-hydroxymethyl arm.

Figure 6. List of synthesized constrained $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues.


## 1-2-2 Synthesis of constrained $C$-aryl substituted pyrrolidine analogues

## 1-2-2-1 Synthesis of 2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues

Continuing Charron's research on 2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ (Figure 5), ${ }^{119}$ to understand the influence of stereochemistry on these trisubstituted analogues, two new diastereomers $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 1}$ were considered (Scheme 19). We envisioned that the second hydroxymethyl arm of $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ would be accessed from the ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-2-hydroxymethyl-3-aryl substituted lactam intermediate using the same strategy as in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5} .{ }^{119}$ This lactam could be obtained from an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam by hydrogenation, with hydrogen delivery from the opposite face of the bulky OTBDPS ether. The unsaturated lactam would be provided on selenoxide installation and elimination from the intermediate 1.23, which was previous used in the synthesis of 1.15. ${ }^{119}$ Both enantiomers 1.101 and $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ could be obtained using the same protocol.

Scheme 19. Retrosynthetic analysis of ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 S$ )-2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-arylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$.



Starting from 1.23, which was prepared using Charron's procedure (Scheme 2), ${ }^{119}$ the $\alpha$-position of the lactam was deprotonated with LiHMDS, and the anion was reacted with phenylselenyl bromide to generate the selenide intermediate, which was oxidized with hydrogen peroxide to the selenoxide, and eliminated to afford $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 3}$.

Hydrogenation with $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 3}$ provided the desired cis-2,3-substituted lactam $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 4}$ as a single isomer. With lactam 1.104 in hand, the protocol previous described for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 5), was used to provide ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 S$ )-2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-arylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ as the hydrochloride salt in nine steps from 1.23, and $9 \%$ overall yield, Scheme 20). The enantiomer $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 1}$ was prepared in the same way from intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 7}$ (Scheme 21).

Scheme 20. Synthesis of ( $2 S, 3 S, 5 S$ )-2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-arylpyrrolidine 1.100.


Scheme 21. Synthesis of $(2 R, 3 R, 5 R)$-2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-arylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 1}$.



## 1-2-2-2 Synthesis of 2,3-substituted $\boldsymbol{C}$-aryl analogues

## 1-2-2-2-1 Synthesis of 2-hydroxylmethyl-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues

2,3-Substituted $C$-aryl pyrrolidine analogues were designed to determine the importance of the second hydroxymethyl arm. These analogues were prepared from key lactam intermediates by reduction of the lactam. For example, treatment of lactam 1.23 with borane dimethyl sulfide complex gave pyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 2}$. Removal of the TBDPS protecting group from 1.112 unveiled the primary alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 3}$, and Boc group removal using hydrogen chloride afforded 2,3-substituted $C$-aryl pyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ in good yield (Scheme 22). Similarly, three other 2,3-substituted $C$-aryl pyrrolidine diastereomers 1.117, 1.120 and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 3}$ were prepared from the corresponding lactams (Scheme 22). The cis-configuration and
bulkiness of the OTBDPS group and the phenyl side chain in $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 1}$, necessitated heating the reaction to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to cleave the silyl ether.

Scheme 22. Synthesis of 2-hydroxylmethyl-3-arylpyrrolidines 1.114, 1.117, 1.120 and 1.123.





## 1-2-2-2-2 Synthesis of 2-hydroxylmethyl-3-aryl-substituted lactam analogues

To compare the effects of a lactam to those of a free amine (pyrrolidine), several lactams (e.g.,1.124, $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 5}, \mathbf{1 . 1 2 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 7}$ ) were prepared by removing all of the protecting
groups from key intermediates (e.g., 1.23, 1.107, $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 9}$ ) using a 9:1 mixture of TFA and water (Scheme 23).

Scheme 23. Synthesis of 2-hydroxylmethyl-3-aryl-substituted lactams 1.124, 1.125, 1.126 and 1.127.



## 1-2-2-2-3 Synthesis of 2-methoxymethyl- and 2-methyl-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues

As described for the constrained $O$-arylmethyl substituted pyrrolidine analogues (e.g., 1.67, 1.70 and $\mathbf{1 . 7 3}$, Scheme 11-13), to gauge the importance at the hydroxyl group for activity, alcohols $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 6}$ were converted to methyl ethers $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 1}$ (Scheme 24), and the hydroxyl group were removed to afford methyl analogues $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 7}$ in good yield (Scheme 25), employing simlar same synthetic protocols as those described for preparing compounds $1.67,1.70$ and 1.73 (Scheme 11-13).

Scheme 24. Synthesis of 2-methoxymethyl-3-arylpyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 9}$ and 1.131.


Scheme 25. Synthesis of 2-methyl-3-arylpyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 7}$.


## 1-2-2-2-4 Synthesis of phosphates of 2-hydroxylmethyl-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues.

In order to probe the effect of phosphorylation, the phosphates of $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ were prepared. Starting from alcohols $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 6}$, using the same procedure as described for
the synthesis of phosphates $\mathbf{1 . 7 5}$ and 1.77 , the corresponding phospahtes $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 1}$ were obtained in moderate yield (Scheme 26).

Scheme 26. Synthesis of 2-methyldihydrophosphate-3-arylpyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 9}$ and 1.141.


## 1-2-2-3 Synthesis of 2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine analogues

As shown in the retrosynthetic analysis, outlined in scheme 27, we envisioned that both cis and trans-2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine analogues could be obtained by the selective hydrogenation of unsaturated pyrrolidine intermediates. cis-Diastereomers would be obtained by taking advantage of the bulky OR group to direct hydrogen addition from the opposite face. A free hydroxyl group may direct hydrogenation to the same face to generate the transdiastereomers. The unsaturated pyrrolidine could result from by elimination of a tertiary alcohol intermediate, which could be obtained through addition of a phenyl lithium to a 4oxopyrrolidine intermediate, derived from the corresponding 4-hydroxy-proline. The organolithium reagent could be prepared from 1-bromo-4-octylbenzene, which would be produced by a coupling reaction between 1,4-dibromobenzene and octyl magnesium bromide.

Scheme 27. Retrosynthetic analysis of 2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidines.


## 1-2-2-3-1 Synthesis of cis-2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine analogues

We began with the synthesis of the common intermediate 1-bromo-4-octylbenzene 1.142, which was prepared by a palladium-catalyzed coupling reaction between 1,4dibromobenzene and octyl magnesium bromide (Scheme 28). ${ }^{125}$ Protection of cis-4-hydroxylproline (1.143) as tert-butyl carbamate followed by oxidation using trichloroisocyanuric acid and TEMPO gave the 4-oxoproline $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 4}$ in 87 \% yield over two steps. To introduce a bulky group, carbocyclic acid $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 4}$ was converted to tert-butyl ester 1.145. Treatment of bromide 1.142 with $n$-BuLi generated the corresponding organolithium reagent, which was added to ketone $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 5}$ to give addition product 1.146. Elimination of the tertiary alcohol from $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 6}$ using Burgess's reagent (methyl $N$-(triethylammoniumsulfonyl)carbamate) ${ }^{126}$ led to unsaturated pyrrolidine intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 7}$ in $68 \%$ yield. With olefin $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 7}$ in hand, hydrogenation catalyzed by palladium-on-activated-charcoal gave the cis-2,4-substituted pyrrolidine 1.148 , in $94 \%$ yield. The structure of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 8}$ was confirmed by X-ray analysis (Figure 7). Finally, reduction of ester $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 8}$ using $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$ generated primary alcohol 1.149, which was treated with hydrogen chloride to give $(2 R, 4 S)$-2-hydroxymethyl-4-aryl-substituted pyrrolidine 1.150 in good yield (Scheme 28).

Scheme 28. Synthesis of (2R,4S)-2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 0}$.

1.142





Figure 7. X ray structure of ester 1.148.

Based on the same synthetic strategy, the enantiomer 1.157 was synthesized from trans-4-hydroxyl-L-proline (1.50) in eight steps and 8 \% overall yield (Scheme 29).

Scheme 29. Synthesis of ( $2 S, 4 R$ )-2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine 1.157.


In the syntheses of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 0}$ and the enantiomer $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 7}$, one of the key reactions was the addition of an organolithium reagent to oxo-pyrrolidines $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 5}$ and 1.152. The yield of this step was however usually around $30 \%$. Upon investigating this reaction further (Scheme 30), we found that the low yield was due to in part addition on the tert-butyl ester rather than the ketone, which increased ultimately the difficulty in the purification. Moreover, another diastereomer 1.158, which had not previously been isolated, was obtained as a more polar product than $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 6}$ in up to $19 \%$ yield. Treatment of both alcohol isomers under the same conditions used to eliminate the tertiary alcohol gave products having the same r.f. and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, but opposite optical rotation values, indicating that they were enantiomers.

Scheme 30. Studies of the reaction organolithium reagent addition on 1.145.


Based on these observations, we proposed that the stereocenter at the 2-position of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 8}$ was epimerized due to the steric hindrance induced by the cis-2,4 configuration of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 8}$ (Scheme 31). In the presence of the Burgess's reagent in toluene at reflux, $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 8}$ was epimerized at the 2-position, prior to elimination of the hydroxyl group, by the Burgess reagent to afford olefin $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 4}$.

Scheme 31. Proposed mechanism of epimerization of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 8}$ followed by dehydrogenation.


## 1-2-2-3-2 Synthesis of trans-2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine analogues

After successfully achieving the synthesis of cis-2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidines 1.150 and 1.157 , the trans-2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine analogues were also prepared according to the initial plan (Scheme 27). The tert-butyl ester of unsaturated pyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 7}$ was reduced to primary alcohol 1.159 , which was treated with Carbtree's catalyst ${ }^{127,128}$ and hydrogen, under hydrogen at 70 psi pressure, for 3 days. As expected, the hydrogenation was directed by the primary alcohol to the same face as the hydroxymethyl group of prolinol $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 9}$ to give trans-product $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 0}$ in 72 \% yield. A minor amount of cis-isomer $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 9}$ (9 \%) was also generated. Finally, the Boc group of $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 0}$ was removed using hydrogen chloride to afford ( $2 R, 4 R$ )-2-hydroxylmethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 1}$ (Scheme 32). The enantiomer $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 4}$ was prepared in the same way from 1.154 (Scheme 33).

Scheme 32. Synthesis of (2R,4R)-2-hydroxylmethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine 1.161.


Scheme 33. Synthesis of (2S,4S)-2-hydroxylmethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine 1.164.


## 1-2-2-4 Synthesis of 3-arylpyrrolidine analogues

We envisioned that the side-chain could be installed at a later point in the synthesis by our general coupling and hydrogenation strategy (Scheme 34). The 3-(p-bromophenyl)pyrrolidine coupling precursor could be generated from a nitroaldehyde by reduction of the
nitro group to the amine, followed by cyclization using reductive amination. The nitroaldehyde could be obtained by an asymmetric Michael reaction between acetaldehyde and trans-4-bromo- $\beta$-nitrostyrene, based on the organo-catalytic method reported by List. ${ }^{129}$

Scheme 34. Retrosynthetic analysis for the synthesis of 3-arylpyrrolidine analogues.


The reaction of acetaldehyde and trans-4-bromo- $\beta$-nitrostyrene was performed in the presence of the Jørgensen-Hayashi-type of silyl ether catalyst, (S)-(-)- $\alpha, \alpha$-diphenyl-2pyrrolidinemethanol trimethylsilyl ether, ${ }^{130,131}$ to afford the (S)-nitroaldehyde $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 5}$ in 22 \% yield (Scheme 35). Treatment of nitroaldehyde $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 5}$ with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ under 5 bar pressure of hydrogen, as used previously in synthesis of 3-phenylpyrroline, ${ }^{129}$ gave no reaction; however, switching to zinc and aqueous acetic acid ${ }^{132}$ led to the required 3 -substituted pyrrolidine 1.167. Protection of $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 7}$ as the tert-butyl carbamate gave pyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 8}$, which was ready for a Suzuki reaction. The purity of amine $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 8}$ was established by chiral SFC, which demonstrated a good enantiomeric ratio (e.r. $=94.5: 5.5$ ) had been obtained. The side-chain was installed using our general synthetic protocol to yield $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 9}$, which was deprotected to give the ( $S$ )-3-arylpyrrolidine $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 0}$ as the hydrochloride salt (Scheme 35). The enantiomer 1.175 was obtained based on the same synthetic strategy employing $(R)-(-)$ - $\alpha, \alpha$-diphenyl-2pyrrolidinemethanol trimethylsilyl ether as catalyst (Scheme 36).

Scheme 35. Synthesis of (S)-3-arylpyrrolidine 1.170.


Scheme 36. Synthesis of (R)-3-arylpyrrolidine 1.175.


In summary, we have prepared a series of constrained $C$-arylpyrrolidine analogues (Figure 8). These include all the diastereomers of the 2-hydroxymethyl-3- and 4arylpyrrolidine, 3-arylpyrrolidine, 2-hydroxymethyl-3-aryl-lactam analogues, as well as several 2,3-substituted pyrrolidine analogues with modified 2-hydroxymethyl arm.

Figure 8. List of synthesized constrained $C$-aryl substituted pyrrolidine analogues.
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## 1-2-3 Biological evaluations of constrained $\boldsymbol{O}$-arylmethyl substituted pyrrolidine analogues

We collaborated with Professor Edinger at the University of California-Irvine to evaluate the biological activities of our synthetic compounds (Figure 6) against various cancer cell lines. Firstly, the importance of the $O$-arylmethyl C-8 alkyl chain was studied. A series of analogues with modified aliphatic chain moieties were prepared by Dr. Rebecca Fransson (Figure 9). ${ }^{120}$ These analogues were evaluated using cell viability assays with a murine hematopoietic FL5.12 cell line (Figure 9). Limiting the flexibility of the C-8 alkyl chain by introducing unsaturation (e.g., 1.176), and extending the chain length to a C-10 chain (e.g., 1.177), led to a slight decrease in activity compared to $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$. An obvious reduction in activity was observed in the shorter C-6 unsaturated chain (e.g., 1.178). Removal of the phenyl group (e.g., 1.179) resulted in a dramatic loss of activity. The $O$-benzyl group tethered to a C-8 alkyl chain was thus crucial for maintaining activity.
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Cell viability was measured by vital dye exclusion and flow cytometry at 72 h .
Figure 9. Cytotoxic action of different alkyl chain substituted L-prolinol analogues on murine hematopoietic FL5.12 cells. ${ }^{120}$

The constrained $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues were then tested in cell viability assays using Sup-B15, a $\mathrm{Ph}^{+}$ALL cell line. All the 2-hydroxymethyl-4- $O$ arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues induced leukemia cell death as efficiently as FTY720 (1.8) (Figure 10). ${ }^{120}$ Among these, the trans-analogue $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ exhibited a 3 -fold increase in efficacy compared to FTY720 (1.8), and a 4 -fold increase compared to its enantiomer 1.57. cisAnalogues were less active compared to the trans-isomers, especially $\mathbf{1 . 8 9}$, which showed an 8 -fold decrease in activity compared to $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$. These results indicated that constrained analogues could enhance the anticancer potency compared to FTY720 (1.8). The shape of the molecule, due to different stereochemistry of the benzyl ether appendage and the hydroxymethyl arm, has great influence on its anti-leukemia ability.
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|  | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 8 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sup-B15 | $6.8 \pm 0.7$ | $7.7 \pm 0.8$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ | $8.3 \pm 0.8$ | $16.7 \pm 2.4$ |

Mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (in $\mu \mathrm{M}+/-\mathrm{SEM}$ ). Cell viability was measured by vital dye exclusion and flow cytometry at 72 h .

Figure 10. Cytotoxic action of diastereomeric 2-hydroxymethyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues on Sup-B15 leukemia cells. ${ }^{120}$

Next, the 2-hydroxymethyl-3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues were evaluated (Figure 11). These analogues killed Sup-15 cells efficiently, but compared to 1.65, they were 5 $\sim 6$ fold less active. Interestingly, their stereochemistry did not have much effect on their antileukemia activities as in the previously mentioned 2,4 -substituted series. Thus, the high activity of $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ was caused by its unique structure, stereochemistry, and spatial orientation of the benzyl ether appendage and hydroxymethyl group.






|  | $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sup-B15 | $6.8 \pm 0.7$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ | $13.9 \pm 2.2$ | $12.9 \pm 1.1$ | $10.7 \pm 2.0$ | $11.0 \pm 2.0$ |

Mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (in $\mu \mathrm{M}+/-\mathrm{SEM}$ ). Cell viability was measured by vital dye exclusion and flow cytometry at 72 h .
Figure 11. Cytotoxic action of diastereomeric 2-hydroxymethyl-3- $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues on Sup-B15 leukemia cells. ${ }^{120}$

Although the 2-hydroxymethyl constrained analogues (1.32, 1.39, 1.44, 1.49, 1.57, 1.65, 1.83 and 1.89 ) showed good activities against Sup-B15 leukemia cells, their in vivo anticancer efficacies could be limited due to the chance of phosphorylation to activate $\mathrm{S}_{1 \mathrm{P}_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{3}$ receptors, which could induce bradycardia. Taking the two most active analogues 1.57 and 1.65, a series of analogues with modified hydroxymethyl arms was prepared (Figure 12). For $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$, there was almost no detrimental effect for either removal of the hydroxyl group (1.73) or the entire removal of the hydroxymethyl group (1.94). For the analogue 1.65, protection and removal of the hydroxyl group (1.67, 1.70 and 1.99 ) reduced activity. These results demonstrated that phosphorylation was not necessary to induce the death of Sup-B15 leukemia cells, In the case of 1.65, a free hydroxyl group gave however the most active analogue. Recent results from the Edinger group have revealed that compound 1.77, the
phosphate of $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, appeared to further enhance the in vitro antileukemic activity without causing bradycardia (private communication, see discussion).






|  | $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 9 9}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sup-B15 | $7.7 \pm 0.8$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ | $6.5 \pm 0.3$ | $8.1 \pm 1.0$ | $9.0 \pm 1.0$ | $8.1 \pm 0.9$ | $11.5 \pm 0.7$ |

Mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (in $\mu \mathrm{M}+/-\mathrm{SEM}$ ). Cell viability was measured by vital dye exclusion and flow cytometry at 72h.
Figure 12. Cytotoxic action of 2-methoxylmethyl- and 2-methyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues, and 3-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues on Sup-B15 leukemia cells. ${ }^{120}$

Intrigued by the high activity of $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, compared to its diastereomeric analogues, against Sup-B15 leukemia cells, it was evaluated against several other cancer cell lines (Table 2), ${ }^{120}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ proved the most active analogue against another BCR-ABL positive ALL cell line, BV173. It also showed the best activity, with a 10 -fold increase in activity compared to 1.57 against cell line BM-P190, a transformed murine bone marrow cell line bearing the BCRABL fusion protein p190. Against the other three non BCR-ABL fused protein expressed ALL cell lines (CCRF-CEM, Nalm-6 and Blin-1), $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ did not exhibit an obvious advantage
compared to the other analogues ( $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}, \mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 8 9}$ ). In addition, $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ was active against the prostate cancer cell lines PC3 and DU145, but it was not as efficient as FTY720 (1.8). Thus, the effect of $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ seems to have a connection with the expression of BCR-ABL-fused protein, which was associated with CML and ALL. Several BCR-ABL tyrosine kinase inhibitors have been discovered to treat CML, ${ }^{133}$ such as the well-known Imatinib (Gleevec), ${ }^{134}$ which prolongs the survival of CML significantly. The constrained analogue $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ showed the potency necessary to be potentially used in clinical studies of BCR-ABL positive ALL.

Table 2. Mean $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ (in $\mu \mathrm{M}+/-\mathrm{SEM}$ ) of analogs in cell viability assays in a range of human cancer cell lines and BCR-Abl-expressing murine bone marrow (BM). ${ }^{120}$

|  | SupB15 | BM- <br> p190 | BV173 | CCRF- <br> CEM | Nalm-6 | Blin-1 | PC3 | DU145 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ph+ ALL |  | Ph+ ALL | Ph- ALL | Ph- ALL | Ph- ALL | Prostate | Prostate |
| $\mathbf{1 . 8}$ | $6.8 \pm 0.7$ | $3.3 \pm 0.2$ | $6.3 \pm 0.4$ | $6.8 \pm 0.3$ | $9.6 \pm 1.9$ | $5.5 \pm 0.1$ | $9.8 \pm$ <br> 0.9 | $6.5 \pm$ <br> 0.9 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ | $7.7 \pm 0.8$ | $5.7 \pm 1.1$ | $10.4 \pm 0.7$ | $11.0 \pm$ <br> 1.3 | $15.0 \pm$ <br> 2.1 | $7.5 \pm 0.1$ | $14.3 \pm$ <br> 1.2 | $10.8 \pm$ <br> 0.4 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ | $0.5 \pm 0.1$ | $3.8 \pm 0.4$ | $8.2 \pm 0.7$ | $13.5 \pm$ <br> 2.4 | $6.9 \pm 0.3$ | $13.5 \pm$ <br> 2.6 | $15.1 \pm$ <br> 1.0 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 8 3}$ | $8.3 \pm 0.8$ | $4.0 \pm 0.4$ | $9.7 \pm 1.0$ | $8.1 \pm 1.4$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 . 8 9}$ | $16.7 \pm$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2.4 | $8.4 \pm 1.5$ | $13.8 \pm 0.8$ | $11.6 \pm$ <br> 1.1 |  |  |  |  |  |

Viability was measured by vital dye exclusion and flow cytometry at 72 h .

Since the early studies of the anticancer effect of FTY720 (1.8), Edinger has found that FTY720 (1.8) could selectively starve cancer cells to death by down regulating nutrient transporter proteins. ${ }^{108}$ Thus, these constrained analogues were also evaluated to see if they killed cancer cells through same mechanism as FTY720 (1.8) (Figure 13). In the assay of surface expression of 4 F 2 hc , all of the constrained analogues $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}, \mathbf{1 . 6 5}, \mathbf{1 . 7 0}$, and $\mathbf{1 . 7 3}$, as well as FTY720 (1.8), induced rapid down-regulation of the amino acid transporter-associated
protein 4F2hc, which indicated that these novel constrained analogues were working through a common mechanism involving starving the cancer cells to death.


Figure 13. Diastereomeric 2-hydroxymethyl- and 2-methyl-4-O-arylmethylpyrrolidine (10 $\mu \mathrm{M})$ trigger nutrient transporter loss in Sup-B15 leukemia cells.

## 1-2-4 Biological evaluations of constrained $\boldsymbol{C}$-aryl substituted pyrrolidine analogues

Although the constrained $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues showed greatly enhanced anti-leukemia activities compared to FTY720 (1.8) (Table 2), they were less efficient toward other types of cancer cell lines (PC3 and DU145). On the other hand, Charron's original Caryl analogues ${ }^{119} \mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ were active against the prostate cancer cell lines (PC3 and DU145). To determine the relationship between stereochemistry and activity, as studied in the $O$-arylmethyl pyrrolidine analogues, two new synthetic 2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3arylpyrrolidine analogues ( $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 1}$ ) were synthesized and tested in a Cell Titer Glo assay (Figure 14). Compound 1.16, which was shown previously to not be phosphorylated by SphK, ${ }^{119}$ had same activities in both prostate cancer cell lines compared to $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$. The new analogues $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 1}$ showed similar in vitro activities against prostate cancer cell lines as $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ and 1.16. Thus, the stereochemistry of 2,3,5-trisubstituted analogues had little effect on their activity against prostate cancer cell lines, but the stereochemistry was important for the compounds to be phosphorylated by SphK. ${ }^{119}$



|  | FTY720 (1.8) | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC3 | $2.6 \pm 0.2$ | $7.0 \pm 0.3$ | $6.1 \pm 1.0$ | $3.4 \pm 0.6$ | $4.9 \pm 0.6$ |
| DU145 | $3.2 \pm 0.2$ | $7.3 \pm 0.8$ | $5.7 \pm 0.4$ | $4.1 \pm 0.6$ | $5.3 \pm 0.2$ |

Values in $\mu \mathrm{M}$, Mean $+/-$ SEM is shown, $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$.
Figure 14. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2,5-bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues in prostate cancer cell lines.

Next, the 2-hydroxymethyl-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues were evaluated for activity against prostate cancer cell lines (Figure 15). Compared to the 2,3,5-trisubstituted $C$-aryl analogues, these analogues are without a second hydroxymethyl arm. They retained the antiprostate cancer activities as for 2,3,5-trisubstituted $C$-aryl analogues. Although $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ had better activities compared to the other two diastereomers, $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 3}$, the configurations of the members in this series was not as important as in the $O$-arylmethyl substituted pyrrolidine analogues in influencing the anti-cancer activities.





|  | $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC3 | $4.0 \pm 0.7$ | $3.0 \pm 0.4$ | $6.5 \pm 0.3$ | $5.5 \pm 0.7$ |
| DU145 | $3.8 \pm 0.4$ | $3.7 \pm 0.4$ | $5.4 \pm 0.3$ | $5.3 \pm 0.7$ |

Values in $\mu \mathrm{M}$, Mean $+/-$ SEM is shown, $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$.
Figure 15. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2-hydroxymethyl-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues in prostate cancer cell lines.

Meawhile, the corresponding lactams of 2-hydroxymethyl-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues were tested to see if the amonium was important for the activity (Figure 16). The anti-prostate cancer activities were lost for all the lactams indicating that a charged nitrogen on the pyrrolidine ring was crucial for anticancer activity likely through an electrostatic interaction with the target receptor .


|  | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC3 | $>20$ | $>20$ | $>20$ |
| DU145 | $>20$ | $>20$ | $>20$ |

Values in $\mu \mathrm{M}$, Mean $+/-$ SEM is shown, $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$.
Figure 16. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2-hydroxymethyl-3-aryl-lactam analogues in prostate cancer cell lines.

Considering that $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ showed as good anti-prostate cancer activities as FTY720 (1.8) (Figure 15), we wanted to know if they could be phosphorylated, and whether
their activities were associated with phosphorylation. Preliminary results suggested that $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ was phosphorylated to a similar degree as FTY720 (1.8) in PC3 and SW620 cells. Furthermore, analogues $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ activated only weakly $\mathrm{SiP}_{1}$, and were not substrates for the other $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{2,3,4,5}$ receptors. Similar losses of activity at S 1 P receptors were observed in tests of the corresponding synthetic phosphates $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 9}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 1}$. In order to eliminate any possibility of phosphorylation, a series of new 1.114 and 1.117 analogues $(\mathbf{1 . 1 2 9}, \mathbf{1 . 1 3 1}, 1.134$ and 1.137) with modified hydroxymethyl groups were evaluated (Figure 17). Methyl ethers 1.129 and $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 1}$, and methyl analogues $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 7}$ exhibited activities against prostate cancer cell lines which were as good as compounds 1.114 and 1.117. This indicated further that the hydroxyl group and its phosphorylation were not crucial for the anti-cancer activity.

1.129

1.131

1.134

1.137

|  | $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC3 | $6.3 \pm 0.3$ | $1.9 \pm 0.3$ | $4.4 \pm 0.6$ | $4.1 \pm 0.4$ |
| DU145 | $5.9 \pm 0.3$ | $5.6 \pm 0.1$ | $5.1 \pm 0.6$ | $5.0 \pm 0.1$ |

Values in $\mu \mathrm{M}$, Mean $+/-$ SEM is shown, $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$.
Figure 17. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2-methoxymethyl- and 2-methyl-3-arylpyrrolidine analogues in prostate cancer cell lines.

Another series of 2,4-substituted pyrrolidine analogues were tested to investigate the importance of the relative positions of the phenyl side chain appendage and the hydroxymethyl arm (Figure 18). Against prostate cancer cell lines, 1.150, 1.157 and $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 1}$ retained as good activities as the 2-hydroxymethyl-3-aryl substituted pyrrolidine analogues, and $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 4}$ was less active. This suggested that the relative position of the substituents on the pyrrolidine core could affect the anticancer activity in this series.


Values in $\mu \mathrm{M}$, Mean $+/-$ SEM is shown, $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$.
Figure 18. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2-hydroxymethyl-4-arylpyrrolidine analogues in prostate cancer cell lines.

Simplification of the structure by removal of the hydroxymethyl group resulted in 3arylpyrrolidine analogues $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 5}$, which exhibited moderate anti-prostate cancer activities (Figure 19). The hydroxyl group was thus not crucial for the anti-cancer activity. In general, analogues 1.114, 1.117 and their modified analogue 1.131, that cannot be phosphorylated by SphK, have potency that merits be further study in the treatment of prostate cancer.


Values in $\mu \mathrm{M}$, Mean $+/-$ SEM is shown, $\mathrm{n} \geqslant 3$.
Figure 19. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 3-arylpyrrolidine analogues in prostate cancer cell lines.

The $C$-aryl substituted pyrrolidine analogues were also evaluated against several other cancer cell lines (Table 3). These analogues (1.100, 1.114, 1.117 and $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 1}$ ) exhibited as broad
anticancer activity as FTY720 (1.8) against colon cancer cell line (SW-620), lung cancer cell line (A-549), pancreas cancer cell lines (PANC-1), and breast cancer cell (MDA-MB-231). The $O$-arylmethyl substituted pyrrolidine analogues (e.g., $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ ) were less active in these cancer cell lines. Against for the leukemia cell line (SupB-15), the C-aryl substituted analogues had activities; however, $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ was still the most active analogue in the two series.

Table 3. $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in other cancer cell lines.

|  | SW-620 | A-549 | PANC-1 | MDA-MB-231 | SupB-15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Colon | Lung | Pancreas | Breast | Leukemia |
| FTY720 (1.8) | $2.8 \pm 0.1$ | $6.0 \pm 0.4$ | $4.6 \pm 0.5$ | $4.0 \pm 0.1$ | $6.8 \pm 0.7$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 0}$ | $2.6 \pm 0.0$ | $4.7 \pm 0.6$ | $3.5 \pm 0.3$ | $4.6 \pm 0.5$ | ND |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ | $2.5 \pm 0.1$ | $8.9 \pm 1.4$ | $3.3 \pm 0.5$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ | $5.1 \pm 0.9$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ | $2.1 \pm 0.2$ | $8.4 \pm 1.2$ | $5.0 \pm 0.9$ | $4.9 \pm 0.6$ | $5.9 \pm 0.1$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 1}$ | $2.7 \pm 0.2$ | $7.8 \pm 1.8$ | $4.8 \pm 0.6$ | $4.0 \pm 0.0$ | $7.5 \pm 0.4$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ | $7.0 \pm 1.2$ | $10.7 \pm 0.2$ | $8.0 \pm 1.5$ | $9.1 \pm 0.3$ | $7.7 \pm 0.8$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ | $4.9 \pm 0.9$ | $9.5 \pm 1.1$ | $8.8 \pm 0.6$ | $6.4 \pm 0.4$ | $2.0 \pm 0.2$ |

Values in $\mu \mathrm{M}$, Mean $+/-$ SEM is shown, $\mathrm{n} \geq 3$. SupB-15 viability was determined by flow cytometry, Cell Titer Glo assays were performed with the other cell lines. ND, not determined.

Analogues 1.110, $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}$ were tested for the surface expression of 4 F 2 hc at their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, and at twice this dose (Figure 20). As expected, these analogues, as well as FTY720 (1.8), triggered down-regulation of the amino acid transporter-associated protein 4F2hc at their $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$, and consistently at $2 \mathrm{X} \mathrm{IC}_{50}$. This indicated that these $C$-aryl substituted pyrrolidine analogues killed cancer cells through the same "starve to death" mechanism.


Figure 20. Nutrient transporter down-regulation in PC3 cells at 1 X or 2 X the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$.

## 1-2-5 Discussion

Among the $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues, $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ were found to kill cancer cells by down-regulating nutrient transporters to starve cancer cells to death (Figure 13). Recent studies from the Huwiler group, in Bern, showed that $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ did not activate S1P ${ }_{1,2,4,}$ receptors, however, $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ could be partially phosphorylated by both SphK1 and SphK2, and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ can be efficiently phosphorylated in vivo and in vitro, especially by SphK2. Further studies of the corresponding phosphates, 1.75 and 1.77 , indicated that these phosphates could activate $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{1,2,3,5}$ receptors in vitro. The phosphates were active against the SupB-15 leukemia cell line (Figure 21). Furthermore, in the assay of surface expression of 4F2hc, no downregulation of the amino acid transporter-associated protein 4F2he was observed, up to $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ dose (Figure 22). Thus, phosphates $\mathbf{1 . 7 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 7 7}$ may act through another mechanism to kill the leukemia cells. The activity of $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ was actually enhanced by phosphorylation. Moreover, 1.75 and 1.77 could be dephosphorylated by cells, and their anti-leukemia activities may in fact be due to corresponding alcohols $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, not the phosphates themselves. These results demonstrate that the anti-cancer mechanisms of $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ are complex, and merit study to identify their targets, especially for the most active analogue, $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$.


Figure 21. Cytotoxic action of phosphates $\mathbf{1 . 7 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 7 7}$ on Sup-B15 leukemia cells.


Figure 22. Nutrient transporter down-regulation of phosphates $\mathbf{1 . 7 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 7 7}$ in Sup-B15 leukemia cells.

## 1-3 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have synthesized two series of constrained FTY720 analogues, including all of the diastereomers of 2,3-, 2,4-, and 3-substitituted pyrrolidine analogues, as well as a subset of these analogues with modified hydroxymethyl groups to limit the possibility of phosphorylation. Many of these analogues exhibited good anticancer activities in in vitro studies. The $O$-arylmethylpyrrolidine analogues were active against the BCR-ABL
positive ALL cancer cells, especially compound 1.65 , which showed the highest activity against the SupB-15 leukemia cell line among all the analogues, with a 3-fold increase in efficacy compared to FTY720 (1.8). The $C$-aryl pyrrolidine series were broadly active against human prostate, leukemia, colon, breast, and pancreatic cancer cell lines. Certain analogues (e.g., 1.129, 1.131, 1.134 and 1.137) were active without phosphorylation by SphK, exhibiting potency to be further studied in the treatment of cancer without inducing bradycardia.

The mechanistic studies suggested that certain constained analogues killed cancer cells through the same bioenergetic mechanism as FTY720 proposed by Edinger. ${ }^{111}$ By downregulation of nutrient transporters, these analogues starved the cancer cells to death; however, their mechanism of action is still unclear. Photo-affinity labeling techniques have thus been used to find the target protein, and will be discussed in chapter 2 .

The promising in vitro results of analogues $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}, \mathbf{1 . 1 1 4}$, and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 9}$ have led to their examination in vivo in mice, and these experiments are now in progress.

## 1-4 Experimental

All non-aqueous reactions were run in flame-dried glassware under a positive pressure of argon. Anhydrous solvents were distilled under positive pressure of dry argon before use and dried by standard methods. ${ }^{135} \mathrm{THF}$, ether, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and toluene were dried by passage through solvent delivery systems, commercial grade reagents were used without further purification. Reactions were monitored by $S-2$ analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) performed on pre-coated, glass-backed silica gel plates. Visualization of the developed chromatogram was performed by UV absorbance (wave length: 254 nm ), and staining TLC plates with aqueous cerium ammonium molybdate, or aqueous potassium permanganate solution. Flash chromatography ${ }^{136}$ was performed on 230-400 mesh silica gel with the indicated solvent systems. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (NMR) were recorded at 300, 400, 500 and 700 MHz spectrometers. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra are recorded in parts per million (ppm) from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standard $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3} \delta 7.27 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \delta 3.31 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \delta 4.80 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. Data are reported as
follows: chemical shift, multiplicity $(\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, quint $=$ quintet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, and $\mathrm{br}=$ broad), coupling constants $(J)$ are reported in Hz , and integration. Chemical shifts for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are recorded in parts per million from tetramethylsilane using the central peak of the solvent resonance as the internal standard $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \delta 77.00 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, \delta 49.00 \mathrm{ppm}\right)$. All spectra were obtained with complete proton decoupling. Optical rotations were determined in a 1 dm cell at 589 nm at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Data are reported as follows: $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$, concentration ( $c$ in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), and solvent. High-resolution mass spectra were performed using fast atom bombardment (FAB) or electrospray (ESI) techniques. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained using electrospray ionization technique (ESI). Purity analysis was assessed by LC/MS. HPLC conditions: (A) The column used was an YMC ODS-AQ, $2.0 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size 3 u ; the eluents were $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.1 \%$ formic acid and ACN $0.1 \%$ formic acid; the gradient started at $20 \%$ organic, then increased to $95 \%$ in 6 minutes and stays at $95 \%$ for another 1.5 min ; the flow was set at $0.35 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV det. 214 nm . (B) The column used was an SUNFIRE ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}} \mathrm{C} 18,2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size 3.5 u ; the eluents were $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.1 \%$ formic acid and $\mathrm{MeOH} 0.1 \%$ formic acid; the gradient started at $80 \%$ organic, then increased to $95 \%$ in 10 minutes and stays at $95 \%$ for another 2.0 min ; the flow was set at $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV det. 214 nm ; (C) The column used was an SUNFIRE ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ $\mathrm{C} 18,2.1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size 3.5 u ; the gradient started at $50 \%$ organic, then increased to $95 \%$ in 10 minutes and stays at $95 \%$ for another 2.0 min ; the flow was set at $0.40 \mathrm{~mL} /$ min; UV det. 214 nm . (D) The column used was an Atlantis C18, $150 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$; the eluents were $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with $0.13 \%$ formic acid and $\mathrm{MeOH} 0.13 \%$ formic acid; the gradient started at 30 $\%$ organic, then increased to $95 \%$ in 8 minutes and stays at $95 \%$ for another 10 min ; flow was set at $0.30 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

1.29
tert-Butyl (2R,3S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-hydroxypyrrolidine-

1-carboxylate (1.29) was synthesized from 1.26 according to the procedure report by Evano. ${ }^{121}$ Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{121,137}$


## tert-Butyl <br> (2R,3S)-3-((4-bromobenzyl)oxy)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)

pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.30). Alcohol 1.29 ( $63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 1.3 mL ). The solution was purged with argon, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with NaH ( 60 $\%$ in mineral oil, $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred for 30 min and treated sequentially with 4-bromobenzyl bromide ( $143 \mathrm{mg}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and TBAI ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 2 days. The reaction was quenched with water, diluted with EtOAc and washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtrated. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 12:1 to 8:1) to give $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}$ (76 $\mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , mixture of rotamers, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.8$, (154.7), 137.5, 131.6, (129.4), 129.3, 121.5, 81.3, (80.8), 79.7, (79.3), 69.8, 64.6, (64.2), 62.6, (62.2), (45.5), 45.1, 30.2, 28.8, 28.7, (28.6), $26.0,-5.6,-5.7 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 11.8^{\circ}$ (c 1.60, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 522.1646$, found 522.1659 .

tert-Butyl (2R,3S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.31). A solution of 1-octyne ( $31 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catecholborane ( 1.0 M in THF, $0.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated at reflux at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under argon atmosphere, and cooled to room temperature. A solution of $\mathbf{1 . 3 0}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DME $(1.7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture followed by $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(5.0 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.004 mmol ) and 1 N aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux with vigorous stirring for 4 h , cooled to room temperature and treated with a brine solution. The mixture was extracted three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 10:1 to $8: 1$ ) to give pale yellow oil. The oil was dissolved in EtOAc ( 2.6 mL ) treated with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol})$ and placed under an atmosphere of hydrogen by evacuation of the flask and refilling with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas. After completion of the hydrogenation as indicated by TLC ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.65$, hexane: EtOAc, 4:1), the reaction mixture was filtered through a pipette containing a layer of Celite ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$ over a plus of cotton. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give alkane 1.31 (53 mg, $69 \%$ in two steps) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , mixture of rotamers, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(154.8), 154.7,142.5,135.6,128.6,(127.9), 127.8,81.1,(80.6), 79.5,(79.2), 70.6$, 64.6, (64.3), 62.8, (62.3), (45.6), 45.2, 35.8, 32.0, (31.7), 30.2, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 28.8, 28.7, (28.7), 26.0, 22.8, 18.3, 14.2, $-5.6,-5.7$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 13.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 556.3793$, found 556.3797.

(2R,3S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.32). A 4M solution of HCl in 1,4-dioxane ( $1.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a flask with $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}(15 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.028 mmol ) and the solution was stirred at room temperature until completion was showed by TLC ( $3 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.42, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOH}, 4: 1$ ). The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in 1,4-dioxane ( 2 mL ) and the volatiles were evaporated to remove the residual HCl . The crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{EtOH}\right.$, 4:1 to $1: 1$ ) to give yellow oil. The oil was dissolved in water, fitered through a plastic syringe filter (pore size: $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ ), and lyophilized to give hydrochloride $1.32(7.6 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%)$ as pale yellow solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 7.15$ (d, $\left.J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.37, $4.34(\mathrm{ABq}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{br}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 141.4,134.6,127.7,78.2,70.4,64.7,58.4,43.7,35.1$, $31.5,31.0,29.6,29.2,29.1,29.0,22.2,13.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 35.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.12, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 320.2584$, found 320.2592. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{A}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.99 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: > $99 \%$.

$\boldsymbol{N}$-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)- $\boldsymbol{O}$-(tert-butyldimethylsilyl)-L-serine (1.34) was obtained as pale yellow, sticky oil ( $7.7 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ) from $N$-(Boc)-L-serine 1.33 ( $4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.038 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). ${ }^{121}$ Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structure and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{138}$

tert-Butyl ((2S,3R)-1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-3-hydroxyhex-5-en-2-yl)
carbamate (1.35) was obtained as colorless oil ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ over two steps) from 1.34 ( 2.0 g , $6.3 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{121}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.87-5.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ $-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.0,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (quint, $J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.8,134.7,117.9,79.5,72.9$, $63.3,53.8,39.4,28.5,25.9,18.3,-5.7,-5.7 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 32.2^{\circ}\left(c 1.32, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 368.2228$, found 368.2238 .


## tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-hydroxypyrrolidine-

1-carboxylate (1.36) was obtained as white solid ( $230 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ ) from $\mathbf{1 . 3 5}$ ( $800 \mathrm{mg}, 2.32$ $\mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{121}$ Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{137}$

Compound 1.37 was obtained according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.30.

tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-3-((4-bromobenzyl)oxy)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.37) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( 75 mg , $83 \%$ ) from $\mathbf{1 . 3 6}$ ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.45$ ( $\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46$ (s, 9H), $0.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(154.8), 154.7,(137.5), 137.4,131.6,(129.3), 129.2,121.5,81.3,(80.8), 79.6$, (79.3), 69.8, 64.5, (64.2), 62.7, (62.2), (45.5), 45.1, 30.2, 28.8, 28.7, (28.7), 25.9, -5.6, -5.7; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 10.6^{\circ}\left(c 1.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 522.1646$, found 522.1645.

Compound 1.38 was obtained according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.31.


## tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)

pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.38) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ over two steps) from $1.37(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(154.8)$, 154.7, 142.5, 135.6, 128.6, (127.9), 127.8, 81.1, (80.6), 79.5, (79.2), 70.6, 64.6, (64.3), 62.8, (62.3), (45.6), 45.2, 35.8, 32.1, (31.7), 30.2, 29.6, 29.4, 29.4, 28.8, 28.7, (28.7), 26.0, 22.8, 18.3, 14.2, $-5.6,-5.7 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 14.4^{\circ}\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 556.3793$, found 556.3810 .

Compound 1.39 was obtained according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.32.

(2S,3R)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.39) was obtained as yellow solid ( $19.0 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) from 1.38 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.056 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.91$ (s. br, 1 H ), 3.69-3.57 (m, 3H), 3.28-3.20 (m, 2H), $2.30(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39$ (br, 2H), 1.21 (br, 10H), 0.87 (t, $J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 141.0,134.8$, $127.5,78.4,70.3,64.7,58.6,43.7,35.2,31.6,31.1,29.7,29.4,29.3,29.2,22.3,13.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-)$ $30.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.14, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 320.2584$, found 320.2591. HPLC: condition $(A), t_{R}=6.01 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

tert-Butyl (R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-oxopyrrolidine-1-
carboxylate (1.40). Solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(134 \mathrm{mg}, 1.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by Dess-Martin periodinane ( $134 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of alcohol 1.29 ( $70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.21$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1.5 h , when no more starting material was observed by TLC $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.50\right.$, hexane: EtOAc, 4:1). A saturated aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution was added to the mixture. The organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 12:1 to 8:1) to give ketone 1.40 ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.17-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.63$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 213.6,(213.1), 154.3,80.4,(80.2), 64.3$, (64.0), 63.5, (62.5), (43.2), 42.6,
(37.1), 36.6, 28.6, 25.9, 18.2, -5.8, -6.0; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 144.9^{\circ}$ (c 0.37, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 352.1915$, found 352.1921.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-hydroxypyrrolidine-
1-carboxylate (1.41). L-Selectride ( 1 M in THF, $0.27 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a THF $(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of $\mathbf{1 . 4 0}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solution was stirred for 1 h at this temperature, when starting material was observed by $\operatorname{TLC}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}=0.32\right.$, hexane: EtOAc, 4:1). A saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was then added to the solution. The organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 5:1) to give alcohol 1.41 ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.07 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta=154.7$, (79.8), 79.5, (73.4), 73.0, (62.4), 61.8, 59.9, 44.6, (44.0), 33.8, (32.8), 28.6, 25.9, 18.1, -5.7; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 61.4^{\circ}\left(c 0.28, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$354.2071, found 354.2073.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R)-3-((4-bromobenzyl)oxy)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.42) was obtained as colorless oil (46 mg, $77 \%$ ) from 1.41 (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure previously described for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 3 0} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.6$, 137.7, 131.5, 129.0, 121.4, 79.6, (79.3), 78.5, (77.7), 71.2, 60.5, 59.8, (59.2), (44.2), 43.8, 36.7, 29.8, (29.1), (28.7), 26.0, 24.8, (23.5), 18.3, -5.7; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 11.9^{\circ}$ (c $0.64, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrNNaO} 4 \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 522.1646$, found 522.1658.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.43) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ over two steps) from $1.42(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure previously described for synthesizing 1.31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.7,142.5,135.8$, $128.5,127.6,79.5,(79.2), 78.1,71.9,60.5,59.7,(59.1),(44.2), 43.8,35.8,32.0,(31.7), 29.8$, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.1, 28.7, 26.1, (25.9), 22.8, 18.3, 14.3, -5.6; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 9.8^{\circ}$ (c $\left.1.33, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 556.3793$, found 556.3790 .

(2R,3R)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.44) was obtained as yellow solid ( $9.3 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) from 1.43 ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the
procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 3 2} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-$ $3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{br}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ): $\delta$ $141.3,134.9,127.7,127.3,76.6,70.1,64.0,57.2,42.7,35.1,31.5,31.0,29.2,29.2,29.0,29.0$, 22.3, 13.5; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 29.0^{\circ}$ (c $\left.0.10, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 320.2584 , found 320.2587 . HPLC: condition $(A), t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.97 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.


Ketone 1.49 was obtained according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.44.


## tert-Butyl (S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-oxopyrrolidine-1-

carboxylate (1.45) was obtained ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil from alcohol 1.36 ( 70 mg , $0.21 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.19-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 0.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 213.6$, (213.2), 154.3, 80.4, (80.2), 64.3, (64.1), 63.6, (62.6), (43.2), 42.6, (37.1), 36.6, 28.6, 25.9, 18.2, $-5.8,-5.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 150.0^{\circ}$ (c $\left.0.24, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 352.1915$, found 352.1919 .

tert-Butyl (2S,3S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-hydroxypyrrolidine-1-
carboxylate (1.46) was obtained ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as colorless oil from $1.45(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ mmol). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
 (44.0), 33.8, (32.8), 28.6, 25.9, 18.1, -5.8; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 66.7^{\circ}\left(c 0.21, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 354.2071$, found 354.2080.

tert-Butyl (2S,3S)-3-((4-bromobenzyl)oxy)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.47) was obtained as colorless oil (43 mg, $81 \%$ ) from 1.46 (35 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 H), 4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.05-2.00 (m, 1H), $1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 154.7,137.7,131.5,129.0,121.4,79.6,(79.3), 78.5,(77.8), 71.2,60.5,59.8,(59.2)$, (44.2), 43.8, 36.8, 29.8, (29.1), (28.7), 26.0, 24.8, (23.5), 18.3, -5.7; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 10.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.30, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 522.1646$, found 522.1656.

tert-Butyl (2S,3S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.48) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ over two steps) from $1.47(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $154.7,142.5,135.8,128.5,127.6,79.5,(79.2), 78.1,71.9,60.5,59.7,(59.1),(44.2), 43.8,35.8$, $32.0,(31.7), 29.8,29.6,29.5,29.4,29.1,28.7,26.1,(25.9), 22.8,18.3,14.3,-5.6 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 9.5^{\circ}$ (c 1.37, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 556.3793$, found 556.3789.

(2S,3S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.49) was obtained as yellow solid ( $9.2 \mathrm{mg}, 99 \%$ ) from 1.48 ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s} . \mathrm{br}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): \delta 141.6,135.3,128.1,127.7,77.0,70.6,64.4,57.6,43.1$, $35.5,31.9,31.4,29.6,29.5,29.4,29.4,22.6,13.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 29.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.11, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 320.2584$, found 320.2586. HPLC: condition (A) $t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.97$ min; purity: $95.1 \%$.

(2R,4S)-1-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-4-hydroxypyrrolidine-2-carboxylic acid (1.59). Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of trans-hydroxyproline $\mathbf{1 . 5 8}(4 \mathrm{~g}, 30.6$
$\mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane and water $(1: 1,80 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(7.7$ $\mathrm{mL}, 33.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop wise. The reaction was stirred at room temperature over night. The pH was acidified to 3 by addition of 2 M HCl and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to give alcohol $1.59(7.1 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$ as colorless oil, which was used in the next step without purification. Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{139}$

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-4-hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.60). Acid $1.59(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(56 \mathrm{~mL})$ on addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.7$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The solution was cooled to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ethyl chloroformate ( $1.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the mixture, which was stirred for 40 min . To this mixture, TBAB ( $538 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67$ mmol ) was added, followed slowly by a suspension of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(2.47 \mathrm{~g}, 65.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ice-cold water ( 4 mL ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 1 h , warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and stirred for an addition 1 h . The reaction mixture was acidified to pH 6 with $50 \%$ acetic acid. The organic phase and the aqueous phase were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ three times. The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 3:7) to give $1.60(2.61 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%)$ as colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{140}$

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-hydroxypyrrolidine-1-
carboxylate (1.61). DMAP ( $136 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(3.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 26.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and TBDMSCl ( $3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 24.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of diol $\mathbf{1 . 6 0}(4.83 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 days when completion was indicated by TLC ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.21$, hexane: EtOAc, 3:1). The reaction was diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and the organic phase was washed with water two times, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 3:1) to give 1.61 ( $6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as colorless oil. Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{140}$

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-4-((4-bromobenzyl)oxy)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.62) was obtained as colorless oil ( $6.94 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) from 1.61 (5.0 $\mathrm{g}, 15.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.30. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.45 (d, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.19 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.55-4.33 (m, 2H), 4.28-4.09 (m, 1H), 4.08$3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.85(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{brs}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta(154.7), 154.5,137.4,131.7$, (129.4), 129.3, 121.6, (79.6), 79.4, 76.5, 70.5, 64.4, (63.6), 57.7, 52.5, (51.7), 35.2, 34.1, 28.7, 26.0, 18.3, -5.3; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 23.2^{\circ}$ (c 2.17, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{BrNNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 522.1646$, found 522.1655 .


## tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)

pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.63) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $3.25 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ over two steps) from $1.62(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 3 1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl $)^{2}$ ) 7.23 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.14 (d, $\left.J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.54-4.39$ (m, 2H), 4.28-4.16 (m, 1H), 4.05-3.86 (m, 2H), 3.72-3.49 (m, 2H), 3.48-3.37 (m, 1H), 2.63-2.55 (m, $2 H), 2.23-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46,1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.22$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.06-0.02(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 154.7, 142.6, 135.5, (129.0), 128.6, (128.4), 128.0, 79.5, (79.3), 76.1, (71.2), 71.1, 64.5, (63.6), (57.8), 57.7, 52.5, (51.8), 35.8, 35.3, 34.1, 32.0, 31.7, 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, 28.7, 26.0, 22.8, 18.3, 14.2, $-5.3 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 21.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.17, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NNaO} \mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{Si}:(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 556.3793, found 556.3808.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-
carboxylate (1.64) A solution of TBAF ( 1.0 M in THF, $9.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of silyl ether $1.63(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(130 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 h , when completion was indicated by TLC ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.45$, hexane: EtOAc, 1:1). The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles
were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 6:4) to give alcohol 1.64 ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 93$ \%) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-$ $4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.4,7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), 1.35-1.22 (m, 10H), 0.91-0.85 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.2,142.8$, $135.2,128.7,127.8,80.6,76.0,70.9,67.4,59.3,53.1,35.8,34.7,32.0,31.7,29.6,29.5,29.4$, 28.6, 22.8, 14.2; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+20.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.3, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}:(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 442.2928, found 442.2939.

(2R,4S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.65) was obtained as white solid ( $759 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) from $1.64(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (d, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.8,6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.9,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{ddd}, J=$ $14.7,10.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, MeOD) $\delta 143.9,136.2,129.5,129.1,78.4,71.9,61.5,61.4,51.8,36.6,33.6$, 33.0, 32.7, 30.6, 30.4, 30.3, 23.7, 14.4; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 4.62^{\circ}$ (c 0.26, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2}:(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 320.2584$, found 320.2594 . HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{A}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.99 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>$ 99 \%.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((methylsulfonyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.68). Alcohol $1.64(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.238 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissloved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(66 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.476 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The solution was cooled $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this mixture, $\mathrm{MsCl}(28 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.357 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The resulting solution was stirred over night. The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 3:1) to give methanesulfonate $1.68(112 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%)$ as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.25$ (m, 4H), 4.15 (m, 2H), 3.83-3.56 (m, 1H), 3.44-3.39 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-$ $1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz} 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.8$, (154.4), $142.7,135.0$, (129.7), 128.6, 127.8, (127.2), (80.5), 80.1, 76.1, (75.6), 71.0, 70.0, 55.0, 52.5, (51.7), (37.4), 36.9, 35.7, (35.3), 34.0, 31.9, 31.6, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 28.5, 22.7, 14.2; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 28.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}:(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 520.2703$, found 520.2696.

tert-Butyl (2S,4S)-2-methyl-4-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.69). A solution of $\mathrm{LiBHEt}_{3}(1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.644 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.644 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly to an ice-cold
solution of methanesulfonate $\mathbf{1 . 6 8}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.161 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 2 h , when completion was indicated by TLC $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.55\right.$, hexane: EtOAc, 8:1). The reaction was quenched with water and poured into EtOAc. The aqueous and organic phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 16:1) to give pyrrolidine 1.69 ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 92$ \%) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) 7.23 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.15 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.48-4.46 (m, 2H), 4.10$3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $154.9,142.6,135.3,128.6,127.8,79.2,(76.4), 75.9,71.0$, 51.9 , (51.2), 40.3, (39.3), 35.8, 32.0, 31.6, 29.6, 29.4, 29.4, 28.6, 22.8, 21.5, 20.9, 14.2; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ (+) $11.1^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.18, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}:(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 426.2979$, found 426.2963.

(2S,4S)-2-Methyl-4-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.70) was obtained as white solid ( $20.1 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) from $1.69(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.44 (dd, $J=13.2,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t},, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=14.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) 141.6, 135.2, 128.0, 77.0, 70.3, $54.7,50.0,38.0,35.5,32.0,31.5,29.7,29.6,29.5,22.7,16.3,13.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 3.5^{\circ}(c 0.34$,
$\mathrm{MeOH})$; HRMS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}:(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$304.2635, found 304.2644. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{A}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.20 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((di-tert-butoxyphosphoryl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-octylbenzyl)oxy)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.76). Di-tert-butyl $N, N$-diethylphosphoramidite ( $97 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 87 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.35 mmol ) and 1 H -tetrazole ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sequentically added to a solution of alcohol $1.64(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 1.6 mL ) under argon atmosphere at r.t. The mixture was stirred over night and then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of $m$-CPBA $(77 \%, 83$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.6 \mathrm{ml})$ was added to the mixture and the reaction was warmed back to r.t. After 0.5 h , the reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted three times with EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $4: 1$ to 2:1) to give phosphate $\mathbf{1 . 7 6}(40 \mathrm{mg}$, $55 \%$ ) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.3$, 142.4, 135.0, 128.3, (127.6), 127.6, 82.7, (82.6), 82.1, (82.0), 79.7, (79.3), 75.7, 70.9, (70.8), 67.8, (67.2), 55.6, 52.1, 51.4, 35.5, 35.1, 33.7, 31.7, 31.4, 30.2, 30.2, 29.8, 29.7, 29.3, 29.2, 29.1, 28.3, 22.5, 14.0; ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (162 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) -9.62, -10.01; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 17.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.95, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{58} \mathrm{NNaO}_{7} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$634.3843, found 634.3848.

( $\mathbf{( 2 R , 4 S ) - 4 - ( ( 4 - O c t y l b e n z y l ) o x y ) p y r r o l i d i n - 1 - i u m - 2 - y l ) m e t h y l ~ h y d r o g e n ~ p h o s p h a t e ~ ( 1 . 7 7 ) . ~}$
A solution of $\mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{M})$ in 1,4-dioxane ( $1.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a flask containing carbamate 1.76 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the solution was stirred overnight at room temperature. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. 1,4-Dioxane ( 2 mL ) was added to the residue and the contents were evaporated to remove residual HCl . The crude mixture was purified by flash chromatography $\left(i-\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 8: 2: 1\right)$ to give a colorless oil. The oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, fitered through a plastic syringe filter (pore size: $0.45 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, and lyophilized to give phosphate $1.77(16.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as while solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, MeOD) $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.37(\mathrm{t}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.5,8.5,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ (ddd, $J$ $=12.5,11.0,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with MeOD, 1 H$), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.13(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 144.0,136.4,129.7,129.3,78.7,71.9,64.1,60.6,51.6$, 36.7, 33.3, 33.2, 32.9, 30.7, 30.5, 30.4, 23.9, 14.6; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 20.0^{\circ}(c 0.05, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (202 MHz, MeOD) 2.36; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 422.2069$, found 422.2064 .

tert-Butyl (S)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)-5-oxo-

2,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrrole-1-carboxylate (1.103). A solution of lactam 1.23 ( $257 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon atmosphere was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of LiHMDS ( 1 M ) in THF ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to the solution. The mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . In another flask under argon atmosphere, a solution of $\mathrm{PhSeBr}(104 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and transferred dropwise by canula to the reaction mixture, which was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the two phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted twice with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to a residue that was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with a solution of hydrogen peroxide ( $30 \%(\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 204 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ), followed by pyridine ( $160 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 1 h , when completion was indicated by TLC ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.41$, hexane: EtOAc, $4: 1$ ). The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 6:1) to give olefin 1.103 ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 70$ \% over two steps) as yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51-$ $7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.2,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.4,159.2,149.5,146.2,135.3,135.2$, 132.6, 132.6, 129.7, 129.4, 129.1, 128.5, 127.7, 127.4, 127.2, 120.6, 82.6, 63.2, 60.9, 35.9, $31.8,31.3,29.4,29.3,29.2,28.1,26.4,22.6,19.1,14.1$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 183.8^{\circ}$ (c $0.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$662.3636, found 662.3616 .

tert-Butyl (2S,3S)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)-5-
oxopyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.104). To a solution of olefin 1.103 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOAc ( 9.5 mL ), $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The flask was evacuated under vacuum and filled with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. After stirring overnight, TLC showed complete reaction $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.41\right.$, hexane: EtOAc, 4:1). The reaction mixture was filtered over Celite ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 6:1) to give lactam 1.104 ( $270 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.87-3.79 (m, 2H), 3.59-3.51 (m, 2H), 2.75-2.67 (m, 3H), $1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-$ $1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.0$, 149.6, 141.9, 135.6, 135.4, 133.9, 132.6, 132.1, 129.7, 129.4, 128.6, 127.7, 127.7, 127.4, 82.6, $62.5,61.3,40.3,37.0,35.6,31.8,31.5,29.4,29.3,29.2,27.9,26.7,22.6,18.8,14.0 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-)$ $41.6^{\circ}$ (c 1.60, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$664.3793, found 664.3799.

tert-Butyl (2S,3S,5R)-5-allyl-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl) pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.105). Lactam 1.104 ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 6.0 mL ) in a dry flask under argon atmosphere, cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated dropwise with lithium triethylborohydride ( 1.0 M in THF, $180 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and stirred for 1 hat $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In another dry flask, pyridinium $p$-toluenesulfonate ( $48.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(4.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon, cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then transferred dropwise by canula to the reaction mixture. The pH was verified to be slightly acidic ( $\mathrm{pH} \sim 6$ ); otherwise, more pyridinium $p$-toluenesulfonate was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give $O$-methyl
aminal product as yellow oil, that was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.74 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon atmosphere and cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Allyltrimethylsilane ( $128 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and titanium tetrachloride ( 1.0 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 180 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added sequentially to the $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ solution. The resulting orange mixture was stirred for 1 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quenched with water and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 6:1) to give the olefin 1.105 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 37 \%$ over two steps) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.51-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.39-7.10 (m, 12H), 5,87 (m, 1H), $5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,10.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}$, 1.5 H ), 2.74 (dd, $J=6.4,12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.65 (m, 2H), 2.29 (m, 1H), 2.01 (td, $J=6.4,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~m} 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(153.8), 153.5$, (141.1), 141.1, (136.1), 135.8, (135.7), 135.7, (135.6), 135.5, 133.4, (133.1), (132.9), 132.8, (129.5), $129.4,(129.2), 129.2,128.3,127.7,(127.4), 127.3,117.1,(117.0), 79.2,(79.1),(62.4), 62.2$, $61.9,60.2,57.1$, (56.9), (43.5), 43.0, 39.5, 37.8, 35.6, 32.4, 31.9, (31.7), 31.6, (31.4), 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 28.6, (28.4), 26.8, (26.8), 22.7, 19.0, (18.8), 14.1; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 30.2^{\circ}$ (c $0.42, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$690.4313, found 690.4297.

tert-Butyl (2S,3S,5S)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.106). Olefin $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 5}$ ( $55 \mathrm{mg}, 0.082 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous toluene ( 1.8 mL ) in a dry flask equipped with a condenser, under argon atmosphere. $N$-Allyltritylamine ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Grubb's catalyst $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation ( 14 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sequentially added to the reaction mixture, which was heated to reflux for 3 days, cooled to room temperature and quenched with brine. The mixture was extracted
three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $40: 1$ to 20:1) to give the disubstituted alkene isomer ( 40 mg , $73 \%$ ) as yellow oil. The oil ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in a solution of MeOH and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1: 1,6 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with ozone bubbles until a deep blue color persisted. Argon was bubbled through the solution to remove residual ozone until no blue color was observed. Dimethyl sulfide ( 0.4 mL ) was added carefully. The reaction was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature with stirring overnight. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.96 \mathrm{~mL})$, cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with sodium borohydride $(6.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , when completion was indicated by TLC ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.15$, hexane: EtOAc, $8: 1$ ). The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 8:1 to $4: 1$ ) to give alcohol 1.106 ( $23.2 \mathrm{mg}, 59$ \% over two steps) as yellow oil ( $23.2 \mathrm{mg}, 43 \%$ over three steps). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.52-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.41-7.06 (m, 12H), $4.80(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-2.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ $156.4,141.3,(141.1), 135.7$, (135.6), (135.5), 135.4, 133.0, 132.7, 129.6, (129.5), 129.4, (129.3), 128.4, (128.3), 128.1, 127.7, (127.4), 127.4, 80.6, (79.9), 69.3, (65.3), 63.4, (62.5), $61.5,60.4$, (59.9), (59.1), 44.5, (43.6), 35.6, (32.1), 31.9, 31.6, (31.5), 29.7, 29.5, 29.4, 29.3, 28.6, 28.3, 26.8, 22.7, (19.0), 18.8, 14.1; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 31.8^{\circ}\left(c 0.78, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})+680.4106$, found 680.4103 .

(2S,3S,5S)-2,5-Bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride was obtained as a yellow solid ( $10.6 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) from silyl ether 1.106 ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing alcohol $1.32 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.09(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.28-3.19(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 2.38-2.28 (m, 3H), 1.94-1.90 (m, 1H), 1.47 (br, 2H), 1.27 (br. s, 10H), 0.90 (t, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 140.9,134.1,128.2,128.1,63.3,61.5,58.8,58.3,43.8$, $35.4,32.0,31.3,30.2,29.8,29.6,29.6,22.7,13.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 37.7^{\circ}$ (c 0.22, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 320.2584$, found 320.2586. HPLC: condition (B), $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.05$ min; purity: 95.6 \%.

tert-Butyl (R)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)-5-oxo-2,5-dihydro-1 H-pyrrole-1-carboxylate (1.108) was obtained as yellow oil ( $192 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ over two steps) from lactam $1.107(257 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing lactam 1.103. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.51-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.08(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 6.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.71(\mathrm{t}, 7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 169.4,159.2,149.5,146.2,135.4,135.2,132.6,132.6,129.7,129.4$, $129.2,128.5,127.7,127.4,127.2,120.7,82.6,63.2,61.0,35.9,31.9,31.3,29.5,29.3,29.2$, 28.1, 26.4, 22.7, 19.1, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 163.0^{\circ}\left(c 2.20, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 662.36361$, found 662.36401 .

tert-Butyl (2R,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)-5-
oxopyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.109) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) from olefin $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 8}(170 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 4} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.38-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 174.0 , 149.6, 141.9, 135.6, 135.4, 133.9, 132.6, 132.1, 129.7, 129.4, 128.6, 127.7, 127.7, $127.4,82.6,62.5,61.3,40.3,37.0,35.6,31.8,31.5,29.4,29.3,29.2,27.9,26.7,22.6,18.8$, $14.0 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 30.2^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \operatorname{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 664.3793, found 664.379.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R,5S)-5-allyl-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-
(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.110) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 41$ \%) from $1.109(47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 5} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.51-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 5,88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.8,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,12.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{td}, J=6.4,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{10H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 153.8$, (153.4), (141.1), 141.0, (136.0), 135.8,
(135.8), 135.7, (135.7), 135.6, (135.6), 135.5, 133.4, (133.1), (132.8), 132.8, (129.4), 129.4, (129.2), 129.2, 128.3, (128.2), 127.7, (127.4), 127.3, 117.1, (117.0), 79.2, (79.1), (62.4), 62.2, $61.9,60.1,57.1$, (56.9), (43.4), 43.0, 39.4, 37.7, (35.6), 35.6, (32.3), 31.9, (31.6), 31.6, 31.4, (29.5), 29.4, 29.2, 28.6, (28.3), 26.8, (26.7), 22.7, 19.0, (18.8), 14.1; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 43.8^{\circ}(c 0.16$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{43} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$690.4313, found 690.4309.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R,5R)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.111) was obtained as pale yellow oil (23.8 $\mathrm{mg}, 42$ \% over three steps) from olefin $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 0}(57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.085 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.106. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.52-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.41-7.07 (m, 12H), $4.80(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-$ $1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 19 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $8156.4,141.3$, (141.1), 135.7, (135.6), (135.5), 135.5, 133.0, 132.7, 129.6, (129.5), 129.4, (129.3), 128.4, (128.3), 128.1, 127.7, (127.4), 127.4, 80.6, (79.9), 69.4, (65.4), 63.4, (62.5), 61.5, 60.4, (59.9), (59.1), 44.5, (43.6), 35.6, (32.1), 31.9, 31.6, (29.7), 29.6, 29.5, 29.4, (29.3), 29.3, 28.6, 28.3, 26.8, 22.7, (19.0), 18.8, 14.1; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 36.6^{\circ}(c 0.32$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 680.4106$, found 680.4105.

(2R,3R,5R)-2,5-Bis(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.101) was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) from silyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 1}$ ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as yellow solid according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ $7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-$ $3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 140.5,133.8,127.8,127.7,62.9,61.1,58.4,58.0$, $43.4,35.0,31.6,31.0,29.8,29.4,29.2,29.2,22.3,13.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 38.7^{\circ}(c 0.30, \mathrm{MeOH})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 320.2584$, found 320.2587. HPLC: condition (B), $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 5.46 min ; purity: 93.3 \%.

tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.112) A solution of lactam $1.23(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.187 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 2.4 mL ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Borane dimethyl sulfide complex ( 2 M in THF, $0.37 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.748 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture, which was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight, when completion was indicated by TLC $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.53\right.$, hexane: EtOAc, 8:1). The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. After the residue was coevaporated twice with $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, it was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and washed three times with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 8:1) to give carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 2}$ ( $94.6 \mathrm{mg}, 81 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.68-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ (br. s, 2H), $1.52(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$,
mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.3,141.2,(140.9), 140.2,135.6,133.8,133.5,(133.4), 129.6$, (129.5), 128.6, (127.7), 127.7, (127.3), 127.1, 79.3, (79.0), 65.5, (65.3), 63.5, 62.0, 47.2, (46.4), 46.2, 45.3, 35.5, 32.9, 31.9, 31.5, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 28.6, 28.4, 26.9, 22.7, (19.4), 19.3, $14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 8.0^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1.17, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 650.4000 , found 650.3998 .

tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.113) was obtained as colorless oil ( $155 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) from silyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 2}$ ( $271 \mathrm{mg}, 0.432 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 6 4} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14$ (s, 4H), $5.10(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{td}, J=6.4$, $10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $156.9,141.9,137.7,128.7,127.4,80.5,67.1,66.2,47.6,47.1,35.5,33.0,31.9,31.5,29.5$, 29.3, 29.2, 28.5, 22.7, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 7.6^{\circ}\left(c \quad 1.44, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$412.2822, found 412.2838.

(2S,3R)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.114) was obtained as a pale yellow solid ( $11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) from carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 3}$ ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.039 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing $1.32 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.11$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 141.2,136.0,128.5,127.6,66.4$, 58.2, 44.7, 44.5, 35.4, 32.4, 31.9, 31.3, 29.7, 29.5, 29.5, 22.6, 13.9; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 20.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.06, $\mathrm{MeOH})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$290.2478, found 290.2477. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{B}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.00 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $98.5 \%$.

Compounds $1.117,1.120$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 3}$ were prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.114.

tert-Butyl (2R,3S)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.115) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ) from $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 7}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.234 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.70-7.69$ (m, 4H), 7.68-7.39 (m, 6H), 7.15-7.11 (m, 4H), $4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.6,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $4.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.3$, (154.2), 141.2, (140.9), 140.2, 135.6, (133.8), 133.6, 133.5, (133.4), 129.6, (129.5), 128.6, (127.7), 127.7, (127.2), 127.1, 79.3, (79.0), 65.5, (65.3), 63.5, 62.0, 47.2, (46.4), 46.2, 45.4, 35.5, 32.9, 31.9, 31.5, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 28.6, 28.4, 26.9, 22.7, (19.4), 19.3, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 7.2^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.60, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 650.4000$, found 650.3980 .

tert-Butyl (2R,3S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.116) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $58 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) from silyl ether 1.115 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.159 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.65-3.56 (m, 1H), 3.35 (td, $J=6.4,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.9,141.8,137.7,128.7,127.4,80.4,67.1,66.1,47.5,47.1$, 35.5, 32.9, 31.9, 31.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.4, 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 8.1^{\circ}\left(c 1.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 412.2822$, found 412.2807.

(2R,3S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.117) was obtained as yellow solid ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) from carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 6 ( 1 5 ~ m g , ~} 0.039 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-$ $1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 141.2,136.0,128.5,127.6,66.4,58.2,44.7,44.5,35.4,32.4,31.9,31.3,29.7,29.5,29.5$, 22.7, 13.9; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 19.1^{\circ}$ (c 0.11, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 290.2478, found 290.2473. HPLC: condition $(B), t_{R}=5.56 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $96.9 \%$.


## tert-Butyl (2S,3S)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)

pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.118) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) from lactam 1.104 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.54-$ $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.90$ (dd, $J=2.8,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.78-3.70 (m, 1.6H), 3.62-3.49 (m, 2H), 3.36 (dd, $J=10.8,17.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.27(\mathrm{~m}$, $15 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 4.4 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4.6 \mathrm{H}), 0.90\left((\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(154.4), 154.3,141.2,136.0,135.6,135.5,135.4,(133.4), 133.1,132.9$, $129.5,(129.3), 128.3,(127.7), 127.5,127.4,79.2,(79.0), 62.4,61.8,(61.6), 61.5,46.4$, (46.3), $45.9,35.7,31.9,31.7,29.5,29.4,29.3,(28.6), 28.4,27.6,26.7,22.7,(19.0), 18.8,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-$ ) $38.2^{\circ}$ (c $1.90, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 650.4000$, found 650.3995.

tert-Butyl (2S,3S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.119) A solution of silyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 8}(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(1.14 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Tetrabutylammonium fluoride solution ( 1 M in THF, $61 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.061 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight, when completion was indicated by TLC ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.33$, hexane: EtOAc, $4: 1$ ). The reaction was heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were
removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 6:1 to 4:1) to give $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 9 ( 1 2 . 2 ~ m g , ~} 90 \%$ ) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $2.3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 157.1,141.8,134.9$, (134.8), 128.6, (127.7), 127.7, 80.3, 64.7, 62.4 , (46.2), 45.7, 35.5, 31.9, 31.5, 29.7, 29.5, 29.3, 29.2, 28.5, 28.0, 22.7, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 9.8^{\circ}(c$ 1.22, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 412.2822$, found 412.2829.

(2S,3S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.120) was obtained as yellow solid ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 84 \%$ ) from carbamate $1.119(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ 141.1, $133.6,128.2,62.6,583,44.3,44.0,35.4,32.0,31.3,29.8,29.6,29.5,27.9,22.7,13.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+)$ $42.9^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$290.2478, found 290.2478. HPLC: condition (B), $t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.57 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $98.0 \%$.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldiphenylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.121) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ ) from lactam $1.109(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.54-$ $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.90$ (dd, $J=2.8,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.61-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.8,16.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.23(\mathrm{~m}$, $15 \mathrm{H}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4.5 \mathrm{H}), 0.90\left((\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(154.4), 154.3,141.2,136.0,135.6,135.5,135.4,(133.4), 133.1,132.8$, 129.5 , (129.3), 128.3, 127.7, (127.5), 127.4, 79.2, (79.0), 62.4, 61.8, (61.6), 61.5, 46.4, (46.3), $45.9,35.7,31.9,31.7,29.5,29.4,29.3$, (28.6), 28.4, 27.5, 26.7, 22.7, (19.0), 18.8, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ $(+) 34.0^{\circ}\left(c 2.10, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{40} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 650.4000$, found 650.4012 .

tert-Butyl (2R,3R)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.122) was obtained as colorless oil ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) from silyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 1}$ ( $22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.035 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{~m}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2.3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1.7 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-$ $2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.1,141.8,134.9,128.6,127.8,80.3,64.7,62.4,(46.2), 45.7$, $35.5,31.9,31.4,29.7,29.4,29.3,29.2,28.5,28.0,22.6,14.1$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 9.3^{\circ}\left(c 1.25, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 412.2822$, found 412.2816.

(2R,3R)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.123) was obtained as yellow solid ( $5.4 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) from $1.22(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.026 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-$ $3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 140.8$, $133.3,127.8,127.8,62.2,58.0,43.9,43.6,34.9,31.5,30.9,29.3,29.1,29.0,27.6,22.2,13.5$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 43.2^{\circ}$ (c 0.10, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 290.2478$, found 290.2471. HPLC: condition (B), $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.13 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $94.2 \%$.

(4R,5S)-5-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (1.124). A (9:1) solution of trifluoroacetic acid $(0.48 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a flask containing carbamate $1.23(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min , the solution was warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight, when completion was indicated by TLC $\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.17, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : $\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, 100: 8: 1$ ). The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed three times with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ : $\left.\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, 100: 8: 1\right)$ to give lactam $1.124(18.0 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%)$ as white solid. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30$ (m, 1H), 2.81 (dd, $J=17.6,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-$
$1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 177.9,142.1,138.7,128.9$, $127.1,64.3,64.2,42.0,39.3,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.5,29.3,29.2,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 25.8^{\circ}(c$ $\left.0.12, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$326.2091, found. 326.2100.

Compounds $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 5}, 1.126$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 7}$ were prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.124.

(4S,5R)-5-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (1.125) was obtained as
 $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.17(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{td}, J=9.0,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.3,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-$ $1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta$ 177.2, 142.1, 138.6, $128.9,127.1,64.6,63.7,42.2,39.1,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.4,29.3,29.2,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 23.0^{\circ}$ (c 0.60, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$326.2091, found 326.2090. HPLC: condition (C), $t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.16 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

(4S,5S)-5-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (1.126) was obtained as white solid ( $5.7 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) from silyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 4}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,99-3.96(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.36-3.34(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.3,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.64$ (ddd, J =
$77.3,16.8,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.90$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.6,142.3,134.9,128.8,127.6,63.5$, $59.1,41.9,35.6,35.5,31.9,31.4,29.4,29.3,29.2,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 130.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$326.2091, found 326.2095. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{C}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.57 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

(4R,5R)-5-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (1.127) was obtained as white solid ( $6.6 \mathrm{mg}, 93$ \%) from silyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 9 ~ ( ~} 15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3,99-3.96(\mathrm{td}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.82(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $16.8,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.37-3.35(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=11.1,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.65(\mathrm{ddd}, \mathrm{J}=$ $71.7,16.8,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 177.9,142.3,134.9,128.8,127.6,63.5$, $59.3,41.9,35.6,35.6,31.9,31.5,29.5,29.4,29.3,22.7,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 131.3^{\circ}\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$326.2091, found 326.2095. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{C}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.62 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-2-(methoxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.128) A solution of alcohol $1.113(35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(0.75 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to
$0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $7.2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution followed by methyl iodide ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.180 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was poured into water and extracted three times with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 4:1) to give ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 8}$ (32 $\mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.95-3.86 (br, 1 H ), $3.68(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, $) \delta$ $154.4,141.2,140.6,128.6,127.1,79.4,72.6,71.4,63.5,59.1,46.5,46.0,35.5,31.9,31.5$, 29.5, 29.4, 29.2, 28.5, 22.7, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 13.3^{\circ}\left(c 0.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 426.2979$, found 426.2981.

(2S,3R)-2-(Methoxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.129) was obtained as yellow oil ( $13.1 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) from ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 8}$ ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 140.8,135.7,128.2,127.3,68.5,64.0,58.2,44.7,44.4,35.0,32.3,31.6$, 31.0, 29.3, 29.2, 29.0, 22.3, 13.5; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 33.5^{\circ}$ (c 0.49, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 304.2635$, found 304.2643. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{B}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.20 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: 96.6 \%.

Compound 1.131 was prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.129.

tert-Butyl (2R,3S)-2-(methoxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.130) was obtained as colorless oil ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) from 1.116 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.090 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.12$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.97 (br, 1H), 3.67 (br, 2H), 3.45 (m, 2H), 3.37 (m, 4H), 2.58 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 154.4,141.2,140.6,128.6,127.0,79.4,72.6,71.4$, $63.5,59.1,46.4,46.0,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.4,29.3,29.2,28.5,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 16.9^{\circ}(c 1.1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 426.2979$, found 426.2988.

(2R,3S)-2-(Methoxymethyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.131) was obtained as yellow oil ( $9.9 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ) from carbamate $1.130(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 7.17$ (d, $\left.J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.93$ (d, $\left.J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57$ (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 141.2,136.1,128.6,127.7,68.9$, $64.4,58.5,45.0,44.7,35.4,32.6,31.9,31.4,29.6,29.5,29.4,22.6,13.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 32.8^{\circ}(c 0.29$, $\mathrm{MeOH})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$304.2635, found 304.2640. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{B}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.48 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $96.8 \%$.

Compounds 1.134 and 1.137 were prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 7 0}$.

tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-2-(((methylsulfonyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-
1-carboxylate (1.132) was obtained as colorless oil ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) from alcohol 1.113 ( 60 mg , $0.154 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (br, $0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{br}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.69(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.4$, (153.9), 141.9, 138.1, 128.9, 127.2, (80.6), 80.0, 67.9, 62.9, 46.9, (46.5), 45.7, (37.5), 36.9, 35.5, 32.6, 31.9, 31.5, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.4, 22.6, 14.1; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ (+) $3.0^{\circ}$ (c 2.9, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 490.2598$, found 490.2594.

tert-Butyl (2R,3R)-2-methyl-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.133) was obtained as colorless oil ( $33.4 \mathrm{mg}, 64 \%$ ) from methanesulfonate 1.132 ( $65 \mathrm{mg}, 0.139 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.6,141.4,139.5$, $128.6,127.2,79.1,59.9,52.9,45.9,35.5,32.1,31.9,31.5,29.5,29.4,29.2,28.6,22.7,20.2$, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 8.8^{\circ}\left(c 0.68, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$396.2873, found 396.2868.

(2R,3R)-2-Methyl-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.134) was obtained as yellow solid ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) from carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 3 ( 3 3 ~ m g , ~} 0.088 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 141.0,136.0,128.4,127.6,61.2,50.3$, 43.7, 35.5, 32.1, 32.0, 31.4, 29.8, 29.6, 29.6, 22.7, 14.5, 13.9; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 23.3^{\circ}(c 0.58, \mathrm{MeOH})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 274.2529$, found 274.2540. HPLC: condition (B), $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 6.93 min ; purity: 95.6 \%.

tert-Butyl (2R,3S)-2-(((methylsulfonyl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-
1-carboxylate (1.135) was obtained as colorless oil ( $34.0 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) from 1.116 ( 30 mg , $0.077 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.72$ (br, $0.6 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{br}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.68(\mathrm{br}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.34(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), $2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.4,(153.9), 141.9,138.6,138.1,128.8,127.2,(80.5), 79.9,68.0,67.9,62.8$, 46.8 , (46.4), 45.6, (37.5), 36.8, 35.5, 32.5, 31.8, 31.4, 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.4, 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-$ ) $2.5^{\circ}\left(c 1.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 490.2598$, found 490.2608 .

tert-Butyl (2S,3S)-2-methyl-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.136) was obtained as colorless oil ( $20.7 \mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) from methanesulfonate $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 5}$ ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.062 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.14(\mathrm{dtd}, J=10.8,6.6,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $8154.6,141.4,139.5,128.6,127.2,79.1,59.9,52.9,45.9,35.5,32.1,31.9,31.5,29.5,29.4$, 29.2, 28.6, 22.7, 20.2, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 6.7^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.09, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$396.2873, found 396.2877.

(2S,3S)-2-Methyl-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.137) was obtained as yellow solid ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) from carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 6}$ ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 141.1,136.0,128.4,127.6,61.2,50.3$, $43.7,35.4,32.1,31.9,31.3,29.7,29.6,29.5,22.7,14.5,13.9$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 26.2^{\circ}(c 0.13, \mathrm{MeOH})$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 274.2529$, found 274.2540. HPLC: condition (B), $t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 5.98 min ; purity: $96.2 \%$.

Compounds $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 9}$ and 1.141 were prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.77.

tert-Butyl (2S,3R)-2-(((di-tert-butoxyphosphoryl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.138) was obtained as colorless oil ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ over two steps) from carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 3}$ ( $14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 27 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.1,141.4,139.9$, $139.5,128.7,127.2,127.1,82.2,79.9,79.3,65.5,64.8,63.8,63.7,46.7,46.2,46.1,45.0,35.5$, $31.9,31.5,29.9,29.8,29.4,29.3,29.2,28.5,22.6,14.1 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $-9.34,-9.79 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 4.0^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.80, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 604.3738$, found 604.3732 .

((2S,3R)-3-(4-Octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium-2-yl)methyl hydrogen phosphate (1.139) was obtained as white solid ( $2.5 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) from $1.138(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 7.17$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05$ (ddd, $J=10.4,7.6,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79$ (ddd, $J=12.8,10.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62$ (ddd, $J=8.0,5.2,2,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46$ (ddd, $J=$ $11.2,8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{qd}, J=11.2,7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{dtd}, J=$ $13.6,6.8,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 143.9,136.8,130.4,128.8,67.4$, $67.4,62.8,62.7,46.2,46.1,36.7,34.2,33.2,32.9,30.8,30.6,30.5,23.9,14.6 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 52.0^{\circ}$
(c $0.05, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{MeOD}$ ) 3.65; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{P}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 370.2142$, found 370.2134 . HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{D}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.14 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $94.7 \%$.

tert-Butyl (2R,3S)-2-(((di-tert-butoxyphosphoryl)oxy)methyl)-3-(4-octylphenyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.140) was obtained as colorless oil $(11.0 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ over two steps) from $1.116(14 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58$ (t, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 27 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.2$, $141.4,139.9,128.7,127.2,127.1,82.2,79.9,79.4,65.5,64.8,63.8,63.7,46.7,46.2,46.1$, $45.0,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.9,29.8,29.5,29.4,29.3,28.5,22.7,14.1 ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR ( 162 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) -8.72, -9.18; [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 4.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{NNaO}_{6} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$604.3738, found 604.3733.

((2R,3S)-3-(4-Octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium-2-yl)methyl hydrogen phosphate (1.139) was obtained as white solid ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ) from $1.140(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , MeOD) $\delta 7.17$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.09 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.05 (ddd, $J=10.4,8.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 3.78 (ddd, $J=12.8,10.4,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.61$ (ddd, $J=8.0,5.2,2,4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45$ (ddd, $J=$ $11.2,8.4,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{dtd}, J=13.6,6.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , MeOD, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 143.8,136.8,130.3,128.8,67.4,67.3,62.7,62.6,46.2,46.0$,
$36.6,34.2,33.2,32.9,30.7,30.6,30.5,23.9,14.6 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 55.0^{\circ}(c 0.10, \mathrm{MeOH}) ;{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR (162MHz, MeOD) 2.98; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$370.2142, found 370.2148 .

1.142

1-Bromo-4-octylbenzene (1.142) was synthesized according to a procedure to generate 1 -bromo-4-dodecylbenzene reported by Dorn et al. ${ }^{125}$ A solution of octylmagnesium bromide $(2.0 \mathrm{M})$ in diethyl ether, $(21.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 42.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise to a diethyl ether solution $(25.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ of 1,4 -dibromobenzene ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 42.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{dppf})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After stirring for 48 h at room temperature, the mixture was heated at reflux for 2.5 h , exposed to air, poured into water and extracted three times with diethyl ether. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography ( $20 \times 20 \mathrm{~cm}, 1000 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 8$ plates in hexane) to give bromide $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 2}(9.9 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ) as colorless oil. The product contained a minor impurity and was used as such in the subsequent reaction. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 141.7, $131.2,130.1,119.2,35.3,31.9,31.3,29.4,29.3,29.2,22.7,14.1$.

(R)-1-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-4-oxopyrrolidine-2-carboxylic acid (1.144). Saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a solution of cis-4-hydroxy-D-proline (1.143, $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 23.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dioxane and water $(1: 1,60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(5.52$ $\mathrm{g}, 5.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop wise. The reaction was stirred at room temperature over night. The pH was adjusted to 3 by addition of 2 M HCl and the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The
filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white solid ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ). The solid ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(64 \mathrm{~mL})$, treated with trichloroisocyanuric acid $(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 13.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in one portion, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with TEMPO ( $102 \mathrm{mg}, 0.65$ mmol ). The mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h , warmed to room temperature, and stirred for 0.5 h . when completion was indicated by $\operatorname{TLC}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}: 0.30\right.$, hexane: EtOAc: AcOH, 10: 10: 1 ). Water ( 10 mL ) was added to the mixture. After stirring for 10 min , the mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with ethyl acetate ( 40 mL ), and filtered through Celite ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$. The filtrate was acidified with 1 N HCl solution ( 80 mL ), washed four times with water ( 20 mL ), washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give ketone $1.144(2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as white solid ( $2.75 \mathrm{~g}, 87$ over two steps), which was used in the next step without purification. Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{141}$


Di-tert-butyl (R)-4-oxopyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.145). A solution of ketone $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 4}$ ( $2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(46 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. tert-Butyl alcohol (2.9 $\mathrm{mL}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the solution. After stirring for 5 min , 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution. The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. The mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 8:1) to give ester 1.145 ( $2.41 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 4.62-4.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=29.2,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.82 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=18.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(2 \mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(208.8), 208.0,170.8,(154.2), 153.6,82.2,80.9$, 56.9, (56.5), (52.8), 52.4, 41.3, (40.8), 28.1, 27.8; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 8.9^{\circ}\left(c 1.28, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 308.1468$, found 308.1473.


Di-tert-butyl (2R,4S)-4-hydroxy-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.146). $n-\mathrm{BuLi}(2.5 \mathrm{M}$ in hexane, $450 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of 1-bromo-4-octylbenzene ( $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 2 ,} 288 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 0.5 h, a solution of ketone $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 5}$ ( $123 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(0.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture, and the solution was stirred for an additional 2 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was warmed to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred overnight at this temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and allowed to warm to room temperature. The organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 12:1 to $8: 1$ ) to give less polar alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 6}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%)$ as pale yellow oil, and more polar product 1.158 ( $32 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil.
1.146: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.33$ (dd, $J=24.8,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=37.6,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.48-1.47 (m, 9H), $1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(174.2), 173.9,(154.3), 153.8,142.4,138.7,(138.6), 128.4,125.2$, (125.1), (82.8), 82.6, 80.4, (80.2), (80.0), 79.1, (61.4), 60.6, 59.5, (59.4), 44.4, (43.3), 35.5, $31.9,(31.4), 29.4,29.3,29.2,28.4,28.0,27.9,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 3.5^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.57, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 498.3190$, found 498.3182 .
1.158: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ (d, $\left.J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 4.51-$ $4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2,70-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, ) $\delta 171.9,154.3,143.0,138.8,128.7,125.2,81.1,80.2,79.2,59.4,59.0,44.6$,
$35.5,31.9,31.4,29.4,29.3,29.2,28.4,28.0,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 25.2^{\circ}\left(c 1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 498.3190$, found 498.3193 .


## Di-tert-butyl (R)-4-(4-octylphenyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.147).

Burgess' reagent ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.286 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 6}$ ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was heated at reflux under argon for 4 h , cooled to room temperature and diluted with EtOAc. The mixture was washed with water, and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 12:1 to 8:1) to give 1.147 (44 $\mathrm{mg}, 678 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(0.7 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 169.6$, (169.5), (153.8), 153.5, 143.8, (143.7), (140.5), 140.4, (130.1), 130.0, 128.7, (128.6), 125.6, (125.5), (117.5), 117.3, 81.5, (81.5), 80.0, (79.9), 68.0, (67.9), (53.6), 53.5, 35.7, 31.8, (31.3), 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.5, 28.4, 28.0, (28.0), 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 108.2^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.95, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 480.30843$, found 480.30792 .


Di-tert-butyl (2R,4S)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.148) was obtained as white solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 94 \%$ ) from olefin $1.147(32 \mathrm{mg}, 0.070 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to a modified
procedure for synthesizing 1.104. To a solution of olefin 1.147 in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.6 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10$ $\%, 7.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.007 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The flask was evacuated and filled with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction was stirred overnight. The mixture was filtered through Celite ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $12: 1$ to $8: 1$ ) to give 1.148. m.p. $\left(82.7-83.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14$ (s, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 0.65 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 0.35 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.46(2 \mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 172.0$, (171.9), (154.0), 153.7, 141.7, (137.0), 136.9, 128.6, (127.0), 127.0, 80.9, 79.9, (79.6), (60.0), 60.0, (53.2), 52.4, (43.3), 42.4, 38.5, (37.5), 35.5, 31.8, (31.5), 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.4, 28.3, 28.0, (27.9), 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 25.4^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.39, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 482.3241$, found 482.3243.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.149). A mixture of lithium aluminium hydride ( $2.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 1.5 mL ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated slowly with ester $1.148(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.054 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1.5 mL ), and stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with water, diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layer was separated, washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 4:1) to give alcohol 1.149 ( $19.5 \mathrm{mg}, 92$ \%) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $156.9,141.8,137.2,128.6,126.9,80.6,67.7,61.5,54.1,42.2,36.5,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.5$,
29.3, 29.2, 28.4, 22.7, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 4.6^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.28, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 412.2822$, found 412.2836 .

(2R,4S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.150) was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) from $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 9 ~ ( ~} 11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 141.0,136.3,128.4,127.2,61.3,59.9,50.5,42.5,35.4,34.2,32.0,31.3,29.7,29.6$, 29.5, 22.7, 13.9; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 23.5^{\circ}$ (c $\left.0.17, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd. For $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 290.2478, found 290.2469. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{B}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.97 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

Compound 1.157 was prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 0}$.

(S)-1-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-4-Oxopyrrolidine-2-carboxylic acid (1.151) was obtained as white solid ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ over two steps) from 1.50. Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{142,143}$


Di-tert-butyl (S)-4-oxopyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.152) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 57$ \%) from 1.151 ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{142}$


Di-tert-butyl (2S,4R)-4-hydroxy-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.153) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 32 \%$ ) from 1.152 ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}), 4.42-4.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=24.8,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=37.2,11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.63(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ (174.2), 174.0, (154.3), 153.8, 142.4, (142.4), 138.7, (138.6), 128.4, 125.2, (125.1), (82.8), $82.6,80.4,(80.3),(80.0), 79.1,(61.4), 60.6,59.4, ~(59.4), 44.3,(43.2), 35.5,31.9$, (31.4), 29.4, 29.3, 29.2, 28.4, 28.0, 27.9, 22.6, 14.1; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 5.3^{\circ}\left(c 0.19, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 498.31900$, found 498.3188.


Di-tert-butyl (S)-4-(4-octylphenyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.154) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ) from $1.153(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.05(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(0.7 \mathrm{H})$, $5.04(\mathrm{~m}, 0.3 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 0.7 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $18 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta$ 169.6, (169.5), (153.8), 153.5, 143.8, (143.7), (140.5), 140.4, (130.1), 130.0, 128.7, (128.6), 125.6, (125.5), (117.5), 117.2, 81.5, (81.4), 80.0, (79.9), 67.9, (67.9), (53.6), 53.5, 35.7, 31.8, (31.3), 29.4, 29.2, 29.2, 28.5, 28.3, 28.0, (28.0), 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 129.1^{\circ}\left(c 1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 480.3084$, found 480.3091 .


Di-tert-butyl (2S,4R)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1,2-dicarboxylate (1.155) was obtained as white solid ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) from $1.154(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.044 \mathrm{mmol})$. m.p. $\left(82.5-83.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 0.65 \mathrm{H}), 3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 0.35 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.46(2 \mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 172.0,(172.0),(154.0), 153.8,141.8$, (137.1), 137.0, 128.6, (127.0), $127.0,81.0,80.0$, (79.7), (60.0), 60.0, (53.30, 52.5, (43.3), 42.4, 38.5, (37.5), 35.5, 31.9, (31.5), 29.5, 29.3, 29.2, 28.4, 28.4, 28.0, (28.0), 22.7, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 24.2^{\circ}$ (c $1.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NNaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 482.3241$, found 482.3243 .

tert-Butyl (2S,4R)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.156) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $11.4 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) from $1.155(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.79-3.65$ (m, 2H), $3.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.9,141.8,137.1$, $128.6,126.9,80.6,67.7,61.5,54.1,42.2,36.5,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.4,29.3,29.2,28.4,22.6$, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 4.31^{\circ}\left(c 0.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 412.2822$, found 412.2827.

1.156

1.157
(2S,4R)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)Pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.157) was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) from $1.156(9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.023 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13-3.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 140.7,135.8,128.0,126.8$, $60.9,59.5,50.1,42.1,34.9,33.8,31.5,30.9,29.3,29.1,29.1,22.3,13.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 20.0^{\circ}(c$ 0.21, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$290.2478, found 290.2477. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{B}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.93 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

tert-Butyl (R)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-

1-carboxylate (1.159) was obtained as colorless oil ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) from $1.147(33 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.072 mmol ) according the procedure for synthesizing $1.149 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~s}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~s}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.74-4.65 (m, 1H), 4.56-4.43 (m, 2H), 3.85 (m, 1H), 3.78-3.63 (m, 1H), 2.61 (t, J=7.6 Hz, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 156.6,143.6,138.3,130.1,125.4,119.0,80.8,68.6,67.5,54.3,35.7,31.8,31.3$, 29.4, 29.2, 29.2, 28.5, 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 34.0^{\circ}\left(c 2.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$410.2666, found 410.2662

tert-Butyl (2R,4R)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.160). Crabtree's catalyst ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of olefin $1.159(16 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.041 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting light orange mixture was subjected to a hydrogen pressure of 70 psi for 72 h . The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 10:1 to 8:1) to give the trans-pyrrolidine $1.160(11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.21-2.13 (m, 1H), 2.01-1.95 (m, 1H), $1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.1,141.7,138.2,128.6,126.8,80.5,68.0,59.8,54.0$, $42.0,35.9,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.5,29.3,29.2,28.5,22.7,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 37.2^{\circ}\left(c 1.16, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 412.2822$, found 412.2811.

(2R,4R)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.161) was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) from $1.160(11.6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.030 \mathrm{mmol})$ according the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.15$ (dd, $J=8.4,14.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.36-1.22 (m, 10H), $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 142.3,135.8,129.0,127.0$, $61.6,61.4,51.5,42.6,35.5,34.4,31.9,31.4,29.4,29.3,29.2,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 8.7^{\circ}(c 0.85$, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$290.2478, found 290.2477. HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{B}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.30 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

Compound $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 4}$ was prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 1}$.

tert-Butyl (S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)-2,5-dihydro-1H-pyrrole-
1-carboxylate (1.162) was obtained as colorless oil ( $20.0 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ) from 1.154 ( 38 mg , $0.083 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.01(\mathrm{~s}, 0.2 \mathrm{H}), 5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.78-3.63 (m, 1H), 2.61 (t, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 156.6,143.6,138.3,130.1,125.5,119.1,80.8$,
$68.6,67.6,54.3,35.7,31.8,31.4,29.4,29.3,29.2,28.5,22.7,14.1$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 28.6^{\circ}(c 0.14$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 410.2666$, found 410.2657.

tert-Butyl (2S,4S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.163) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $8.4 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) from $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 2 ( 1 2 \mathrm { mg } , 0 . 0 3 1 \mathrm { mmol } ) .}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.1,141.7,138.2,128.6,126.8,80.5,67.9$, $59.8,54.0,42.0,35.8,35.5,31.9,31.5,29.5,29.3,29.2,28.4,22.6,14.1$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 20.0^{\circ}(c 0.40$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $\mathrm{HRMS}(\mathrm{ESI})$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NNaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 412.2822$, found 412.2824.

(2S,4S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.164) was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $3.2 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ) from $1.163\left(4.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 700 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.81$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 175 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 142.4,135.7,129.0,127.0,61.6,61.4,51.5,42.6,35.5,34.4,31.9,31.4,29.4,29.4$, 29.3, 29.2, 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 9.4^{\circ}\left(c 0.18, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{NO}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 290.2478$, found 290.2482 . HPLC: condition $(\mathrm{B}), t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.85 \mathrm{~min}$; purity: $>99 \%$.

(S)-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-4-nitrobutanal (1.165) was prepared according the procedure reported by List, ${ }^{129}$ starting from acetaldehyde ( 5 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 3 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trans-4-bromo- $\beta$-nitrostyrene ( 684 mg ), After 71 h , aldehyde $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 5}$ was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $180 \mathrm{mg}, 22 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.12 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 198.4,137.2,132.3,129.1,122.0,79.0,46.2,37.3 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 6.8^{\circ}(c 0.47$ $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}$269.9771, found 269.9771. The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{144,145}$

tert-Butyl (S)-3-(4-bromophenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.168) was synthesized according the reported procedure. ${ }^{132}$ Zinc powder was added in three portions over 10 min to a solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 5}$ in $\mathrm{HOAc} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3-4 h and filtered, and the filter cake was washed with water. The pH OF THE combined filtrate and washings was adjusted to $\mathrm{pH}=12$ with 4 N aqueous KOH . The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ three times. The organic phases were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was directly used without purification. The residue ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(0.44 \mathrm{~mL})$ and treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(57 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 41 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$, cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated dropwise with $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(82 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 79 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 days and then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The aqueous layer
was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic layers were combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 1:8 with) to give carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 8}$ ( $68 \mathrm{mg}, 57 \%$ in two steps) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.4,140.5,131.6$, 128.7, 120.4, 79.3, 52.3, (51.6), (45.8), 45.5, 43.7, (42.7), (33.2), 32.3, 28.5; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 17.4^{\circ}(c$ 1.55, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20}{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right] \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 348.0570$, found. 348.0563. SFC conditions: LUX AMYLOSE 2, $150 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 150$ bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, Column temperature: $35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, flow rate: $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, detection: UV 210 nm , major $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 2.92 \mathrm{~min}$, minor $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 2.36 \mathrm{~min}$, e.r. $=94.5: 5.5$.

tert-Butyl (S)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.169) was obtained as colorless oil ( $24 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ over two steps) from $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 8}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol})$ according the procedure for synthesizing 1.31. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.51$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}$, 9H), 1.40-1.20 (m, 10H), $0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.5,141.5,141.4$, $138.6,128.6,126.9,79.1,52.7,51.8,46.0,45.6,44.0,43.0,35.5,33.4,32.5,31.9,31.5,29.5$, 29.3, 29.2, 28.5, 22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 11.6^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.80, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 382.2717$, found. 382.2704.

(S)-3-(4-Octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.170) was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $12.6 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) from carbamate $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 9}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.045 \mathrm{mmol})$ according the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 3.58-3.49 (m, 1H), 3.48-3.39 (m, 1H), 3.38-3.20 (m, 2H), 2.99 (t, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 140.8,136.6,128.3,127.3,50.5,45.4,42.6,35.4,32.0,31.9,31.4,29.9$, 29.7, 29.6, 22.7, 13.9; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 8.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.40, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 260.2373 , found. 260.2384 .

Compound 1.175 was prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.170.

( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-3-(4-Bromophenyl)-4-nitrobutanal (1.171). Starting from acetaldehyde ( 5 M in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, $3 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and trans-4-bromo- $\beta$-nitrostyrene ( 684 mg ), After $71 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{1 . 1 7 1}$ was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.11 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{p}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 198.4,137.2,132.2,129.1,121.9,78.9,46.1,37.2 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+)$ $6.4^{\circ}\left(c 0.45, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H})^{+}$269.9771, found 269.9771.

tert-Butyl (R)-3-(4-bromophenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.173) was obtained as colorless oil ( 65 mg , $54 \%$ over two steps) from 1.171 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-$
$3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 154.4,140.4,131.6,128.7$, 120.4, 79.3, 52.3, (51.6), (45.7), 45.5, 43.6, (42.7), (33.2), 32.3, 28.5; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 17.3^{\circ}$ (c 1.65, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20}\left[{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right] \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$348.0570, found. 348.0563. SFC conditions: LUX AMYLOSE 2, $150 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $3 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}, 150$ bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, Column temperature: $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, flow rate: $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, detection: UV 210 nm , major $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}} 2.31 \mathrm{~min}$, minor $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{R}}$ 3.06 min , e.r. $=94.1: 5.9$.

tert-Butyl (R)-3-(4-octylphenyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (1.174) was obtained as colorless oil ( $17 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ over two steps) from 1.173 ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.092 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.5,141.5,141.4,138.6,128.6,126.9,79.1,52.7,51.8$, $46.0,45.7,44.0,43.0,35.5,33.4,32.5,31.9,31.5,29.5,29.4,29.2,28.5,22.7,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+)$ $10.8^{\circ}$ (c 0.50, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$382.2717, found. 382.2730 .

( $R$ )-3-(4-Octylphenyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (1.175) was obtained as pale yellow solid ( $7.2 \mathrm{mg}, 88$ \%) from $1.174(10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.028 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38-3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.98(\mathrm{t}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~m}$,

10H), $0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 140.8,136.6,128.3,127.3,50.5,45.4,42.6$, $35.4,32.0,31.9,31.3,29.8,29.6,29.6,22.7,13.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 5.9^{\circ}\left(c 0.22, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$260.2373, found. 260.2363 .
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# Chapter 2: Design and Synthesis of Photoaffinity Labeling Probe of Amino Alcohol 1.57 and 1.65 

## 2-1 Introduction

## 2-1-1 General mechanism of photoaffinity labeling (PAL)

Protein-ligand interactions are ubiquitous and essential in living organisms. Study of the interactions between bioactive ligands and their corresponding receptor proteins is not only required in the fundamental life sciences, but is also helpful for understanding the pathology of diseases, to aid drug discovery and medical science. ${ }^{1-3}$ The major challenges to elucidate the structures of the complex biological molecules involved in such processes has led to the development of several techniques, ${ }^{4}$ such as X-ray crystallography ${ }^{4-6}$ and NMR spectroscopy. ${ }^{4,7,8}$ Analysis of structures of crystalline protein-ligand complexes by X-ray crystallography can directly provide details of their interactions in three dimensions, but this static structural information is usually insufficient for determining or predicting solution-state interactions. NMR spectroscopy is a powerful technique for probing protein-ligand interactions in solution, because it can provide dynamic structural information of the complex by analysis of the resonance signals of the ligand or the protein, but it may be limited by macromolecular size. ${ }^{9}$ Both of these methods require usually protein samples of high purity, which may be a challenge due to difficulties in the purification of proteins. In 1962, Westheimer and coworkers ${ }^{10}$ described the successful labeling of chymotrypsin by acylation with p-nitrophenyl diazoacetate followed by photolysis. Based on their success, the concept of photoaffinity labeling (PAL) was introduced and proposed as a new method for mapping the active site of an enzyme. ${ }^{10}$ With this revolutionary idea, new photoaffinity probes and methods for detection of the labeled receptor soon were developed, which led PAL to become one of most important techniques in structural biology today. ${ }^{11}$

In PAL experiments, a bifunctional ligand probe, possessing a photoreactive group and a reporter group, bind to the macromolecule of interest, usually a protein (Figure 23). Upon
irradiation with UV light, a highly reactive intermediate (typically a carbene, nitrene or a diradical) is generated from the photolabile moiety and inserts into the bounds of the large molecule forming a covalent link. Thus, the previously reversible binding is turned to permanent binding. The ligand-receptor complex may then be purified by tagging ligand to allow identification of the macromolecule, and particularly its binding region. In PAL processes, purification of the target macromolecule is initially not needed, and only required after tagging of the ligand-receptor complex. Therefore, PAL is advantageous for studies of complex systems (e.g. ribosomes and transcription factors) and unknown macromolecules.


Figure 23. General mechanism of a photoaffinity labeling (PAL) process.

## 2-1-2 Photoaffinity probe (PAP)

The design of a suitable photoaffinity probe is a crucial factor for success in PAL studies. The PAP is usually prepared by modification of a ligand for the receptor. This modification should not decrease the biological activity (including affinity). The photoreactive group on the probe should be highly reactive, and activated at mild conditions to avoid any damage to the biosystem. The active intermediate after irradiation should readily react not only with $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$ nucleophiles, but ideally with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of the target protein. The reporter group should be easily detected. The crosslinking reaction should give high yield. There is no such thing as an ideal PAP; however, many efforts have been made, to create diverse photoreactive groups and reporter groups. Design of the most suitable PAP is typically done on a case by case basis. ${ }^{11}$

## 2-1-2-1 Photoreactive groups

Since the diazoacetyl group was used by Westheimer and coworkers in the labeling of chymotrypsin, ${ }^{10}$ many other photoreactive groups have been developed. ${ }^{11}$ Among these, benzophenones, aryl azides, and diazirines are among the most widely used in PAL experiments (Figure 24).

benzophenones

aryl azides

diazirines

Figure 24. Photolabeling groups: benzophenones, aryl azides and diazirines.

Benzophenone ${ }^{11,12}$ can be photoactivated at wavelengths of $350 \sim 360 \mathrm{~nm}$ to generate a highly reactive triplet state benzhydryl diradical (Figure 25). ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}}$ This diradical can exist up to $120 \mu$ s before it converts back to the ground state. It abstracts hydrogen from the nearby X-H bonds on a protein, and undergoes fast recombination to form a benzhydrol. Water does not deactivate the photochemical reactivity of benzophenone, because the diradical can react with water and generate a hydrate, that dehydrats to give back benzophenone. This enhances PAL efficiency when using benzophenone. Benzophenones also have advantages in terms of chemical stability and commercial availability; however, they have drawbacks, such as the bulkiness of benzophenone may affect the biological activity of the ligand and the interaction between the ligand and receptor. Benzophenones often require prolonged irradiation times, which may cause damage to biosystems.


Figure 25. Possible photochemical processes of benzophenone. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}}$

Aryl azides ${ }^{11,13}$ can be irradiated (major wavelengths $<300 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) to generate the reactive singlet state nitrenes, which can directly insert into the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds of the protein receptor (Figure 26). The short-lived nitrene can be transformed to a lower energy triplet state nitrene through intersystem crossing, abstract a hydrogen from an X-H bond, and form a covalent N -X bond with the protein receptor, the same complex as generated by the singlet nitrene. The singlet nitrene can also be converted to a benzazirine, which rearrange to a dehydroazepine. Both benzazirine and dehydroazdpine can react with a variety of nucleophiles. ${ }^{14}$ In addition to these possible photolysis reactions, there are many other side reactions, including the reduction of aryl azides to the corresponding amines, oxidation of triplet nitrenes to nitro intermediates, and dimerization of triplet nitrenes to azobenzene. Due to the many reaction pathways, the crosslinking efficiencies of aryl azides in PAL are usually low ( $<30 \%$ yield). ${ }^{15}$ Irradiating at wavelenghs less than 300 nm may also damage the biosystems, which further limits the use of aryl azides in PAL studies. Compared to benzophenones, aryl azides are however relatively small in size, and relatively easy to synthesize from the corresponding aromatic amines. ${ }^{16}$ Modification of the substituents on the aryl ring may increase crosslinking efficiencies. ${ }^{11 a}$ These advantages combined make aryl azides one of the most popular photoreactive groups.


Figure 26. Possible photochemistry process of aryl azide. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}}$

Diazirines ${ }^{11,15,17}$ can be efficiently excited at wavelengths $350-380 \mathrm{~nm}$, and generate a singlet carbene and a diazo isomer (> 30 \%) (Figure 27). The short-lived singlet carbene can directly insert into an X-H bond of the protein, and can also go through intersystem crossing to give a lower energy triplet carbene, which could abstract a hydrogen from an X-H bond, and recombine to form the ligand-protein complex, the same product generated from the singlet carbene. The diazo isomer may also transform into a singlet carbene, but this process is slow, and it could lead to the unspecific labeling, or hydrolysis. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}} \mathrm{~A}$ solution to this problem is to use the 3-trifluoromethyl-3-phenyldiazirine (Figure 27), ${ }^{18}$ because this diazo derivative is stabilized due to the strong electron-withdrawing effect of the trifluoromethyl group and resists side reactions. Compared to other photoreactive groups, diazirines are more difficult to synthesize often requiring more steps. ${ }^{17 \mathrm{c}, 19}$ Diazirines are relatively small in size, and require long wavelengths to activate, which decreases the risk of damaging the target biomolecules. They are chemically stable under various conditions (strong acids, bases, etc.). Due to these advantages, diazirines have become the most useful photo- reactive groups in PAL studies.


Figure 27. Possible photochemistry process of diazirine. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}}$

## 2-1-2-2 Reporter groups

Reporter groups facilitate the detection of the photolabeled complex, and help isolation and identification. A number of reporter groups have been developed based on different methods, ${ }^{11 e, g}$, ${ }^{20}$ such as radiolabels (radioactive isotopes ${ }^{125} \mathrm{I},{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}$ ), ${ }^{20}$ fluorophores (e.g. fluorescein, pyrene), ${ }^{21}$ and affinity tags (e.g. biotin, epitope tags). ${ }^{11 d}$ Although widely used, radiolabels suffer several significant drawbacks, because they are quickly degradated, harmful,
and require special handling techniques. The incorporation of fluorophores and affinity tags may augment size, and cell permeablity, and thus alter the biological activities of the probe. In the absence of cell permeability, photoaffinity probes are unable to capture receptor targets in live cells.

In 2003, Bertozzi and coworkers coined the term "bioorthogonal chemistry", ${ }^{22,23}$ which is used to enable the study the biomolecules in living cells. In concept, a chemical reaction could occur inside of living systems, but it should neither interact with the biosystem, nor interfere with any native biochemical processes. ${ }^{24}$ This type of reaction was achieved by incorporating certain functional groups as reporter groups on biomolecules, which could be selectively ligated in a second step (Figure 28). Bioorthogonal chemistry provides a strategy in PAL studies, using chemical reporters that perform tandem photoaffinity labelingbioorthogonal conjugation to detect the photoaffinity probe-receptor complex, ${ }^{11 \mathrm{e}}$ and to allow the capture of targets in live cells.


Figure 28. A general bioorthogonal chemical reaction.

Bioorthogonal chemistry requires unique reporter and ligation groups. A number of bioorthogonal reactions have been developed, ${ }^{25}$ including: condensation reactions of ketones/aldehydes with amines/hydrazide, ${ }^{26}$ the Bertozzi-Staudinger ligation of azides and triarylphosphines, ${ }^{27}$ 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition between azides and alkynes ${ }^{28-30}$ Diels-Alder cycloadditions between tetrazines and strained alkenes/alkynes, ${ }^{31,32}$ cross-metathesis, ${ }^{33,34}$ and Suzuki-Miyaura coupling reactions. ${ }^{35,36}$ Among these reactions, the Bertozzi-Staudinger ligation and 1,3-dipolar azide-alkyne cycloaddition have been the most well studied reactions in bioorthogonal chemistry.

The Bertozzi-Staudinger ligation was the first successful bioorthogonal reaction in this field. ${ }^{37}$ In the classic Staudinger reaction, an azide reacts with a phosphine (e.g. triphenylphosphine) or a phosphite to generate an unstable aza-ylide intermediate, ${ }^{38,39}$ which then can be hydrolyzed to give the amine (Figure 29). In 2000, Bertozzi and coworkers modified this reaction, by introducing an electrophilic trap, a methyl ester group, ortho to the phosphorus atom on one of the phenyl rings in triphenylphospine, the generated aza-ylide was directed to form a amide bond through intramolecular cyclization, instead of hydrolysis. The five-member ring intermediate is then hydrolyzed to afford a stable ligation product (Figure 29). ${ }^{37}$

## Staudinger reaction:



Bertozzi-Staudinger ligation:


Figure 29. General mechanisms of the Staudinger reaction and the Bertozzi-Staudinger ligation.

Bertozzi-Staudinger ligations have been practically used in living cells ${ }^{40}$ and animals; ${ }^{41}$ however, they suffer drawbacks: aryl azides can be reduced by thiols, ${ }^{23 a}$ phosphine reagents can be slowly oxidized by air or enzymes, ${ }^{23 a, c}$ the kinetics of the reactions are slow (second order rate constant: $0.0020 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ ) resulting in a higher concentration of phosphine reagents, which also lead to the problems of phosphine oxidation and high background signals in cell fluorescence image applications. ${ }^{42}$ Although limited by these problems, the BertozziStaudinger ligation is a common choice in bioorthogonal chemistry due to high selectivity and wide compatibility. ${ }^{25}$

1,3-Dipolar azide-alkyne cycloadditions are also commonly considered for bioorthogonal chemistry. This [3+2] cycloaddition reaction was first described by Arthur Michael in $1893,{ }^{43}$ followed by extensive studies by Rolf Huisgen, ${ }^{44}$ This reaction is presently known as "Azide-alkyne Huisgen cycloaddition" (Figure 30). For bioorthogonal chemistry, azides are absent in biological systems, both azides and alkynes are small in size, and their incorporation should have minimal effect of biological activity, nor perturb biosystems. Although there could be many benefits, the classic Huisgen cycloaddition cannot be used as a bioorthogonal reaction due to the harsh reaction conditions (high temperature and pressure) and the slow kinetics. In 2002, both K. Barry Sharpless ${ }^{45}$ and Morten Meldal ${ }^{46}$ reported efficient Copper (I) catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reactions between azides and terminal alkynes, which overcame these obstacles for use in bioorthogonal chemistry (Figure 30). The mechanism of the copper (I) catalyzed 1,3-dipolar azide-alkyne cycloaddition, termed as "CuAAC", ${ }^{47,48}$ and known as "click chemistry", ${ }^{49}$ is different from the standard [3+2] Huisgen cycloaddition. The generally recognized mechanism involves dinuclear copper interactions. ${ }^{50-}$
${ }^{52}$ One molecular copper (I) catalyst first interacts with the terminal alkyne substrate to form a pi-complex. The terminal hydrogen is then deprotonated by a base to generate a second molecular copper (I) species, which binds to the copper acetylide intermediate, and activates the azide substrate to generate a copper-azide-acetylide complex. Upon cyclization and protonation, the complex transforms to a 1,4-disubstituted triazole as a specific isomer, and the copper (I) catalyst was regenerated (Figure 30).

## Azide-alkyne Huisgen cycloaddition:



CuAAC reaction:


Figure 30. General mechanisms of azide-alkyne Huisgen cycloaddition and CuAAC reaction.

The CuAAC reaction has a faster reaction rate compared to Bertozzi-Staudinger ligation, is relatively simple and readily occurs in aqueous solution. Widely used as a popular bioothogonal reaction in chemical biology studies, ${ }^{47,53}$ the CuAAC reaction has wide compatibility with biosystems; however, Cu (I) catalysts are toxic to living cells. ${ }^{54}$ To decrease cytotoxicity, a number of ligands have been developed, ${ }^{55-60}$ which enable labeling biomolecules in living cells through the CuAAC reaction.

To further optimize the CuAAC reaction and avoid the use of Cu (I) completely, Bertozzi developed "Cu-free click chemistry" by employing ring strain (cyclooctyne) ${ }^{61-63}$ to facilitate alkyne-azide cycloaddition in the absence of Cu (I) (Figure 31). ${ }^{64}$ Termed "strainpromoted alkyne-azide cycloaddition" (SPAAC), the first generation of this method employed OCT-botin for labeling glycoproteins without cytotoxicity. ${ }^{64}$ The reaction suffers from drawbacks: the kinetics of the reaction are typically slower than the Bertozzi-Staudinger ligation, and significantly slower compared to CuAAC reactions; OCT is poorly water soluble. To improve the SPAAC reaction, modified cyclooctynes have been parepared, such as ALO (aryl-less octyne), ${ }^{65}$ which has better solubility in water compared to OCT, but similarly poor kinetics. MOFO (monofluorinated cyclooctyne) ${ }^{65}$ and DIFO (difluorinated cyclooctyne) ${ }^{66}$ have enhanced reaction rates due to the electron-withdrawing property of fluoride, DIBO (dibenzocyclooctyne) ${ }^{67}$ increases reactivity by fusing two aryl rings to increase strain. BARAC (biarylazacyclooctynone) ${ }^{68}$ and DIBAC (dibenzoazacyclooctyne) ${ }^{69,} 70$ have accelerated the reaction rates due in part to an amide bond on the ring. BCN (bicycle[6.1.0]nonyne) ${ }^{71}$ has improved the kinetics due to the added strain of the cyclopropane, and gives a single regioisomer due to symmetry (Figure 31). These modified cycloctynes effectively promoted SPAAC in biological systems, especially in vivo imaging studies. ${ }^{66,72,73}$ "Click chemistry", including CuAAC and SPAAC, has become the most common and promising reaction in bioorthogonal chemistry, and a popular strategy in PAL studies.





Figure 31. General reaction of SPAAC and modified octyne analogues.

## 2-1-3 Design of photoaffinity labeling probes from 1.57 and 1.65

In our studies of constrained azacyclic pyrrolidine analogues of FTY720, amino alcohol 1.65 exhibited better activity against Sup-B15 leukemia cell line compared to FTY720 (1.8) and analogues (Tables 2 and 3). Furthermore, $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ could trigger down-regulation of the nutrient transporter to kill cancer cells (Figure 13). To further understand its anti-cancer mechanism, and the affect of stereochemistry compared to its enantiomer 1.57, we decided to use PAL to identify the target receptor, and ultimately facilitate design of more effective analogues.

To make photoaffinity labeling probes from 1.57 and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, we chose to incorporate a diazirine as the photoreactive group on the C-8 aliphatic long chain (Figure 32). Diazirines are usually prepared from the corresponding ketones. ${ }^{17 \mathrm{c}}$ To determine the best position to install
the diazirine, four analogues (2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and 2.4) of $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ bearing ketone moieties at the 1 -, 3-, 4-, 7-positions on the chain were respectively prepared (Figure 32).

For reporter groups, we planed to use "click chemistry", and install an azide or terminal alkyne on the hydroxymethyl arm (Figure 32). In general, these small photo reactive groups and reporter groups were expected to not have much negative influence on bioactivity. The most suitable reporter group would depend on the bioactivity after modification and the difficulty of synthesis.
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Figure 32. Design of photoaffinity labeling (PAL) probes of $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, and their ketone precursors 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

## 2-2 Results and Discussion

## 2-2-1 Screening of the position of diazirine

## 2-2-1-1 Synthesis of ketone 2.1

In our initial retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 37), we envisioned that compound $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ could be easily assembled from the available (2R)-hydroxymethyl-(4S)-hydroxyl-pyrrolidine intermediate 1.61 (Scheme 10) and a ketal protected benzyl bromide through a substitution reaction. This ketal intermediate could be prepared from the corresponding ketone, which could be generated through a Grignard reaction between $n$-heptylmagnesium bromide and phenyl Weinreb amide. The required bromide can be accessed through benzylic bromination using NBS. The Weinreb amide intermediate could be easily prepared from the corresponding 4-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.5).

Scheme 37. Retrosynthetic analysis of ketone 2.1 (route I).


Silyl ether 1.61 was available from a previous synthesis (Scheme 10), and to be alkylated with benzyl bromide 2.9, in a substitution reaction (Scheme 38). The synthesis of bromide 2.9 began by the reaction of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride,
triethylamine and 4-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.5) to provide Weinreb amide $\mathbf{2 . 6}$ in $92 \%$ yield. The Grignard reagent, $n$-heptylmagnesium bromide was prepared in situ from 1-bromoheptane and magnesium turnings, and reacted with 2.6 to provide the desired ketone 2.7 in $96 \%$ yield. Treatment of 2.7 with NBS and AIBN then afforded the required bromide 2.8. Protection of ketone 2.8 as the ketal 2.9 left us ready for the substitution reaction using protected prolinol 1.61. Thus, the reaction of $\mathbf{1 . 6 1}$ and 2.9 in the presence of NaH and TBAI generated successfully ether $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ in $93 \%$ yield. Global deprotection of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$ was accomplished using HCl in 1,4-dioxane to remove the ketal, TBS, and Boc groups in one step. The desired ketone 2.1 was obtained in $90 \%$ yield (Scheme 38).

Scheme 38. Synthesis of ketone 2.1 (route I).


Although ketone 2.1 was successfully synthesized, the route was relatively long and inefficient. A simpler route was devised for the synthesis of 2.1. The Suzuki coupling reaction was commonly used to install the C8 side-chain in our strategy to synthesize constrained

FTY720 analogues (Chapter 1). We thought that the aryl ketone side chain in 2.1 could be accessed through a palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction ${ }^{74}$ between octanal and aryl bromide intermediate 1.62, which was available from our previous synthesis (see Schemes 10 and 39).

Scheme 39. Retrosynthetic analysis of ketone 2.1 (route II).


Thus, treatment of the bromide $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ with octanal in DMF, using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}$ and dppp as catalysts in the presence of pyrrolidine and $4 \AA$ molecular sieves gave aryl ketone 2.11 in $60 \%$ yield (Scheme 40). ${ }^{74}$ Removal of the TBS and Boc protecting groups in 2.11 using HCl in 1,4dioxane provided ketone $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ in 92 \% yield. This route significantly simplified the synthesis of 2.1 (two steps from available intermediate 1.62, total $55 \%$ yield).

Scheme 40. Synthesis of ketone 2.1 (route II).


## 2-2-1-2 Synthesis of ketone 2.2

To make ketone 2.2, we envisioned that a hydrogenation of the olefin from the cross metathesis between 1-octen-3-one and a vinyl benzyl hydroxyporlinol (Scheme 41). 1-Octen3 -one can be easily prepared from 1-octen-3-ol by oxidation. ${ }^{75}$ Vinyl benzyl hydroxyprolinol could be generated from the available intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ and vinyltributylstannane, through a Stille coupling reaction (Scheme 41).

Scheme 41. Retrosynthetic analysis of ketone 2.2.


Based on a known procedure, ${ }^{75}$ 1-octen-3-ol was treated with periodic acid and TEMPO, and 1-octen-3-one (2.12) was obtained in 80 \% yield (Scheme 41). Bromide $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ was transformed to the desired styrene 2.13 by reaction with vinyltributylstannane, which was catalyzed by $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ (Stille coupling). Olefin 2.13 was mixed with enone 2.12 in 1,2dichloroethane in the presence of Grubb's II catalyst to afford the cross metathesis product, which was reduced by hydrogenation to give the ketone 2.14 ( $53 \%$, in two steps). Finally, deprotection of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ using HCl afforded the required ketone $\mathbf{2 . 2}$ in $93 \%$ yield (Scheme 42).

Scheme 42. Synthesis of ketone 2.2.


## 2-2-1-3 Synthesis of ketone 2.3

We envisioned that ketone 2.3 could be obtained though our common strategy for installing the side chain through a Suzuki coupling reaction with aryl bromide $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ followed by hydrogenation (Scheme 43). The alkyne could be generated by a Grignard reaction between the corresponding Weinreb amide and propylmagnesium chloride. This Weinreb amide could be prepared from commercially available 4-pentynoic acid (Scheme 43).

Scheme 43. Retrosynthetic analysis of ketone 2.3.


4-Pentynoic acid reacted in the presence of EDC and HOBT with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride to give the corresponding Weinreb amide 2.15 in $90 \%$ yield (Scheme 44). Treatment of amide $\mathbf{2 . 1 5}$ with propylmagnesium chloride afforded ketone 2.16 in $95 \%$ yield. Using our Suzuki coupling and hydrogenation strategy, $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ was reacted with alkyne 2.16 and transformed to ketone 2.17 ( $48 \%$ yield, in two steps). Finally, HCl was used to remove the TBS and Boc protecting groups from 2.17, and provide ketone 2.3 in $95 \%$ yield.

Scheme 44. Synthesis of ketone 2.3.


## 2-2-1-4 Synthesis of ketone 2.4

Ketone 2.4 was prepared using a similar strategy as for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ (Scheme 44) from commercially available 6-heptynoic acid (Scheme 45).

Scheme 45. Retrosynthetic analysis of ketone 2.4.


6-Heptynoic acid was transformed to Weinreb amide 2.18 in $95 \%$ yield (Scheme 46), which was treated with methylmagnesium bromide to afford ketone $\mathbf{2 . 1 9}$ in 96 \% yield. From 2.19, a two-step sequence of Suzuki coupling and hydrogenation, gave ketone 2.20 in $41 \%$ yield. Finally, all the protecting groups were removed from $\mathbf{2 . 2 0}$ using HCl to generate ketone 2.4 in 63 \% yield.

Scheme 46. Synthesis of ketone 2.4.


## 2-2-1-5 Biological evaluation of ketones 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4

With all four ketone analogues in hand, they were tested in a cell viability assay to compare their cytotoxic activities on murine hematopoietic FL5.12 cells (Figure 33). Preliminary results suggested that all the analogues were less cytotoxic to FL5.12 cells than FTY720 (1.8). Ketone 2.1 was the most active of all four analogues, and 2.2 was less active than 2.1, but more active than $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ and 2.4. The activities of $\mathbf{2 . 3}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ were dramatically lower than the activities of $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ and 2.2. These results indicated that the closer the side chain ketone carbonyl was to the phenyl ring, the more active the analogue. We hypothesized that the long aliphatic chain may have interactions with a hydrophobic pocker in the target, such that, modification closer to the phenyl ring minimized the negative effect on activity.
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Figure 33. Cytotoxic action of different ketone side chain substituted analogues 2.1, 2.2, 2.3 and $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ on murine hematopoietic FL5.12 cells.

The same position-activity trend was also observed in the surface 4 F 2 hc expression assay on FL5.12 cells. Although all four analogues induced nutrient transporter downregulation, analogue $\mathbf{2 . 1}$ was still the most active one, and exhibited almost the same activity as FTY720 (1.8). Therefore, the C-1 position on the side chain was considered to be the best position to install the diazirine photo-reactive group.

4F2 (FL5.12)


Figure 34. Nutrient transporter down-regulation of ketone side-chain substituted analogues 2.1, 2.2, 2.3, and $\mathbf{2 . 4}$ on FL5.12 cells.

## 2-2-1-6 Synthesis of diazirines $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ and 2.22.

using ketone 2.1, because it was the most active analogue, we proposed the synthesis of diazirine 2.21 (Figure 35). In addition, diazirine 2.22 was prepared from ketone 2.2, and tested as well (Figure 35).



Figure 35. Diazirine analogues $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$.

Diazirines were synthesized from the corresponding ketones by diaziridine formation, and oxidation. ${ }^{17 \mathrm{c}}$ Ketone 2.14 was treated with liquid ammonia and hydroxylamine- $O$-sulfonic acid to generate the corresponding unstable diaziridine intermediate, which was immediately oxidized with triethylamine and iodine to give diazirine 2.23 in $15 \%$ yield over two steps (Scheme 46). The low yield was due to inefficient diaziridine formation in the first step. With diazirine $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}$ in hand, the protecting groups were removed using HCl in 1,4-dioxane to afford the desired diazirine analogue $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ in 91 \% yield (Scheme 47).

Scheme 47. Synthesis of diazirine 2.22.
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The same synthetic protocol was used to prepare the other diazirine 2.21 (Scheme 48); however, treatment of ketone 2.11 with liquid ammonia and hydroxylamine- $O$-sulfonic acid, followed by oxidation using triethylamine and iodine, did not give the expected diazirine $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$. Considering the electrophilicity of the carbonyl was decreased at the benzylic position, ketone $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ was transformed into a more electrophilic benzylimine $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$, by reaction with benzylamine in toluene. Imine 2.25 was submitted to the same reaction conditions as used previously, and provided the diazirine $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ in 29 \% yield over three steps. Finally, deprotection of $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ afforded the required diazirine 2.21 in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 48).

Scheme 48. Synthesis of diazirine 2.21.
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## 2-2-1-7 Biological evaluation of diazirines 2.21 and 2.22.

Diazirines 2.21 and 2.22 were used in the same cell viability assay as for their corrresponding ketones to examine their cytotoxic activities on FL5.12 cells (Figure 36). Preliminary results suggested both 2.21 and 2.22 were active against FL5.12 cells as their corresponding ketones 2.1 and 2.2 at almost the same concentration, and diazirine $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ was more active than 2.22, with the same position-activity trend as in the ketones (Figure 33). These results suggested that our strategy to use the precursor ketones to predict the activity trend was reasonable, and the most suitable position to install a diazirine group on the side chain was the $\mathrm{C}-1$ position (the closest carbon to phenyl ring).
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Figure 36. Cytotoxic action of diazirines 2.21 and $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ on FL5.12 cells.

Diazirines 2.21 and $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ were also tested using a surface 4F2hc expression assay, on FL5.12 cells (Figure 37). The same activity trend was observed in this test and $\mathbf{2 . 1 1}$ was more active than $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ in inducing nutrient transporter down-regulation.
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Figure 37. Nutrient transporter down-regulation of diazirines $\mathbf{2 . 2 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 2 2}$ on FL5.12 cells.

## 2-2-2 Screening of the reporter group

"Click chemistry" was explored for use in the reporter groups (Figure 32). To find the most suitable reporter alkyne, and the right position to install it. five analogues of $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ were prepared by Jérémie Tessier in our group (Figure 38). These included analogues 2.26 and 2.27, bearing an azide group with different chain lengths, and analogues 2.28, 2.29 and 2.30, equipped with a terminal alkyne in different chain lengths. They were tested in the cell viability assay to compare their cytotoxic activities on FL5.12 cells (Figure 38). Preliminary results suggested azide analogue 2.27 was the most active among all five compounds (Figure 38). Alkyne analogue $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ was slightly less active than $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$. The other three analogues were much less active than 2.27 and 2.30. Although there was not much difference in the activity between $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$, the synthesis of $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$ was significantly longer than $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$. Based on this information, we decided to employ the terminal alkyne 2.30 .
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Figure 38. Cytotoxic action of azide and alkyne analogues on FL5.12 cells.

In the surface 4F2hc expression assay on FL5.12 cells (Figure 39), analogues 2.27 and $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ were also the most active compounds, and $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ was slightly more active than $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$ in inducing nutrient transporter down-regulation. All of these results suggested that the terminal alkyne group in $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ should be used in the photoaffinity probe.

## 4F2 (FL5.12)



Figure 39. Nutrient transporter down-regulation of azide and alkyne analogues on FL5. 12 cells.

## 2-2-3 Synthesis of the photoaffinity probes 2.31 and 2.39

Based on the screening results, the diazirine and terminal alkyne functional groups were selected to be installed in probe 2.31 (Figure 40). From 2.24, a substitution reaction between prolinol 2.32 and propargyl bromide was envisioned to give ether $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ after carbamate removal (Scheme 48).

General structure of the desired photoaffinity probe


Figure 40. General structure of the desired photoaffinity probe and its retrosynthetic analysis.

Silyl ether 2.24 was treated with TBAF to remove the TBS protecting group (Scheme 49), and prolinol 2.32 was obtained in $84 \%$ yield. Alcohol 2.32 was reacted with propargyl bromide in the presence of potassium hydroxide to generate alkyne 2.33 in $67 \%$ yield. Finally, removal of the Boc protecting group using HCl in 1,4-dioxane afforded probe $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ in 79 \% yield (Scheme 49).

Scheme 49. Synthesis of photoaffinity probe 2.31.


2.31

Using the same synthetic strategy, the enantiomer of compound 2.31 (the photoaffinity probe $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ for analogue 1.57 ) was successfully prepared from bromide $\mathbf{1 . 5 4}$ (Scheme 50).

Scheme 50. Synthesis of photoaffinity probe 2.39.
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## 2-2-4 Biological evaluations of photoaffinity probes 2.31 and $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$

With photoaffinity probes $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ in hand, a cell viability assay was used to test their cytotoxic activities on FL5.12 cells (Figure 41). Preliminary results suggested that both 2.31 and 2.39 exhibited similar activity in killing the FL5.12 cells as FTY720 (1.8), indicating that the positions of the diazirine and alkyne groups were compatible with the expected activity.


Figure 41. Cytotoxic action of photoaffinity probes $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ on FL5.12 cells.

Diazirines 2.31 and 2.39 were also tested in the surface 4F2hc expression assay on FL5.12 cells (Figure 42). Both probes induced nutrient transporter down-regulation, further indicating that our design was successful, and did not compromise activity.

4F2 (FL5.12)


Figure 42. Nutrient transporter down-regulation of photoaffinity probes 2.31 and 2.39 on FL5.12 cells.

With these promising results, photoaffinity probes $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ and 2.39 were subjected to PAL experiments (Figure 43). In general, the probes should bind to the target receptor. Upon irradiation, a covalent bond will be formed between the probe and receptor. The terminal alkyne on the receptor-probe complex could be "clicked" onto an azide linked to a biotin based tag (Figure 43). After generation of the corresponding triazole, the complex would be purified using a streptavidin column, which would bind to biotin with high affinity. With the avidin-biotin complex in hand, the receptor could be digested into small pieces by trypsin, and by analyzed with LC/MS/MS to identify the target receptor and active site (Figure 43). These experiments are currently in progress.


Avidin-biotin complex
$\xrightarrow{\begin{array}{l}\text { 1. Trypsin digestion } \\ \text { 2. LC/MS/MS protein analysis }\end{array}}$ Target receptor

Figure 43. General projected PAL experiments for $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ and 2.39.

## 2-3 Conclusion

To further understand the anticancer mechanism of amino alcohols $\mathbf{1 . 5 7}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$, we designed and synthesized successfully photoaffinity probes $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ in an effort to fish out their target receptors by using PAL techniques.

Systematic studies on the photoreactive group and receptor group were crucial in designing these probes. The position of the diazirine on the side chain was screened using both ketone precursors and the corresponding diazirines. Screening was also used to compare azide and alkyne groups to determine the best position for their installation.

Preliminary results indicated probes $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$ maintained activity, PAL experiments using these compounds are in progress now.

## 2-4 Experimental

General methods are same as described in the experimental section of Chapter 1.

2.6
$\boldsymbol{N}$-Methoxy- $\boldsymbol{N}, 4$-dimethylbenzamide (2.6) was prepared according a reported procedure. ${ }^{76}$ Triethylamine ( $1.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $878 \mathrm{mg}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(14 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 10 min , the mixture was treated with a solution of 4-methylbenzoyl chloride (2.5, $0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 927 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred overnight and quenched by the addition of water. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $4: 1$ to 2:1) to give hydroxamate 2.6 (990 $\mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.51-7.49 (m, 2H), 7.11-7.09 (m,
$2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.48-3.43 (m, 3H), 3.27-3.22 (m, 3H), 2.28-2.27 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ $169.5,140.4,130.8,128.2,127.9,60.5,33.4,21.0$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NNaO}_{2}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$202.0839, found 202.0833.


1-(p-Tolyl)octan-1-one (2.7). Magnesium turnings ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a dry flask equipped with condenser, followed by a solution of 1-bromoheptane ( $304 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 1.7 mL ). 1,2-Dibromoethane was then added to the flask to initiate the reaction. The mixture was stirred and started to reflux. After stirring for 1 h , the flask was cooled to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a solution of hydroxamate $2.6(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 1.0 mL ) was added dropwise to the mixture. The reaction was slowly warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 2 h , then quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The organic layers were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 16:1) to give $2.7(70 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%)$ as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.86$ (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.68$ (m, 2H), 1.42-1.24 (m, 8H), $0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 200.2,143.5,134.6$, 129.2, 128.1, 38.5, 31.7, 29.3, 29.1, 24.5, 22.6, 21.5, 14.0; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{NaO}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 241.1563$, found 241.1560 .


1-(4-(Bromomethyl)phenyl)octan-1-one (2.8). $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $323 \mathrm{mg}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AIBN ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, .017 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of ketone $2.7(360 \mathrm{mg}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon. The solution was heated to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 14 h , and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in toluene, filtered. The
filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 40:1 to 15:1) to give bromide 2.8 ( $343 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as white solid. In some cases, bromide $\mathbf{2 . 8}$ was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography (hexane: toluene 7:1). m.p. (60.7-61.5 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.43 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.46 (s, 2H), 2.91 (m, 2H), 1.70 (quint., $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.40$1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 199.4,142.3,136.6,129.0,128.3$, 38.5, 32.0, 31.5, 29.1, 29.0, 24.1, 22.4, 13.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~T}^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right] \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 297.0849, found 297.0843.


2-(4-(Bromomethyl)phenyl)-2-heptyl-1,3-dioxolane (2.9). A solution of ketone 2.8 (70 mg, $0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene and ethylene glycol ( $40 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a flask equipped with a Dean-Stark apparatus and condenser. $p$-Toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate ( 4 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture, which was heated to reflux and stirred for 48 h , quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The reduced volume was extracted with EtOAc ( 5 mL ) three times. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volitiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 16:1) to give acetal $2.9(68 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.78-3.70 (m, 2H), 1.91-1.84 (m, 2H), 1.39-1.18 (m, 10H), $0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 143.1,137.0,128.6,126.1,110.1,64.3,40.4,33.0,31.6,29.5,29.0,23.4,22.5,13.9 ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{26}\left[{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right] \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 341.1111$, found 341.1122.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-(2-heptyl-1,3-
dioxolan-2-yl)benzyl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.10). Sodium hydride (60 \% in mineral oil, $8.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of alcohol $1.61(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(1.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 30 min , and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A solution of bromide $2.9(68 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and TBAI ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were sequentially added to the mixture, which was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 4 h , quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted three times with EtOAc ( 10 mL ). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 10:1 to 6:1) to give ether 2.10 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 93$ \%) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-$ $3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 1.29-1.20 (m, 10H), 0.84-0.81 (m, 12H), -0.01 (m, 6H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(154.3), 154.2,142.1,137.5,(127.2), 127.2,125.7,110.3,(79.2), 78.9,76.8$, $76.2,70.7,(70.6), 64.3,(64.2), 63.3,57.5,(57.4), 52.2$, (51.5), 40.5, 35.0, 33.8, 31.6, 29.5, 29.1, 28.4, 25.7, 23.4, 22.5, 18.0, 13.9, (-5.5), -5.6; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 22.8^{\circ}\left(c 1.22, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{NNaO} 6 \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$614.3847, found 614.3847.

(2R,4S)-2-(hydroxymethyl)-4-((4-octanoylbenzyl)oxy)Pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.1) was obtained as white solid ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ) from carbamate $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 ~ ( ~} 20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing $1.32 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.41$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.83$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 200.3,142.2,136.6,128.3,127.5,70.4,60.6,60.3$, 50.1, 38.7, 32.8, 31.7, 29.7, 29.3, 29.1, 24.3, 22.6, 14.1; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 8.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 334.2377$, found 334.2377 .


## tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-octanoylbenzyl)

oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.11) was prepared according to a reported procedure for similar reactions. ${ }^{74}$ Starting from bromide $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}$ ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ketone 2.11 was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $164 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H})$, 3.97-3.89 (m, 1H), 3.73-3.52 (m, 2H), 3.50-3.38 (m, 1.5H), $2.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.16$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{p}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 200.2$, (154.5), 154.3, 143.5, 136.4, 128.2, (127.4), 127.3, (79.5), 79.2, $76.6,70.4,(64.3), 63.4,57.6,(57.5), 52.3$, (51.6), 38.6, (35.1), 33.9, 31.7, 29.3, 29.1, 28.5, 25.8, 24.4, 22.6, 18.1, 14.1, -5.5; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 21.1^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.47, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 570.3585$, found 570.3572 .


Oct-1-en-3-one (2.12) was synthesized according to a known procedure. ${ }^{75}$ Staring from oct-1-en-3-ol ( $464 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 385 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2.12 was obtained as yellow oil ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 6.37-6.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.81-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61$ (quint, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(t, \mathrm{~J}=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.0,136.5,127.7,39.6,31.4,23.6,22.4,13.8$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$127.1117, found 127.1112 .

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-vinylbenzyl)
oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.13). $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3}\right)_{4}(6.9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.006 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{1 . 6 2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(2.6 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring at room temperature for 15 min , a solution of vinyltributylstannane ( $129 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 140 \mathrm{mg}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 1.7 mL ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture, which was heated to $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 48 h . The flask was then cooled to room temperature, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 10:1 to $8: 1$ ) to give olefin $2.13(52 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%)$ as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.38$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.6$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5$ H), 3.96-3.86 (m, 1H), 3.72-3.49 (m, 2H), 3.47-3.36 (m, 1.5H), 2.21-2.15 (m, 1H), 2.10-2.00 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(154.5), 154.4,137.8,(137.7), 137.0,136.5,(127.9), 127.8,126.2,113.7$, (79.4), 79.1, 76.8, (76.1), 70.8, (70.8), (64.3), 63.4, 57.6, (57.5), 52.3, (51.6), 35.1, 33.9, 28.5, $25.8,18.1,(-5.4),-5.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 26.2^{\circ}\left(c 1.56, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 448.2878$, found 448.2872 .

2.13

1) 2.12


tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-(3-oxooctyl)benzyl) oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.14). Olefin 2.12 ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 0.078 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 ( 4 4 \mathrm { mg } \text { , }}$ 0.351 mmol ) were dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a pressure tube. Grubb's $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added to the solution. The tube was sealed with a PTFE cap, and heated to $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 24 h , the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 8:1 to 7:1) to give the unsaturated ketone ( $23.4 \mathrm{mg}, 54 \%$ ) as colorless oil. Hydrogenation of the unsaturated ketone was performed according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.31. Ketone 2.14 was obtained as a colorless oil ( $23.0 \mathrm{mg}, 98 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52-4.38$ (m, 2H), 4.27$4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.11-1.99 (m, 1H), 1.56 (quint, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.47-1.45 (m, 9H), 1.35-1.18 (m, 4H), 0.90$0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 210.3$, (154.5), 154.4, 140.7, 135.9, 128.4, (128.0), 127.9, (79.4), 79.1, (76.8), 76.1, 70.8, (64.3),
$63.4,57.6,(57.5), 52.4,(51.6),(44.2), 43.0,35.1,33.9,31.4,29.4,28.5,25.8,23.5,22.4,18.1$, 13.9, (-5.4), $-5.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 22.3^{\circ}$ (c $0.80, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 570.3585$, found 570.3559 .

(2R,4S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-((4-(3-oxooctyl)benzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.2) was obtained as white solid ( $10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 93 \%$ ) from 2.14 ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.029 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 3 2} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.54-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.1,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93$ (ddd, $J=14.8,10.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47$ (quint, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.33-1.09 (m, 4H), $0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta 218.4,141.0,134.7,128.7,76.9,70.6,59.9,59.8$, $50.2,43.3,42.6,32.3,30.5,29.1,23.0,21.7,13.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 8.6^{\circ}\left(c 0.14, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$334.2377, found 334.2378.

$\boldsymbol{N}$-Methoxy- $\boldsymbol{N}$-methylpent-4-ynamide (2.15). Pent-4-ynoic acid (95 \% grade, $1.64 \mathrm{~g}, 15.85$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a dried flask with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(174 \mathrm{~mL})$, followed by EDC $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}(4.56 \mathrm{~g}, 23.78$ mmol ) and $\mathrm{HOBt}(3.21 \mathrm{~g}, 23.78 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and $N, O-$ dimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride $(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 17.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature overnight. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and
the residue was dissolved in EtOAc, and washed three times with brine. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 2:1 to $1: 1$ ) to give 2.15 ( $2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as colorless oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.3,83.4,68.6,61.2,32.1,31.0,13.8$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$142.0863, found 148.0867.


Oct-7-yn-4-one (2.16). A solution of hydroxamate 2.15 ( $700 \mathrm{mg}, 4.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 17 mL ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated dropwise with $n-\mathrm{PrMgCl}\left(2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{in} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ solution, $\left.5.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.4 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ in 15 min , and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred for 1 h and quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was extracted three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers was combined, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give ketone $\mathbf{2 . 1 6}$ ( $585 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as yellow oil, which was used in next step without purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.52(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 2.32-2.24 (m, 4H), $1.82(\mathrm{t}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{sext}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.2,82.8,68.3,44.2,40.8,16.8,13.3,12.5$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$125.0961, found 125.0960.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-(5-oxooctyl)benzyl)
oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.17) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $130 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ over two steps) from $1.62(250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2.16 ( $249 \mathrm{mmol}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing $\mathbf{1 . 3 1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14$ (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), ~ 3.96-3.86(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-$ $2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 211.1$, (154.5), 154.4, 141.7, 135.6, 128.4, (127.9), 127.8, (79.4), 79.1, 76.0, 71.0, (64.3), 63.5, 57.6, (57.5), 52.4, (51.6), 44.7, (42.6), 35.5, (35.1), 34.0, 31.1, (30.0), 29.7, 28.5, 25.9, 23.5, 18.2, $17.3,13.8,-5.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 20.4^{\circ}$ (c $0.70, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 570.3585$, found 570.3571 .

(2R,4S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-((4-(5-oxooctyl)benzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.3) was obtained as white solid ( $18.0 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) from 2.17 ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.051 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.55-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.6,10.6,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.84$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 217.4,143.0,134.3,128.8,128.6,76.9,70.6$, $59.9,59.8,50.2,44.3,42.1,34.4,32.3,30.1,22.9,17.1,12.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 9.2^{\circ}\left(c 0.13, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 334.2377$, found 334.2379 .

Compound 2.4 was prepared according to the procedure for synthesizing 2.3.

$N$-Methoxy- $N$-methylhept-6-ynamide (2.18) was obtained as colorless oil ( $766 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) from hept-6-ynoic acid ( $0.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21(\mathrm{td}, J=7.1,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 1.79-1.69 (m, 2H) 1.64-1.54 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 174.2,84.1,68.4,61.2$, 32.1, 31.2, 28.1, 23.6, 18.2; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$170.1176, found 170.1176.


Oct-7-yn-2-one (2.19) was obtained as colorless oil ( $510 \mathrm{mg}, 96 \%$ ) from 2.18 ( $726 \mathrm{mg}, 4.29$ $\mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{td}, J=7.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 1.55-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 208.5, 83.9, 68.5, 43.0, 29.8, 27.8, 22.7, 18.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$125.0961, found 125.0966 .

1.62

2.20
tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-(7-oxooctyl)benzyl)
oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.20) was obtained as pale yellow oil (112 $\mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ over two steps) from 1.62 ( $250 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2.19 ( $249 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.05-3.96 (m, 0.5H), 3.96-3.87 (m, 1H), 3.72-3.50 (m, 2H), 3.48-3.37 (m, 1.5H), 2.59 (t, $J=$ $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 209.2$, (154.5), 154.4, 142.2, 135.4, 128.4, (127.8), 127.7, (79.4), 79.1, 76.0, 71.0, (64.3), 63.5, (57.6), 57.5, 52.4, (51.6), 43.7, 35.6, 35.1, 34.0, $31.3,29.8,29.0,28.5,25.9,23.8,18.2,-5.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 19.5^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.98, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 570.3585$, found 570.3578 .

(2R,4S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-((4-(7-oxooctyl)benzyl)oxy)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.4) was obtained as white solid ( $8.5 \mathrm{mg}, 63 \%$ ) from $2.20(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58$ (s, 2H), 4.49 (t, $J=4.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.52 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.34 (dd, $J=14.4,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.19 (s, 3H), 1.95 (ddd, $J=14.9$, $11.0,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 217.4,143.2,133.8,128.5,128.2,76.5,70.2,59.5,59.4,49.8,42.8,34.2$, 31.9, 30.0, 28.8, 27.6, 27.5, 22.9; [ $\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 6.5^{\circ}$ (c 0.40, MeOH); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 334.2377$, found 334.2389.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-(2-(3-pentyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)ethyl)benzyl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.23). A solution of ketone $\mathbf{2 . 1 4}$ ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.060 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ammonia was bubbled into the solution for 5 h . To the solution, $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}(7.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.069 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ were slowly added over 30 min . The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, stirred overnight, and filtered. The filter cake was washed with MeOH . The filtrate and washings were evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white residue. Methanol ( 0.5 mL ) was added to the residue. The resulting mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(8.4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 6.1 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.060 mmol ), followed by $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ until a brown color was formed. The reaction was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 1 h , and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 8:1) to give diazirine $2.23(5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ over two steps) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.38$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-$ $3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.65(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 H), 1.47-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.12-1.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.86$ $(\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.02(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(154.1), 154.0$, 140.7, 135.6, 127.9, (127.6), 127.5, (79.0), 78.8, 75.7, 70.5, (63.9), 63.1, 57.3, (57.2), 52.0, (51.2), 34.7, 33.6, 32.5, 31.0, 29.3, 28.4, 28.2, 25.5, 23.1, 22.0, 17.8, 13.5, -5.8; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 22.0^{\circ}$ (c $0.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 582.3698$, found 582.3698 .

(2R,4S)-2-(Hydroxymethyl)-4-((4-(2-(3-pentyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)ethyl)benzyl)oxy)
pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.22) was obtained as yellow solid ( $3.1 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ) from 2.23 (5 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.009 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(700 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{t}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{OH}), 1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 140.8,134.9,128.5,128.1$, $76.7,70.8,60.7,60.3,50.2,35.0,32.8,31.4,29.7,28.7,23.5,22.4,13.9 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 9.4^{\circ}(c 0.16$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 346.2489$, found 346.2496.

2.11

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-(3-heptyl-3H-
diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.24). A solution of ketone 2.11 (40 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.073 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzyl amine $(25 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.221 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a dry
flask equipped with a Dean-Stark apparatus and condenser, was heated to reflux and stirred for 48 h . The volatiles were then removed under reduced pressure. To the yellow residue liquid ammonia ( 1 mL ) was added at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min , $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}(12.8 \mathrm{mg}, 0.115 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added slowly to the reaction mixture over 15 min . The mixture was stirred at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h , warmed to room temperature, stirred overnight, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white residue. Water ( 2 mL ) was added to the residue, and the resulting mixture was extracted three times with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to give a white residue. MeOH $(1.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue. The resulting mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(11.0 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.079 \mathrm{mmol})$, followed by $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ until a brown color was formed. The reaction mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 1 h , an evaporated under reduced pressure to a residue that was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 8:1) to give diazirine 2.24 ( 12.0 mg , 29 \% over three steps) as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.31-7.26$ (m, overlapped with $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.96$ $(\mathrm{m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.11-1.98 (m, 1H), $1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H})$, $0.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(154.5), 154.4,138.7$, 137.4 , (127.6), 127.5, 125.7, (79.4), 79.2, 76.2, 70.6, (64.3), 63.4, 57.6, 52.3, (51.6), 35.1, $33.9,31.7,30.2,29.2,29.0,28.5,25.8,24.1,22.6,18.1,14.0,-5.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 20.2^{\circ}(c 0.60$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 582.3698$, found 582.3679.

2.24

2.21
(2R,4S)-4-((4-(3-Heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-2-(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.21) was obtained as yellow solid ( $7.0 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ) from 2.24 ( $12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.021 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing $1.32 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.7,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.6,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.1,136.3,127.7,125.8$, $70.5,60.6,60.2,50.2,32.8,31.7,30.1,29.2,29.0,24.0,22.6,13.9$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 8.0^{\circ}(c 0.10$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 346.2489$, found 346.2481.

tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-4-((4-(3-heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-2-(hydroxymethyl) pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.31) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( 14.4 mg , $84 \%$ ) from $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.036 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.64. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.18-3.98 (m, 2H), 3.74-3.48 (m, 3H), 3.42-3.32 (m, 1H), 2.21-2.14 (m, 1H), 1.96-1.89 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 157.0,138.8,137.1,127.4,125.8,80.5,76.2,70.3,67.2,59.1,52.9,34.5$, 31.7, 30.1, 29.2, 29.2, 29.0, 28.4, 24.1, 22.6, 14.0; $[\alpha]_{D}(+) 20.5^{\circ}\left(c ~ 1.44, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 468.2833$, found 468.2828.


## tert-Butyl (2R,4S)-4-((4-(3-heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-2-((prop-2-yn-1-

yloxy)methyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.32) was synthesized using a reported procedure for similar reactions. ${ }^{77}$ Potassium hydroxide ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of alcohol 2.31 ( $14.4 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 0.4 mL ), followed by propargyl bromide ( $80 \%$ in toluene, $7.1 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 9,5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.064 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over 5 min . After stirring at room temperature for 18 h , the solution was poured into water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were concentrated under reduce pressure and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed three times by water ( 20 mL ) and brine ( 10 $\mathrm{mL})$. The ether solution was dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 8:1) to give ether $2.32(10.4 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%)$ as pale yellow oil. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~m}$, overlapped with $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.74-3.37 (m, 4H), $2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}$, 9H), 1.36-1.18 (m, 10H), $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.6,138.7,137.3$, $127.5,125.7,79.8,76.2,74.3,71.2,70.7,70.5,58.5,55.6,52.2,51.4,35.6,34.3,31.7,30.2$, 29.2, 29.0, 28.5, 24.1, 22.6, 14.0; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 19.0^{\circ}\left(c 1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 506.2989$, found 506.2982.

(2R,4S)-4-((4-(3-Heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-2-((prop-2-yn-1-
yloxy)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.30) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $3.4 \mathrm{mg}, 79$ \%) from $2.32(5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.010 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing 1.32. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{ABq}, \Delta \delta \mathrm{AB}=$ $0.02, \mathrm{JAB}=12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.64$ (dd, $J=9.2,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{ddd}, J=14.1,8.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.18$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.7,137.2,127.5,125.8,79.5,79.0$, $74.7,71.6,70.4,58.5,56.8,51.8,34.7,31.7,30.2,29.2,29.0,24.1,22.6,14.1 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 13.3^{\circ}(c$ 0.27, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 384.2646$, found 384.2645.

Compound 2.38 was prepared according the procedure for synthesizing 2.30.

tert-Butyl (2S,4R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-octanoylbenzyl)
oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.33) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $84 \mathrm{mg}, 77 \%$ ) from $1.54(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ according to the procedure for synthesizing 2.11. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-$
$4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H})$, $2.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.91-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta 200.1,(154.4), 154.3,143.4,136.3,128.2,(127.3), 127.2,(79.4), 79.2$, $76.6,70.4,(64.2), 63.4,57.6,(57.5), 52.3$, (51.6), 38.6, (35.0), 33.9, 31.6, 29.3, 29.1, 28.5, $25.8,24.4,22.5,18.1,14.0,-5.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 20.8^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.48, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{NNaO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 570.3585$, found 570.3575 .

tert-Butyl (2S,4R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-4-((4-(3-heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.35) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 13 \%$ in three steps) from 2.33 ( $78 \mathrm{mg}, 0.143 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) according to the procedure for synthesizing 2.24. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.28$ (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.92 (d, $J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 0.5 \mathrm{H}), ~ 3.96-3.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1.5 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.93-0.81(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.01(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, mixture of rotamers) $\delta(154.5), 154.4,138.7,137.4,(127.6), 127.5$, 125.7, (79.4), 79.2, 76.2, 70.6, (64.3), 63.5, 57.6, 52.3, (51.6), 35.1, 33.9, 31.7, 30.2, 29.2, $29.0,28.5,25.9,24.1,22.6,18.1,14.0,-5.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 20.6^{\circ}\left(c 1.01, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 582.3698$, found 582.3695 .

tert-Butyl (2S,4R)-4-((4-(3-heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-2-(hydroxymethyl)
pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.36) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $12.6 \mathrm{mg}, 89$ \%) from $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ $(18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.032 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.85 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.54-4.43 (m, 2H), 4.17-3.98 (m, 2H), 3.76-3.44 (m, 3H), 3.44-3.35(m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 157.0,138.8,137.2,127.4,125.8,80.5,76.2$, $70.3,67.2,59.1,52.9,34.5,31.7,30.2,29.2,29.2,29.0,28.4,24.1,22.6,14.0 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 21.0^{\circ}(c$ 1.26, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 468.2833$, found 468.2837.

tert-Butyl (2S,4R)-4-((4-(3-heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-2-((prop-2-yn-1-
yloxy)methyl)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (2.37) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $8.0 \mathrm{mg}, 62$ \%) from $2.36(12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.027 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.29$ (m, overlapped with $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.37(\mathrm{~m}$, 4H), $2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$, $0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 154.6,138.7,137.3,127.5,125.7,79.8,76.3$, $74.3,71.2,70.67,70.5,58.5,55.6,52.2,51.4,35.5,34.3,31.7,30.2,29.2,29.0,28.5,24.1$,
22.6, 14.1; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 19.7^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.70, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 506.2989, found 506.2969.

(2S,4R)-4-((4-(3-Heptyl-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-2-((prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)methyl)pyrrolidin-1-ium chloride (2.38) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $5.1 \mathrm{mg}, 86$ \%) from 2.37 ( $7 \mathrm{mg}, 0.014 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(700 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{ABq}, \Delta \delta \mathrm{AB}=0.02, \mathrm{JAB}=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,1.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.5,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.73 (ddd, $J=14.0,8.9,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(175 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 138.7,137.2,127.5,125.8,79.5,79.0,74.7,71.5,70.4,58.5,56.8,51.7$, $34.6,31.7,30.2,29.2,29.0,24.1,22.6,14.0 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 10.0^{\circ}\left(c 0.20, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 384.2646$, found 384.2653.
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# Chapter 3: Studies of Unusual Barbier-Grignard Type Reactions 

## 3-1 Introduction

## 3-1-1 Barbier reaction

In 1899, Philippe Barbier reported a reaction forming 2,6-dimethylhept-5-en-2-ol (3.2) from 6-methylhept-5-en-2-one (3.1) and methyl iodide, in the presence of metallic magnesium (Figure 44). ${ }^{1}$ This reaction was named after him, as the Barbier reaction. Following this discovery, a series of similar reactions, using different metals, were developed, which are also referred to as Barbier reactions. ${ }^{2}$ In general, the Barbier reaction is a one-pot reaction between a carbonyl substrate (aldehyde and ketone) and an alkyl halide in the presence of certain metals, or their salts. After aqueous workup, the reaction generates the corresponding alcohol as the addition product (Figure 44).


Figure 44. The first reported Barbier reaction and the general concept of Barbier type reaction.

The Barbier reaction is an important process for the formation of carbon-carbon bonds. The advantages of this type of reaction include a one-step procedure that may occur in water, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{c}}$ as environmentally benign solvent. The Barbier reaction may thus be considered as "green chemistry". ${ }^{3}$ Applications include Barbier-type allylation, ${ }^{2 c, 4}$ propargylation, ${ }^{2 c, 5}$ alkylation using unactivated alkyl halides, ${ }^{6}$ and arylation of aryl aldehydes ${ }^{7}$ (Figure 45).




Figure 45. Barbier type reactions in aqueous media.

In addition to its wide use in intermolecular reactions, the Barbier reaction is a useful tool for intramolecular cyclizations (Figure 46). ${ }^{2 \mathrm{c}}$ Examples include tin/aluminum mediated allylic cyclizations in aqueous $\mathrm{THF},{ }^{8}$ zinc and indium promoted cyclizations to give homoallylic or homoallenic alcohols in five-or six-membered rings, ${ }^{9} \mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ mediated cyclization to produce tetracyclic polyquinines, ${ }^{10}$ and $\mathrm{CrCl}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ catalyzed Nozaki-Hiyama-Kishi (NHK) reaction ${ }^{11}$ in the synthesis of macrocyclic nature products, ${ }^{12}$ e.g. bipinnatin $\mathrm{J}^{13}$ (Figure 46).








Figure 46. Intramolecular Barbier type reactions.

Although the Barbier reaction was discovered more than 100 years ago, its mechanism is still widely debated. In the organometallic-pathway, intermediate $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{MgX}$ has been
suggested to be generated in situ and attacks the carbonyl group in the substrate to afford the corresponding metal salt, which is transformed to the alcohol product through an aqueous workup (Figure 47). Considering organometallic reagents often react violently with water, this mechanism is unlikely for aqueous Barbier reactions. Radical mechanisms, ${ }^{14,15}$ featuring single-electron transfer (SET) between metal and halide substrate have been suggested to take place on the surface of the metal to generate a radical anion $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{X}^{-}$that reacts with the carbonyl substrate to produce a radical adduct. Following another SET from the metal to the adduct an anion ensues. Aqueous workup affords the alcohol (Figure 47). ${ }^{16}$ Alternatively, a ketyl intermediate could be generated through SET between the metal and ketone substrates. This reactive species could couple to the radical-anion $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{X}^{-}$generated from the halide, to give an alkoxide intermediate, which would be transformed to the alcohol during aqueous work-up (Figure 47). These mechanisms may be applicable to all Barbier reactions with the actual pathway being dependent on the reaction conditions, metal, halide and ketone substrates. ${ }^{2 c}$

## Organometallic pathway:



Radical pathway:


Figure 47. Proposed mechanisms for Barbier reactions.

## 3-1-2 Grignard reaction

Continuing Barbier's studies on organomagnesium chemsitry, in 1900, François Auguste Victor Grignard, a student of Barbier, reasoned that RMgX was the active intermediate in the Barbier reaction. ${ }^{17}$ He proposed a two-step reaction sequence whereby
formation of the RMgX complex occurs first, followed by the addition of this reactive species to the carbonyl substrates (Figure 48). ${ }^{17}$ This novel proposal revolutionized organometallic methods for carbon-carbon bond formation. Grignard was awarded the Nobel Prize in 1912 for this contribution. Nowadays, the Grignard reaction has become one of the most useful reactions, not only in C-C bond formation, but also in the formation of carbon-boron, carbonsilicon, and other carbon-heteroatom bonds.


Figure 48. General concept of the Grignard reaction.

The active organomagnesium halides $(\mathrm{RMgX})$ are called Grignard reagents ${ }^{18}$ (Figure 48). They are usually prepared through the addition of alkyl or aryl halides to magnesium metal in ethereal solvent (typically diethyl ether or tetrahydrofuran). A SET mechanism is believed to be involved in the formation of Grignard reagents (Figure 49). ${ }^{18 e, 19}$ On the surface of the magnesium metal, a radical anion $\left(\mathrm{RX}^{-}\right)$is generated through a SET from magnesium to the halide. This radical anion then decomposes, and a subsequent combination of radical R , magnesium radical cation $\left(\mathrm{Mg}^{+}\right)$and halide anion $\mathrm{X}^{-}$leads to the organomagnesium halide complex ( RMgX ) (Figure 49). Metallic magnesium is usually covered by a layer of $\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{19 \mathrm{~b}}$ which results in sluggish formation of Grignard reagents. To accelerate the reaction, fresh magnesium metal may be pre-crushed, and iodine, methyl iodide, or 1,2dibromoethane may be added as an initiation agent. Sonication and heating is often necessary for initiating the reaction in many cases.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{RX}+\mathrm{Mg} & \longrightarrow \dot{\mathrm{R}} \mathrm{-X}^{-}+\mathrm{Mg}^{++} \\
\dot{\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}^{-}} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}^{+}+\mathrm{X}^{-} \\
\dot{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{Mg}^{++}+\mathrm{X}^{-} & \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{Mg}-\mathrm{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 49. Proposed mechanism for the formation of Grignard reagents.

In an ether solution, Grignard reagents $(\mathrm{RMgX})$ are stabilized by the formation of a tetrahedral magnesium (II) species, in which the ether coordinates to the magnesium in complex with the formula $\operatorname{RMgX}(\mathrm{S})_{2}(\mathrm{~S}=$ ether solvent). Additionally, an equilibrium has been observed between two molecules of RMgX (Grignard complex) and one molecular of dialkyl magnesium $\operatorname{Mg}(\mathrm{R})_{2}$ compound with the magnesium halide salt (Figure 50); this was named as Schlenk equilibrium. ${ }^{20}$ The composition of the equilibrium is dependent on the solvent, temperature, concentration, organic moiety (R) and halide. ${ }^{21}$ For simple organomagnesium halides, in mono-ether solvents, the equilibrium usually favors the RMgX complex. ${ }^{21,22}$ In dioxane, $\mathrm{MgX}_{2}$ may precipitate, ${ }^{23}$ driving the equilibrium to the dialkyl magnesium. Grignard reagents have also been found to exist as dimers, and higher-order oligomers, in solution. ${ }^{24}$ For example, alkyl-magnesium chlorides were observed to form dimers in diethyl ether ${ }^{25}$ (Figure 50), with formation of aggregates at high concentration.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \mathrm{RMgX} \rightleftharpoons(\mathrm{R})_{2} \mathrm{Mg}+\mathrm{MgX}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 50. Schlenk equilibrium and the dimer complex of alkyl magnesium chlorides.

Grignard reagents can react with a variety of carbonyl substrates, such as ketones, aldehydes, esters, acyl halide, amides and carbon dioxide. The mechanism of the Grignard reaction is complex and, so far, there are two widely accepted mechanisms: the polar pathway and the SET pathway (Figure 51). ${ }^{24-27}$ In the polar pathway, one molecule of a Grignard reagent RMgX could activate the ketone substrate and form a coordination complex $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ (Figure 51), that reacts with another molecule of RMgX , through a six-membered transition state to give the magnesium alkoxide $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ and $\mathrm{MgX}_{2}$ salt. Intermediate $\mathbf{3 . 4}$ may transformed into salt 3.5 by interacting with $\mathrm{MgX}_{2}$ to regenerate one molecule of the Grignard reagent ( RMgX ). Alkoxide 3.5 undergoes hydrolysis to give alcohol product (Figure 51). In the SET pathway, one electron is transferred from the Grignard reagent $(\mathrm{RMgX})$ to the ketone substrate generating a ketyl radical anion, magnesium halide cation complex 3.6, and the free radical 3.7 (Figure 51). Coupling of complex 3.6 and radical 3.7 affords the alkoxide intermediate 3.5,
which is transformed to the corresponding alcohol by hydrolysis (Figure 51). These mechanisms are considered to be competitive and suggested to depend on the ketone substrate, organic moiety $R$, solvent and purity of the magnesium metal. ${ }^{27}$ In relatively non-polar solvent, with high purity magnesium metal, the reaction between ketone substrate with a low tendency to be reduced and Grignard reagent with a low tendency to be oxidized would favor the polar pathway. In polar solvents with magnesium that contains transition metal impurities, the Grignard reaction between ketone with a high tendency to be reduced and Grignard reagent with a high tendency to be oxidized would favor the SET pathway. ${ }^{27}$

## Polar pathway:



SET pathway:


Figure 51. Proposed mechanisms for Grignard reactions.

The Grignard reaction is well known as an efficient method for obtaining addition products from RMgX and carbonyl substrates. However, in some cases, the product of the reaction may not be expected. ${ }^{28}$ Such "unusual" or "abnormal" Grignard reactions include the
reduction of carbonyl substrates, ${ }^{28-32}$ the pinacol coupling of carbonyl substrates ${ }^{28,33}$ and the enolization and condensation of carbonyl substrates. ${ }^{28,30,34,35}$

During the discovery of the Grignard reaction, Grignard himself had noticed a reduction product, benzyl alcohol, from the reaction of benzaldehyde with isoamylmagnesium bromide. ${ }^{36}$ Further studies on the reduction products in Grignard reactions gave rise to several possible mechanisms for this process (Figure 52). ${ }^{28}$ Whitmore proposed that carbonyl substrates could be reduced by a $\beta$-hydride attack from a Grignard reagent through a sixmembered transition state (Figure 52). ${ }^{37}$ Some carbonyl substrates were considered to be reduced by magnesium alkoxide ${ }^{38,39}$ in a manner analogue to the Meerwein-Ponndorf-Verley reduction, ${ }^{40}$ through a six-membered transition state (Figure 52). ${ }^{28}$ Ashby suggested that benzophenone could be reduced by magnesium hydride $\left(\mathrm{MgH}_{2}\right)$ (Figure 52). ${ }^{25}$ By using deuterated diethyl ether, they could conclude that the hydride involved in the reduction was from the solvent, and $\mathrm{MgH}_{2}$ was generated during the formation of the Grignard reagent $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgBr}\right) .{ }^{25}$

## $\beta$-Hydride reduction:



Alkoxide reduction:


Magnesim hydride reduction:


Figure 52. Proposed mechanisms for reduction reactions occurred in Grignard reactions.

The pinacol coupling species is a common side product of the Grignard reaction. In 1906, Schmidlin reported a Grignard reaction between benzophenone and triphenylmethylmagnesium chloride, which gave benzopinacol, instead of the expected addition product pentaphenylethanol. ${ }^{41}$ Gomberg continued the study of the Grignard reaction with benzophenone and proposed a radical based mechanism (Figure 53). ${ }^{42}$ Radical magnesium halide $\cdot \mathrm{MgX}$, generated from $\mathrm{MgX}_{2}$ salt and magnesium metal, could react with benzophenone and afford the corresponding benzophenone radicals, which then could be coupled to give the pinacol product, benzpinacol (Figure 53). Blicke and Powers ${ }^{43}$ also studied these types of coupling reactions and suggested an alternative radical mechanism: a SET between the Grignard reagent $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CMgCl}$ and benzophenone would generate the
magnesium benzophenone ketyl radical anion and a tert-butyl radical. These radical anions could couple to give the pinacol product, benzopinacol (Figure 53). ${ }^{25,44-46}$ Observation of pinacol coupling products was important evidence for the SET mechanism in Grignard reactions (Figure 51).

## Magnesious halide pathway:



Radical anion pathway:



Figure 53. Proposed mechanisms for pinacol coupling reactions in Grignard reactions.

Grignard reagents can react as nucleophiles, and as bases. In the case of latter, the first example of enolization was described by Grignard. ${ }^{47,48}$ Attempting a Grignard reaction between ethyl acetoacetate and methylmagnesium iodide, he observed that considerable amounts of methane were liberated and most of the keto-ester was recovered. ${ }^{47,48}$ Further studies indicated that enolization was competitive with the normal addition reaction in Grignard reaction, and in certain cases, enolization was favored. ${ }^{28}$ For example, Whitmore and co-workers ${ }^{30,34,35}$ reported up to quantitative enolization during the reactions between sterically hindered methyl dineopentylmethyl ketone and isobutyl-, tert-butyl-, isopropylmagnesium halides. ${ }^{35}$ They suggested that the presence of an acidic $\alpha$-hydrogen was important, otherwise, the addition reaction was favored. ${ }^{35}$ Although the enolizations occurring in Grignard reactions were considered to occur through an acid-base mechanism, the actual mechanism for enolization was not clear due to the complicated composition of the Grignard reaction components. ${ }^{28}$ Based on the proposals of Bredt-Savelsburg ${ }^{49}$ and Arnold, ${ }^{50}$ in the mechanism (Figure 54), ${ }^{28}$ the ketone interacts with the Grignard reagent to form a complex that decomposes to give the corresponding enolate (Figure 54). Enolization may also occur through a concerted process, in a six-membered transition state as proposed by Lutz and Kibler (Figure 54). ${ }^{51}$


Figure 54. Proposed mechanisms for enolization reactions occurred in Grignard reactions.

Ketone substrates may be enolized under the Grignard conditions, moreover, aldol reaction of ketones to give $\beta$-ketols has been reported, initially by Grignard, who noticed product form the self-aldol condensation as a side-reaction. ${ }^{36}$ For example, isoamylmagnesium bromide and acetone reacted to give tertiary alcohol, and small quantities of mesityl oxide and phorone, which arise from self-aldol reaction of acetone followed by elimination (Figure 55). ${ }^{36}$ Other Grignard reactions has led to isolation of the self-aldol condensation products from ketone substrates. ${ }^{28}$ For self-aldol reactions to occur, enolate $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CR}_{3} \mathrm{OMgX}$ should be first generated from ketone $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{CHCR}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ by a base, and then react with another molecule of the ketone (Figure 55). Grignard realized these reactions were taking place through a basecatalyzed pathway, and suggested alkoxide ROMgX species to serve as base. ${ }^{52,53}$ The actual base (e.g., ROMgX , Grignard reagent) involved in the enolate formation is difficult to identify, due to the complicated composition of Grignard reaction mixtures.



Figure 55. Example of self-aldol condensation in Grignard reactions and the possible mechanism.

To inhibit side reactions, additives (e.g. $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2},{ }^{54-56} \mathrm{LiClO}_{4},{ }^{57}(n-\mathrm{Bu}){ }_{4} \mathrm{NBr},{ }^{57}(i-$ $\left.\operatorname{PrO})_{3} \mathrm{TiCl},{ }^{58}(n-\mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{ZrCl},{ }^{58} \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}{ }^{59}\right)$ have been used to enhance the addition reaction, by increasing the electrophilicity of the carbonyl group and decreasing the basicity of the organometallic reagent. For example, Imamoto ${ }^{59}$ reported up to $80 \%$ yield self-aldol reaction product from the reaction between cyclopentanone and $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$, with addition product obtained in only $3 \%$ yield. Employing $\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ as additive, the yield of the expected addition product was increased to $72 \%$ and only trace amount of ketol was observed (Figure 56). The same additive effect was found in the reaction of cyclohexanone and $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ (Figure 56).


Figure 56. Example of Grignard reaction with and without additive $\left(\mathrm{CeCl}_{3}\right)$.

## 3-1-3 An unsuccessful Barbier-Grignard type intramolecular cyclization

In a collaboration with Isis Pharmaceuticals to synthesize tricyclic nucleoside $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ (Figure 57) involving Drs. Wagger and Merner, ${ }^{60}$ we envisioned using a Barbier-Grignard type intramolecular cyclization to construct tricycle 3.9 from iodide 3.10. Primary alcohol 3.11 was converted to iodide $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ by the Appel reaction. Alcohol $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ was prepared from olefin 3.12 by hydroboration (Figure 57).


Figure 57. Retrosynthetic analysis for synthesizing key intermediate 3.9.

Treatment of olefin $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ with dicyclohexylborane followed by hydrogen peroxide and sodium hydroxide gave primary alcohol $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ (Scheme 51). Alcohol $\mathbf{3 . 1 1}$ was converted to iodide $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ using triphenylphosphine and iodine. Treatment of iodide $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ with magnesium metal in THF, with heating or sonication to initialize the designed Barbier-Grignard type reaction provide no cyclization product and iodide starting material $\mathbf{3 . 1 0}$ was recovered (Scheme 51).

Scheme 51. Synthesis of key intermediate 3.9 by Barbier-Grignard type reaction.


The unsuccessful Barbier reaction inspired examination of a simpler reaction to involving 5 -hexen-2-one (3.13), $n$-butyl iodide (5 equiv.) and magnesium (10 equiv.) in THF (Figure 58). Neither heating nor sonication triggered reaction. On the other hand, 1,2dibromoethane caused the reaction to occur; however, ketol 3.14a was isolated as the major product (44 \% yield), instead of the expected addition product 3.15 (5 \% yield) (Figure 58).


Figure 58. Unusual Barbier reaction between 5-hexen-2-one (3.13) and $n$-butyl iodide.
Faced with no success using the Barbier cyclization strategy, a new route based on proline catalyzed intramolecular aldol reaction was proposed and achieved successfully by Drs. Jernej Wagger and Bradley L. Merner (Scheme 52). ${ }^{60}$ Alkene $\mathbf{3 . 1 2}$ was transformed methyl ketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 6}$ by a Wacker oxidation. Tricyclic $\mathbf{3 . 1 7}$ was successfully furnished through L-proline catalyzed intramolecular aldol reaction. The resulting ketone was reduced, and deoxygnated using the by Barton-McCombie reaction to give tricycle 3.9 (Scheme 52).

Scheme 52. Synthesis of key intermediate 3.9 by intramolecular aldol reaction.


Although the Barbier type reaction failed to give tricycle 3.9, the interesting ketol product from the model reaction between 5-hexen-2-one (3.13) and $n$-butyl iodide attracted our attention (Figure 58). We decided to expand the scope of this reaction to afford $\beta$-ketols in one step.

## 3-2 Results

## 3-2-1 Intermolecular reactions

Intrigued by the formation of ketol 3.14a from 5-hexen-2-one (3.13) (Figure 58), we screened other aliphatic methyl ketone substrates (Table 4, entries 1-10), as well as cyclic ketones (Table 4, entries 11-12) and aromatic methyl ketones (Table 4, entries 13-16). Similar self-aldol reactions were obtained with these ketones (Table 4). Reducing the amount of $n$ butyl iodide from 5 equiv. to 1 equiv., decreased slightly the average yield of $\beta$-ketols (Table 4). The yields of ketol product from cyclohexanone (Table 4, entries 11-12) were similar to that reported for the reaction between cyclohexanone and $i-\mathrm{PrMgCl}$ (Figure 56). ${ }^{59}$ For aromatic ketones, 2-methoxyacetophenone gave lower yields (Table 4, entries 13-14), probably due to the steric bulk inhibiting formation of the ketol compared with the reaction of acetophenone (Table 4, entries 15-16).

Table 4. Intermolecular reactions under "Barbier conditions"


The reactions were run with 1 mmol methyl ketone, 10 mmol magnesium turnings in 2 mL THF with heating ( $<1$ min ), then r.t. $2 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Average isolated yield. ${ }^{b}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude sample.

## 3-2-2 Intramolecular reaction

With the promising results in intermolecular reactions (Table 4), we decided to investigate intramolecular reactions to form $\beta$-ketol. For intramolecular $\beta$-ketol formation, we selected to study the cyclization of triketone 3.18, a reaction previously made famous by Zoltan G. Hajos and David R. Parrish at Hoffmann-La Roche and Schering AG over 40 years ago (Figure 59)..$^{61,62}$ In their studies, triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ was treated with aqueous piperidinium acetate, which gave racemic crystalline [3.2.1]-bicyclooctane: (3.19, m.p. $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Enolization was preferred in the cyclic rather than the aliphatic ketones, and enolate $\mathbf{3 . 1 8 a}$, which possessed a maximum $\pi$-orbital overlap reacted by a favorable alignment of the enolic double bond and the side-chain carbonyl group. On treatment with piperidine, followed by neutralizing acetic acid, $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ could be isomerized to a more stable ketol 3.20 (m.p. 164 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), in which the hydroxyl group adopted an axial orientation. Ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was considered the thermodynamic product compared to 3.19. Enolate 3.18b may thus undergo a retro-aldol reaction. In contrast, using piperidinium acetate in ether, the cyclization of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ afforded the $\beta$ ketol 3.21, which could be further dehydrated to give the enone $\mathbf{3 . 2 2}{ }^{61}$ In an asymmetric version, treatment of triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ with $3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of L-proline in DMF gave $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ in quantitative yield with an optical purity of $>93 \%$ (Figure 59). The structure of ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ was characterized by single-crystal X-ray analysis of its racemic mixture. Dehydration of $\mathbf{3 . 2 3}$ led to the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated diketone 3.24 (Hajos-Parrish diketone), which after recrystallization gave a product with an enantiomeric purity of $>97 \% .{ }^{62}$ This remarkable success in accessing enantiomerically enriched bicyclic ketol 3.21 through a proline-catalyzed intramolecular aldol reaction, is highly recognized as a pioneering example of organocatalysis. ${ }^{62,63}$




Figure 59. $\beta$-ketol formation from intramolecular cyclization of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$.

In testing triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ under our "Barbier conditions". we hypothesized that $\beta$-ketols may form by intramolecular and intermolecular reactions. Indeed, the reaction between triketone 3.18 and $n$-butyl iodide generated a complicated mixture from which a major component was isolated as white solid in 35 \% yield (Figure 60). X-ray analysis of the solid confirmed the structure of $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ bearing an axial hydroxyl group arising from intramolecular reaction (Figure 61).


Figure 60. Intramolecular reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ under "Barbier conditions"


Figure 61. $X$ ray structure of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$.

## 3-3 Discussion

In self-aldol reactions of ketones, one molecule of ketone is enolized and the enolate reacts with another molecule of ketone to yield the ketol product. A base is usually required for enolate formation. Under our "Barbier reaction" conditions, the reaction mixture of ketone, $n-\mathrm{BuI}$ and magnesium metal, is treated with 1,2-dibromoethane in THF. The actual base (e.g., ROMgI , Grignard reagent $n-\mathrm{BuMgI}$ ) responsible for enolization is difficult to identify as discussed before in Grignard reactions (Figures 50, 54 and 55). Using commercially available Grignard reagents, MeMgBr and EtMgBr , in the presence or absence of magnesium metal, 5-hexen-2-one (3.13) reacted exclusively to give the addition products, with only trace amount ketol products (Figure 62). The Grignard reagent acted as nucleophile rather than a base. Although the Grignard reagent was not excluded as base, the magnesium alkoxide ( ROMgI ) generated in the reaction was considered as base (Figure 62). A tertiary magnesium alkoxide, $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}$ was prepared and reacted with ketone 3.13. Ketol $\mathbf{3 . 1 4}$ was isolated in $46 \%$ yield
(Figure 62). Similar aldol condensations were performed between a methyl ketone and an $\alpha$ bromoketone in the presence of $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in benzene, and a $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NMgBr}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in toluene to give the corresponding bromo $\beta$-ketols, as reported by Kulinkovich and Kel'in. ${ }^{64}$


${ }^{a}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude sample. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield.
Figure 62. Reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ using commercial Grignard reagents, and $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}$

Herein, for the sake of simplicity, $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{MI}$ represents any possible base (ROMgI, $n$ BuMgI etc.) involved in the self-aldol reaction mechanism (Figure 63). In the presence of base, the methyl ketone $\mathrm{R}_{1} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ could be deprotonated to generate a magnesium enolate, which attacks another molecule of methyl ketone substrate to lead to the ketol product after hydrolysis (Figure 63). A magnesium chelated 6-membered transition state maybe involved in the aldol reaction.


Figure 63. Plausible mechanism for the intermecular self-aldol reaction of ketones.

For the intramolecular reaction of triketone 3.18, a similar magnesium chelated transition state is proposed, because the hydroxyl group in the major product $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ is in the axial orientation, parallel to one of the carbonyl groups in the ring (Figure 64). The reaction of 3.18 with $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}$ lead to the formation of only $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in $60 \%$ yield (Figure 65), which also supports the existence of magnesium chelation.


Figure 64. Plausible mechanism for the intramolecular aldol reaction.


Figure 65. Reaction of triketone 3.18 with $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}$

## 3-4 Conclusion

Intrigued by the discovery of an unusual result in a Bariber reaction, we conducted studies of intermolecular and intramolecular reactions to produce $\beta$-ketol products in moderate yield. We propose a mechanism involving a base promoted, magnesium chelated transition state (Figure 63 and 64). Furthermore, based on the selectivity of the intramolecular aldol cyclization in the generation of ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ from triketone 3.18, we hypothesized that a more efficient reaction for the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ could be developed by screening of bases and chelating additives. These will be discussed in Chapter four.

A direct method to access $\beta$-ketol is the aldol reaction. In the case of a methyl ketone, such as 3.13, addition of a half equiv. of LDA should in principle, generate kinetic enolate to attack the ketone (3.13) and produce $\beta$-ketol 3.14. Indeed, when such a reaction was tried, the $\beta$-ketol 3.14 was formed, but accompanied by unreacted ketone 3.13. Organocatalytic aldol reactions catalyzed by proline leads to $\beta$-ketol; however, self-aldol reaction of ketones has not been explored to the best of my knowledge. Such aldol reactions are more common between methyl ketones and aldehydes.

In spite of the modest yields, the Barbier type synthesis of $\beta$-ketol as described in this thesis appears to be novel. In the original work, Bariber ${ }^{1}$ had prepared the addition product 3.2 when using methyl iodide, and magnesium metal in ether (Figure 44). It is therefore curious
that using butyl iodide would lead to a $\beta$-ketol in substantial amount relative to direct addition. A critical experiments to be done is to determine the difference between methyl iodide and butyl iodide by using ethyl, propyl, isopropyl iodides to assess the effect of bulk on the tendency to promote self-aldol reaction versus direct addition. We have already established that a Grignard reactions with MeMgI and EtMgBr with ketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ led to tertiary alcohol addition products (Figure 62). The addition of BuMgCl also led to the tertiary alcohol contrary to the presently used Barbier conditions. Several by-products in the Barbier reaction of keonte 3.13 account for the modest yield of the $\beta$-ketol.
$\beta$-Ketol was provided from the reaction of cyclopentanone and $i-\mathrm{PrMgBr}$ (Figure 56), ${ }^{59}$ however, cyclohexanone gave a lower yield (Figure 56). ${ }^{59}$ The same results appear to be taking place under Barbier condition with butyl iodide (Table 4, entries 11 and 12).

## 3-5 Experimental

General methods are same as described in the experimental section of Chapter 1.

All the intermolecular reactions of methylketone under Baribier-Grignard type conditions were run with 1 mmol methyl ketone, 10 mmol magnesium turnings, in 2 mL THF and the typical procedure is shown below:

5-Hexene-2-one ( $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}, 101 \mathrm{mg}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 120 \mathrm{uL}$ ), magnesium turnings ( $247 \mathrm{mg}, 10.3$ mmol ) and THF ( 2 mL ) were added to a dried flask equipped with a condenser, following by $n$-butyl iodide ( $948 \mathrm{mg}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 586 \mathrm{uL}$ ) and 2 drops of 1,2-dibromoethane at r.t. The reaction was initiated using a heat gun and after it was heated to reflux, the mixture was cooled to r.t., and stirred for 2 h when the clear solution turned cloudy. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $8: 1$ to 4:1) to give 3.14 ( $44 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ) as pale yellow oil, and $\mathbf{3 . 1 5}(8.1 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \%)$ as pale yellow oil.


7-Hydroxy-7-methylundeca-1,10-dien-5-one (3.14a). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.85-$ $5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.70-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 212.3,138.6,136.6$, $115.5,114.4,71.5,51.6,43.5,41.1,28.2,27.3,26.7$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) $3478,3077,2976$, 2924, 1699, 1641, 1374, 1132, 996, 910; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$197.1536, found 197.1546.


5-Methylnon-1-en-5-ol (3.15) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.85$ (ddt, $J=16.8,10.4,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dq}, J=17.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 139.1,114.3,72.6,41.7,40.7,28.3,26.8,26.1,23.2,14.1$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) $3383,3078,2958,2931,2861,1640,1465,1375,1298,1260,1232,1143,1088,1030$, 994, 948, 907.


6-Hydroxy-6-methylnonan-4-one (3.14b) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) using $1.03 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide [ $34 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ using $5.15 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{q}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 213.5,71.7,51.3,46.5,44.5,26.7,17.2,16.9,14.5,13.6 ;$ FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ (neat) 3470 , 2960, 2934, 2874, 1697, 1458, 1373, 1148, 1055, 1019, 939, 888.; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$195.1356, found 195.1356.


8-Hydroxy-2,8,12-trimethyltrideca-2,11-dien-6-one (3.14c) was obtained as yellow oil (44 $\mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ) using $1.03 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide [ $52 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \%$ using $5.15 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.09-5.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{q}, J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-$ $1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 213.3,133.2,131.9,124.4,122.5$, $71.8,51.7,44.8,42.1,26.9,25.9,25.8,22.8,22.4,17.8,17.8 ;$ FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 3411,2971 , 2929, 1706, 1450, 1376, 1147, 1056, 982; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 275.1982, found 275.1982.

3.14d

6-Hydroxy-2,6,8-trimethylnonan-4-one (3.14d) was obtained as pale yellow oil ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 38$ $\%$ ) using $1.03 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide, [ $46 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%$ using $5.15 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{q}, J=17.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-$ $2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{qd}, J=14.2,5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.91(\mathrm{~m}$, $12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 213.3,72.2,53.6,52.6,50.5,27.2,24.8,24.5,24.4$, 24.1, 22.5, 22.4; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 3489, 2955, 2871, 1697, 1466, 1367, 1152, 1055947 , 894; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$223.1669, found 223.1674.


5-Hydroxy-2,2,5,6,6-pentamethylheptan-3-one (3.14e) was obtained as yellow oil (crude without purification) ( $49 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \%$ ) using $1.03 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide, [ $78 \mathrm{mg}, 76 \%$ using 5.15 mmol $n$-butyl iodide]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.60$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 220.2,75.5,45.3,40.2,37.7,26.0,25.1,21.9 ;$ FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat)

3484, 2958, 2873, 1691, 1478, 1465, 1395, 1369, 1324, 1215, 1155, 1101, 1067, 1008, 939, 873, 845, 810; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 223.1669$, found 223.1662.

3.14 f

1'-Hydroxy-[1,1'-bi(cyclohexan)]-2-one (3.14f) was obtained as colorless oil (32 mg, 32 \%) using $1.03 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide, [ $44 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ using $5.15 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.16(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.46-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.10$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 216.4,72.0,58.9,43.9,36.1,33.2,29.0,28.3,25.9$, 25.5, 21.7, 21.4; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 3513, 2928, 2858, 1693, 1448, 1395, 1348, 1308, 1258, 1217, 1179, 1040, 996, 966, 947, 894, 853, 836; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ 219.1356, found 219.1364.


3-Hydroxy-1,3-bis(2-methoxyphenyl)butan-1-one (3.14g) was obtained as yellow oil (33 $\mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ ) using $1.0 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide, [ $36 \mathrm{mg}, 24 \%$ using $5.0 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $7.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{td}, J=7.6,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.63 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 204.9,158.3,155.4,134.8,133.3$, 129.7, 129.2, 128.0, 127.1, 120.7, 120.5, 111.3, 110.7, 73.5, 55.5, 54.8, 52.3, 28.1; FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ (neat) $3468,2931,2837,1671,1596,1485,1462,1435,1357,1282,1240,1179,1162$, 1124, 1023, 950, 805, 753; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 323.1254$, found 323.1258.

3.14h

3-Hydroxy-1,3-diphenylbutan-1-one (3.14h) was obtained as pale yellow oil (47 mg, 39 \%) using $1.0 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide, [ 50 mg , $42 \%$ using $5.0 \mathrm{mmol} n$-butyl iodide]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.92(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-$ $7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 201.3,147.6,136.9,133.7,128.7,128.2,128.0,126.6,124.3$, $73.5,48.8,30.9$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 3454, 3058, 3026, 2972, 2927, 1665, 1597, 1579, 1492, $1447,1368,1341,1282,1214,1181,1071,1027,1003,936,868,817,754,724,700,689$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$263.1043, found 263.1031.


2-Methyl-2-(3-oxobutyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (3.18) was prepared according to a known procedure. ${ }^{65}$ The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature ${ }^{65,66}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.79-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 215.5,207.5,54.7,37.0,34.4,29.6,27.4,18.5$.

3.20
( $\pm$ )-4 $\beta$-Hydroxy-1 $\boldsymbol{\beta}, 4 \alpha$-dimethylbicyclo[3.2.I]octane-7,8-dione (3.20). For intramolecular reactions under Baribier conditions, triketone 3.18 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), magnesium turnings $(67 \mathrm{mg}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and THF ( 0.5 mL ) were added to a dried flask equipped with a condenser, following by $n$-butyl iodide ( $52 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 32 \mathrm{uL}$ ) and 2 drops of 1,2 -dibromoethane at r.t. The reaction was initiated using a heat gun and after it was heated to reflux, the mixture was cooled to r.t., and stirred for 3 h when the clear solution turned orange/red. The reaction
was quenched by saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $2: 1$ to 1:1) to give alcohol 3.20 ( $17.5 \mathrm{mg}, 35 \%$ ) as white solid. m.p. $163-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{67}$, m.p. $160-161{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{68}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14(\mathrm{td}, J=12.6,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.85(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12.5,5.3,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 214.3,211.3,80.7,58.3,57.5,42.8,38.6,32.3,28.6,11.9 ;$ FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ (neat) $3465,2972,2932,1763,1717,1448,1402,1382,1371,1357,1332,1285,1247,1217$, 1185, 1165, 1127, 1106, 1072, 1055, 1018, 978, 957, 942, 919, 858, 809; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 205.0835$, found 205.0833 .

Typical procedure for reaction of ketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ with Grignard reagents:
5-Hexene-2-one (3.13, $53 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 64 \mathrm{uL}$ ), with or without magnesium turnings ( 130 mg , 5.4 mmol ), and THF ( 1 mL ) were added to a dried flask equipped with a condenser, following by the addition of methyl magnesium bromide ( 3 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol}, 180 \mathrm{uL}$ ) at r.t. The reaction was stirred for 0.5 h before being quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The resulting mixture was extracted three times with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and then filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give a crude residue, which was directly examined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy to calculate the ratio of addition product and self-addition product.


2-Methylhex-5-en-2-ol (3.25) is a known compound, and the spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature ${ }^{69}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 5.83$ (ddt, $J=16.8,10.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 139.0, 114.2, 70.6, 42.7, 29.1, 28.7.


3-Methylhept-6-en-3-ol (3.26). The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature (one of its enantiomer). ${ }^{70}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.85(\mathrm{ddt}, J=16.8,10.2,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95$ (dd, $J=10.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 139.1,114.3,72.8,40.2,34.3,28.3,26.2,8.2$.

Reaction of trione 3.18 with $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}$ :
$t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}(0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was prepared by treating methyl magnesium bromide (3M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) with anhydrous $t$-butyl alcohol ( $0.667 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.84 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in THF $(14.16 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by stirring for 1 h at r.t.

To trione $3.18(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in THF ( 2 mL ) freshly prepared $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}(0.5$ M in THF, $0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.54 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added at r.t. After stirring for 12 h , one more equivalent of $t-\mathrm{BuOMgBr}(0.5 \mathrm{M}$ in THF, $0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.54 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added. The reaction was stirred for another 12 h , quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and then filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 2:1 to 1:1) to give $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ) as a white solid.
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# Chapter 4: From Synthetic Study of 2-hydroxy-2,5dimethylbicyclo[3.2.1] octane-6,8-dione (a constitutional isomer of the Hajos-Parrish ketone), to Catalytic Synthesis of Tertiary $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Ketols 

## 4-1 Introduction

## 4-1-1 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Ketols from Aldol reaction

The aldol reaction, between two aldehydes, was first introduced by Charles-Adolphe Wurtz in $1872^{1,2}$ and has been widely recognized as one of most important methods for the construction carbon-carbon bonds. ${ }^{3}$ Joining two carbonyl compounds (aldehydes, ketones or esters) to give a $\beta$-ketol product (Figure 66), in the process one of the carbonyl compounds bearing an $\alpha$-proton is enolized and the nucleophlic enolate (basic conditions), or a enol (acidic conditions), performs nucleophilic attack on another carbonyl compound (Figure 66).


Basic conditions: (base: $\mathbf{B}^{\ominus}$ )


Acidic conditions: (acid: $\mathrm{H}^{\oplus}$ )


Figure 66. Aldol reaction under basic and acidic conditions.

The resulting $\beta$-hydroxyl carbonyl moiety is a common structural unit exhibited in many important natural products and drugs. Such as FK-506, ${ }^{4-6}$ epothilones A and B, ${ }^{7-10}$ and Taxol ${ }^{11,12}$ (Figure 67).



Epothilone A: R=H Epothilone B: $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$

FK506 (1.3)


Figure 67. Examples of $\beta$-hydroxyl carbonyl units in natural products and drugs.

An aldol reaction may generate two new stereogenic centers (at the $\alpha$ and $\beta$-positions). The challenge of stereoselectively obtaining a specific $\beta$-ketol has led to great efforts to develop asymmetric aldol reactions, using a variety of chiral auxiliaries, ligands and catalysts. ${ }^{3}$ Evans, ${ }^{13}$ and others, ${ }^{14,15}$ discovered a series of chiral oxazolidinone auxiliaries, that provide high diastereoselectivity in the presence of a Lewis acid ( $n$ - $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{BOTf}^{13,14}$ (c-hex) $)_{2} \mathrm{BCl},{ }^{16}$ $\mathrm{Sn}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}{ }^{17} \mathrm{TiCl}_{4},{ }^{15,17} \mathrm{MgCl}_{2},{ }^{18} \mathrm{MgBr}_{2} .(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}{ }^{19}$ etc.) and base (DIPEA, TEA etc.) (Figure 68). Mukaiyama and coworkers discovered a type of aldol reaction, termed Mukaiyama aldol reaction, which uses silyl enol ethers as nucleophiles. ${ }^{20}$ This reaction was widely used in synthesis of $\beta$-ketols, and chiral catalysts were developed by Mukaiyama, ${ }^{21}$ Corey, ${ }^{22}$ Carreira, ${ }^{23}$ Evans, ${ }^{24}$ Denmark, ${ }^{25}$ and Yamamoto ${ }^{26}$ to achieve asymmetric versions (Figure 69).

More recently, organocatalysis has been extensively explored in the aldol reaction. ${ }^{27}$ As mentioned in Chapter 3, in 1974, Hajos and Parrish used proline to catalyze the intramolecular aldol reaction of triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ (Figure 59). ${ }^{28}$ Later List ${ }^{29}$ and Barbas ${ }^{29,30}$ developed L-proline catalyzed asymmetric aldol reactions between acetone and aldehydes (Figure 70). MacMillan ${ }^{31}$ used L-proline successfully to achieve a cross-aldol reaction between aldehydes (Figure 70). Based on the success of proline, derivatives were developed to catalyze aldol reactions (Figure 70). ${ }^{30,32-36}$ Although these versatile chiral auxiliaries, ligands, and catalysts have advanced the stereoselective synthesis of $\beta$-ketols from aldol reactions, catalytic asymmetric aldol reactions remain a topic of interest in organic synthesis.


Figure 68. Examples of Evans' oxazolidinone auxiliaries in the aldol reaction.

 catalyst(s):


Mukaiyama


Evans


Corey


Denmark

Yamamoto
Figure 69. Examples of chiral catalysts used in Mukaiyama aldol reaction.

## List and Barbas:



## Macmillan:




Barbas ${ }^{30}$


Gong ${ }^{34}$


Arvidsson ${ }^{32}$


Reddy ${ }^{35}$


Berkessel ${ }^{33}$


Hanessian ${ }^{36}$

Figure 70. Examples of proline and its derivatives as catalysts in aldol reaction.

## 4-1-2 Synthetic background of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ from triketone 3.18

Since Hajos and Parrish disclosed the $\beta$-ketol products from triketone 3.18 via intramolecular aldol reaction (Figure 59, Chapter 3, section 3-2-1), ${ }^{28,}{ }^{37}$ catalytic enantioselective synthesis of $\beta$-ketol 3.21 has been studied to dertermine the mechanism and enhance the methodologies. ${ }^{38}$ Formation of other ketol products ( $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and 3.20) has been scarcely explored.

For the synthesis of ketol 3.20, few examples have been reported. Dauben and Bunce ${ }^{39}$ studied the reaction between 2-methyl-1,3-cyclopentanedione and methyl vinyl ketone at high pressure. Under 15 kbar in the presence of acetonitrile and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was generated in $52 \%$ yield (Figure 71). Shibasaki and coworkers ${ }^{40}$ discovered a series of rare earth metal alkoxides that catalyze aldol reactions. In the intramolecular aldol cyclization of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ with 10 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{O}-t-\mathrm{Bu})_{4}$, ketol 3.20 was generated in $30 \%$ yield. Using $\mathrm{La}_{3}(\mathrm{O}-t-\mathrm{Bu})_{9}$ as the catalyst, both $\beta$-ketol 3.19 and 3.20 were obtained in $30 \%$ and $40 \%$ yield respectively. Yttrium alkoxide reacted selectively to generate $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in almost quantitative yield. No cyclized product was observed using $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{O}-t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}$. To achieve enantioselectivity in the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$, a chiral ytterbium alkoxide was prepared and tested. After 6 days at $-52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was obtained in $60 \%$ yield with $52 \%$ e.e. (Figure 72). ${ }^{40}$ To the best of our knowledge, this is the only example of enantioselective synthesis of ketol 3.20. Although Davies and coworkers ${ }^{41}$ reported using ( $1^{\prime} R, 2^{\prime} S$ )-5-( $2^{\prime}$-aminocyclopentan- $1^{\prime}$-yl) tetrazole as a chiral catalyst in an attempt to achieve asymmetric synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ from $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ (Figure 73), they obtained $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in 67 \% yield without enantioselectivity. Mahrwald and coworkers ${ }^{42}$ employed a tetranuclear BINOL-titanium complex as catalyst, obtained racemic 3.20 in $44 \%$ yield (Figure 74).


Figure 71. Synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ by Dauben and Bunce.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catalyst | Time (h) | 3.19 yield (\%) | 3.20 yield (\%) |
| $\mathrm{Zr}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{4}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | 24 |  | 30 |
| $\mathrm{La}_{3}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{9}(3.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | 1 | 30 | 40 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{3}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{8} \mathrm{Cl}(3.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | 5 |  | ~100 |
| $\mathrm{Y}_{5}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr})_{13} \mathrm{O}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | 7 |  | 94 |
| $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{Bu})_{3}$ |  | No cyclize | product |




Figure 72. Synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ by Shibasaki and coworkers.


Figure 73. Synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ by Davies and coworkers.


Figure 74. Synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ by Mahrwald and coworkers.

Our previous study of the cyclization of trione $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ under Barbier conditions showed that ketol 3.20 was the favored product (Figure 50, Chapter 3, section 3-1-2), and possibly formed via a magnesium-chelated transition state (Figure 64, Chapter 3, section 3-3). We envisioned that using a suitable base and chelating additives (e.g. magnesium salts), ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ could be generated from $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ selectively and efficiently, eventually in an asymmetric or catalytic version.

## 4-2 Results

## 4-2-1 Screening of reaction conditions for the synthesis of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$

## 4-2-1-1 Temperature and catalysts loading

As described in our hypothesis, a suitable base was needed to promote the cyclization. We chose 1,8 -diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU), due to its non-nucleophilicity and relatively strong basicity ( pKa 16.8 in THF). ${ }^{43}$ Triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ was treated with DBU (1 equiv.) at room temperature, and converted to all three $\beta$-ketol products after 24 h (Table 5, entry 1 ). Decreasing the temperature to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction did not reach completion in 24 h , and $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$, 3.20 and 3.21 were generated in equal proportion (Table 5, entry 2 ). Next, we surmised that introducing an additive would aid in the forming of a chelated transition state, as proposed in Figure 64 (Chapter 3, section 3-3), and lead to $\beta$-ketol 3.20 as the major product. Lithium bromide is a mild and neutral inorganic salt. The small size of the lithium cation could benefit the required chelate model in this intramolecular reaction. ${ }^{44}$ In the presence of LiBr ( 1 equiv.) and DBU (1 equiv.), the reaction rate was significantly accelerated at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was obtained as the major product as expected (Table 5, entry 3 ). In further tests using a catalytic amount of DBU and LiBr ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of each), the reaction proceeded selectively affording $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in $88 \%$ isolated yield (Table 5, entry 4). Under the same catalytic conditions, lowering the temperature to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, slowed the reaction rate, and only $6 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy of the reaction mixture (Table 5, entry 5). At room temperature, the same conditions gave $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ as the major product, but less selectively compared to at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 2, entry 6). Employment of DBU or LiBr used alone yielded only a trace amounts of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (Table 5, entries 7 and 8 ). The combination of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}$ and

LiBr in THF at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ promoted the catalytic reaction to give $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in high yield and excellent selectivity (Table 5, entry 4).

Table 5. Screening of temperature and catalysts loading.

|  |  | Base / Additive <br> 3.19 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Base / Additive (equiv.) | Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 3.18 (\%) ${ }^{a}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.19 \\ \text { Yield (\%) }{ }^{a} \end{gathered}$ | $3.20$ <br> Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ | $3.21$ <br> Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ |
| 1 | DBU (1) | r.t. | 4 | 36 | 38 | 22 |
| 2 | DBU (1) | - 40 | 69 | 9 | 11 | 11 |
| 3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DBU}(1), \\ \mathrm{LiBr}(1) \end{gathered}$ | - 40 | 2 | 21 | 62 | 15 |
| 4 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DBU}(0.05), \\ \mathrm{LiBr}(0.05) \end{gathered}$ | -40 | - | - | $89(88)^{b}$ | 11 |
| 5 | DBU (0.05), <br> LiBr (0.05) | - 78 | 85 | - | 6 | 9 |
| 6 | DBU (0.05), <br> LiBr (0.05) | r.t. | 6 | 13 | 68 | 13 |
| 7 | DBU (0.05) | - 40 | 94 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 8 | LiBr (0.05) | - 40 | 93 | 1 | 1 | 5 |

Reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Calculated from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction material. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield.

## 4-2-1-2 Solvents

Intrigued by the catalytic effect of DBU and LiBr , a series of solvents were tested in the reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ (Table 6). Aprotic solvents (Table 6, entries 1-5), favored ketol 3.20; THF was the preferred solvent. The reaction in DMF was also selective, and led to $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in 76 \% isolated yield. Protic solvents favored formation of $\beta$-ketol 3.19 ( $72 \%$ isolated yield using $\mathrm{MeOH})($ Table 6, entry 6).

Table 6. Screening of solvents.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Solvent | 3.18 (\%) ${ }^{a}$ | 3.19 | 3.20 | 3.21 |
|  |  |  | Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ |
| 1 | THF | - | - | $89(88)^{b}$ | 11 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 11 | 24 | 53 | 12 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 27 | 20 | 43 | 10 |
| 4 | EtOAc | 10 | 8 | 73 | 9 |
| 5 | DMF | 8 | 3 | $82(76)^{b}$ | 7 |
| 6 | MeOH | 5 | $76(72)^{\text {b }}$ | 5 | 14 |

The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield.

## 4-2-1-3 Additives

Based on the success of LiBr as an additive, other inorganic salts were tested as chelates. Similar to $\mathrm{LiBr}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{LiCl}$ and DBU gave ketol product $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in $86 \%$ isolated yield (Table 7, entry 4). The anion of the lithium salts had little effect on the reaction. Switching to magnesium salts, neither $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ nor $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with DBU in THF resulted in the formation of ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (Table 7, entry 6 and 8). Changing solvent to DMF, which should give better solubility for magnesium salts, led to trace amounts of 3.20. Lithium cation appeared crucial for catalyzing formation of ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ from triketone 3.18.

Table 7. Screening of additives.

|  |  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Solvent } \\-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}}]{\mathrm{DBU} / \text { Additive }}$ |  <br> 3.19 |  |  |  <br> 3.21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Base / Additive |  | 3.18 | 3.19 | 3.20 | 3.21 |
| Entry | (5\%) | Solvent | $(\%)^{a}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 1 | LiBr | THF | 93 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | DBU, LiBr | THF | - | - | $89(88)^{b}$ | 11 |
| 3 | LiCl | THF | 98 | - | - | 2 |
| 4 | DBU, LiCl | THF | - | - | $84(86)^{b}$ | 16 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ | THF | 92 | - | - | 8 |
| 6 | DBU, $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ | THF | 92 | - | - | 8 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{MgBr} 2 \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | THF | 93 | - | - | 7 |
| 8 | DBU, <br> $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | THF | 93 | - | - | 7 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ | DMF | 95 | - | 1 | 4 |
| 10 | DBU, $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ | DMF | 92 | - | 5 | 3 |

The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield.

## 4-2-1-4 Achiral bases

With the optimized catalyst loading ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), temperature ( $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), solvent (THF), and additive ( LiBr ), we studied a series of bases as catalyst for this reaction (Table 8). Using relatively weaker bases $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right.$, TMEDA and pyrrolidine) with and without LiBr , little $\beta$-ketol 3.20 was generated (Table 8, entries 1-6). Switching to piperidine, and stronger bases, with 5 $\% \mathrm{LiBr}$ gave ketol 3.20 in excellent yield (Table 8 , entries $8,10,12,14$ and 16). The presence of $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of 3,3,6,9,9-pentamethyl-2,10-diazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-1-ene (Eschenmoser's amidine) (Table 8, entries 11$)^{45}$ gave selectively ketol 3.20 in $35 \%$ yield based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy of the reaction mixture. Tests with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5ene (TBD) (Table 8, entries 15) generated 3.20 in $89 \%$ yield, indicating that TBD alone was an efficient catalyst to generate $\beta$-ketol 3.20 in high yield and selectivity. Employment of 5 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of TBD with LiBr also led to $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ in excellent yield (Table 8, entries 16). The reaction
rates were also studied over a 24 h period with DBU, the bicyclic Eschenmoser's amidine and TBD (Figure 75-77). It was clear that LiBr accelerated the reaction with DBU and Eschenmoser's amidine in the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$.

Table 8. Screening of bases.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Base / Additive (5\%) | 3.20 Yield (\%) $^{a}$ | $\mathrm{pKa}(\text { in } \mathrm{THF})^{a}$ |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | 1 | 12.5 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{LiBr}$ | 1 |  |
| 3 | TMEDA | n.r. | 12.8 |
| 4 | TMEDA, LiBr | 3 |  |
| 5 | Pyrrolidine | 1 | 13.5 |
| 6 | Pyrrolidine, LiBr | 4 |  |
| 7 | Piperidine | n.r. | 14.3 |
| 8 | Piperidine, LiBr | $91(86)^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 9 | $-{ }_{N} \wedge_{N_{N-}}$ | 4 | 15.5 |
| 10 |  | $87(86)^{b}$ |  |
| 11 | $\gamma_{N} \sim_{N}+$ | 35 | - |
| 12 |  | $89(87)^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| 13 |  | 5 | 17.9 |
| 14 |  | $92(88)^{b}$ |  |
| 15 | $\left[\begin{array}{c} N_{N}-1 \\ \lambda_{N} \end{array}\right]$ | $90(89)^{\text {b }}$ | 21.0 |
| 16 |  | $92(90)^{\text {b }}$ |  |

The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. pKa values were taken from references 43 and 46.


Figure 75. Kinetic profiles of DBU, with and without LiBr-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ and the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$.


Figure 76. Kinetic profiles of Eschenmoser amidine, with and without LiBr-catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ and the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$.


Figure 77. Kinetic profiles of TBD , with and without LiBr catalyzed aldol reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ and the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$.

Thus, we have developed two types of catalysts to synthesize $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ from triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ (Figure 78). $\beta$-Ketol 3.20 can be selectively generated either by using DBU and LiBr , or $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ TBD (Table 8).


Figure 78. Catalytic synthesis of $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ from triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$

## 4-2-2 Studies toward an enantioselective synthesis of 3.20

Encouraged by the catalytic effect of bases with and without LiBr in the synthesis of ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (Table 8), we tested chiral bases for the asymmetric synthesis of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (Table 9). Inspired by the use of sparteine in enantioselective deprotonation, ${ }^{47}$ we used (-)-sparteine as chiral base, with and without LiBr , but no cyclization was observed (Table 9, entries 1 and 2). Employing (-)-sparteine as a ligand to generate a chiral lithium complex by mixing it the DBU and LiBr conditions had no influence on the stereoselectivity of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (Table 9, entries 3 and 4). Treatment of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ with a chiral cyclic guanidine $\mathbf{4 . 1}^{48}$ and LiBr gave only $20 \%$ of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ without enantioselectivity (Table 9, entries 5 and 6). Reactions with the thioamidine catalyst, (-)-tetramisole (4.2) excluded also enantioselectivity (Table 9, entries 7 and 8). ${ }^{49}$ Chiral amidine $\mathbf{4 . 3}{ }^{50}$ with LiBr generated $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ successfully as the racemate (Table 9, entries 10).

Table 9. Chiral bases with and without LiBr .
Entry

Entries 1-4, the reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . Entry 4 , starting material, sparteine and LiBr were stirred at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h , then DBU was added. Entry $5, \mathrm{DBU}$, sparteine and LiBr were stirred at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h , then starting material was added. Entries $5-10$, the reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $72 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Racemic compounds, determined by SFC of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ or GC-MS of the acetate of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$.

## 4-3 Discussion

## 4-3-1 Proposed mechanisms for catalytic synthesis of 3.20

## 4-3-1-1 Mechanism involving LiBr

In the reaction catalyzed by a base DBU and LiBr , we hypothesized that LiBr preorganized the conformation of triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ to place the carbonyl of the side-chain and the ketone from cyclopentanedione in a parallel orientation, due to bidentate coordination with LiBr (Figure 79). After being activated by LiBr , the most acidic proton at the $\alpha$ - position of ketone on the ring was abstracted by DBU to give a lithium enolate, which was readily cyclized through an intramolecular aldol reaction with attack of the carbonyl of the side-chain. The resulting alkoxide would then abstract a proton from the conjugated base $\left(\mathrm{DBU}-\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$to give the $\beta$-ketol product 3.20, and regenerate the base (DBU) and lithium salt being regenerated at the same time.







Figure 79. Proposed catalytic cycle in the LiBr pre-coordination model.

In support of lithium chelating pre-organization (Figure 79), indirect evidence was provided by study of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ using ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy. In the presence of LiBr in $\mathrm{d}_{8}-\mathrm{THF}$, the carbonyl peaks of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ were clearly shifted to lower field (Figure 80). Although this does not necessarily prove the formation of chelated $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}-\mathrm{LiBr}$ complex as in Figure 79, the role of LiBr for chelating and activation may be inferred from the reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ with catalytic (5 mol \%) DBU alone at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; without LiBr , only a trace amount of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was observed (Table 5, entry 7). Notably, THF as solvent was important, probably due to coordination of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$by THF in the lithium cheating complexes (Figure 79). In MeOH , the major product was keto 3.19 (with the equatorial hydroxyl group), presumably due to solvation of triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ by MeOH , preventing coordination with LiBr (Table 6, entries 6).


Trine 3.18 ( $51 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with $\mathrm{LiBr}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deuterated THF $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$
Figure 80. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra study of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$.

Hajes and Parrish demonstrated that ketol $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ was the kinetic product, and keto 3.20, generated from 3.19, was the thermodynamic product (Scheme 59). ${ }^{28}$ Thus, it could be argued that in our catalytic synthesis, ketol product $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ could also be generated from $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ by a retro-
aldol reaction. To prove that $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was directly formed from triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ via the proposed lithium-chelating model (Figure 79), kinetic product 3.19 was treated under the same conditions ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}$ and LiBr , at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h ), only $4 \%$ of ketol 3.20 and $6 \%$ of 3.21 were observed from crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra (Table 10, entry 1). This indicated that ketol 3.20 is generated directly from starting triketone 3.19. Warming the reaction to room temperature, more ketol products $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ were obtained due to the faster equilibrium (retro-aldol and aldol) in the reaction (Table 10, entry 2). The equilibrium of ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was also tested at room temperature (Table 10). After $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was transformed to starting triketone 3.18, and ketol products $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and 3.21 (Table 10). This confirmed the observation that a lower yield of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was obtained from $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ at room temperature compared to the one at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Compare Table 2, entries 4 and 6). Thus, $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature is crucial for this catalytic reaction to produce $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ as the major product.

Table 10. Equilibration reactions between $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and 3.20



The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol ketol in 1 mL THF for $24 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture.

To further analyze the proposed LiBr pre-coordination model, a DFT calculation was conducted by Dr. Gilles Berger (Figure 81). Theoretical calculations demonstrated that the formation of a complex between triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ and $\mathrm{LiBr}(\mathbf{3 . 1 8} \mathbf{L i B r})$ was associated with a
 (Figure 81 ). In the presence of a base (DBU), the $\alpha$-proton of the ketone is abstracted, followed by generation of the corresponding enolate. Calculation results indicated the enolization is highly favored ( $5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ ) for the methylene ketone on the ring $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Li}-1}\right)$ compared to the methyl ketone on the side-chain ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Li}-2}$ ). For the transition states leading to ketol products $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ respectively, both of the free energies are around $10 \mathrm{kcal} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ higher than the starting triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$, and with a $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ of $1.2 \mathrm{kcal} . \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ favoring the formation of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$. Also in the more stable transition state $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{Li}-1}\right)$, the length of the forming C C bond is significantly shorter ( $2.13 \AA$ versus $2.23 \AA$.). Compared to the starting triketone 3.18, the cyclized ketol products $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ are less stable in calculated energy, which is consistent with our observation in the equilibration experiment (Table 10) (ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ could give back 3.18 through a retro-aldol reaction), and in accordance with previous DFT studies. ${ }^{51,52}$


Reaction pathway: enolization of ketone on the 5-membered ring is represented in blue, while the enolization occuring on the side-chain is drawn in black.

Figure 81. Free energy profile of the DBU \& LiBr-catalyzed reaction in THF at 233 K .

## 4-3-1-2 Bifunctional H-bonded mechanism

In addition to using LiBr and catalytic base, we found that the reaction of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ can be catalyzed by Eschenmoser's amidine alone (Table 8, entry 11), and more efficiently by TBD (Table 8, entry 15). A different mechanism was proposed (Figure 82), in which the ketone on ring of triketone $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ was enolized by $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{TBD}$, leading to a H -bonded transition state with the enol and side chain carbonyl group aligned by a bridging TBD (Figure 82A). Intramolecular aldol reaction generated $\beta$-ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ with recovery of catalytic TBD. A similar mechanism could operate in the presence of the Eschenmoser's amidine (Figure 82B). ${ }^{53}$
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B


Figure 82. Proposed bifunctional H-bonded mechanism.

Baati, Himo and coworkers ${ }^{52,54}$ have reported a DFT study of TBD catalyzed intramolecular aldol reactions of acyclic ketoaldehydes. They proposed a proton shuffling general base mechanism, in which TBD acts as a bifunctional catalyst, acting as a proton donor and acceptor, aiding proton transfer from enol to the aldehyde during $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation (Figure 83). A similar mechanism may be involved in our intramolecular aldol reaction of 3.18. Computational analysis of the reaction catalyzed by TBD with DFT was conducted by Dr. Gilles Berger (Figure 84). Enolization of $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$ through a TBD mediated
bifunctional H-bonding structure (H-bond lengths between 1.7 and $2.1 \AA$ ) was preferred with enolization of the methene rather that the methyl ketone. Although the activation energy was slightly higher (around $2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) compared to the LiBr -catalyzed reaction (Figure 81), the intracyclic enolate ( $\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{TBD} 1}$ ) was favored rather than the side-chain enolate $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{TBD} 2}\right)$ with a energy difference $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ about $1.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$. The C-C distance was also shorter in the transition state $\left(\mathrm{TS}_{\mathrm{TBD1}}\right)$, which leads to ketol product 3.20. Thus, ketol $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ was favored due to faster enolization and less energetic transition state.

$\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl, aryl
$\mathrm{n}=1,2$


Figure 83. Baati's proton shuffling general base mechanism. ${ }^{52}$


Reaction pathway: enolization of ketone on the 5 -membered ring is represented in blue, while the enolization occuring on the side-chain is drawn in black.
Figure 84. Free energy profile of the TBD-catalyzed reaction in THF at 233 K .

The catalytic effect of TBD with and without LiBr was also observed in other triketone substrates (Table 11). Excellent yields of the ketol product were obtained from 2-methyl-2-(3-oxobutyl)cyclohexane-1,3-dione (4.4) (Table 11, entries 1 and 2). The reaction of phenyl trikeone 4.5 and TBD was not completed after 72 h , and gave a modest yield of $\beta$-ketol product (Table 11, entries 3). The ketone on the side-chain of 4.5 is stabilized by the phenyl group, and bulkier than $\mathbf{3 . 1 8}$, which may account for the lower yield. The conversion of phenyl triketone 4.5 was not improved using LiBr (Table 11, entries 4). Switching to benzyl triketone 4.6, gave the desired axial $\beta$-ketol 4.7 in only $30-40 \%$ yield (Table 11, entries 5 and 6). The efficiency of the TBD reaction was thus dependent on ketone substrate.

Table 11. Reactions with other triketones catalyzed by TBD with and without LiBr .


The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Entries $1-2$, 24 h ; entires $3-6,72 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{b}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture.

Intermolecular self-aldol reactions were studied using 5-hexen-2-one $\mathbf{3 . 1 3}$ in the presence of 1 equiv. TBD, but no reaction took place (Table 12, entry 1) in the absence and presence of LiBr (1 equiv.) (Table 12, entry 2). Adding stoichiometric amounts of $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ to the TBD reaction gave the ketol 3.14a in 48 \% yield (Table 12, entry 3), but on decreasing the quantities of TBD and $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ to catalytic amounts ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 3.14a was no longer observed. With stoichiometric amount of TBD serving as base, the $\beta$-ketol 3.14a may be generated through a magnesium chelated transition state, rather than by a TBD-mediated H -bonding mechanism. An indirect evidence of the magnesium chelate was obtained using (1 equiv.) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$, which gave 3.14a in 51 \% yield.

Table 12. Intermolecular Reactions in the presence of TBD with and without additives.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Base (equiv.) | Additive (equiv.) | Time (h) | Yield (\%) ${ }^{a}$ |
| 1 |  | - | 24 | n.r. |
| 2 |  <br> (1.00) | LiBr (1.00) | 24 | n.r. |
| 3 |  <br> (1.00) | $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(1.00)$ | 1 | 48 |
| 4 |  | $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(0.05)$ | 24 | n.r. |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.00) |  | 24 | n.r. |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.00) | LiBr (1.00) | 24 | n.r. |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.00) | $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}(1.00)$ | 2 | 51 |

The reactions were run with 0.25 mmol methyl ketone 3.13, in $2 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{THF} .{ }^{a}$ Isolated yield.

## 4-3-2 Studies toward the symmetric syntheses of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-ketols from benzyl triketone 4.6

Intrigued by the proposed H-bonded mechanism (Figure 82), we hypothesized that in the reaction of benzyl triketone 4.6 , chiral naphthyl amidine 4.3 may serve as a bifunctional catalyst to generate enantioselectively $\beta$-ketol (axial alcohol) 4.7, by a mechanism featuring $\pi$ $\pi$ stacking of the phenyl ring of 4.6 and naphthyl group in 4.3 (Figure 85).


Figure 85. Hypothesis for enantioselective synthesis of $\beta$-ketol 4.7 from benzyl triketone 4.6.

Benzyl triketone 4.6 was treated with amidine catalyst 4.3 at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h , but racemic $\beta$-ketol 4.7 was obtained in only $4 \%$ yield. The major product, 4.8 was a HajosParrish type of ketol (Table 13, entry 1). Although a modest improvement in yield of ketol 4.7 in the presence of $\mathrm{LiBr}, \beta$-ketol 4.8 remained the major product, and no enantioselectivity was observed for 4.7 (Table 13, entry 2). Switching to a catalytic amount DBU at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the formation of diastereomer 4.9 in $83 \%$ yield (Table 13, entry 3). The combination of DBU and LiBr gave the ketol 4.7 in 16 \% yield, accompanying with 4.8 in $81 \%$ yield (Table 13, entry 4). Treatment of 4.6 with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced ketol 4.8 in $82 \%$ yield (Table 13, entry 5). The relative configurations of all three ketol products were confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis (Figure 86).

Table 13. Reactions with benzyl triketone 4.6.


The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol triketone in 1 mL THF for $72 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Isolated yield.

4.8

4.7

4.9

Figure 86. X-ray structure of ketols 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9.

Although the attempt to enantioselectively synthesize ketol 4.7 was not successful, high diastereoselectivity was achieved in forming ketol 4.8 and 4.9. The generation of 4.7 and 4.8 using base and LiBr , and amide 4.3 at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 13, entries 1,2 and 4) may be explained by pre-coordination mechanisms (Figure 79, 82 and 87). The transition structures were modeled using DFT calculations in the gas phase at the $\omega$ B97x-D/def2-TZVP level by Dr. Gilles Berger (Figure 87A). With LiBr and base, $\Delta \Delta \mathrm{G}^{\ddagger}$ was found about $6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in favor of phenyl ring at the equatorial position. Amidine 4.3 may favour ketol 4.8 by a H bonding mechanism (Figure 87B).
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\text { Base = DBU, amidine } 4.3
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Figure 87. Proposed Li-coordinated and bifunctional H-bonding transition states model in the reaction of benzyl triketone 4.6 at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Temperature was important for the diastereoselective cyclization of 4.6. Using $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ DBU at $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a chair conformation may be was preferred to give the more stable ketol product 4.9 (Figure 88 ). At $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ketol 4.8 was generated as the kinetic product, through another chair transition state (Figure 88). The kinetic property of ketol 4.8 was also confirmed by treating it with $5 \% \mathrm{DBU}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, giving the more stable ketol 4.9 in $39 \%$ yield as calculated from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture (Table 14, entry 2). In contrast, treatment of ketol 4.9 under the same condition, only $8 \%$ starting benzyl triketone 4.6 was observed after 12 h , which was generated through a retro-aldol reaction (Table 14).


Figure 88. Proposed transition state model and effect of the temperature in the reaction of benzyl triketone 4.6 with DBU.

Table 14. Equilibrium reactions between ketol 4.8 and 4.9.


The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol ketol in 1 mL THF for $12 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{a}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture.

## 4-3-3 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Ketol formation from a benzyl diketone 4.10

Based on all the previous observations and mechanistic studies in the $\beta$-ketol formation from aldol reactions, we hypothesized that if 1-phenylheptane-2,6-dione (4.10) was treated with catalytic amount DBU and LiBr , first, there should be no intermolecular aldol reaction since it requires a stoichiometric amount base and $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ (Table 12), then in the intromolecular reaction, it should give only one $\beta$-ketol diastereomer due to the LiBr preorganzation. The same result would be expected by using catalytic amount TBD. Thus, to prove our hypothesis, benzyl diketone 4.10 was firstly treated with $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{DBU}$, there was no reaction (Table 15, entry 1). Then, upon addition of $5 \% \mathrm{LiBr}$, the reaction gave ketol 4.11 as a single diastereomer in $74 \%$ yield (Table 12, entry 2 ). The same ketol product was also obtained using $5 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{TBD}$ as we expected (Table 12, entry 2 ). The relative configuration of 4.11 was confirmed by singer crystal x-ray analysis (Figure 89). Treating $\mathbf{4 . 1 0}$ with chiral amidine 4.3 with and without LiBr , there was no reaction at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It is notable that under the same conditions, benzyl triketone 4.6 was enolized (Table 13, entries 1 and 2). At room temperature, with the combination of amidine and $\mathrm{LiBr}, 23 \%$ ketol product $\mathbf{4 . 1 1}$ was observed from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude mixture (Table 15, entry 7). Extending the reaction time to 72 h , however, no additional ketol 4.11 was obtained (Table 15, entry 8). Therefore, these results confirmed our hypothesis, and supported the proposed lithium pre-organization and bifunctional H-bonded mechanisms (Figure 90).

Table 15. Intramolecular aldol reaction of 1-phenylheptane-2,6-dione (4.10).
Entry

The reactions were run with 0.14 mmol diketone in 1 mL THF for 12 h . ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Calculated from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of crude reaction mixture.


Figure 89. X-ray structure of ketol 4.11.


Figure 90. Proposed transition state model in the cyclization of diketone 4.10.

## 4-4 Conclusion

Inspired by the observation of $\beta$-ketol product $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ from intramolecular cyclization of triketone 3.18, we screened reaction temperatures, catalyst loadings, additives, as well as chiral and achiral bases. This led to the successful development of a catalytic synthesis of ketol 3.20, which can be highly efficiently generated either through a lithium pre-organization mechanism, by using a combination of a suitable base (e.g. DBU) and lithium salt (e.g. LiBr ) as the catalysts, or via a bifunctional H-bonding mechanism, by using TBD as the catalyst. These mechanisms were also supported by DFT computational studies. In addition to triketone 3.18, Our methods of catalytic synthesis of $\beta$-ketol were also applicable to other ketone substrates (e.g. benzyl trikeone 4.6 and benzyl dikeone 4.10). While, for intermolecular reactions, it was found that stoichiometric amount of base and $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$ were essential.

In the efforts of asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-ketol, although so far there was no success with chiral amidines, we have designed a new chiral catalyst 4.12 based on the predications by DFT calculations (Figure 91). Thus, it is proposed that the $\pi$-stacking was not possible due to the shorter connecting chain between the naphthyl group and the amidine motif in $\mathbf{4 . 3}$ (Figure 85 and 91). By adding one more carbon to extend the connecting chain, and also changing the substitution on the naphthalene to 2 -position rather than 1 -position, 4.12 could act as
bifunctional catalyst through a possible $\pi$-stacking and H -bonding transition model and the enantioselectivity could be introduced from the chiral methyl group in the catalyst 4.12 (Figure 91 ). The synthesis of catalyst $\mathbf{4 . 1 2}$ is currently in progress.


Figure 91. Proposed bifunctional chiral amidine catalyst and the transition state model from DFT calculations.

## 4-5 Experimental

General methods are same as described in the experimental section in Chapter 1.

General procedure: ketone substrate was dissolved in solvent in a dried flask. The resulting solution was stirred for 0.5 h , then base or base and additive were added to the solution. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24-72h, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted by $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography.

For screening conditions, all the reactions were run with 3.18 ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous solvent ( 1 mL ) for 24 h . Pure reference ketol products $\mathbf{3 . 1 9}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 2 1}$ were synthesized according to reported procedures by Hajos and Parrish. ${ }^{37}$ The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{37,41}$

3.19
( $\pm$ )-4 $\alpha$-Hydroxy-1 $\beta$, $\mathbf{4 \beta}$-dimethylbicyclo[3.2.I]octane-7,8-dione (3.19). White solid, yield ( $70 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=19.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=19.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.41-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 213.1,211.1,58.5,58.0,40.9,35.5,32.84,26.8,11.5$. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$205.0835, found 205.0835.

3.21
( $\pm$ )-3 $\mathbf{3}, 4,7,7 \alpha$-Tetrahydro-3 $\alpha \beta$-hydroxyl-7 $\alpha \beta$-methyl-1,5(6H)-indandione (3.21). White solid, yield (56\%). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.67(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 218.1,207.9,81.5,52.5,50.4,36.5,33.5$, 32.8, 29.7, 13.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$205.0835, found 205.0839.

Typical procedure of base \& additive (e.g. DBU \& LiBr) catalyzed reaction of 3.18: (Table 5, entry 4)

2-Methyl-2-(3-oxobutyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (3.18) ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 0.8 mL ) in a dried flask at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred for 0.5 h , DBU $(1.1 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.007 mmol ) as 0.1 mL of a THF solution of $\mathrm{DBU}(11 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ and $\mathrm{LiBr}(0.61 \mathrm{mg}, 0.007$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ as 0.1 mL of a THF Solution of $\operatorname{LiBr}(6.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ were added to the solution. The reaction was stirred for 24 h in total, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 2:1 to 1:1) to give $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ ( $22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ) as white solid.

Typical procedure of base (e.g. TBD) catalyzed reaction of 3.18: (Table 8, entry 15)
2-Methyl-2-(3-oxobutyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (3.18) ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in THF ( 0.9 mL ) in a dried flask at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was stirred for 0.5 h , TBD $(0.97 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.007 \mathrm{mmol})$ as 0.1 mL of a THF solution of $\mathrm{DBU}(9.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL})$ was added to the solution. The reaction was stirred for 24 h in total, quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, 2:1 to 1:1) to give $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (22.3 $\mathrm{mg}, 89 \%$ ) as white solid.


1,3-Bis((R)-1-phenylethyl)imidazolidin-2-imine (4.1) was synthesized according a known procedure. ${ }^{48 a}$ The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{48}$ Colorless solid, yield ( $63 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 8.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{q}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-$ $3.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(+) 82.6^{\circ},\left(c 1.00, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$294.1965, found 294.1973.

(S)-N-(1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl)-3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyridin-2-amine hydrochloride salt
 solid, yield ( $22 \%$ ). m.p. $257-259{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{50}$, m.p. $258-259{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) $\delta$ 8.09-8.04 (m, 2H), 8.02-7.94 (m, 1H), 7.72-7.63 (m, 2H), 7.62-7.55 (m, 2H), $5.54(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=6.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \operatorname{NMR}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \delta 162.7,135.4,133.7,129.8,129.2$, $128.8,127.0,126.3,125.8,122.6,122.1,48.3,41.8,26.2,20.6,20.3,17.5 ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}(-) 19.0^{\circ},(c$ $0.21, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ); FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 3033, 2967, 2874, 1654, 1593, 1450, 1414, 1401, 1371, $1356,1332,1308,1263,1210,1176,1141,1110,1065,1017,1000,922,805,783,758 ;$ HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 253.1699$, found 253.1702.

The free amidine 4.3 was then obtained by washing the hydrochloride salt with one equiv. of 5 M NaOH , followed by extracting with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and left on high vacuum for 2 h to give 4.3 as colorless oil.

Table 9, the enantiomeric excess was determined by SFC.

SFC conditions: ChiralPAK@AS-H, $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}, 150$ bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, Column temperature: $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, flow rate: $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, detection: UV $210 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{MS}$.
(Table 9. Entry 3), e.e. $=10.2 \%$


Signal 1: MSD1 TIC, MS File
Signal 2: MSD1 183, EIC=182.8:183.8

| Peak \# | RetTime <br> [min] |  | Width <br> [min] | Area | Height | Area \% | Peak \# | RetTime [min] | Type | Width <br> [min] | Area | Height | Area \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.341 |  | 0.1810 | 4.24666 e 5 | 3.91061 e 4 | 44.8670 | 1 | 2.343 | MM | 0.1850 | 9.35081 e 4 | 8424.92090 | 44.9466 |
| 2 | 3.399 | MM | 0.2236 | 5.21833e5 | 3.88959e4 | 55.1330 | 2 | 3.402 | MM | 0.2172 | 1.14534 e 5 | 8786.87402 | 55.0534 |

(Table 9. Entry 4), e.e. $=6.6 \%$


Signal 2: MSD1 183, EIC=182:184

(Table 9. Entry 10), e.e. $=11.9$ \%



The e.e. of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$ (Table 9, entry 6) was determined by chiral GC analysis of the corresponding acetate of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}$.

(1SR,2SR,5RS)-2,5-Dimethyl-6,8-dioxobicyclo[3.2.1]octan-2-yl acetate. $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 46 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added to a solution of $\mathbf{3 . 2 0}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(34 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 31 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ and DMAP ( $0.24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.002 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction was stirred at r.t. for 24 h , quenched with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$, and extracted
three time with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phases were combined, washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and filtered. The volatiles were under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $4: 1$ to $3: 1$ ) to give the acetate ( $5.0 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as white solid. m.p. 99-100 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 3.67-3.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.06(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{1H}), 2.07-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 212.3,210.5,170.2,89.6,58.2,52.7,41.8,37.9,31.0,23.3$, 22.0, 11.7; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 2928, 1769, 1729, 1454, 1432, 1407, 1371, 1301, 1245, 1200, 1134, 1065, 1052, 1017, 983, 962, 936, 869, 841, 800; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{4}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} 247.0941$, found 247.0939 .

GC conditions: Agilent/HP 6890 GC series with Agilent/HP 7683 automatic liquid sampler, fitted with a $\beta$-cyclodextrin 120 column, $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isotherm, 40 min .
(Table 9. Entry 6), e.e. $=0.5 \%$


Table 11:


2-Methyl-2-(3-oxobutyl)cyclohexane-1,3-dione (4.4) was synthesized according a known procedure. ${ }^{55}$ The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{56}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.83-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.34(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 210.3,207.9,116.2,64.4,38.5,37.8,30.1,29.6,20.2,17.7$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$219.0992, found 219.0992.

(1RS,5RS,6SR)-6-Hydroxy-1,6-dimethylbicyclo[3.3.1]nonane-2,9-dione was obtained from 4.4 based on the typical procedures mentioned before.

Whitle solid. m.p. $110-112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .85 \%$ using TBD, [ $86 \%$ using TBD \& LiBr]. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 2.71-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 211.5,211.3,78.8,62.4,56.9,38.2,37.0,32.4,27.9,19.2,16.4 ;$ FTIR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ (neat) $3421,2973,2935,1731,1693,1467,1450,1405,1378,1333,1310,1293,1246,1232$, 1218, 1178, 1150, 1123, 1090, 1066, 1048, 1022, 1009, 945, 924, 876, 815; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$219.09917, found 219.09855.
4.5 was synthesized from acetophenone:



1-Phenylprop-2-en-1-one was synthesized according a known procedure. ${ }^{57}$ The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{57}$ Yield ( $680 \mathrm{mg}, 52 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.99-7.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.59-7.49 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,10.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.1,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.88(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 190.7, 137.1, 132.8, 132.2, 129.9, 128.5, 128.4 .


2-Methyl-2-(3-oxo-3-phenylpropyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione(4.5).
1-phenylprop-2-en-1-one ( $656 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissoved in EtOAc ( 75 mL ), followed by addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(506 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.7 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution was stirred at r.t. for 0.5 h , followed by addition of methyl vinyl ketone ( $374 \mathrm{mg}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 445 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). After stirring for 6 days, the volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane: EtOAc, $3: 1$ to $2: 1$ ) to give the $4.5(540 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%)$ as white solid. m.p. $77-80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{58}$, m.p. $80-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{58}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.98-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.61-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $2.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 215.7$, 199.2, 136.4, 133.2, 128.6, 127.9, 55.3, 34.7, 32.5, 28.4, 19.1; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$ 245.1172, found 245.1177.



1-Phenylbut-3-en-2-one was synthesized according a known procedure from benzyl zinc chloride solution $(18.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acryloyl chloride $(1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{59}$ Colorless liquid $(1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$. The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{59}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.46-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $6.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 197.4,135.4,133.9,129.3,128.8,128.5$, 126.8, 46.9.


2-Methyl-2-(3-oxo-4-phenylbutyl)cyclopentane-1,3-dione (4.6) was synthesized according to the procedure for synthesizing 4.5. Starting from 1-phenylbut-3-en-2-one ( $439 \mathrm{mg}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and methyl vinyl ketone ( $224 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}, 266 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). Whitle solid. ( $450 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ). m.p. (55-57 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.43-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-$ $2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 215.4,207.1,133.5,129.1,128.3,126.7,54.7,49.5,35.6,34.3,27.6,18.5 ;$ FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 2949, 1754, 1704, 1601, 1493, 1453, 1418, 1406, 1365, 1329, 1307, 1279, 1198, 1171, 1132, 1087, 1072, 1046, 1028, 989, 891, 859, 816, 791, 757, 743, 698, 649; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$259.1329, found 259.1330.

4.7
(1SR,2RS,5RS)-2-Benzyl-2-hydroxy-5-methylbicyclo[3.2.1]octane-6,8-dione (4.7) was obtained from $4.6(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ based on the typical procedure described before. White solid ( $8.7 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ using TBD, [10.4 mg, $40 \%$, using TBD \& LiBr]. m.p. $124-129{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.40-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81-2.59(\mathrm{~m}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 213.3,210.8,134.6,130.4,128.8,127.4,81.6,58.6,54.4,46.0,42.1,38.2$, 31.1, 11.8; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) $3490,2918,2850,1762,1716,1498,1448,1403,1373,1354$, $1324,1278,1252,1213,1147,1125,1104,1071,1048,1034,1001,965,886,859,803,778$, 716, 705, 658; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 259.1329$, found 259.1330.

(3aSR,4RS,7aSR)-3a-Hydroxy-7a-methyl-4-phenylhexahydro-1H-indene-1,5(4H)-dione (4.8) was obtained from $4.6(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ based on the typical procedure of the reaction of 3.18. White solid. $22 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ using 4.3, [ $16.8 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ using $4.3 \& \mathrm{LiBr}]$, [ $81 \%$, 21.0 mg using DBU], [82 \%, 21.4 mg using DBU at $\left.-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right]$. m.p. $105-107^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.44-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{ddd}, J=19.7,10.0,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 217.8,207.2,132.9,131.0,128.5,127.9,83.7,61.0,53.3,37.4$, 34.6, 31.3, 28.7, 19.3; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat) 3505, 2967, 1732, 1716, 1496, 1471, 1450, 1434, $1410,1379,1353,1320,1301,1279,1263,1213,1182,1127,1096,1044,944,883,828,777$, 748, 727, 701, 673; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$259.1329, found 259.1331.

(3aSR,4SR,7aSR)-3a-hydroxy-7a-methyl-4-phenylhexahydro- $1 H$-indene- $\mathbf{1 , 5 ( 4 H ) \text { -dione }}$
(4.9) was obtained from $4.6(26 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ based on the typical procedure of the reaction of 3.16. White solid ( $21.5 \mathrm{mg}, 83 \%$ ). m.p. $162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.42-$ $7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-$ $2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 217.5,205.4$, $131.4,131.3,128.4,128.1,83.9,63.2,53.2,36.9,32.7,31.0,29.8,12.5 ;$ FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) (neat)

3480, 2970, 2938, 2874, 1734, 1710, 1499, 1474, 1455, 1418, 1405, 1376, 1345, 1311, 1265, 1231, 1209, 1136, 1060, 1017, 975, 954, 873, 800, 769, 726, 703; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} 259.1329$, found 259.1328 .

Table 13, the enantiomeric excess of 4.7 was determined by SFC.
SFC conditions: ChiralPAK@AS-H, $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$, particle size $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}, 150$ bar $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, Column temperature: $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, flow rate: $3 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, detection: UV 210 nm, MS.
(Table 13 entry 1 ) e.e $<1 \%$, racemic


Signal 1: MSD1 TIC, MS File

| Peak \# | RetTime [min] |  | Width <br> [min] | Area | Height | Area \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Peak } \\ \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ {[\mathrm{min}]} \end{gathered}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | Area | Height | $\begin{gathered} \text { Area } \\ \text { \% } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.822 |  | 0.1413 | 9.63000 e 4 | 1.13623 e4 | 50.2168 | 1 | 2.817 |  | 0.1395 | 2.05023 e 4 | 2450.16943 | 49.8792 |
| 2 | 5.055 |  | 0.1604 | 9.54684 e 4 | 9920.22266 | 49.7832 | 2 | 5.025 |  | 0.1530 | 2.06017e4 | 2244.6411 | 50.1208 |

(Table 13 entry 2 ) e.e $<1 \%$, racemic


Signal 2: MSD1 TIC, MS File
Signal 3: MSD1 259, EIC=258.8:259.8

| Peak \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RetTime } \\ & \text { [min] } \end{aligned}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | Area | Height | Area \% | Peak \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { RetTime } \\ \text { [min] } \end{gathered}$ | Type | Width <br> [min] | Area | Height | Area \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.772 |  | 0.0964 | 9.47291 e 4 | 1.63833 e 4 | 50.0103 | 1 | 2.774 | MM | 0.0890 | 2.12567 e 4 | 3982.44556 | 49.7152 |
| 2 | 4.976 | MM | 0.1393 | 9.46902 e 4 | 1.13269 e 4 | 49.9897 | 2 | 4.964 | MM | 0.1392 | 2.15003 e 4 | 2573.74072 | 50.2848 |

Table 15:


1-Phenylheptane-2,6-dione (4.10) was prepared according to a known procedure from benzyl magnesium chloride solution ( 2.25 mmol ) and 3,4-dihydro-6-methyl-2H-pyran -2-one ( 0.5 g , $4.5 \mathrm{mmol}) .{ }^{60}$ The spectroscopic data were in agreement with the proposed structures and matched those reported in the literature. ${ }^{60}$ Colorless solid, ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 11 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.37-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.49(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{p}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (101 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 208.3,207.8,134.1,129.3,128.7,127.0,50.1,42.3,40.6,29.8,17.6$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{NaO}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$227.1043, found 227.1045.

4.11
(2RS,3RS)-3-Hydroxy-3-methyl-2-phenylcyclohexan-1-one (4.11) was obtained from 4.10 ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) based on the typical procedure of the reaction of 3.18. White solid, 21.0 $\mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ using DBU \& LiBr, [24.7 mg, $85 \%$ using TBD]. m.p. $109-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.42-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-$ $2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 207.9,134.2,130.9,128.1,127.3,76.6,66.5,41.1,39.0,29.9,21.3$; FTIR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ) (neat) $3451,3028,2974,2955,2912,1698,1497,1445,1426,1371,1353,1318,1272$, $1244,1217,1181,1143,1118,1066,1038,998,960,943,930,912,888,868,853,801$, 785,743, 700; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$205.1223, found 205.1224.
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## Annex 1: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.32
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## Annex 2: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.39
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## Annex 3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.44
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## Annex 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.49
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## Annex 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.65




## Annex 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.70
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Annex 7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.77




## Annex 8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

 1.100


## Annex 9: ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 1.101





## Annex 10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound
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## Annex 11: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound
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## Annex 12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

1.120


## Annex 13: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.123




$-140.839$
133.252
$<127.843$
$<\begin{array}{r}127.803\end{array}$

- 62.193
$-57.993$
43.884
43.633
34.946
31.494
30.863
29.252
29.101
29.018
27.566
22.233
13.478


## Annex 14: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.124




## Annex 15: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

1.125



## Annex 16: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

1.126



## Annex 17: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

1.127



## Annex 18: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.129




140.783
-135.749
128.190
$\times 127.293$
$-68.515$
-64.041
-58.171
44.691
44.380
35.033
32.252
31.558
31.004
29.262
29.166
29.049
22.274
13.517

## Annex 19: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.131




## Annex 20: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.134




$$
\begin{array}{r}
140.961 \\
-136.033 \\
-128.419 \\
-127.624
\end{array}
$$

$-61.189$


## Annex 21: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.137




## Annex 22: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

 1.139




## Annex 23: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

 1.141


## Annex 24: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.150




## Annex 25: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.157




- 140.716
$-135.840$
128.007
-126.845



## Annex 26: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.161




## Annex 27: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.164






$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (10) } \\
& \text { - } 135.7 \\
& =_{127.0}^{129.0}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Annex 28: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.170






$$
\begin{array}{r}
-140.807 \\
-136.600 \\
-128.310 \\
-127.270
\end{array}
$$



## Annex 29: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 1.175




- 140.814
$-136.625$ 128.310
-127.259



## Annex 30: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.1




## Annex 31: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.2




## Annex 32: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.3




## Annex 33: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.4



$-217.405$
$-143.243$
133.772
$\mathbf{r}$
$\mathbf{1} 28.467$
128.188

- 76.520
- 70.247
59.522
$<\quad 59.430$
$-49.821$
42.819
34.230
31.869
30.026
28.793
27.581
27.473
22.869


## Annex 35: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.21




## Annex 36: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.22




- 140.755
- 134.873
128.471
$<$
$<$ 28.110
- 76.685
$-70.828$
60.690
$<60.264$
$-50.164$
34.985
32.831
32.797
31.364
29.740
29.710
28.744
23.540
22.432
13.945
$\rightarrow 1.030 \xrightarrow{\sim}$


## Annex 37: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.30




## Annex 38: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 2.38




## Annex 39: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

3.14a



| 凖 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |

$-212.27$
$=_{136.60}^{13.59}$
$\mathcal{C l}_{114.42}^{115.48}$

| $\substack{77.32 \\ -77.00 \\ -76.68 \\ -71.48}$ |
| :---: |

$-51.58$
-43.54
-41.07
28.19
$\mathcal{C}_{-27.71}^{27.19}$

## Annex 40: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

 3.14b

## Annex 41: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 3.14c




## Annex 42: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 3.14d




## Annex 43: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

### 3.14e




## Annex 44: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

 3.14f

$-72.169$ $-59.132$
$-44.070$
36.317
33.420
33.420
29.227 29.227
-28.479
26.078 26.078
25.697
21.864
21.564

## Annex 45: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

## $\mathbf{3 . 1 4 g}$




## Annex 46: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound

 3.14h



## Annex 47: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 3.15




## Annex 48: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 3.19




## Annex 49: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 3.20




## Annex 50: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 3.21




## Annex 51: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 4.3




## Annex 52: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 4.6




## Annex 53: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 4.7




## Annex 54: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 4.8



## Annex 55: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 4.9




## Annex 56: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 4.10




## Annex 57: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Spectra of Compound 4.11




-2.591
-2.586
-2.579
-2.579
-2.558
-2.558
-2.551
-2.545
2.551
-2.545
-2.541
$\left[\begin{array}{l}2.541 \\ 2.485 \\ 2.478\end{array}\right.$
2.48
2.478
2.469
2.451
2.451
2.436
2.436
2.416
2.403
2.416
2.403
2.307
$\left[\begin{array}{r}2.307 \\ 2.295 \\ -2.282\end{array}\right.$
$\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{r}2.282 \\ 2.273 \\ -2.261\end{array}\right.$
$=\sqrt{2.26}$
2.238
2.227
-2.217
$\left(\begin{array}{l}2.217 \\ 2.205 \\ 2.201\end{array}\right.$
$\left[\begin{array}{r}-2.078 \\ 2.078\end{array}\right.$
$\left[\begin{array}{l}-2.078 \\ 2.069\end{array}\right.$
-2.069
2.058
2.054
2.042
-2.042
2.039
$\left[\begin{array}{r}2.030 \\ 2.025 \\ 2.019\end{array}\right.$
2.019
2.013
$\left[\begin{array}{r}2.013 \\ -2.007\end{array}\right.$
$\left[\begin{array}{l}-2.007 \\ -2.004\end{array}\right.$
$-2.004$
-2.000
-1.996
-1.996
-1.987
$-1.980$
$-1.972$
-1.962
-1.955
-1.574
$-1.081$


## Annex 58: X-Ray Data for Compound 1.148

## Université m n

de Montréal

## CRYSTAL AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF

```
    Equipe Hanessian
    Département de chimie, Université de Montréal,
C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7 (Canada)
```


Structure solved and refined in the laboratory of $X$-ray
diffraction Université de Montréal by Robert D. Giacometti.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for C28 H45 N1 O4.

| Identification code | robe26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 459.65 |
| Temperature/K | 100.15 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | C2 |
| a/A | 26.5372 (15) |
| b/A | 5.5899 (4) |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | 20.8906(12) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 118.206(3) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 2730.9(3) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.118 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.576 |
| F (000) | 1008.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.23 \times 0.06 \times 0.04$ |
| Radiation | $\mathrm{CuK} \alpha(\lambda=1.54178)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 4.8 to 142.454 |
| Index ranges | $-31 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 32,-6 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6,-24 \leq 1 \leq 25$ |
| Reflections collected | 10895 |
| Independent reflections | 4622 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0436, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0563\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 4622/29/325 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.005 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0635, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1578$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0714, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.1628$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.31/-0.37 |
| Flack parameter | 0.0 (2) |

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for C28 H45 N1 04.

Ueq is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C1 | $830.1(15)$ | $3805(8)$ | $3470.3(19)$ | $24.1(8)$ |
| C2 | $1122.4(15)$ | $2867(9)$ | $4259.9(19)$ | $27.7(8)$ |
| C3 | $643.3(15)$ | $2748(8)$ | $4476.4(19)$ | $25.0(8)$ |
| C4 | $269.9(14)$ | $4883(8)$ | $4064.6(19)$ | $24.9(8)$ |
| C5 | $-88.3(15)$ | $5962(8)$ | $2787.4(19)$ | $24.5(8)$ |
| C6 | $-282.4(16)$ | $6974(8)$ | $1550(2)$ | $27.1(9)$ |
| C7 | $-904.0(16)$ | $6218(9)$ | $1166(2)$ | $34.9(10)$ |
| C8 | $-204.3(19)$ | $9626(10)$ | $1696(2)$ | $37.7(10)$ |
| C9 | $23.7(17)$ | $6194(9)$ | $1121(2)$ | $32.9(10)$ |
| C10 | $1200.8(15)$ | $5745(8)$ | $3396.1(19)$ | $25.2(8)$ |
| C11 | $2141.1(16)$ | $6155(9)$ | $3443(2)$ | $34.2(10)$ |
| C12 | $2571(2)$ | $4271(11)$ | $3508(3)$ | $54.5(15)$ |
| C13 | $2377.2(18)$ | $7730(12)$ | $4112(2)$ | $44.3(12)$ |
| C14 | $1942.7(19)$ | $7564(11)$ | $2746(2)$ | $40(1)$ |
| C15 | $851.5(15)$ | $2740(8)$ | $5284.7(19)$ | $25.2(8)$ |
| C16 | $732.1(15)$ | $807(8)$ | $5615(2)$ | $26.4(8)$ |
| C17 | $915.6(15)$ | $771(8)$ | $6360(2)$ | $26.4(8)$ |
| C18 | $1229.0(15)$ | $2651(8)$ | $6799.1(19)$ | $26.5(8)$ |
| C19 | $1360.6(15)$ | $4581(8)$ | $6475(2)$ | $26.8(8)$ |
| C20 | $1169.6(15)$ | $4617(8)$ | $5731(2)$ | $25.9(8)$ |
| C21 | $1431.4(17)$ | $2601(9)$ | $7605(2)$ | $31.7(9)$ |
| C22 | $1828.6(16)$ | $488(9)$ | $7996(2)$ | $30.9(9)$ |
| C23 | $2087.4(17)$ | $631(9)$ | $8816(2)$ | $33.8(9)$ |
| C24 | $2450.5(18)$ | $-1500(9)$ | $9225(2)$ | $35.4(10)$ |
| C25 | $2937.2(19)$ | $-2073(12)$ | $9049(2)$ | $44.0(12)$ |
| C26 | $3344.4(18)$ | $-3972(11)$ | $9538(2)$ | $41.9(11)$ |
| C27A | $3736(2)$ | $-5069(11)$ | $9261(3)$ | $37.1(14)$ |
| C27B | $3927(9)$ | $-3600(80)$ | $9537(17)$ | $44(6)$ |
| C28A | $4134(3)$ | $-3207(14)$ | $9214(5)$ | $64(2)$ |
| C28B | $3870(20)$ | $-3850(100)$ | $8770(20)$ | $66(7)$ |
| N1 | $291.0(12)$ | $4812(7)$ | $3380.0(16)$ | $25.5(7)$ |
| O1 | $-491.0(11)$ | $7126(6)$ | $2749.8(15)$ | $30.2(7)$ |
| O2 | $32.3(10)$ | $5628(5)$ | $2228.8(13)$ | $25.9(6)$ |
| O3 | $1118.4(12)$ | $7856(6)$ | $3382.2(16)$ | $34.1(7)$ |
| O4 | $1658.8(11)$ | $4685(6)$ | $3396.1(15)$ | $30.9(6)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3. Hydrogen atom coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for C28 H45 N1 04.

Ueq is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathrm{U}($ eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H1 | 760 | 2476 | 3117 | 29 |
| H2A | 1290 | 1263 | 4287 | 33 |
| H2B | 1429 | 3969 | 4584 | 33 |
| H3 | 419 | 1251 | 4268 | 30 |
| H4A | -126 | 4688 | 3985 | 30 |
| H4B | 429 | 6400 | 4327 | 30 |
| H7A | -928 | 4471 | 1124 | 52 |
| H7B | -1094 | 6931 | 680 | 52 |
| H7C | -1093 | 6763 | 1446 | 52 |
| H8A | -403 | 10118 | 1969 | 57 |
| H8B | -364 | 10494 | 1234 | 57 |
| H8C | 204 | 9990 | 1980 | 57 |
| H9A | 429 | 6623 | 1393 | 49 |
| H9B | -149 | 7000 | 649 | 49 |
| H9C | -13 | 4458 | 1048 | 49 |
| H12A | 2701 | 3402 | 3967 | 82 |
| H12B | 2899 | 5040 | 3498 | 82 |
| H12C | 2393 | 3148 | 3101 | 82 |
| H13A | 2106 | 9023 | 4040 | 66 |
| H13B | 2743 | 8414 | 4195 | 66 |
| H13C | 2435 | 6772 | 4534 | 66 |
| H14A | 1768 | 6474 | 2330 | 60 |
| H14B | 2271 | 8369 | 2746 | 60 |
| H14C | 1661 | 8760 | 2710 | 60 |
| H16 | 521 | -511 | 5325 | 32 |
| H17 | 825 | -561 | 6569 | 32 |
| H19 | 1583 | 5875 | 6767 | 32 |
| H20 | 1258 | 5955 | 5522 | 31 |
| H21A | 1094 | 2523 | 7688 | 38 |
| H21B | 1636 | 4112 | 7821 | 38 |
| H22A | 1610 | -1020 | 7826 | 37 |
| H22B | 2141 | 444 | 7864 | 37 |
| H23A | 2326 | 2090 | 8985 | 41 |
| H23B | 1774 | 805 | 8943 | 41 |
| H24A | 2200 | -2922 | 9112 | 43 |
| H24B | 2617 | -1191 | 9753 | 43 |
| H25A | 2770 | -2618 | 8539 | 53 |
| H25B | 3156 | -590 | 9095 | 53 |
| H26A | 3118 | -5270 | 9601 | 50 |
| H26B | 3586 | -3259 | 10022 | 50 |
| H26C | 3191 | -5587 | 9354 | 50 |
| H26D | 3396 | -3813 | 10037 | 50 |
| H27A | 3965 | -6369 | 9592 | 45 |
| H27B | 3498 | -5774 | 8774 | 45 |
| H27C | 4077 | -1988 | 9729 | 53 |
| H27D | 4207 | -4793 | 9862 | 53 |
| H28A | 3908 | -1966 | 8864 | 97 |
| H28B | 4388 | -3973 | 9055 | 97 |
| H28C | 4363 | -2481 | 9693 | 97 |
| H28D | 3756 | -2306 | 8523 | 99 |
| H28E | 3573 | -5046 | 8499 | 99 |
|  |  | -4360 | 8810 | 99 |
| H233 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4. Anisotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \mathrm{x} 10^{3}$ ) for C28 H45 N1 04 .
The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:

$$
-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a *^{2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a * b * U_{12}\right]
$$

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | $20.0(15)$ | $34(2)$ | $23.9(17)$ | $0.7(16)$ | $14.7(13)$ | $3.6(16)$ |
| C2 | $20.9(15)$ | $42(2)$ | $24.5(17)$ | $2.1(18)$ | $14.4(14)$ | $5.3(19)$ |
| C3 | $22.7(16)$ | $32(2)$ | $24.7(17)$ | $-1.9(17)$ | $15.0(14)$ | $-1.0(18)$ |
| C4 | $23.1(16)$ | $32(2)$ | $23.7(17)$ | $0.0(17)$ | $14.8(14)$ | $-2.4(18)$ |
| C5 | $26.5(17)$ | $30(2)$ | $21.5(16)$ | $-0.1(17)$ | $15.0(14)$ | $-1.7(18)$ |
| C6 | $27.6(17)$ | $36(2)$ | $22.8(16)$ | $2.6(18)$ | $15.9(14)$ | $3.9(18)$ |
| C7 | $31.1(19)$ | $46(3)$ | $28.0(18)$ | $3.9(19)$ | $14.6(16)$ | $2(2)$ |
| C8 | $46(2)$ | $37(2)$ | $36(2)$ | $4(2)$ | $24.6(19)$ | $-1(2)$ |
| C9 | $39(2)$ | $43(3)$ | $26.8(18)$ | $2.3(19)$ | $23.3(16)$ | $3(2)$ |
| C10 | $22.0(16)$ | $32(2)$ | $24.6(16)$ | $2.6(17)$ | $13.7(13)$ | $6.1(18)$ |
| C11 | $24.6(18)$ | $41(3)$ | $46(2)$ | $1(2)$ | $23.8(17)$ | $-6(2)$ |
| C12 | $39(2)$ | $55(3)$ | $91(4)$ | $13(3)$ | $48(3)$ | $0(3)$ |
| C13 | $29.2(19)$ | $66(3)$ | $40(2)$ | $0(3)$ | $17.9(17)$ | $-11(2)$ |
| C14 | $36(2)$ | $51(3)$ | $42(2)$ | $-1(2)$ | $25.8(18)$ | $-8(2)$ |
| C15 | $22.0(16)$ | $33(2)$ | $24.3(17)$ | $2.9(18)$ | $13.8(14)$ | $6.0(18)$ |
| C16 | $24.7(16)$ | $31(2)$ | $28.6(18)$ | $-3.1(18)$ | $17.0(14)$ | $-0.6(18)$ |
| C17 | $26.4(17)$ | $31(2)$ | $28.4(18)$ | $5.7(18)$ | $18.8(15)$ | $5.4(18)$ |
| C18 | $24.8(16)$ | $35(2)$ | $25.3(18)$ | $2.4(17)$ | $16.4(14)$ | $9.3(18)$ |
| C19 | $24.8(16)$ | $32(2)$ | $27.0(18)$ | $-1.7(18)$ | $14.9(14)$ | $3.5(18)$ |
| C20 | $24.3(16)$ | $31(2)$ | $28.5(18)$ | $5.0(18)$ | $17.4(14)$ | $3.5(18)$ |
| C21 | $38(2)$ | $38(2)$ | $25.9(18)$ | $1.4(19)$ | $20.8(16)$ | $5(2)$ |
| C22 | $30.7(18)$ | $41(2)$ | $26.2(18)$ | $3.5(18)$ | $18.1(15)$ | $7.1(19)$ |
| C23 | $34.7(19)$ | $45(3)$ | $25.9(19)$ | $0(2)$ | $17.7(16)$ | $2(2)$ |
| C24 | $32(2)$ | $51(3)$ | $28.4(19)$ | $2.7(19)$ | $18.2(16)$ | $2(2)$ |
| C25 | $36(2)$ | $69(3)$ | $34(2)$ | $9(2)$ | $22.2(18)$ | $8(3)$ |
| C26 | $34(2)$ | $62(3)$ | $36(2)$ | $7(2)$ | $21.1(17)$ | $8(2)$ |
| C27A | $40(3)$ | $38(3)$ | $38(3)$ | $-2(3)$ | $22(2)$ | $3(3)$ |
| C27B | $47(11)$ | $45(12)$ | $41(11)$ | $-1(10)$ | $21(9)$ | $0(11)$ |
| C28A | $57(4)$ | $57(4)$ | $110(6)$ | $0(5)$ | $65(5)$ | $-1(4)$ |
| C28B | $63(12)$ | $59(12)$ | $98(13)$ | $-1(11)$ | $55(10)$ | $5(11)$ |
| N1 | $23.6(14)$ | $34.7(18)$ | $24.3(15)$ | $-0.6(15)$ | $16.3(12)$ | $3.5(16)$ |
| 01 | $27.2(12)$ | $38.7(17)$ | $31.4(13)$ | $4.4(13)$ | $19.2(11)$ | $9.1(13)$ |
| 02 | $26.4(11)$ | $35.7(15)$ | $22.0(12)$ | $2.1(12)$ | $16.6(10)$ | $5.4(13)$ |
| 03 | $29.4(14)$ | $34.5(16)$ | $43.5(16)$ | $-1.1(15)$ | $21.4(12)$ | $0.7(14)$ |
| O4 | $23.8(12)$ | $36.1(16)$ | $42.7(15)$ | $1.6(15)$ | $24.0(11)$ | $1.0(14)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5. Bond lengths [Å] for C28 H45 N1 04.

| Atom | Atom | Length/A | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | C2 | $1.545(5)$ | C11 | C14 | $1.515(6)$ |
| C1 | C10 | $1.520(5)$ | C11 | O4 | $1.484(4)$ |
| C1 | N1 | $1.464(4)$ | C15 | C16 | $1.396(6)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.539(4)$ | C15 | C20 | $1.393(6)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.530(6)$ | C16 | C17 | $1.394(5)$ |
| C3 | C15 | $1.508(5)$ | C17 | C18 | $1.385(6)$ |
| C4 | N1 | $1.458(4)$ | C18 | C19 | $1.403(6)$ |
| C5 | N1 | $1.335(5)$ | C18 | C21 | $1.507(5)$ |
| C5 | O1 | $1.221(5)$ | C19 | C20 | $1.387(5)$ |
| C5 | O2 | $1.361(4)$ | C21 | C22 | $1.536(6)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.514(5)$ | C22 | C23 | $1.517(5)$ |
| C6 | C8 | $1.508(7)$ | C23 | C24 | $1.516(7)$ |
| C6 | C9 | $1.529(5)$ | C24 | C25 | $1.534(5)$ |
| C6 | O2 | $1.469(5)$ | C25 | C26 | $1.514(7)$ |
| C10 | O3 | $1.198(6)$ | C26 | C27A | $1.536(6)$ |
| C10 | O4 | $1.352(4)$ | C26 | C27B | $1.562(13)$ |
| C11 | C12 | $1.511(7)$ | C27A | C28A | $1.519(8)$ |
| C11 | C13 | $1.514(7)$ | C27B | C28B | $1.53(2)$ |

Table 6. Bond angles [Å] for C28 H45 N1 04 .

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C10 | C1 | C2 | 109.2(3) | 04 | C11 | C14 | 109.1(3) |
| N1 | C1 | C2 | 103.6(3) | C16 | C15 | C3 | 120.4(4) |
| N1 | C1 | C10 | 110.7(3) | C20 | C15 | C3 | 122.2(4) |
| C3 | C2 | C1 | 105.1(3) | C20 | C15 | C16 | 117.3(3) |
| C4 | C3 | C2 | 101.9(3) | C17 | C16 | C15 | 121.4(4) |
| C15 | C3 | C2 | 114.4(3) | C18 | C17 | C16 | 120.8(4) |
| C15 | C3 | C4 | 115.4(3) | C17 | C18 | C19 | 118.1(3) |
| N1 | C4 | C3 | 102.4(3) | C17 | C18 | C21 | 120.8(4) |
| N1 | C5 | O2 | 110.5(3) | C19 | C18 | C21 | 121.0(4) |
| O1 | C5 | N1 | 124.7(3) | C20 | C19 | C18 | 120.6(4) |
| 01 | C5 | 02 | 124.8(3) | C19 | C20 | C15 | 121.6(4) |
| C7 | C6 | C9 | 111.0(3) | C18 | C21 | C22 | 113.7(3) |
| C8 | C6 | C7 | 113.1(4) | C23 | C22 | C21 | 113.0(3) |
| C8 | C6 | C9 | 109.8(3) | C24 | C23 | C22 | 115.0(4) |
| O2 | C6 | C7 | 110.4(3) | C23 | C2 4 | C25 | 114.3(4) |
| 02 | C6 | C8 | 110.3(3) | C26 | C25 | C2 4 | 113.5(4) |
| 02 | C6 | C9 | 101.7(3) | C25 | C26 | C27A | 114.8(4) |
| 03 | C10 | C1 | 125.8(3) | C25 | C26 | C27B | 107.3(15) |
| 03 | C10 | 04 | 125.8(4) | C28A | C27A | C26 | 111.4(5) |
| 04 | C10 | C1 | 108.2(4) | C28B | C27B | C26 | 112 (2) |
| C12 | C11 | C13 | 110.9(4) | C4 | N1 | C1 | 111.8(3) |
| C12 | C11 | C14 | 110.6(4) | C5 | N1 | C1 | 124.6(3) |
| C13 | C11 | C14 | 112.9(4) | C5 | N1 | C4 | 122.3(3) |
| 04 | C11 | C12 | 102.2(4) | C5 | 02 | C6 | 120.1(3) |
| 04 | C11 | C13 | 110.6(3) | C10 | 04 | C11 | 120.3(3) |

Table 7. Torsion angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for C28 H45 N1 04 .

| A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -33.9(4) | C16 | C17 | C18 | C19 | -0.6(5) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C15 | -159.2(4) | C16 | C17 | C18 | C21 | -179.5(3) |
| C1 | C10 | 04 | C11 | -170.7(3) | C17 | C18 | C19 | C20 | 1.4 (5) |
| C2 | C1 | C10 | 03 | -102.2(4) | C17 | C18 | C21 | C22 | 60.3 (5) |
| C2 | C1 | C10 | 04 | 73.9 (4) | C18 | C19 | C20 | C15 | -1.1(5) |
| C2 | C1 | N1 | C4 | 8.3(4) | C18 | C21 | C22 | C23 | 172.8(3) |
| C2 | C1 | N1 | C5 | 175.8(4) | C19 | C18 | C21 | C22 | -118.6(4) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | N1 | 38.1(4) | C20 | C15 | C16 | C17 | 1.0 (5) |
| C2 | C3 | C15 | C16 | -119.1(4) | C21 | C18 | C19 | C20 | -179.6(3) |
| C2 | C3 | C15 | C20 | 60.5 (5) | C21 | C22 | C23 | C2 4 | 176.0(4) |
| C3 | C4 | N1 | C1 | -29.8(4) | C22 | C23 | C24 | C25 | 55.1 (5) |
| C3 | C4 | N1 | C5 | 162.4(4) | C23 | C24 | C25 | C26 | 171.8(4) |
| C3 | C15 | C16 | C17 | -179.4(3) | C2 4 | C25 | C26 | C27A | 164.5 (5) |
| C3 | C15 | C20 | C19 | -179.7(3) | C2 4 | C25 | C26 | C27B | -156.1(15) |
| C4 | C3 | C15 | C16 | 123.2(4) | C25 | C26 | C27A | C28A | 63.2 (7) |
| C4 | C3 | C15 | C20 | -57.3(4) | C25 | C26 | C27B | C28B | -60(4) |
| C7 | C6 | O2 | C5 | -66.7(4) | C27A | C26 | C27B | C28B | 48 (3) |
| C8 | C6 | 02 | C5 | 59.1(4) | C27B | C26 | C27A | C28A | -23(2) |
| C9 | C6 | 02 | C5 | 175.5 (3) | N1 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 16.6 (4) |
| C10 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 134.5 (3) | N1 | C1 | C10 | 03 | 11.2 (5) |
| C10 | C1 | N1 | C4 | -108.5(4) | N1 | C1 | C10 | 04 | -172.7(3) |
| C10 | C1 | N1 | C5 | 58.9(5) | N1 | C5 | O2 | C6 | -172.1(3) |
| C12 | C11 | 04 | C10 | 174.1(4) | 01 | C5 | N1 | C1 | -167.8(4) |
| C13 | C11 | 04 | C10 | 56.0 (5) | 01 | C5 | N1 | C4 | -1.7(6) |
| C14 | C11 | 04 | C10 | -68.7(5) | 01 | C5 | O2 | C6 | 8.7(6) |
| C15 | C3 | C4 | N1 | 162.7(3) | 02 | C5 | N1 | C1 | 13.0 (6) |
| C15 | C16 | C17 | C18 | -0.7(5) | 02 | C5 | N1 | C4 | 179.2(3) |
| C16 | C15 | C20 | C19 | -0.1(5) | 03 | C10 | 04 | C11 | 5.4(6) |

Table 8. Atomic accompancy for C28 H45 N1 04.

| Atom | Occupancy | Atom | Occupancy | Atom | Occupancy |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H26A | $0.859(10)$ | H26B | $0.859(10)$ | H26C | $0.141(10)$ |
| H26D | $0.141(10)$ | C27A | $0.859(10)$ | H27A | $0.859(10)$ |
| H27B | $0.859(10)$ | C27B | $0.141(10)$ | H27C | $0.141(10)$ |
| H27D | $0.141(10)$ | C28A | $0.859(10)$ | H28A | $0.859(10)$ |
| H28B | $0.859(10)$ | H28C | $0.859(10)$ | C28B | $0.141(10)$ |
| H28D | $0.141(10)$ | H28E | $0.141(10)$ | H28F | $0.141(10)$ |

## Experimental

Single crystals of $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ [robe26] were prepared by slow recrystallized from chloroform-hexanes. A suitable crystal was selected and mounted on a loop fiber on a 'Bruker APEX-II CCD' diffractometer. The crystal was kept at 100.15 K during data collection. Using Olex2 [1], the structure was solved with the olex2.solve [2] structure solution program using Charge Flipping and refined with the ShelXL [3] refinement package using Least Squares minimization.
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2. Bourhis, L.J., Dolomanov, O.V., Gildea, R.J., Howard, J.A.K., Puschmann, H. (2013). in preparation.
3. Sheldrick, G.M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
4. APEX2 (2008), Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI 53719-1173.
5. SAINT (2009) V7.60A, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI 53719-1173.
6. XPREP (2013); X-ray data Preparation and Reciprocal space Exploration Program. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI 53719-1173.

## Crystal structure determination of ROBE26:

Crystal Data for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{4}(M=459.65 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ : monoclinic, space group C2 (no. 5), $a=26.5372(15) \AA, \quad b=5.5899(4) \AA, \quad c=20.8906(12) \AA, \quad \beta=118.206(3)^{\circ}, V=$ 2730.9(3) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, \quad T=100.15 \mathrm{~K}, \mu(\mathrm{CuK} \alpha)=0.576 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad$ DCalc $=1.118 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, 10895 reflections measured $\left(4.8^{\circ} \leq 2 \Theta \leq 142.454^{\circ}\right), 4622$ unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.0436$, $R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0563$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $R_{1}$ was 0.0635 (I > $2 \sigma(I))$ and $w R_{2}$ was 0.1628 (all data).

## Refinement model description

Number of restraints - 29, number of constraints - unknown.
Details:

1. Fixed Uiso

At 1.2 times of:
All C(H) groups, All C(H,H) groups, All C(H,H,H,H) groups
At 1.5 times of:
All C(H,H,H) groups
2. Restrained distances

C27A-C28A
1.54 with sigma of 0.02

C26-C27A
1.54 with sigma of 0.02

C27B-C28B
1.54 with sigma of 0.02

C26-C27B
1.54 with sigma of 0.01
3. Uiso/Uaniso restraints and constraints

C27A $\approx$ C27B: within $1.7 A$ with sigma of 0.01 and sigma for terminal atoms of
0.01

C28A $\approx$ C28B: within $1.7 A$ with sigma of 0.01 and sigma for terminal atoms of
0.01

Uanis(C28A) $\approx$ Ueq, Uanis(C28B) $\approx$ Ueq: with sigma of 0.005 and sigma for
terminal atoms of 0.02
4. Others

Sof $($ H26C $)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 26 D)=\operatorname{Sof}(C 27 B)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 27 C)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 27 D)=\operatorname{Sof}(C 28 B)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 28 D)=$
Sof (H28E) $=$ Sof $(H 28 F)=1-F V A R(1)$
$\operatorname{Sof}(H 26 A)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 26 B)=\operatorname{Sof}(C 27 A)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 27 A)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 27 B)=\operatorname{Sof}(C 28 A)=\operatorname{Sof}(H 28 A)=$
Sof (H28B) $=$ Sof $(H 28 C)=$ FVAR (1)
5.a Ternary CH refined with riding coordinates:

C1 (H1), C3 (H3)
5.b Secondary CH2 refined with riding coordinates:

```
C2(H2A,H2B), C4(H4A,H4B), C21(H21A,H21B), C22(H22A,H22B), C23(H23A,H23B),
C24(H24A,H24B), C25(H25A,H25B), C26(H26A,H26B), C26(H26C,H26D), C27A(H27A,
H27B), C27B(H27C,H27D)
5.c Aromatic/amide H refined with riding coordinates:
    C16(H16), C17(H17), C19(H19), C20(H20)
5.d Idealised Me refined as rotating group:
    C7(H7A,H7B,H7C), C8(H8A,H8B,H8C), C9(H9A,H9B,H9C), C12(H12A,H12B,H12C),
    C13(H13A,H13B,H13C), C14(H14A,H14B,H14C), C28A(H28A,H28B,H28C),
    C28B(H28D,H28E,H28F)
```



ORTEP view of the C28 H45 N1 O4 compound with the numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size.

# Annex 59: X-Ray Data for Compound 3.20 

## Université th de Montréal

# CRYSTAL AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF C10 H14 O3 COMPOUND (bent63) 

Equipe Hanessian Département de chimie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7 (Canada)



## Racemic

```
Structure solved and refined in the laboratory of X-ray
diffraction Université de Montréal by Benoît Deschênes
Simard.
```

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for C10 H14 03.

| Identification code | bent63 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C10 H14 O3 |
| Formula weight | 182.21 |
| Temperature | 200K |
| Wavelength | $1.54178 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pna21 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{a}=12.0380(3) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=10.6966(2) \AA & \beta=90^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=7.1306(2) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 918.18(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.318 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.793 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 392 |
| Crystal size | $0.18 \times 0.11 \times 0.09 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 5.53 to $72.28^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-14 \leq h \leq 14,-12 \leq k \leq 13,-8 \leq \ell \leq 8$ |
| Reflections collected | 12321 |
| Independent reflections | $1774\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.031\right]$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9311 and 0.8101 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 1774 / $1 / 122$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.091 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0303, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0788$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0307, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0795$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | 0.03 (17) |

```
Extinction coefficient 0.0107(9)
Largest diff. peak and hole
0.151 and -0.225 e/\AA %
```

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for C10 H14 03.

Ueq is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | x | Y | z | $\mathrm{U}_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O(1) | 3821 (1) | 4490 (1) | 4720 (2) | 39 (1) |
| O(2) | 3328 (1) | 8720(1) | 5183 (2) | 33 (1) |
| O(3) | 868 (1) | 8131(1) | 6169 (1) | 28 (1) |
| C (1) | 3441 (1) | 5482 (1) | 5263 (2) | 27 (1) |
| C (2) | 3156 (1) | 6582 (1) | 4010 (2) | 26 (1) |
| C (3) | 3076 (1) | 7636 (1) | 5432 (2) | 24 (1) |
| C (4) | 2562 (1) | 7066 (1) | 7177 (2) | 23 (1) |
| C (5) | 1292 (1) | 6932 (1) | 6741(2) | 24 (1) |
| C (6) | 1147 (1) | 6066 (1) | 5048 (2) | 29 (1) |
| C (7) | 1912 (1) | 6386 (1) | 3402 (2) | 30 (1) |
| C (8) | 3165 (1) | 5795 (1) | 7286(2) | 27 (1) |
| C (9) | 3920 (1) | 6795 (2) | 2351 (2) | 38 (1) |
| C (10) | 652 (1) | 6470 (1) | 8448(2) | 31 (1) |

Table 3. Hydrogen coordinates (x $10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for C10 H14 O3.

|  | x | y | z | Ueq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H (3) | 952 | 8648 | 7044 | 42 |
| H (4) | 2700 | 7589 | 8316 | 28 |
| H (6A) | 1293 | 5195 | 5446 | 35 |
| H (6B) | 366 | 6111 | 4617 | 35 |
| H (7A) | 1640 | 7158 | 2790 | 36 |
| H (7B) | 1877 | 5703 | 2467 | 36 |
| H (8A) | 3849 | 5862 | 8051 | 32 |
| H (8B) | 2676 | 5149 | 7842 | 32 |
| H (9A) | 3847 | 6099 | 1466 | 57 |
| H (9B) | 4691 | 6846 | 2789 | 57 |
| H (9C) | 3718 | 7578 | 1724 | 57 |
| H (10A) | -128 | 6340 | 8108 | 47 |
| H (10B) | 700 | 7093 | 9452 | 47 |
| H (10C) | 972 | 5679 | 8882 | 47 |

Table 4. Anisotropic parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for C10 H14 03.

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:
$-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a \star^{2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a * b * U_{12}\right]$

|  | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O(1) | 46 (1) | 31 (1) | 41 (1) | -10(1) | -3(1) | 11 (1) |
| O (2) | 32 (1) | 24 (1) | 42 (1) | 4(1) | 1 (1) | -4(1) |
| O(3) | 31 (1) | 23 (1) | 30 (1) | -1 (1) | -2 (1) | 3 (1) |
| C (1) | 25 (1) | 26 (1) | 29 (1) | -3(1) | -2 (1) | -1(1) |
| C (2) | 28 (1) | 29 (1) | 22 (1) | -2 (1) | -2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (3) | 21 (1) | 25 (1) | 26 (1) | 0 (1) | -3(1) | 0 (1) |
| C(4) | 25 (1) | 22 (1) | 22 (1) | -1 (1) | -1 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (5) | 23 (1) | 22 (1) | 28 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (6) | 26 (1) | 27 (1) | 36 (1) | -7(1) | -5 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C(7) | 31 (1) | 34 (1) | 27 (1) | -8(1) | -7 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (8) | 27 (1) | 28 (1) | 25 (1) | 3 (1) | -1 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (9) | 39 (1) | 50 (1) | 25 (1) | 1 (1) | 7 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (10) | 29 (1) | 29 (1) | 35 (1) | 6 (1) | 5 (1) | 1(1) |

Table 5. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for C10 H14 03

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.2185(15) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 101.37(10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.2119(15)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 112.49(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.4394 (13) | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 105.92(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.5171 (17) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 102.86(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 1.5186 (18) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 127.38(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 1.5160 (19) | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 126.59(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.5194 (17) | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 105.96(10) |
| C (2) - C (7) | 1.5728 (16) | C (3) -C (4)-C(8) | 101.78(9) |
| C (3) - $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.5170 (17) | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 105.79(10) |
| C (4)-C (8) | 1.5425 (17) | C (8) - C (4)-C (5) | 112.86(9) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.5667 (17) | O(3)-C (5)-C(10) | 109.66(10) |
| C (5) - C (10) | 1.5229 (18) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 105.95(10) |
| C (5)-C (6) | 1.5321 (18) | C (10)-C (5)-C (6) | 112.07(10) |
| C (6)-C (7) | 1.5313 (19) | $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 108.72(9) |
|  |  | C (10)-C (5) - C (4) | 111.41(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 125.00(12) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 108.84(10) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 125.22 (12) | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 113.59(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 109.78(10) | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 113.05(11) |
| C (9)-C (2)-C (1) | $116.02(11)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 104.45(10) |

Table 6. Torsion angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for C10 H14 03 .

| $O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(9)$ | $-35.89(18)$ | $C(8)-C(4)-C(5)-O(3)$ | $-164.63(10)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $C(8)-C(1)-C(2)-C(9)$ | $145.06(12)$ | $C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(10)$ | $-175.14(9)$ |
| $O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)$ | $-163.25(12)$ | $C(8)-C(4)-C(5)-C(10)$ | $74.41(13)$ |
| $C(8)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)$ | $17.71(12)$ | $C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(6)$ | $60.80(12)$ |
| $O(1)-C(1)-C(2)-C(7)$ | $89.69(15)$ | $C(8)-C(4)-C(5)-C(6)$ | $-49.66(13)$ |
| $C(8)-C(1)-C(2)-C(7)$ | $-89.36(11)$ | $O(3)-C(5)-C(6)-C(7)$ | $69.20(12)$ |
| $C(9)-C(2)-C(3)-O(2)$ | $19.28(18)$ | $C(10)-C(5)-C(6)-C(7)$ | $-171.23(10)$ |
| $C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-O(2)$ | $146.25(12)$ | $C(4)-C(5)-C(6)-C(7)$ | $-47.56(14)$ |
| $C(7)-C(2)-C(3)-O(2)$ | $-104.31(13)$ | $C(5)-C(6)-C(7)-C(2)$ | $48.06(14)$ |
| $C(9)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)$ | $-163.58(11)$ | $C(9)-C(2)-C(7)-C(6)$ | $175.40(11)$ |
| $C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)$ | $-36.62(11)$ | $C(1)-C(2)-C(7)-C(6)$ | $47.68(13)$ |
| $C(7)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)$ | $72.83(11)$ | $C(3)-C(2)-C(7)-C(6)$ | $-58.31(13)$ |
| $O(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(8)$ | $-141.48(12)$ | $O(1)-C(1)-C(8)-C(4)$ | $-172.07(12)$ |
| $C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(8)$ | $41.41(11)$ | $C(2)-C(1)-C(8)-C(4)$ | $6.97(12)$ |
| $O(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)$ | $100.40(13)$ | $C(3)-C(4)-C(8)-C(1)$ | $-28.79(11)$ |
| $C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)$ | $-76.71(11)$ | $C(5)-C(4)-C(8)-C(1)$ | $84.13(12)$ |
| $C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-O(3)$ | $-54.18(12)$ |  |  |

Table 7. Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ related to the hydrogen bonding for C10 H14 O3.

| $D-H$ | $\ldots A$ | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H . . A)$ | $d(D . A A)$ | $<D H A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $O(3)-H(3)$ | $O(1) \# 1$ | 0.84 | 2.13 | $2.9433(14)$ | 163.8 |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

$$
\# 1-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2, z+1 / 2
$$



ORTEP view of the C10 H14 O3 compound with the numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids drawn at $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are represented by sphere of arbitrary size.
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## Annex 60: X-Ray Data for Compound 4.7

# CRYSTAL AND MOLECULAR STRUCTURE OF C16 H18 O3 COMPOUND (bent93) 

Equipe Hanessian<br>Département de chimie, Université de Montréal, C.P. 6128, Succ. Centre-Ville, Montréal, Québec, H3C 3J7 (Canada)


Structure solved and refined in the laboratory of X-ray
diffraction Université de Montréal by Benô̂t Deschênes
Simard.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for C16 H18 03.

| Identification code | bent93 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C16 H18 O3 |
| Formula weight | 258.30 |
| Temperature | 100K |
| Wavelength | $1.54178 \AA$ |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | P212121 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=11.8790(2) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=12.1705(2) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=37.5309(8) \AA \quad \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $5425.97(17) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 16 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.265 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.697 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2208 |
| Crystal size | $0.10 \times 0.06 \times 0.04 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.35 to $70.91^{\circ}$ |
| Index ranges | $-14 \leq h \leq 14,-14 \leq k \leq 14,-45 \leq \ell \leq 45$ |
| Reflections collected | 80689 |
| Independent reflections | 10395 [Rint $=0.041]$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9725 and 0.9124 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 10395 / 1 / 694 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.939 |
| Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0300, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0663$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0362, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0677$ |


| Absolute structure parameter | $0.00(9)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Extinction coefficient | $0.00017(2)$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.156 and $-0.165 \mathrm{e} / \AA^{3}$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates $\left(\mathrm{x} 10^{4}\right.$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for C16 H18 03.

Ueq is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | x | Y | z | Ueq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O(11) | 1935 (1) | 4998(1) | 7963 (1) | 31 (1) |
| O(12) | 5655 (1) | 5294(1) | 7710 (1) | 28 (1) |
| O(13) | 2177 (1) | 7424(1) | 8285(1) | 25 (1) |
| C (11) | 2798(1) | 5526 (1) | 7934 (1) | 22 (1) |
| C (12) | 3956 (1) | 5209 (1) | 8070 (1) | 22 (1) |
| C (13) | 4697 (1) | 5580 (1) | 7762 (1) | 22 (1) |
| C (14) | 4045 (1) | 6396 (1) | 7535 (1) | 24 (1) |
| C (15) | 2951(1) | 6614 (1) | 7746 (1) | 22 (1) |
| C (16) | 3099(1) | 7502 (1) | 8040 (1) | 21 (1) |
| C (17) | 4151 (1) | 7246 (1) | 8260 (1) | 21 (1) |
| C (18) | 4196 (1) | 6044 (1) | 8379(1) | 24 (1) |
| C (19) | 4098 (1) | 4032 (1) | 8193(1) | 31 (1) |
| C (110) | 3136 (1) | 8636 (1) | 7862 (1) | 24 (1) |
| C (111) | 3313 (1) | 9602 (1) | 8107(1) | 24 (1) |
| C(112) | 4391 (1) | 9978(1) | 8184(1) | 29 (1) |
| C (113) | 4552 (2) | 10879(1) | 8404 (1) | 34 (1) |
| C (114) | 3642 (2) | 11414(1) | 8550(1) | 36 (1) |
| C (115) | 2572 (2) | 11063 (1) | 8472 (1) | 36 (1) |
| C (116) | 2404(1) | 10165 (1) | 8252(1) | 30 (1) |
| O(21) | 10115 (1) | 8483(1) | 7975 (1) | 36 (1) |
| O(22) | 6409 (1) | 8415 (1) | 7697 (1) | 33 (1) |
| O (23) | 9878(1) | 6047 (1) | 8209(1) | 28 (1) |
| C (21) | 9241(1) | 8009 (1) | 7920 (1) | 27 (1) |
| C (22) | 8089(1) | 8304 (1) | 8064(1) | 27 (1) |
| C (23) | 7339 (1) | 8047 (1) | 7746 (1) | 26 (1) |
| C (24) | 7954 (1) | 7252 (1) | 7501 (1) | 27 (1) |
| C (25) | 9068(1) | 6986 (1) | 7698 (1) | 25 (1) |
| C (26) | 8941(1) | 6025 (1) | 7970 (1) | 23 (1) |
| C (27) | 7903 (1) | 6228 (1) | 8202 (1) | 24 (1) |
| C (28) | 7856 (1) | 7398 (1) | 8351 (1) | 29 (1) |
| C (29) | 7968 (2) | 9449(1) | 8214 (1) | 39 (1) |
| C (210) | 8893 (1) | 4939 (1) | 7763 (1) | 25 (1) |
| C (211) | 8702 (1) | 3927 (1) | 7985 (1) | 22 (1) |
| C (212) | 7611 (1) | 3583 (1) | 8066 (1) | 25 (1) |
| C (213) | 7430 (1) | 2672 (1) | 8278(1) | 30 (1) |
| C (214) | 8325 (2) | 2084(1) | 8412 (1) | 33 (1) |
| C (215) | 9406(2) | 2399 (1) | 8326(1) | 35 (1) |
| C (216) | 9596(1) | 3312 (1) | 8116(1) | 29 (1) |
| O(31) | 7681 (1) | 5566 (1) | 9578(1) | 33 (1) |
| O (32) | 7262 (1) | 1894(1) | 9775 (1) | 37 (1) |
| O(33) | 5267 (1) | 5416 (1) | 9243(1) | 28 (1) |
| C (31) | 7135 (1) | 4729 (1) | 9600 (1) | 25 (1) |
| C (32) | 7434 (1) | 3615 (1) | 9450(1) | 26 (1) |
| C (33) | 7009 (1) | 2853 (1) | 9742 (1) | 28 (1) |
| C (34) | 6183 (1) | 3477 (1) | 9976(1) | 29 (1) |
| C (35) | 6010 (1) | 4576(1) | 9783(1) | 25 (1) |
| C (36) | 5117 (1) | 4502 (1) | 9478(1) | 23 (1) |


|  | x | y | z | Ueq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C (37) | 5351 (1) | 3499 (1) | 9249(1) | 26 (1) |
| C (38) | 6589 (1) | 3435 (1) | 9134 (1) | 29 (1) |
| C (39) | 8645 (1) | 3449 (1) | 9333 (1) | 35 (1) |
| C (310) | 3939 (1) | 4505 (1) | 9644 (1) | 26 (1) |
| C (311) | 2974 (1) | 4423 (1) | 9383 (1) | 24 (1) |
| C(312) | 2459 (1) | 3421 (1) | 9312 (1) | 29 (1) |
| C (313) | 1569(1) | 3360 (2) | 9072 (1) | 36 (1) |
| C(314) | 1198 (1) | 4281 (2) | 8897 (1) | 36 (1) |
| C (315) | 1703 (1) | 5277 (2) | 8962 (1) | 35 (1) |
| C (316) | 2576 (1) | 5354 (1) | 9207 (1) | 30 (1) |
| O(41) | 4142 (1) | 7274 (1) | 9674 (1) | 45 (1) |
| O (42) | 3978 (1) | 11005 (1) | 9784 (1) | 41 (1) |
| O(43) | 6460 (1) | 7592 (1) | 9347 (1) | 35 (1) |
| C (41) | 4538 (1) | 8188(1) | 9686 (1) | 31 (1) |
| C (42) | 4067 (1) | 9201(1) | 9511(1) | 32 (1) |
| C (43) | 4392 (1) | 10096(1) | 9773 (1) | 29 (1) |
| C (44) | 5324 (1) | 9670 (1) | 10012 (1) | 30 (1) |
| C (45) | 5628 (1) | 8538(1) | 9855 (1) | 27 (1) |
| C (46) | 6494(1) | 8603(1) | 9540 (1) | 25 (1) |
| C (47) | 6099 (1) | 9476(1) | 9278 (1) | 29 (1) |
| C (48) | 4850 (1) | 9351 (2) | 9180 (1) | 37 (1) |
| C (49) | 2835 (1) | 9166(2) | 9404 (1) | 49 (1) |
| C (410) | 7657 (1) | 8824(1) | 9693 (1) | 32 (1) |
| C (411) | 8606 (1) | 8934(1) | 9427 (1) | 27 (1) |
| C (412) | 9147 (1) | 8016(1) | 9292 (1) | 36 (1) |
| C (413) | 10016(1) | 8123 (2) | 9049(1) | 45 (1) |
| C (414) | 10372 (1) | 9151(2) | 8942 (1) | 44 (1) |
| C (415) | 9848(2) | 10069(2) | 9079 (1) | 44 (1) |
| C (416) | 8974 (1) | 9961 (1) | 9318 (1) | 35 (1) |

Table 3. Hydrogen coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10^{4}$ ) and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for C16 H18 03.

|  | x | y | z | Ueq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H (13) | 1580 | 7615 | 8182 | 38 |
| H (14A) | 3874 | 6081 | 7297 | 29 |
| H (14B) | 4478 | 7084 | 7502 | 29 |
| H (15) | 2304 | 6785 | 7585 | 26 |
| H (17A) | 4166 | 7727 | 8472 | 25 |
| H (17B) | 4827 | 7412 | 8115 | 25 |
| H (18A) | 3635 | 5930 | 8571 | 29 |
| H (18B) | 4949 | 5890 | 8480 | 29 |
| H (19A) | 3927 | 3532 | 7995 | 46 |
| H (19B) | 3584 | 3885 | 8391 | 46 |
| H (19C) | 4876 | 3915 | 8271 | 46 |
| H (11A) | 3749 | 8632 | 7683 | 29 |
| H (11B) | 2420 | 8747 | 7732 | 29 |
| H (112) | 5024 | 9612 | 8085 | 34 |
| H (113) | 5293 | 11128 | 8453 | 41 |
| H (114) | 3754 | 12023 | 8704 | 43 |
| H (115) | 1942 | 11440 | 8569 | 43 |
| H (116) | 1659 | 9930 | 8200 | 36 |
| H (23) | 10452 | 5801 | 8105 | 42 |
| H (24A) | 8107 | 7597 | 7267 | 32 |
| H (24B) | 7504 | 6577 | 7463 | 32 |
| H (25) | 9705 | 6860 | 7529 | 30 |
| H (27A) | 7905 | 5700 | 8402 | 29 |
| H (27B) | 7218 | 6091 | 8058 | 29 |
| H (28A) | 8419 | 7468 | 8544 | 35 |
| H (28B) | 7103 | 7525 | 8456 | 35 |
| H (29A) | 8468 | 9534 | 8420 | 58 |
| H (29B) | 7187 | 9569 | 8288 | 58 |
| H (29C) | 8171 | 9988 | 8031 | 58 |
| H (21A) | 8282 | 4990 | 7584 | 30 |
| H (21B) | 9610 | 4849 | 7631 | 30 |
| H (212) | 6986 | 3980 | 7974 | 30 |
| H (213) | 6683 | 2448 | 8331 | 36 |
| H (214) | 8199 | 1469 | 8562 | 40 |
| H (215) | 10026 | 1985 | 8412 | 41 |
| H (216) | 10346 | 3521 | 8060 | 35 |
| H (33) | 5165 | 6004 | 9355 | 43 |
| H ( 34 A ) | 6498 | 3595 | 10217 | 35 |
| H ( 34 B ) | 5463 | 3073 | 9997 | 35 |
| H (35) | 5841 | 5187 | 9953 | 29 |
| H ( 37 A ) | 4867 | 3525 | 9034 | 31 |
| H (37B) | 5154 | 2829 | 9385 | 31 |
| H (38A) | 6730 | 3999 | 8949 | 35 |
| H ( 38 B ) | 6731 | 2706 | 9026 | 35 |
| H (39A) | 8842 | 4002 | 9154 | 52 |
| H (39B) | 8729 | 2713 | 9230 | 52 |
| H (39C) | 9144 | 3523 | 9539 | 52 |
| H (31A) | 3887 | 3881 | 9813 | 31 |
| H (31B) | 3849 | 5189 | 9784 | 31 |
| H (312) | 2718 | 2774 | 9427 | 35 |


|  | x | y | z | Ueq |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H (313) | 1214 | 2673 | 9029 | 43 |
| H (314) | 594 | 4231 | 8731 | 43 |
| H (315) | 1455 | 5915 | 8839 | 42 |
| H (316) | 2906 | 6049 | 9255 | 36 |
| H (43) | 6849 | 7119 | 9454 | 52 |
| H (44A) | 5058 | 9599 | 10260 | 36 |
| H (44B) | 5982 | 10169 | 10006 | 36 |
| H (45) | 5877 | 8011 | 10044 | 33 |
| H (47A) | 6559 | 9429 | 9059 | 35 |
| H (47B) | 6221 | 10211 | 9385 | 35 |
| H (48A) | 4763 | 8707 | 9021 | 45 |
| H (48B) | 4606 | 10010 | 9046 | 45 |
| H (49A) | 2719 | 8579 | 9229 | 73 |
| H (49B) | 2620 | 9873 | 9298 | 73 |
| H (49C) | 2371 | 9024 | 9614 | 73 |
| H (41A) | 7621 | 9510 | 9835 | 38 |
| H (41B) | 7846 | 8219 | 9859 | 38 |
| H (412) | 8919 | 7305 | 9367 | 44 |
| H (413) | 10371 | 7485 | 8956 | 54 |
| H (414) | 10969 | 9225 | 8775 | 52 |
| H (415) | 10092 | 10780 | 9009 | 53 |
| H (416) | 8618 | 10600 | 9410 | 42 |

Table 4. Anisotropic parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for C16 H18 03.

The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form:

$$
-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a *^{2} U_{11}+\ldots+2 h k a * b * U_{12}\right]
$$

|  | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O(11) | 20 (1) | 25 (1) | 47 (1) | -3(1) | 1 (1) | -4 (1) |
| O(12) | 19(1) | 32 (1) | 34 (1) | -7 (1) | -1 (1) | 3 (1) |
| O(13) | 18(1) | 27 (1) | 30 (1) | 2 (1) | 5 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (11) | 20 (1) | 22 (1) | 24 (1) | -5 (1) | 2 (1) | 1 (1) |
| C (12) | 19(1) | 19(1) | 28 (1) | 1 (1) | -1 (1) | 1 (1) |
| C (13) | 20 (1) | 20 (1) | 25 (1) | -7 (1) | -4(1) | -1 (1) |
| C (14) | 24 (1) | 25 (1) | 24 (1) | -1 (1) | 1 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (15) | 17 (1) | 24 (1) | 25 (1) | 0 (1) | -5 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (16) | 18(1) | 22 (1) | 24 (1) | 2 (1) | 2 (1) | 1 (1) |
| C (17) | 19(1) | 23 (1) | 22 (1) | 0 (1) | -1 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (18) | 22 (1) | 26 (1) | 24 (1) | 3 (1) | -3(1) | 2 (1) |
| C (19) | 30 (1) | 24 (1) | 39 (1) | 4 (1) | -4 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (110) | 26 (1) | 23 (1) | 24 (1) | 4 (1) | -1 (1) | 3 (1) |
| C (111) | 30 (1) | 18 (1) | 23 (1) | 8 (1) | 2 (1) | -1 (1) |
| C (112) | 31 (1) | 26 (1) | 29 (1) | 5 (1) | $9(1)$ | -4 (1) |
| C (113) | 38 (1) | 29 (1) | 35 (1) | 5 (1) | 0 (1) | -15 (1) |
| C (114) | 57 (1) | 17 (1) | 35 (1) | 1(1) | 2 (1) | -3(1) |
| C (115) | 42 (1) | 27 (1) | 39 (1) | -2 (1) | $4(1)$ | 10 (1) |
| C (116) | 30 (1) | 26 (1) | 34 (1) | 4(1) | -2 (1) | 3 (1) |
| O(21) | 23 (1) | 26 (1) | 58 (1) | 2 (1) | -8(1) | -4 (1) |
| O (22) | 22 (1) | 38 (1) | 40 (1) | -2 (1) | -5 (1) | 7 (1) |
| O (23) | 19(1) | 28 (1) | 37 (1) | -5 (1) | -6(1) | 3 (1) |
| C (21) | 22 (1) | 21 (1) | 39 (1) | 6 (1) | -5 (1) | -1 (1) |
| C (22) | 22 (1) | 21 (1) | 39 (1) | -6(1) | -5 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (23) | 23 (1) | 23 (1) | 34 (1) | 2 (1) | 0 (1) | -3(1) |
| C (24) | 24 (1) | 26 (1) | 29 (1) | 1(1) | 1 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (25) | 20 (1) | 23 (1) | 31 (1) | $1(1)$ | 3 (1) | -1 (1) |
| C (26) | 17 (1) | 22 (1) | 28 (1) | -3(1) | -3(1) | 0 (1) |
| C (27) | 20 (1) | 26 (1) | 26 (1) | -2 (1) | -1 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (28) | 23 (1) | 32 (1) | 32 (1) | -7 (1) | -2 (1) | 3 (1) |
| C (29) | 35 (1) | 28 (1) | 52 (1) | -12(1) | -10(1) | 6 (1) |
| C (210) | 25 (1) | 24 (1) | 26 (1) | -3(1) | 2 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (211) | 25 (1) | 19(1) | 23 (1) | -7 (1) | -2 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (212) | 24 (1) | 25 (1) | 26 (1) | -3(1) | -3(1) | 1 (1) |
| C (213) | 33 (1) | 27 (1) | 30 (1) | -3(1) | 2 (1) | -7 (1) |
| C (214) | 51 (1) | 19(1) | 30 (1) | -1 (1) | -1 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (215) | 37 (1) | 24 (1) | 42 (1) | -4(1) | -11(1) | 9 (1) |
| C (216) | 25 (1) | 26 (1) | 38 (1) | -9(1) | -1 (1) | 1 (1) |
| O(31) | 26 (1) | 26 (1) | 48 (1) | 1 (1) | -2 (1) | -3(1) |
| O (32) | 39 (1) | 25 (1) | 46 (1) | -1(1) | -5 (1) | 6 (1) |
| O (33) | 29 (1) | 25 (1) | 31 (1) | 2 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (31) | 23 (1) | 25 (1) | 26 (1) | 2 (1) | -4 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (32) | 21 (1) | 28 (1) | 28 (1) | -3(1) | 0 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (33) | 26 (1) | 26 (1) | 32 (1) | -4(1) | -6(1) | 0 (1) |
| C (34) | 31 (1) | 30 (1) | 26 (1) | 1(1) | 1 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (35) | 25 (1) | 22 (1) | 26 (1) | -6(1) | 1 (1) | 0 (1) |
| C (36) | 22 (1) | 23 (1) | 25 (1) | 1 (1) | 0 (1) | 1 (1) |


|  | U11 | U22 | U33 | U23 | U13 | U12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C (37) | 25 (1) | 28 (1) | 23 (1) | -3(1) | -1(1) | -2(1) |
| C (38) | 28 (1) | 32 (1) | 27 (1) | -7 (1) | 4 (1) | 3 (1) |
| C (39) | 24 (1) | 39 (1) | 42 (1) | -7 (1) | 3 (1) | 5 (1) |
| C (310) | 23 (1) | 29 (1) | 26 (1) | -2 (1) | 4 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (311) | 18(1) | 29 (1) | 25 (1) | -3(1) | 7 (1) | -1 (1) |
| C (312) | 25 (1) | 29 (1) | 34 (1) | 0 (1) | 8 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (313) | 27 (1) | 39 (1) | 42 (1) | -12(1) | 8 (1) | -12 (1) |
| C (314) | 20 (1) | 57 (1) | 31 (1) | -7 (1) | 1 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (315) | 24 (1) | 44 (1) | 37 (1) | 7 (1) | 3 (1) | 7 (1) |
| C (316) | 25 (1) | 28 (1) | 38 (1) | -4 (1) | 5 (1) | -1(1) |
| O (41) | 38 (1) | 32 (1) | 67 (1) | -7 (1) | 13 (1) | -12(1) |
| O (42) | 42 (1) | 33 (1) | 48 (1) | -2 (1) | 4 (1) | 12 (1) |
| O (43) | 29 (1) | 27 (1) | 48 (1) | -8(1) | -3(1) | 1(1) |
| C (41) | 27 (1) | 28 (1) | 36 (1) | -3(1) | 7 (1) | -5 (1) |
| C (42) | 24 (1) | 38 (1) | 33 (1) | -4 (1) | -5 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (43) | 26 (1) | 29 (1) | 31 (1) | 3 (1) | 3 (1) | -1 (1) |
| C (44) | 28 (1) | 34 (1) | 27 (1) | -2 (1) | 0 (1) | -2 (1) |
| C (45) | 29 (1) | 25 (1) | 28 (1) | 7 (1) | -1 (1) | -1 (1) |
| C(46) | 24 (1) | 24 (1) | 27 (1) | -1 (1) | -3(1) | -2 (1) |
| C (47) | 31 (1) | 33 (1) | 25 (1) | 3 (1) | 2 (1) | 2 (1) |
| C (48) | 36 (1) | 49 (1) | 27 (1) | 1 (1) | -6(1) | 10 (1) |
| C (49) | 27 (1) | 65 (1) | 54 (1) | -13(1) | -10(1) | 7 (1) |
| C (410) | 27 (1) | 38 (1) | 30 (1) | 0 (1) | -6(1) | -2 (1) |
| C (411) | 21 (1) | 28 (1) | 32 (1) | -2 (1) | -8(1) | -1 (1) |
| C(412) | 26 (1) | 28 (1) | 55 (1) | -2 (1) | -8(1) | -1 (1) |
| C (413) | 23 (1) | 57 (1) | 55 (1) | -20(1) | -5 (1) | 6 (1) |
| C (414) | 21 (1) | 75 (2) | 35 (1) | 3 (1) | -1 (1) | -5 (1) |
| C(415) | 31 (1) | 46 (1) | 54 (1) | 16 (1) | -5 (1) | -8(1) |
| C (416) | 28 (1) | 30 (1) | 48 (1) | -3(1) | -5 (1) | 1 (1) |

Table 5. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for C16 H18 03

| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 1.2143(17) | $C(36)-C(310)$ | 1.533(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.2058(16) | C (37)-C (38) | 1.535 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.4314 (16) | C (310)-C (311) | 1.512 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 1.511 (2) | C (311) - C (312) | 1.391 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 1.5177(19) | C (311) - C (316) | 1.393(2) |
| C (12)-C (19) | 1.515 (2) | C (312) - C (313) | 1.390 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 1.522 (2) | C (313) - C (314) | 1.373(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.569 (2) | C (314) - C (315) | 1.374 (2) |
| C (13)-C (14) | 1.520 (2) | C (315) - C (316) | 1.390 (2) |
| C(14)-C(15) | 1.5447 (19) | O(41)-C(41) | 1.2089(18) |
| $C(15)-C(16)$ | 1.556(2) | $\mathrm{O}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | 1.2115 (18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 1.5284 (19) | $\mathrm{O}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 1.4272(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)$ | 1.5341(19) | C (41)-C(42) | 1.505 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 1.531 (2) | C(41)-C(45) | 1.505 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | 1.507 (2) | C (42)-C (43) | 1.517(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(112)$ | 1.390 (2) | C (42)-C (49) | 1.518(2) |
| C(111)-C (116) | 1.391 (2) | C (42)-C (48) | 1.561(2) |
| C (112)-C (113) | 1.385 (2) | C (43)-C (44) | 1.516(2) |
| C (113) - $\mathrm{C}(114)$ | 1.376 (2) | C (44)-C(45) | 1.540(2) |
| C (114)-C (115) | 1.373 (2) | C (45)-C(46) | 1.571 (2) |
| C (115) - C (116) | 1.384 (2) | C (46)-C (47) | 1.519 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | 1.2062 (17) | C (46)-C (410) | 1.522 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 1.2065(17) | C (47)-C(48) | 1.537 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)$ | 1.4301 (16) | C(410)-C(411) | 1.513 (2) |
| C (21)-C (25) | 1.511(2) | C (411)-C(412) | 1.384(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$ | 1.514 (2) | C (411) - C (416) | 1.385 (2) |
| C (22)-C (29) | 1.510 (2) | C (412)-C(413) | 1.383(3) |
| C (22)-C (23) | 1.520 (2) | C (413)-C(414) | 1.381(3) |
| C (22)-C (28) | 1.566(2) | C (414)-C(415) | 1.378(3) |
| C (23)-C (24) | 1.522 (2) | C (415)-C(416) | 1.379 (2) |
| C (24)-C (25) | 1.551 (2) |  |  |
| C (25)-C (26) | 1.559(2) | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 127.39(13) |
| C (26)-C (27) | 1.5297(19) | $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 126.89(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(210)$ | 1.534 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 105.70(12) |
| C (27) - C (28) | 1.531 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 116.36(12) |
| C (210)-C (211) | 1.504 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 116.59(13) |
| C (211) - C (216) | 1.389 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 101.06(12) |
| C (211) - C (212) | 1.395 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 111.59(12) |
| C (212) - C (213) | 1.381 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 104.43(11) |
| C (213) - C (214) | 1.377 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 105.39(11) |
| C (214) - C (215) | 1.378 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 125.48(14) |
| C (215) - C (216) | 1.382 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 125.51(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(31)$ | 1.2110 (17) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 108.99(11) |
| $\mathrm{O}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)$ | 1.2120(18) | $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 104.65(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 1.4316 (17) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 100.96 (11) |
| C (31)-C (32) | 1.510 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 106.96(12) |
| C (31)-C (35) | 1.515 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 112.90(12) |
| C (32)-C (39) | 1.516 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 105.51(11) |
| C (32)-C (33) | 1.522 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)$ | 111.20 (11) |
| C (32)-C (38) | 1.570 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)$ | 113.29(12) |
| C (33) - C (34) | 1.521 (2) | $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 108.83(11) |
| C (34)-C (35) | 1.534 (2) | $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 109.40 (11) |
| C (35)-C (36) | 1.564 (2) | C (110)-C (16)-C (15) | 108.51(12) |
| C (36)-C (37) | 1.517(2) | C (16)-C (17)-C(18) | 112.41(12) |

C(17) -C(18)-C(12)
C (111) -C (110) -C(16)
$C(112)-C(111)-C(116)$
C(112)-C(111)-C(110)
$C(116)-C(111)-C(110)$
C (113)-C(112)-C(111)
$C(114)-C(113)-C(112)$
$C(115)-C(114)-C(113)$
$C(114)-C(115)-C(116)$
$C(115)-C(116)-C(111)$
$O(21)-C(21)-C(25)$
$\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)$
C (25) -C (21) -C (22)
C (29) -C (22) -C (21)
$C(29)-C(22)-C(23)$
C (21) -C (22) -C (23)
C (29) -C (22) -C (28)
C (21) -C (22) -C (28)
C (23) -C (22) -C (28)
$O(22)-C(23)-C(22)$
$\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)$
C (22) -C (23) -C (24)
C (23) -C (24) -C (25)
C (21) -C (25) -C (24)
$C(21)-C(25)-C(26)$
$C(24)-C(25)-C(26)$
O (23) -C (26) -C (27)
$0(23)-C(26)-C(210)$
C (27) -C (26) -C (210)
$O(23)-C(26)-C(25)$
$C(27)-C(26)-C(25)$
$C(210)-C(26)-C(25)$
$C(26)-C(27)-C(28)$
C (27) -C (28) -C (22)
C (211) -C (210) -C (26)
$C(216)-C(211)-C(212)$
$C(216)-C(211)-C(210)$
C (212) -C (211) -C (210)
C (213) -C (212) -C (211)
C (214) -C (213) -C (212)
$C(213)-C(214)-C(215)$
$C(214)-C(215)-C(216)$
C (215) -C (216) -C (211)
O (31) -C (31) -C (32)
O(31) -C (31) -C (35)
C (32) -C (31) -C (35)
C (31) -C (32) -C (39)
$C(31)-C(32)-C(33)$
C (39) -C (32) -C (33)
C (31) -C (32) -C (38)
C (39) -C (32) -C (38)
C (33) -C (32) -C (38)
$\mathrm{O}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(34)$
O (32) -C (33) -C (32)
C (34) -C (33) -C (32)
C (33) -C (34) -C (35)
C (31) -C (35) -C (34)
C (31) -C (35) -C (36)
C (34) -C (35) -C (36)
$113.32(12)$
$116.03(12)$
118.16(14)
120.84(13)
120.97(14)
120.79(15)
$120.25(16)$
119.64(16)
120.44(16)
120.69(16)
127.23(15)
127.15(15)
105.61(12)
115.97(13)
115.24(13)
$101.60(13)$
112.13(14)
103.74(12)
106.92(12)
125.48(15)
125.60(15)
108.93(12)
104.64(12)
101.94(12)
105.75(12)
112.75(12)
105.50(12)
111.30(12)
113.45(12)
108.71(11)
109.16(12)
108.60(12)
112.83(12)
113.37(13)
115.45(12)
118.09(14)
121.43(14)
120.48(13)
120.75(14)
$120.52(15)$
119.26(16)
120.64(15)
$120.70(15)$
127.14(14)
127.41(14)
105.45(12)
116.78(13)
101.56(12)
116.22(13)
104.86(12)
111.68(13)
104.25(12)
125.57(15)
125.38(15)
109.01(12)
$104.42(12)$
101.71(12)
105.86(12)
112.73(12)
$\left.\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37) & 104.71(12) \\ \mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(310) & 111.27(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(310) & 113.54(13) \\ \mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35) & 108.82(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35) & 109.71(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(35) & 108.66(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38) & 112.04(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(32) & 113.16(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(36) & 115.30(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(312)-\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(316) & 118.23(14) \\ \mathrm{C}(312)-\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(310) & 121.01(14) \\ \mathrm{C}(316)-\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(310) & 120.76(14) \\ \mathrm{C}(313)-\mathrm{C}(312)-\mathrm{C}(311) & 120.36(16) \\ \mathrm{C}(314)-\mathrm{C}(313)-\mathrm{C}(312) & 120.74(16) \\ \mathrm{C}(313)-\mathrm{C}(314)-\mathrm{C}(315) & 119.64(16) \\ \mathrm{C}(314)-\mathrm{C}(315)-\mathrm{C}(316) & 120.20(16) \\ \mathrm{C}(315)-\mathrm{C}(316)-\mathrm{C}(311) & 120.81(15) \\ \mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42) & 126.36(16) \\ \mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45) & 127.68(16) \\ \mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45) & 105.85(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43) & 102.17(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(49) & 116.82(15) \\ \mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(49) & 115.89(14) \\ \mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(48) & 102.80(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(48) & 106.20(14) \\ \mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(48) & 111.53(14) \\ \mathrm{O}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44) & 125.97(15) \\ \mathrm{O}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42) & 125.17(15) \\ \mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(42) & 108.86(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45) & 104.62(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(44) & 102.23(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46) & 104.95(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46) & 113.30(12) \\ \mathrm{O}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47) & 105.52(12) \\ \mathrm{O}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(410) & 111.72(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(410) & 113.65(13) \\ \mathrm{O}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45) & 108.68(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45) & 108.68(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(410)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(45) & 108.45(12) \\ \mathrm{C}(414)-\mathrm{C}(414)-\mathrm{C}(415)-\mathrm{C}(416) & 120.42(17) \\ \mathrm{C}(415)-\mathrm{C}(416)-\mathrm{C}(411) & 121.01(17) \\ \mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48) & 112.55(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(42) & 113.34(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(411)-\mathrm{C}(410)-\mathrm{C}(46) & 116.14(13) \\ \mathrm{C}(412)-\mathrm{C}(411)-\mathrm{C}(416) & 118.27(15) \\ \mathrm{C}(411)-\mathrm{C}(410) & 121.09(15) \\ \mathrm{C}(412)-\mathrm{C}(410) & 120.62(15) \\ \mathrm{C}(411) & 120.77(17) \\ \mathrm{C}\end{array}\right)$

Table 6. Torsion angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for C16 H18 03.

| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(19)$ | (2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(19)-$ | -166.12(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 139.61(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -38.82(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)-$ | -111.15(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 70.41(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | -35.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(12)-$ | -162.95 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | 88.55 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 145.81 (13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 18.67(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -89.83(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-$ | -171.12(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | 7.26 (15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-$ | -134.79(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 43.63 (14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 106.95 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -74.63(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | -30.28(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 83.57(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | -53.14(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{O}(13)-$ | -163.31(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 61.67(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -48.49(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)$ | -174.28(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)$ | 75.56 (15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | 68.48 (15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -169.65(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)$ | -48.45 (16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 48.30 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(19)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 175.52 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -57.97(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(18)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | 48.07(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | ) 62.21(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | ) -56.43 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)$ | ) -178.13 (12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(112)$ | 2) 90.45(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(116$ | 6) -91.73(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(116)-\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(112)-\mathrm{C}(113)$ | 13) 0.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(112)-\mathrm{C}(113)$ | 13) 178.76 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(112)-\mathrm{C}(113)-\mathrm{C}(11$ | 14) 0.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(112)-\mathrm{C}(113)-\mathrm{C}(114)-\mathrm{C}(11$ | 15) -1.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(113)-\mathrm{C}(114)-\mathrm{C}(115)-\mathrm{C}(116)$ | 16) 1.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(114)-\mathrm{C}(115)-\mathrm{C}(116)-\mathrm{C}(11$ | 11) 0.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(112)-\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(116)-\mathrm{C}(115)$ | 15) -1.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(110)-\mathrm{C}(111)-\mathrm{C}(116)-\mathrm{C}(115$ | 5) $-178.86(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(29)$ | 16.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(29)-$ | -164.59(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | 142.03 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)$ | -38.84(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ - | -107.11(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(28)$ | 72.01 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(29)-\mathrm{C}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{O}(22)$ | -32.6(2) |

$C(21)-C(22)-C(23)-O(22)-158.85(16)$
C (28)-C (22)-C(23)-O(22) 92.73(18)
C (29)-C (22)-C(23)-C(24) 147.21(14)
$C(21)-C(22)-C(23)-C(24) \quad 20.99(16)$
$C(28)-C(22)-C(23)-C(24)-87.43(14)$
$\mathrm{O}(22)-\mathrm{C}(23)-\mathrm{C}(24)-\mathrm{C}(25)-176.46(15)$
$C(22)-C(23)-C(24)-C(25) \quad 3.70(16)$
$\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(24)-139.39(17)$
$C(22)-C(21)-C(25)-C(24) \quad 41.48(15)$
$\mathrm{O}(21)-\mathrm{C}(21)-\mathrm{C}(25)-\mathrm{C}(26) \quad 102.55(18)$
$C(22)-C(21)-C(25)-C(26)-76.58(14)$
$C(23)-C(24)-C(25)-C(21)-26.97(15)$
$C(23)-C(24)-C(25)-C(26) \quad 85.96(15)$
$C(21)-C(25)-C(26)-O(23)-52.57(14)$
$C(24)-C(25)-C(26)-O(23)-163.15(11)$
$C(21)-C(25)-C(26)-C(27) \quad 62.03(14)$
$C(24)-C(25)-C(26)-C(27)-48.54(16)$
C (21) -C (25) -C (26) -C (210) -173.81 (12)
C (24)-C (25)-C(26)-C(210) 75.61(15)
$\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(28) \quad 68.70(15)$
$C(210)-C(26)-C(27)-C(28)-169.22(13)$
$C(25)-C(26)-C(27)-C(28)-47.97(16)$
$C(26)-C(27)-C(28)-C(22) \quad 47.31(17)$
$C(29)-C(22)-C(28)-C(27) \quad 176.55(13)$
$C(21)-C(22)-C(28)-C(27)-57.58(16)$
$C(23)-C(22)-C(28)-C(27) \quad 49.33(16)$
$\mathrm{O}(23)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(210)-\mathrm{C}(211) 63.86(16)$
$\mathrm{C}(27)-\mathrm{C}(26)-\mathrm{C}(210)-\mathrm{C}(211)-54.94(17)$
$C(25)-C(26)-C(210)-C(211)-176.51(12)$
$C(26)-C(210)-C(211)-C(216)-92.43(17)$
$C(26)-C(210)-C(211)-C(212) 87.41(17)$
C (216) -C (211) -C (212) -C (213) $1.6(2)$
$C(210)-C(211)-C(212)-C(213)-178.29(13)$
C (211) -C (212) -C (213) -C (214) -0.1 (2)
$C(212)-C(213)-C(214)-C(215)-1.6(2)$
$C(213)-C(214)-C(215)-C(216) \quad 1.8(2)$
$C(214)-C(215)-C(216)-C(211)-0.3(2)$
$C(212)-C(211)-C(216)-C(215)-1.3(2)$
$C(210)-C(211)-C(216)-C(215) \quad 178.51(14)$
$\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(39) \quad 15.1(2)$
$C(35)-C(31)-C(32)-C(39)-165.08(13)$
$\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33) \quad 142.54(16)$
$C(35)-C(31)-C(32)-C(33)-37.61(14)$
$\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-109.14(17)$
$C(35)-C(31)-C(32)-C(38) \quad 70.71(14)$
$C(31)-C(32)-C(33)-O(32)-164.12(15)$
$\mathrm{C}(39)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{O}(32)-36.3(2)$
$\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(33)-0(32) \quad 87.09(18)$
$C(31)-C(32)-C(33)-C(34) \quad 17.96(15)$
$C(39)-C(32)-C(33)-C(34) \quad 145.79(14)$
$C(38)-C(32)-C(33)-C(34)-90.84(14)$
O (32) -C (33) -C (34) -C (35) -170.26(15)
$C(32)-C(33)-C(34)-C(35) \quad 7.66(16)$

| 4) | -137.27(16) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C (32)-C (31)-C (35)-C (34) | 42.88(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(31)-\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)$ | 104.76(18) |
| C (32)-C (31)-C (35)-C (36) | -75.09(14) |
| C (33)-C (34)-C (35)-C (31) | -30.07(15) |
| C (33)-C (34)-C (35)-C (36) | 82.85 (15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{O}(33)$ | -51.02 (15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{O}(33)$ | -161.38(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 63.00 (15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)-\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | -47.36(16) |
| C (31) - C (35)-C (36)-C (310) | -172.34(12) |
| C (34)-C (35)-C (36)-C (310) | 77.31(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | 67.15 (15) |
| C (310) - C (36)-C (37)-C (38) | -171.28(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)$ | -49.49(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(32)$ | 47.99(18) |
| C (31)-C (32)-C (38)-C (37) | -57.54(17) |
| C (39)-C (32)-C (38)-C (37) | 175.08 (14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)-\mathrm{C}(32)-\mathrm{C}(38)-\mathrm{C}(37)$ | 48.80 (17) |
| $\mathrm{O}(33)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | 60.87 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(37)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | ) $-56.96(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)-\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(311)$ | ) -179.34(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(3$ | 2) 97.48(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)-\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(316$ | (6) -82.60(18) |
| C (316) - C (311) - C (312) - C ( | 13) -0.3(2) |
| C (310) - C (311) - C (312) - C ( | (313) $179.65(14)$ |
| C (311) - C (312) - C (313) - C ( | (314) 1.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(312)-\mathrm{C}(313)-\mathrm{C}(314)-\mathrm{C}$ | (315) -0.7(2) |
| C (313) - C (314) - C (315) - C ( | 16) -0.8(2) |
| C (314) - C (315) -C (316) - C ( | 11) 1.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(312)-\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(316)-\mathrm{C}($ | (315) -1.3(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(310)-\mathrm{C}(311)-\mathrm{C}(316)-\mathrm{C}($ | (315) 178.83 (14) |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | 146.93 (17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)$ | -36.73(16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | 19.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(49)$ | -164.28(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | -103.09(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | 73.26(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{O}(42)$ | $-162.36(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{O}(42)$ | -34.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{O}(42)$ | 90.26(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 18.32(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(49)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 146.48 (16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(48)-\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | -89.06(15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(42)-\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)$ | -173.30(16) |
| C (42)-C (43)-C (44)-C (45) | 6.01 (16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | -142.93(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(44)$ | 40.79 (15) |
| $\mathrm{O}(41)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 98.60(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)-\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | -77.68(15) |
| C (43)-C (44)-C(45)-C(41) | -27.93(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)-\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)$ | 84.45(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{O}(43)$ | -51.89(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{O}(43)$ | -162.61(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | 62.46(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)$ | -48.25 (16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(410)$ | -173.54(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)-\mathrm{C}(45)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(410)$ | 75.75 (16) |
| $\mathrm{O}(43)-\mathrm{C}(46)-\mathrm{C}(47)-\mathrm{C}(48)$ | 67.79(16) |

```
C(410) -C (46) -C (47) -C (48) -169.47(14)
C(45)-C(46)-C(47)-C(48) -48.62(17)
C(46)-C(47)-C(48)-C(42) 48.44(19)
C(41)-C(42)-C(48)-C(47) -58.29(18)
C(43)-C(42)-C(48)-C(47) 48.64(18)
C(49)-C(42)-C(48)-C(47) 175.76(15)
O(43)-C(46)-C(410)-C(411) 61.26(18)
C(47)-C(46)-C(410)-C(411) -58.01(19)
C(45)-C(46)-C(410)-C(411) -178.98(13)
C(46)-C(410)-C(411)-C(412)-83.53(19)
C(46)-C(410) -C(411)-C(416) 98.19(18)
C(416)-C(411)-C(412)-C(413) -1.4(2)
C(410)-C(411) -C (412) -C(413) -179.76(15)
C(411)-C(412)-C(413)-C(414) 1.2(3)
C(412) -C (413) -C (414) -C (415) -0.1(3)
C(413)-C(414)-C(415) -C (416) -0.7(3)
C(414)-C(415)-C(416)-C(411) 0.3(3)
C(412)-C(411)-C(416)-C(415) 0.7(2)
C(410)-C(411)-C(416)-C(415) 179.02(15)
```

Table 7. Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] related to the hydrogen bonding for C16 H18 O3.

| D-H | $\ldots A$ | $d(D-H)$ | $d(H . A)$ | $d(D . A)$ | $<D H A$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $O(13)-H(13)$ | $O(21) \# 1$ | 0.84 | 2.18 | $3.0019(14)$ | 166.4 |
| $O(23)-H(23)$ | $O(11) \# 2$ | 0.84 | 2.08 | $2.9078(15)$ | 166.3 |
| $O(33)-H(33)$ | $O(41)$ | 0.84 | 2.3 | $3.0841(16)$ | 155.5 |
| $O(33)-H(33)$ | $O(43)$ | 0.84 | 2.47 | $3.0288(15)$ | 124.7 |
| $O(43)-H(43)$ | $O(31)$ | 0.84 | 2.18 | $2.9885(15)$ | 160.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
\# $1 \mathrm{x}-1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z} \quad \# 2 \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z}$


ORTEP (Asymmetric unit) view of the C16 H18 03 compound with the numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids drawn at 30\% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are represented by sphere of arbitrary size.


ORTEP 1 view of the C16 H18 O3 compound with the numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids drawn at $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are represented by sphere of arbitrary size.

ORTEP 2 view of the C16 H18 O3 compound with the
numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids drawn at $30 \%$
probability level. Hydrogen atoms are represented by
sphere of arbitrary size.


ORTEP 3 view of the C16 H18 O3 compound with the numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids drawn at $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are represented by sphere of arbitrary size.


ORTEP 4 view of the C16 H18 O3 compound with the numbering scheme adopted. Ellipsoids drawn at $30 \%$ probability level. Hydrogen atoms are represented by sphere of arbitrary size.
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## Annex 61: X-Ray Data for Compound 4.8



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for han470.

```
Identification code
Empirical formula
Formula weight
Temperature/K
Crystal system
Space group
a/\AA
b/\AA
c/\AA
\alpha/ }\mp@subsup{}{}{\circ
\beta/0
Y/0
Volume/\AA A
Z
\rhocalcg/ cm 
\mu/mm
F(000)
Crystal size/mm
Radiation
2\Theta range for data
collection/`
Index ranges
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Data/restraints/parameters
Goodness-of-fit on F}\mp@subsup{F}{}{2
Final R indexes [I>=2\sigma (I)]
Final R indexes [all data]
Largest diff. peak/hole / e
\AA
Flack parameter
```

han 470
$\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$
258.30

100
orthorhombic
Pna2 ${ }_{1}$
13.0120(7)
$6.5847(3)$
15.4360(8)

90
90
90
1322.56(12)

4
1.297
0.459
552.0
$0.24 \times 0.16 \times 0.12$
GaKd ( $\lambda=1.34139$ )
12.846 to 121.428
$-16 \leq h \leq 16,-8 \leq k \leq 8,-20 \leq 1 \leq 20$
56212
$3007{\left.\text { [ } R_{\text {int }}=0.0263, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0150\right]}$
3007/1/177
1.143
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0278, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0769$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0291, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0848$
0.28/-0.21
0.02 (2)

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han 470 . $U_{\text {eq }}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{I J}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | $5829.8(11)$ | $8757(2)$ | $5952.0(9)$ | $18.8(3)$ |
| C2 | $4884.9(12)$ | $10090(2)$ | $5828.6(13)$ | $23.8(3)$ |
| C3 | $3968.1(11)$ | $8622(2)$ | $5793.1(10)$ | $20.0(3)$ |
| C4 | $4454.8(11)$ | $6547(2)$ | $5584.6(9)$ | $16.0(3)$ |
| C5 | $4705.1(11)$ | $6443(2)$ | $4584.7(9)$ | $16.9(3)$ |
| C6 | $5381.6(12)$ | $4586(2)$ | $4429.3(10)$ | $19.7(3)$ |
| C7 | $6411.6(12)$ | $4731(2)$ | $4875.6(11)$ | $23.4(3)$ |
| C8 | $6254.6(11)$ | $4960(2)$ | $5857.1(11)$ | $19.5(3)$ |
| C9 | $5477.1(11)$ | $6582(2)$ | $6103.5(10)$ | $17.2(3)$ |
| C10 | $5271.6(13)$ | $6450(3)$ | $7087.7(10)$ | $24.7(3)$ |
| C11 | $3772.4(11)$ | $6607(2)$ | $4002.8(10)$ | $18.6(3)$ |
| C12 | $3019.2(13)$ | $5089(2)$ | $3963.0(11)$ | $22.7(3)$ |
| C13 | $2167.4(13)$ | $5307(3)$ | $3424.2(12)$ | $27.8(3)$ |
| C14 | $2064.3(13)$ | $7025(3)$ | $2908.2(12)$ | $30.5(4)$ |
| C15 | $2806.2(14)$ | $8539(3)$ | $2935.7(11)$ | $28.9(4)$ |
| C16 | $3653.7(13)$ | $8330(2)$ | $3482.4(11)$ | $23.6(3)$ |
| O1 | $6711.2(8)$ | $9345.1(19)$ | $5934.0(8)$ | $26.1(3)$ |
| O4 | $3857.2(8)$ | $4851.8(16)$ | $5834.9(8)$ | $19.7(2)$ |
| O6 | $5108.5(9)$ | $3117.6(17)$ | $4018.5(8)$ | $25.3(3)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han470. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a *^{2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | $17.4(6)$ | $23.4(6)$ | $15.6(6)$ | $-2.7(5)$ | $0.4(5)$ | $-1.7(5)$ |
| C2 | $20.2(7)$ | $20.6(6)$ | $30.6(8)$ | $-3.3(6)$ | $0.0(7)$ | $0.1(5)$ |
| C3 | $15.2(6)$ | $23.0(6)$ | $22.0(7)$ | $-1.5(6)$ | $0.8(5)$ | $2.1(5)$ |
| C4 | $11.9(6)$ | $19.5(6)$ | $16.6(7)$ | $0.9(5)$ | $-0.2(5)$ | $-1.5(5)$ |
| C5 | $13.1(6)$ | $20.8(6)$ | $16.7(7)$ | $0.4(5)$ | $-0.2(5)$ | $-1.4(5)$ |
| C6 | $18.2(7)$ | $25.3(7)$ | $15.7(6)$ | $0.4(5)$ | $2.1(5)$ | $2.0(5)$ |
| C7 | $15.9(7)$ | $30.6(7)$ | $23.7(8)$ | $-5.1(6)$ | $0.5(6)$ | $4.8(6)$ |
| C8 | $13.2(6)$ | $22.3(6)$ | $23.1(7)$ | $1.2(5)$ | $-2.9(6)$ | $1.0(5)$ |
| C9 | $12.8(6)$ | $22.4(6)$ | $16.6(6)$ | $1.0(5)$ | $-0.6(5)$ | $-1.1(5)$ |
| C10 | $20.8(7)$ | $36.3(8)$ | $17.0(7)$ | $1.5(6)$ | $-1.4(6)$ | $-1.8(6)$ |
| C11 | $15.4(6)$ | $25.2(7)$ | $15.3(6)$ | $-0.5(5)$ | $-0.5(5)$ | $0.4(5)$ |
| C12 | $20.5(7)$ | $26.7(7)$ | $20.8(7)$ | $-0.1(6)$ | $-1.0(6)$ | $-2.9(5)$ |
| C13 | $20.2(7)$ | $37.5(8)$ | $25.8(8)$ | $-4.4(7)$ | $-3.1(6)$ | $-3.8(6)$ |
| C14 | $23.7(8)$ | $47.1(10)$ | $20.6(7)$ | $-1.0(7)$ | $-7.1(6)$ | $5.1(7)$ |
| C15 | $29.4(8)$ | $37.8(8)$ | $19.6(7)$ | $5.4(6)$ | $-1.1(7)$ | $5.2(7)$ |
| C16 | $22.1(7)$ | $27.5(7)$ | $21.1(7)$ | $3.2(6)$ | $1.0(6)$ | $0.7(5)$ |
| O1 | $17.5(5)$ | $30.6(6)$ | $30.3(6)$ | $-4.9(5)$ | $1.2(5)$ | $-6.3(4)$ |
| O4 | $12.6(5)$ | $23.5(5)$ | $22.9(5)$ | $4.1(4)$ | $1.2(4)$ | $-3.2(4)$ |
| O6 | $28.5(6)$ | $26.8(6)$ | $20.7(5)$ | $-4.5(4)$ | $-3.3(5)$ | $3.6(4)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for han470.

| Atom | Atom | Length/A | Atom | Atom | Length/̊ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C1 | C2 | $1.522(2)$ | C6 | O6 | $1.209(2)$ |
| C1 | C9 | $1.522(2)$ | C7 | C8 | $1.536(2)$ |
| C1 | O1 | $1.2109(19)$ | C8 | C9 | $1.520(2)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.536(2)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.545(2)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.5402(19)$ | C11 | C12 | $1.401(2)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.579(2)$ | C11 | C16 | $1.398(2)$ |
| C4 | C9 | $1.5529(18)$ | C12 | C13 | $1.393(2)$ |
| C4 | O4 | $1.4141(16)$ | C13 | C14 | $1.390(3)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.526(2)$ | C14 | C15 | $1.388(3)$ |
| C5 | C11 | $1.5137(19)$ | C15 | C16 | $1.395(2)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.510(2)$ |  |  |  |

Table 5 Bond Angles for han470.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C9 | C1 | C2 | 108.55(12) | C6 | C7 | C8 | 109.77(12) |
| O1 | C1 | C2 | 125.29(14) | C9 | C8 | C7 | 113.86(13) |
| 01 | C1 | C9 | 126.16(14) | C1 | C9 | C4 | 101.14(11) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | 105.60(12) | C1 | C9 | C10 | 104.86(12) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 104.26(11) | C8 | C9 | C1 | 114.97(12) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | 109.11(12) | C8 | C9 | C4 | 115.51(12) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | 103.36(11) | C8 | C9 | C10 | 108.76(13) |
| C9 | C4 | C5 | 109.16(11) | C10 | C9 | C4 | 110.98(12) |
| 04 | C4 | C3 | 114.65(11) | C12 | C11 | C5 | 122.41(14) |
| 04 | C4 | C5 | 110.26(11) | C16 | C11 | C5 | 119.16(13) |
| 04 | C4 | C9 | 110.00(11) | C16 | C11 | C12 | 118.43(14) |
| C6 | C5 | C4 | 107.90(11) | C13 | C12 | C11 | 120.62 (15) |
| C11 | C5 | C4 | 114.31(12) | C14 | C13 | C12 | 120.16(15) |
| C11 | C5 | C6 | 115.24(12) | C15 | C14 | C13 | 120.00 (15) |
| C7 | C6 | C5 | 112.93(13) | C14 | C15 | C16 | 119.80 (16) |
| 06 | C6 | C5 | 123.62(14) | C15 | C16 | C11 | 120.99(15) |
| 06 | C6 | C7 | 123.41(14) |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for han470.

| $\mathbf{D}$ | $\mathbf{H}$ | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}) / \AA$ | $\mathbf{d}(\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}-\mathbf{A} /{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O 4 | H 4 | $\mathrm{O} 1^{1}$ | $0.80(3)$ | $2.05(3)$ | $2.8461(15)$ | $172(2)$ |

${ }^{1}-1 / 2+X, 3 / 2-Y,+Z$

Table 7 Torsion Angles for han470.

| A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -18.82(16) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C1 | -71.01(16) |
| C2 | C1 | C9 | C4 | 29.57 (14) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C4 | 46.27 (17) |
| C2 | C1 | C9 | C8 | 154.73(14) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | 171.80(12) |
| C2 | C1 | C9 | C10 | -85.89(15) | C9 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -7.07(17) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | -78.75(14) | C9 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 56.06 (14) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C9 | 37.32 (15) | C9 | C4 | C5 | C11 | -174.33(12) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 04 | 157.04(13) | C11 | C5 | C6 | C7 | 167.00(13) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 168.35(12) | C11 | C5 | C6 | 06 | -15.6(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C11 | -62.04(15) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | 1.0 (3) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C1 | -40.72(13) | C12 | C11 | C16 | C15 | 0.0 (2) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C8 | -165.52(13) | C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | -0.7(3) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C10 | 70.10 (14) | C13 | C14 | C15 | C16 | 0.0 (3) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -63.91(15) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C11 | 0.3 (3) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 06 | 113.53(16) | C16 | C11 | C12 | C13 | -0.7(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C11 | C12 | -67.76(19) | 01 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 173.13(14) |
| C4 | C5 | C11 | C16 | 112.38(15) | 01 | C1 | C9 | C4 | -150.63(14) |
| C5 | C4 | C9 | C1 | 75.31 (13) | 01 | C1 | C9 | C8 | -25.5 (2) |
| C5 | C4 | C9 | C8 | -49.49(16) | 01 | C1 | C9 | C10 | 93.91(16) |
| C5 | C4 | C9 | C10 | -173.87(12) | 04 | C4 | C5 | C6 | -64.88(14) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 59.31 (17) | 04 | C4 | C5 | C11 | 64.73(15) |
| C5 | C11 | C12 | C13 | 179.44(14) | 04 | C4 | C9 | C1 | -163.59(11) |
| C5 | C11 | C16 | C15 | 179.89(15) | 04 | C4 | C9 | C8 | 71.61 (15) |
| C6 | C5 | C11 | $\mathrm{C} 12$ | 58.09 (19) | 04 | C4 | C9 | C10 | -52.77(15) |
| C6 | C5 | C11 | C16 | $-121.76(15)$ | 06 | C6 | C7 | C8 | -118.14(16) |
| C6 | C7 | C8 | C9 | -48.60(17) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han470.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U (eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H2A | 4937 | 10876 | 5284 | 29 |
| H2B | 4810 | 11050 | 6318 | 29 |
| H3A | 3477 | 9029 | 5335 | 24 |
| H3B | 3604 | 8583 | 6356 | 24 |
| H5 | 5142 | 7654 | 4454 | 20 |
| H7A | 6796 | 5915 | 4649 | 28 |
| H7B | 6819 | 3492 | 4755 | 28 |
| H8A | 6025 | 3641 | 6097 | 23 |
| H8B | 6923 | 5296 | 6128 | 23 |
| H10A | 4857 | 7616 | 7270 | 37 |
| H10B | 5927 | 6458 | 7400 | 37 |
| H10C | 4900 | 5191 | 7217 | 37 |
| H12 | 3090 | 3901 | 4307 | 27 |
| H13 | 1656 | 4278 | 3409 | 33 |
| H14 | 1486 | 7163 | 2537 | 37 |
| H15 | 2737 | 9714 | 2583 | 35 |
| H16 | 4158 | 9373 | 3501 | 28 |
| H4 | $3260(20)$ | $5180(30)$ | $5834(18)$ | $29(6)$ |



## Experimental

Single crystals of $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ [han470] were slow recrystallized from chloroform-hexanes. A suitable crystal was selected and mounted on a loop fiber on a Bruker Venture Metaljet diffractometer. The crystal was kept at 100 K during data collection. Using Olex2 [1], the structure was solved with the XT [2] structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the XL [3] refinement package using Least Squares minimisation.
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## Crystal structure determination of [han470]

Crystal Data for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}(M=258.30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ : orthorhombic, space group Pna2 1 (no. 33), $a=13.0120(7) \AA, \quad b=6.5847(3) \AA, \quad c=15.4360(8) \AA, \quad V=$ 1322.56(12) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, T=100 \mathrm{~K}, \mu(G a K \alpha)=0.459 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad$ Dcalc $=1.297 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, 56212 reflections measured (12.846 $\left.\leq 2 \Theta \leq 121.428^{\circ}\right)$, 3007 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=$ 0.0263 , $R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0150$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $R_{1}$ was $0.0278(I>2 \sigma(I))$ and $w R_{2}$ was 0.0848 (all data).

## Refinement model description

```
Number of restraints - 1, number of constraints - unknown.
Details:
1. Fixed Uiso
    At 1.2 times of:
        All C(H) groups, All C(H,H) groups
    At 1.5 times of:
        All C(H,H,H) groups
2.a Ternary CH refined with riding coordinates:
    C5 (H5)
2.b Secondary CH2 refined with riding coordinates:
    C2(H2A,H2B), C3(H3A,H3B), C7(H7A,H7B), C8(H8A,H8B)
2.c Aromatic/amide H refined with riding coordinates:
    C12(H12), C13(H13), C14(H14), C15(H15), C16(H16)
2.d Idealised Me refined as rotating group:
```

C10 (H10A, H10B, H10C)

## Annex 62: X-Ray Data for Compound 4.9



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for han477.

| Identification code | han 477 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| Formula weight | 258.30 |
| Temperature/K | 110 |
| Crystal system | orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pca2 ${ }_{1}$ |
| a/A | 20.6653 (5) |
| b/ A | 6.1757 (2) |
| c/Å | 10.3155 (3) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 1316.49 (7) |
| Z | 4 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.303 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.461 |
| F (000) | 552.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.22 \times 0.16 \times 0.08$ |
| Radiation | GaKa ( $\lambda=1.34139)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 7.444 to 121.25 |
| Index ranges | $-26 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 26,-8 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8,-13 \leq 1 \leq 13$ |
| Reflections collected | 45195 |
| Independent reflections | $2986\left[\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0229, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0145\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 2986/1/174 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.058 |
| Final R indexes [I> $2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0285, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0746$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0286, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0748$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.27/-0.14 |
| Flack parameter | 0.06 (2) |

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$ for han 477 . $U_{e q}$ is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{I J}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | $4972.0(7)$ | $7559(2)$ | $2508.1(15)$ | $20.6(3)$ |
| C2 | $4755.0(7)$ | $8318(3)$ | $3840.9(15)$ | $27.1(3)$ |
| C3 | $5363.6(7)$ | $8204(3)$ | $4694.1(14)$ | $21.8(3)$ |
| C4 | $5821.1(6)$ | $6646(2)$ | $3968.3(13)$ | $17.1(3)$ |
| C5 | $6543.8(6)$ | $6818(2)$ | $4375.0(14)$ | $18.7(3)$ |
| C6 | $6863.7(7)$ | $8900(2)$ | $3884.5(15)$ | $22.5(3)$ |
| C7 | $6733.4(8)$ | $9438(3)$ | $2476.7(17)$ | $32.7(4)$ |
| C8 | $6006.4(8)$ | $9384(3)$ | $2169.7(16)$ | $25.1(3)$ |
| C9 | $5702.6(7)$ | $7172(2)$ | $2519.4(13)$ | $18.4(3)$ |
| C10 | $5906.1(8)$ | $5416(3)$ | $1570.1(15)$ | $25.9(3)$ |
| C11 | $6648.1(6)$ | $6413(2)$ | $5810.6(14)$ | $18.8(3)$ |
| C12 | $6879.1(7)$ | $4396(2)$ | $6212.1(16)$ | $22.2(3)$ |
| C13 | $6965.4(7)$ | $3945(3)$ | $7526.7(17)$ | $26.2(3)$ |
| C14 | $6831.5(7)$ | $5517(3)$ | $8448.8(15)$ | $26.8(3)$ |
| C15 | $6604.2(8)$ | $7531(3)$ | $8058.9(16)$ | $25.4(3)$ |
| C16 | $6512.2(7)$ | $7987(2)$ | $6750.7(15)$ | $21.8(3)$ |
| O1 | $4622.5(6)$ | $7331.0(19)$ | $1581.3(11)$ | $26.6(3)$ |
| O4 | $5600.4(6)$ | $4466.9(16)$ | $4117.8(10)$ | $22.5(2)$ |
| 06 | $7220.4(6)$ | $9982.1(18)$ | $4546.7(12)$ | $27.5(3)$ |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han477. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a \star^{2} U_{11}+2 h k a * b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C 1 | $23.6(7)$ | $18.1(6)$ | $19.9(7)$ | $1.6(5)$ | $-0.8(6)$ | $-1.7(5)$ |
| C 2 | $21.3(6)$ | $39.4(9)$ | $20.7(7)$ | $-3.9(6)$ | $-1.7(6)$ | $6.0(6)$ |
| C 3 | $19.6(6)$ | $27.8(7)$ | $18.0(6)$ | $-4.2(6)$ | $-0.4(5)$ | $4.1(5)$ |
| C 4 | $19.5(6)$ | $16.7(6)$ | $15.1(6)$ | $-0.3(5)$ | $0.4(5)$ | $-1.1(5)$ |
| C 5 | $18.5(6)$ | $19.2(6)$ | $18.3(6)$ | $-1.1(5)$ | $0.3(5)$ | $0.4(5)$ |
| C6 | $19.0(6)$ | $23.4(6)$ | $25.3(7)$ | $0.2(6)$ | $2.7(5)$ | $-0.2(5)$ |
| C7 | $28.6(8)$ | $40.0(9)$ | $29.6(8)$ | $12.2(7)$ | $-1.0(7)$ | $-12.6(7)$ |
| C8 | $28.4(7)$ | $22.9(7)$ | $24.0(7)$ | $7.4(6)$ | $-2.5(6)$ | $-4.4(6)$ |
| C9 | $22.1(6)$ | $17.9(6)$ | $15.1(6)$ | $0.9(5)$ | $0.0(5)$ | $0.0(5)$ |
| C10 | $32.9(8)$ | $27.3(7)$ | $17.5(7)$ | $-3.2(6)$ | $0.4(6)$ | $5.3(6)$ |
| C11 | $15.7(6)$ | $21.8(7)$ | $18.7(6)$ | $-0.2(5)$ | $-1.3(5)$ | $-0.6(5)$ |
| C12 | $18.9(6)$ | $21.4(7)$ | $26.2(7)$ | $-0.2(6)$ | $-0.3(5)$ | $1.4(5)$ |
| C13 | $20.3(6)$ | $28.2(7)$ | $30.1(8)$ | $6.8(7)$ | $-1.7(6)$ | $2.3(6)$ |
| C14 | $18.9(6)$ | $42.1(9)$ | $19.4(7)$ | $3.9(6)$ | $-0.9(5)$ | $1.8(6)$ |
| C15 | $18.8(7)$ | $36.2(8)$ | $21.2(7)$ | $-6.2(6)$ | $-0.4(5)$ | $2.4(6)$ |


| C16 | $18.2(6)$ | $23.2(7)$ | $24.0(7)$ | $-2.6(6)$ | $-2.1(6)$ | $2.7(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1 | $27.9(5)$ | $30.5(6)$ | $21.5(5)$ | $-0.4(4)$ | $-6.3(4)$ | $-1.1(4)$ |
| O4 | $31.0(5)$ | $19.6(5)$ | $17.1(5)$ | $2.7(4)$ | $-2.0(4)$ | $-6.1(4)$ |
| 06 | $25.9(5)$ | $26.2(5)$ | $30.4(6)$ | $-5.3(5)$ | $3.5(4)$ | $-5.9(4)$ |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for han477.

| Atom | Atom | Length/A | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | C2 | $1.520(2)$ | C6 | O6 | $1.2069(19)$ |
| C1 | C9 | $1.5287(19)$ | C7 | C8 | $1.536(2)$ |
| C1 | O1 | $1.2063(19)$ | C8 | C9 | $1.546(2)$ |
| C2 | C3 | $1.537(2)$ | C9 | C10 | $1.520(2)$ |
| C3 | C4 | $1.5428(19)$ | C11 | C12 | $1.3971(19)$ |
| C4 | C5 | $1.5549(18)$ | C11 | C16 | $1.401(2)$ |
| C4 | C9 | $1.5489(18)$ | C12 | C13 | $1.396(2)$ |
| C4 | O4 | $1.4295(15)$ | C13 | C14 | $1.387(2)$ |
| C5 | C6 | $1.5320(19)$ | C14 | C15 | $1.389(2)$ |
| C5 | C11 | $1.5172(19)$ | C15 | C16 | $1.392(2)$ |
| C6 | C7 | $1.514(2)$ |  |  |  |

Table 5 Bond Angles for han477.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2 | C1 | C9 | 109.42(12) | C6 | C7 | C8 | 111.54(13) |
| 01 | C1 | C2 | 125.17(14) | C7 | C8 | C9 | 111.60(13) |
| 01 | C1 | C9 | 125.40(14) | C1 | C9 | C4 | 101.32(11) |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | 105.21(12) | C1 | C9 | C8 | 105.15(12) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 104.61(12) | C8 | C9 | C4 | 110.25 (12) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | 114.54(11) | C10 | C9 | C1 | 112.30(12) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | 103.93(11) | C10 | C9 | C4 | 115.36(12) |
| C9 | C4 | C5 | 113.45(11) | C10 | C9 | C8 | 111.57 (13) |
| 04 | C4 | C3 | 109.84(11) | C12 | C11 | C5 | 118.99(13) |
| 04 | C4 | C5 | 109.95 (11) | C12 | C11 | C16 | 118.81(14) |
| 04 | C4 | C9 | 104.54 (11) | C16 | C11 | C5 | 122.20(13) |
| C6 | C5 | C4 | 112.48(11) | C13 | C12 | C11 | 120.62(14) |
| C11 | C5 | C4 | 112.87(11) | C14 | C13 | C12 | 120.10(14) |
| C11 | C5 | C6 | 113.53(12) | C13 | C14 | C15 | 119.70(15) |
| C7 | C6 | C5 | 115.11(13) | C14 | C15 | C16 | 120.54(15) |
| 06 | C6 | C5 | 122.78(14) | C15 | C16 | C11 | 120.22(14) |
| 06 | C6 | C7 | 122.01(14) |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for han477.

| D | H | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}) / \AA$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A} /{ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O 4 | H 4 | $\mathrm{O} 1^{1}$ | 0.84 | 2.00 | $2.8112(15)$ | 162.9 |
| ${ }^{1} 1-\mathrm{X}, 1-\mathrm{Y}, 1 / 2+\mathrm{Z}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 7 Torsion Angles for han477.

| A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | -19.85 (16) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C1 | 165.35(13) |
| C2 | C1 | C9 | C4 | 26.65 (15) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C4 | 56.89 (17) |
| C2 | C1 | C9 | C8 | -88.18(14) | C7 | C8 | C9 | C10 | -72.66(17) |
| C2 | C1 | C9 | C10 | 150.31(13) | C9 | C1 | C2 | C3 | -4.53(17) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C5 | 161.10(12) | C9 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 46.95 (15) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | C9 | 36.77 (15) | C9 | C4 | C5 | C11 | 177.00 (11) |
| C2 | C3 | C4 | 04 | -74.60(15) | C11 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -176.11(13) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C6 | -72.16(15) | C11 | C5 | C6 | 06 | 7.5(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C5 | C11 | 57.89(16) | C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | -1.0(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C1 | -38.37(13) | C12 | C11 | C16 | C15 | -0.3(2) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C8 | 72.60 (14) | C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | 0.7 (2) |
| C3 | C4 | C9 | C10 | -159.92(12) | C13 | C14 | C15 | C16 | -0.2(2) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | C7 | -46.39(17) | C14 | C15 | C16 | C11 | 0.0 (2) |
| C4 | C5 | C6 | 06 | 137.19(14) | C16 | C11 | C12 | C13 | 0.8 (2) |
| C4 | C5 | C11 | C12 | 101.39(14) | 01 | C1 | C2 | C3 | 176.58(15) |
| C4 | C5 | C11 | C16 | -77.72(17) | 01 | C1 | C9 | C4 | -154.46(14) |
| C5 | C4 | C9 | C1 | -163.40(11) | 01 | C1 | C9 | C8 | 90.71(17) |
| C5 | C4 | C9 | C8 | -52.43(15) | 01 | C1 | C9 | C10 | -30.8(2) |
| C5 | C4 | C9 | C10 | 75.05 (16) | 04 | C4 | C5 | C6 | 163.61(11) |
| C5 | C6 | C7 | C8 | 51.19 (19) | 04 | C4 | C5 | C11 | -66.34(15) |
| C5 | C11 | C12 | C13 | $-178.37(13)$ | 04 | C4 | C9 | C1 | 76.81(12) |
| C5 | C11 | C16 | C15 | 178.82(14) | 04 | C4 | C9 | C8 | -172.22(11) |
| C6 | C5 | C11 | C12 | -129.10(14) | 04 | C4 | C9 | C10 | -44.74(15) |
| C6 | C5 | C11 | C16 | 51.80 (18) | 06 | C6 | C7 | C8 | -132.37(16) |
| C6 | C 7 | C8 | C9 | -56.2(2) |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates $\left(\AA \times 10^{4}\right)$ and Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han477.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | U (eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H2A | 4411 | 7363 | 4187 | 33 |
| H2B | 4587 | 9819 | 3800 | 33 |
| H3A | 5258 | 7636 | 5566 | 26 |
| H3B | 5563 | 9653 | 4788 | 26 |
| H5 | 6770 | 5606 | 3918 | 22 |
| H7A | 6961 | 8383 | 1917 | 39 |
| H7B | 6906 | 10897 | 2281 | 39 |
| H8A | 5941 | 9672 | 1235 | 30 |
| H8B | 5785 | 10542 | 2663 | 30 |
| H10A | 6373 | 5168 | 1645 | 39 |
| H10B | 5803 | 5876 | 685 | 39 |
| H10C | 5674 | 4073 | 1769 | 39 |
| H12 | 6978 | 3320 | 5584 | 27 |
| H13 | 7116 | 2560 | 7790 | 31 |
| H14 | 6895 | 5217 | 9343 | 32 |
| H15 | 6511 | 8606 | 8690 | 30 |
| H16 | 6357 | 9370 | 6494 | 26 |
| H4 | 5548 | 4197 | 4909 | 34 |



## Experimental

Single crystals of $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ [han477] were slow recrystallized from chloroform-hexanes. A suitable crystal was selected and mounted on a loop fiber on a Bruker Venture Metaljet diffractometer. The crystal was kept at 110 K during data collection. Using Olex2 [1], the structure was solved with the XT [2] structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the XL [3] refinement package using Least Squares minimisation.
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5. SAINT (2009) V7.60A, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI 53719-1173.
6. XPREP (2013); X-ray data Preparation and Reciprocal space Exploration Program. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI 53719-1173.

## Crystal structure determination of [han477]

Crystal Data for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}(M=258.30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ : orthorhombic, space group Pca2 1 (no. 29), $a=20.6653(5) \AA, \quad b=6.1757(2) \AA, \quad c=10.3155(3) \AA, \quad V=$ 1316.49(7) $\AA^{3}, Z=4, \quad T=110 \mathrm{~K}, \mu(\mathrm{GaK} \alpha)=0.461 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, \quad$ Dcalc $=1.303 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, 45195 reflections measured $\left(7.444^{\circ} \leq 2 \Theta \leq 121.25^{\circ}\right)$, 2986 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=$ $\left.0.0229, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0145\right)$ which were used in all calculations. The final $R_{1}$ was 0.0285 (I > $2 \sigma(I)$ ) and $w R_{2}$ was 0.0748 (all data).

## Refinement model description

```
Number of restraints - 1, number of constraints - unknown.
Details:
1. Fixed Uiso
    At 1.2 times of:
    All C(H) groups, All C(H,H) groups
    At 1.5 times of:
    All C(H,H,H) groups, All O(H) groups
2.a Ternary CH refined with riding coordinates:
    C5(H5)
2.b Secondary CH2 refined with riding coordinates:
    C2(H2A,H2B), C3(H3A,H3B), C7(H7A,H7B), C8 (H8A,H8B)
2.c Aromatic/amide H refined with riding coordinates:
    C12(H12), C13(H13), C14(H14), C15(H15), C16(H16)
2.d Idealised Me refined as rotating group:
    C10(H10A,H10B,H10C)
    2.e Idealised tetrahedral OH refined as rotating group:
    O4 (H4)
```


## Annex 63: X-Ray Data for Compound 4.11



Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for han479.

| Identification code | han479 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 204.26 |
| Temperature/K | 110 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| Space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{C}$ |
| a/A | 18.7276(4) |
| b/ $/$ ¢ | 7.4816 (2) |
| $\mathrm{c} / \AA$ | 15.9354 (4) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| $\beta /{ }^{\circ}$ | 100.5880(10) |
| $\gamma /{ }^{\circ}$ | 90 |
| Volume/ $\AA^{3}$ | 2194.73(9) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcg }} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.236 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.419 |
| F(000) | 880.0 |
| Crystal size/mm ${ }^{3}$ | $0.2 \times 0.12 \times 0.04$ |
| Radiation | GaK $\alpha(\lambda=1.34139)$ |
| $2 \Theta$ range for data collection/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | 8.358 to 121.324 |
| Index ranges | $-24 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 24,-9 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 9,-20 \leq 1 \leq 20$ |
| Reflections collected | 35407 |
| Independent reflections | 5040 [ $\left.\mathrm{R}_{\text {int }}=0.0238, \mathrm{R}_{\text {sigma }}=0.0164\right]$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 5040/0/281 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.035 |
| Final R indexes [ $\mathrm{I}>=2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0357, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0919$ |
| Final R indexes [all data] | $\mathrm{R}_{1}=0.0382, \mathrm{wR}_{2}=0.0944$ |
| Largest diff. peak/hole / e $\AA^{-3}$ | 0.37/-0.16 |

Table 2 Fractional Atomic Coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and Equivalent Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han479. Ueq is defined as $1 / 3$ of of the trace of the orthogonalised $U_{I J}$ tensor.

| Atom | $\boldsymbol{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $\boldsymbol{z}$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11 | $4386.0(5)$ | $5968.9(13)$ | $2998.2(6)$ | $20.09(19)$ |
| C12 | $4706.0(6)$ | $4955.8(14)$ | $3800.6(6)$ | $25.7(2)$ |
| C13 | $4188.1(5)$ | $3490.1(14)$ | $3996.6(6)$ | $24.8(2)$ |
| C14 | $3953.1(5)$ | $2291.8(13)$ | $3223.1(6)$ | $22.7(2)$ |
| C15 | $3616.1(5)$ | $3317.8(12)$ | $2419.1(6)$ | $19.36(18)$ |
| C16 | $4146.7(5)$ | $4800.5(12)$ | $2215.3(5)$ | $17.87(18)$ |
| C17 | $3414.9(6)$ | $2037.0(14)$ | $1672.5(7)$ | $27.6(2)$ |
| C18 | $3861.7(5)$ | $5803.7(12)$ | $1398.2(6)$ | $19.22(18)$ |
| C19 | $3293.2(5)$ | $7038.1(13)$ | $1324.7(6)$ | $24.2(2)$ |
| C110 | $3013.7(6)$ | $7822.5(14)$ | $540.9(7)$ | $30.3(2)$ |
| C111 | $3307.7(7)$ | $7417.5(14)$ | $-175.1(7)$ | $31.4(2)$ |
| C112 | $3881.9(6)$ | $6228.0(15)$ | $-108.1(6)$ | $29.0(2)$ |
| C113 | $4154.1(5)$ | $5425.7(14)$ | $671.6(6)$ | $23.6(2)$ |
| O11 | $4346.9(4)$ | $7588.8(9)$ | $2980.4(4)$ | $25.85(16)$ |
| O12 | $2969.9(4)$ | $4154.9(10)$ | $2613.6(5)$ | $24.49(16)$ |
| C21 | $917.5(5)$ | $4909.7(13)$ | $4387.9(6)$ | $20.31(19)$ |
| C22 | $916.9(5)$ | $3996.7(14)$ | $5233.6(6)$ | $23.8(2)$ |
| C23 | $1594.9(5)$ | $2826.7(14)$ | $5474.8(6)$ | $24.1(2)$ |
| C24 | $1659.3(5)$ | $1509.1(13)$ | $4765.1(6)$ | $23.5(2)$ |
| C25 | $1679.8(5)$ | $2442.4(13)$ | $3913.8(6)$ | $20.38(19)$ |
| C26 | $985.1(5)$ | $3637.5(12)$ | $3657.4(6)$ | $18.56(18)$ |
| C27 | $1726.5(6)$ | $1056.4(14)$ | $3224.8(7)$ | $27.0(2)$ |
| C28 | $928.8(5)$ | $4564.9(12)$ | $2804.8(6)$ | $18.71(18)$ |
| C29 | $1384.6(5)$ | $5986.3(13)$ | $2683.5(6)$ | $20.39(19)$ |
| C210 | $1325.8(5)$ | $6791.7(13)$ | $1885.3(6)$ | $22.9(2)$ |
| C211 | $807.4(6)$ | $6202.9(13)$ | $1199.8(6)$ | $24.0(2)$ |
| C212 | $346.0(5)$ | $4813.8(13)$ | $1318.7(6)$ | $23.7(2)$ |
| C213 | $405.5(5)$ | $3998.6(13)$ | $2114.0(6)$ | $20.93(19)$ |
| O21 | $859.8(4)$ | $6517.1(10)$ | $4301.6(5)$ | $28.34(17)$ |
| O22 | $2312.1(4)$ | $3559.6(10)$ | $4059.7(5)$ | $23.38(15)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3 Anisotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han479. The Anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $2 \pi^{2}\left[h^{2} a *^{2} U_{11}+2 h k a \star b * U_{12}+\ldots\right]$.

| Atom | $\mathrm{U}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{22}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{33}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{23}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{13}$ | $\mathrm{U}_{12}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11 | $17.2(4)$ | $22.7(5)$ | $21.0(4)$ | $-1.7(3)$ | $5.3(3)$ | $-4.2(3)$ |
| C12 | $26.1(5)$ | $26.3(5)$ | $22.3(4)$ | $-0.5(4)$ | $-1.7(4)$ | $-4.6(4)$ |
| C13 | $26.1(5)$ | $25.7(5)$ | $21.4(4)$ | $3.9(4)$ | $1.0(4)$ | $-1.7(4)$ |
| C14 | $21.5(4)$ | $19.3(4)$ | $26.1(5)$ | $3.2(4)$ | $0.8(3)$ | $-0.6(3)$ |
| C15 | $16.8(4)$ | $18.2(4)$ | $22.7(4)$ | $0.2(3)$ | $2.5(3)$ | $0.1(3)$ |
| C16 | $16.3(4)$ | $18.1(4)$ | $19.3(4)$ | $-1.2(3)$ | $3.6(3)$ | $0.0(3)$ |
| C17 | $29.4(5)$ | $22.4(5)$ | $28.4(5)$ | $-3.4(4)$ | $-2.0(4)$ | $-4.5(4)$ |
| C18 | $20.2(4)$ | $17.6(4)$ | $19.8(4)$ | $-1.4(3)$ | $3.4(3)$ | $-2.8(3)$ |
| C19 | $27.2(5)$ | $20.1(4)$ | $25.6(5)$ | $-0.8(4)$ | $5.6(4)$ | $2.1(4)$ |
| C110 | $34.0(5)$ | $21.0(5)$ | $33.4(5)$ | $2.7(4)$ | $-0.1(4)$ | $4.2(4)$ |
| C111 | $43.5(6)$ | $24.8(5)$ | $22.7(5)$ | $4.3(4)$ | $-2.1(4)$ | $-6.5(4)$ |
| C112 | $37.9(6)$ | $29.4(5)$ | $20.4(4)$ | $-2.6(4)$ | $7.1(4)$ | $-8.4(4)$ |
| C113 | $25.3(5)$ | $23.4(5)$ | $22.9(4)$ | $-3.7(4)$ | $6.5(4)$ | $-2.5(4)$ |
| O11 | $32.4(4)$ | $20.4(3)$ | $25.5(3)$ | $-2.8(3)$ | $7.2(3)$ | $-5.7(3)$ |
| O12 | $17.3(3)$ | $28.6(4)$ | $28.4(4)$ | $7.0(3)$ | $6.4(3)$ | $3.1(3)$ |
| C21 | $16.4(4)$ | $23.7(5)$ | $21.0(4)$ | $0.7(4)$ | $3.9(3)$ | $0.7(3)$ |
| C22 | $25.8(5)$ | $26.3(5)$ | $20.2(4)$ | $1.0(4)$ | $6.7(3)$ | $0.0(4)$ |
| C23 | $21.6(4)$ | $27.0(5)$ | $22.6(4)$ | $5.5(4)$ | $1.8(3)$ | $-3.5(4)$ |
| C24 | $21.2(4)$ | $21.9(5)$ | $27.7(5)$ | $6.1(4)$ | $4.8(4)$ | $0.5(4)$ |
| C25 | $18.1(4)$ | $18.6(4)$ | $24.8(4)$ | $1.4(3)$ | $5.1(3)$ | $-0.8(3)$ |
| C26 | $16.7(4)$ | $19.0(4)$ | $20.3(4)$ | $0.3(3)$ | $4.2(3)$ | $-2.4(3)$ |
| C27 | $29.5(5)$ | $21.1(5)$ | $32.1(5)$ | $-1.6(4)$ | $10.0(4)$ | $1.4(4)$ |
| C28 | $17.9(4)$ | $19.1(4)$ | $19.5(4)$ | $-0.6(3)$ | $4.3(3)$ | $2.1(3)$ |
| C29 | $18.9(4)$ | $20.6(4)$ | $21.5(4)$ | $-0.2(3)$ | $3.2(3)$ | $0.6(3)$ |
| C210 | $24.4(4)$ | $20.0(4)$ | $25.8(5)$ | $2.4(4)$ | $8.7(4)$ | $2.3(4)$ |
| C211 | $29.8(5)$ | $23.3(5)$ | $19.6(4)$ | $1.7(4)$ | $6.1(4)$ | $8.8(4)$ |
| C212 | $24.8(4)$ | $24.3(5)$ | $20.4(4)$ | $-5.0(4)$ | $0.2(3)$ | $6.4(4)$ |
| C213 | $19.2(4)$ | $19.6(4)$ | $24.0(4)$ | $-3.1(3)$ | $3.9(3)$ | $0.8(3)$ |
| O21 | $37.6(4)$ | $22.9(4)$ | $26.1(3)$ | $0.2(3)$ | $9.8(3)$ | $5.1(3)$ |
| O22 | $17.0(3)$ | $26.7(4)$ | $26.9(3)$ | $2.9(3)$ | $5.5(3)$ | $-3.1(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4 Bond Lengths for han479.

| Atom | Atom | Length/Å | Atom | Atom | Length/Å |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11 | C12 | 1.5123(13) | C21 | C22 | 1.5111(13) |
| C11 | C16 | 1.5218(12) | C21 | C26 | 1.5268(13) |
| C11 | 011 | 1.2141(12) | C21 | 021 | 1.2129(12) |
| C12 | C13 | 1.5337(14) | C22 | C23 | 1.5319(14) |
| C13 | C14 | 1.5224(13) | C23 | C24 | 1.5217(14) |
| C14 | C15 | 1.5269(12) | C24 | C25 | 1.5325 (13) |
| C15 | C16 | 1.5627(12) | C25 | C26 | 1.5697(13) |
| C15 | C17 | 1.5203(13) | C25 | C27 | 1.5244(13) |
| C15 | 012 | $1.4459(11)$ | C25 | 022 | 1.4331(11) |
| C16 | C18 | 1.5126(12) | C26 | C28 | 1.5119(12) |
| C18 | C19 | 1.3980 (13) | C28 | C29 | 1.3991 (13) |
| C18 | C113 | 1.3977 (13) | C28 | C213 | 1.3982 (13) |
| C19 | C110 | 1.3921(14) | C29 | C210 | 1.3934 (13) |
| C110 | C111 | 1.3884(16) | C210 | C211 | 1.3928(14) |
| C111 | C112 | $1.3848(17)$ | C211 | C212 | 1.3867(15) |
| C112 | C113 | 1.3896(14) | C212 | C213 | 1.3924(13) |

Table 5 Bond Angles for han479.

| Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | Atom | Atom | Atom | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C12 | C11 | C16 | $114.61(8)$ | C 22 | C 21 | C 26 | $114.32(8)$ |
| O11 | C 11 | C 12 | $122.20(9)$ | O 21 | C 21 | C 22 | $122.32(9)$ |
| O11 | C 11 | C 16 | $123.15(8)$ | O 21 | C 21 | C 26 | $123.36(8)$ |
| C 11 | C 12 | C 13 | $111.31(8)$ | C 21 | C 22 | C 23 | $110.23(8)$ |
| C 14 | C 13 | C 12 | $110.83(8)$ | C 24 | C 23 | C 22 | $111.03(8)$ |
| C 13 | C 14 | C 15 | $113.38(8)$ | C 23 | C 24 | C 25 | $112.40(8)$ |
| C 14 | C 15 | C 16 | $110.53(7)$ | C 24 | C 25 | C 26 | $109.60(7)$ |
| C 17 | C 15 | C 14 | $110.16(8)$ | C 27 | C 25 | C 24 | $109.98(8)$ |
| C 17 | C 15 | C 16 | $111.12(8)$ | C 27 | C 25 | C 26 | $110.76(8)$ |
| O 12 | C 15 | C 14 | $105.73(7)$ | O 22 | C 25 | C 24 | $106.05(7)$ |
| O 12 | C 15 | C 16 | $109.04(7)$ | O 22 | C 25 | C 26 | $109.31(7)$ |
| O 12 | C 15 | C 17 | $110.11(8)$ | O 22 | C 25 | C 27 | $111.02(7)$ |
| C 11 | C 16 | C 15 | $109.87(7)$ | C 21 | C 26 | C 25 | $109.72(7)$ |
| C 18 | C 16 | C 11 | $115.14(8)$ | C 28 | C 26 | C 21 | $113.40(8)$ |
| C 18 | C 16 | C 15 | $113.20(7)$ | C 28 | C 26 | C 25 | $114.39(7)$ |
| C 19 | C 18 | C 16 | $122.87(8)$ | C 29 | C 28 | C 26 | $121.96(8)$ |
| C 113 | C 18 | C 16 | $118.86(8)$ | C 213 | C 28 | C 26 | $119.43(8)$ |
| C 113 | C 18 | C 19 | $118.20(9)$ | C 213 | C 28 | C 29 | $118.60(8)$ |
| C 110 | C 19 | C 18 | $120.58(9)$ | C 210 | C 29 | C 28 | $120.46(9)$ |
| C 111 | C 110 | C 19 | $120.31(10)$ | C 211 | C 210 | C 29 | $120.39(9)$ |
| C 112 | C 111 | C 110 | $119.77(9)$ | C 212 | C 211 | C 210 | $119.47(9)$ |
| C 111 | C 112 | C 113 | $119.91(9)$ | C 211 | C 212 | C 213 | $120.33(9)$ |
| C 112 | C 113 | C 18 | $121.20(9)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 6 Hydrogen Bonds for han479.

| D | H | A | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}) / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{A}) / \AA$ | $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{A}) / \AA$ | D-H-A/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 022 | H22 | 012 | 0.875(16) | 1.975 (16) | 2.8407(10) | 170.0(14) |

Table 7 Torsion Angles for han479.

| A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ | A | B | C | D | Angle/ ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C11 | C12 | C13 | C14 | 52.56 (11) | C21 | C22 | C23 | C24 | -54.61(10) |
| C11 | C16 | C18 | C19 | -54.97(12) | C21 | C26 | C28 | C29 | 55.53 (11) |
| C11 | C16 | C18 | C113 | 128.09(9) | C21 | C26 | C28 | C213 | -124.24(9) |
| C12 | C11 | C16 | C15 | 53.81 (10) | C22 | C21 | C26 | C25 | -54.98(10) |
| C12 | C11 | C16 | C18 | -176.97(8) | C22 | C21 | C26 | C28 | 175.74(7) |
| C12 | C13 | C14 | C15 | -55.01 (11) | C22 | C23 | C2 4 | C25 | 57.41(10) |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | C16 | 55.33(10) | C23 | C24 | C25 | C26 | -56.67(10) |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | C17 | 178.52(8) | C23 | C24 | C25 | C27 | -178.67(8) |
| C13 | C14 | C15 | 012 | -62.54(10) | C23 | C24 | C25 | 022 | 61.22 (10) |
| C14 | C15 | C16 | C11 | -52.81(10) | C24 | C25 | C26 | C21 | 53.85 (10) |
| C14 | C15 | C16 | C18 | 176.92(7) | C24 | C25 | C26 | C28 | -177.41(8) |
| C15 | C16 | C18 | C19 | 72.59 (11) | C25 | C26 | C28 | C29 | -71.33(11) |
| C15 | C16 | C18 | C113 | -104.34(10) | C25 | C26 | C28 | C213 | 108.90(9) |
| C16 | C11 | C12 | C13 | -54.07(11) | C26 | C21 | C22 | C23 | 55.18 (10) |
| C16 | C18 | C19 | C110 | -174.99(9) | C26 | C28 | C29 | C210 | 178.94(8) |
| C16 | C18 | C113 | C112 | 176.03 (9) | C26 | C28 | C213 | C212 | -179.29(8) |
| C17 | C15 | C16 | C11 | -175.44(8) | C27 | C25 | C26 | C21 | 175.38(8) |
| C17 | C15 | C16 | C18 | $54.29(10)$ | C27 | C25 | C26 | C28 | -55.89(10) |
| C18 | C19 | C110 | C111 | -1.46(16) | C28 | C29 | C210 | C211 | 0.64 (14) |
| C19 | C18 | C113 | C112 | -1.05 (14) | C29 | C28 | C213 | C212 | 0.93 (14) |
| C19 | C110 | C111 | C112 | -0.02 (16) | C29 | C210 | C211 | C212 | 0.40 (14) |
| C110 | C111 | C112 | C113 | 0.94 (16) | C210 | C211 | C212 | C213 | -0.76(14) |
| C111 | C112 | C113 | C18 | -0.39(15) | C211 | C212 | C213 | C28 | 0.09 (14) |
| C113 | C18 | C19 | C110 | 1.96 (14) | C213 | C28 | C29 | C210 | -1.29(13) |
| 011 | C11 | C12 | C13 | 128.16(10) | 021 | C21 | C22 | C23 | -125.69(10) |
| 011 | C11 | C16 | C15 | -128.44(9) | 021 | C21 | C26 | C25 | 125.90(10) |
| 011 | C11 | C16 | C18 | 0.77 (13) | 021 | C21 | C26 | C28 | -3.38(13) |
| 012 | C15 | C16 | C11 | 63.01 (9) | 022 | C25 | C26 | C21 | -61.99(9) |
| 012 | C15 | C16 | C18 | -67.26(9) | 022 | C25 | C26 | C28 | 66.75 (10) |

Table 8 Hydrogen Atom Coordinates ( $\AA \times 10^{4}$ ) and Isotropic Displacement Parameters ( $\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}$ ) for han479.

| Atom | $\mathbf{x}$ | $\boldsymbol{y}$ | $z$ | U (eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H12A | 4806 | 5798 | 4287 | 31 |
| H12B | 5172 | 4408 | 3729 | 31 |
| H13A | 4434 | 2761 | 4483 | 30 |
| H13B | 3755 | 4047 | 4161 | 30 |
| H14A | 3596 | 1411 | 3357 | 27 |
| H14B | 4381 | 1622 | 3109 | 27 |
| H16 | 4593 | 4161 | 2117 | 21 |
| H17A | 3856 | 1469 | 1549 | 41 |
| H17B | 3174 | 2699 | 1169 | 41 |
| H17C | 3085 | 1118 | 1818 | 41 |
| H19 | 3096 | 7344 | 1814 | 29 |
| H110 | 2620 | 8639 | 496 | 36 |
| H111 | 3116 | 7955 | -709 | 38 |
| H112 | 4089 | 5961 | -594 | 35 |
| H113 | 4546 | 4605 | 711 | 28 |
| H12 | 2716(9) | 4560 (20) | 2158 (10) | 50 (4) |
| H22A | 476 | 3248 | 5194 | 29 |
| H22B | 908 | 4908 | 5682 | 29 |
| H23A | 2032 | 3596 | 5585 | 29 |
| H23B | 1569 | 2162 | 6006 | 29 |
| H24A | 1241 | 678 | 4690 | 28 |
| H24B | 2108 | 795 | 4933 | 28 |
| H26 | 560 | 2810 | 3600 | 22 |
| H27A | 2158 | 312 | 3401 | 41 |
| H27B | 1759 | 1664 | 2689 | 41 |
| H27C | 1291 | 302 | 3141 | 41 |
| H29 | 1737 | 6405 | 3149 | 24 |
| H210 | 1641 | 7748 | 1808 | 27 |
| H211 | 770 | 6749 | 655 | 29 |
| H212 | -12 | 4416 | 855 | 28 |
| H213 | 87 | 3047 | 2188 | 25 |
| H22 | 2464(8) | 3710 (20) | 3577 (10) | 45(4) |



## Experimental

Single crystals of $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ [han479] were slow recrystallized from ethyl acetate-hexanes. A suitable crystal was selected and mounted on a loop fiber on a Bruker Venture Metaljet diffractometer. The crystal was kept at 110 K during data collection. Using Olex2 [1], the structure was solved with the XT [2] structure solution program using Direct Methods and refined with the XL [3] refinement package using Least Squares minimisation.
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## Crystal structure determination of [han479]

Crystal Data for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}(M=204.26 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol})$ : monoclinic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{C}$ (no. 14), $a=18.7276(4) \AA, \quad b=7.4816(2) \AA, \quad c=15.9354(4) \AA, \quad \beta=$ $100.5880(10)^{\circ}, \quad V=2194.73(9) \AA^{3}, Z=8, \quad T=110 \mathrm{~K}, \quad \mu(G a K \alpha)=0.419 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$, Dcalc $=1.236 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, 35407$ reflections measured ( $8.358^{\circ} \leq 2 \Theta \leq 121.324^{\circ}$ ), 5040 unique $\left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0238, R_{\text {sigma }}=0.0164\right)$ which were used in all calculations. The final $R_{1}$ was 0.0357 (I $\left.>2 \sigma(I)\right)$ and $w R_{2}$ was 0.0944 (all data).

## Refinement model description

Number of restraints - 0, number of constraints - unknown.
Details:

1. Fixed Uiso

At 1.2 times of:
All C(H) groups, All C(H,H) groups
At 1.5 times of:
All C(H,H,H) groups
2.a Ternary CH refined with riding coordinates: C16(H16), C26(H26)
2.b Secondary CH2 refined with riding coordinates: C12 (H12A, H12B), C13(H13A, H13B), C14(H14A, H14B), C22(H22A, H22B), C23(H23A, H23B), C24 (H24A, H24B)
2.c Aromatic/amide $H$ refined with riding coordinates:

C19(H19), C110(H110), C111(H111), C112(H112), C113(H113), C29(H29), C210 (H210), C211(H211), C212(H212), C213(H213)
2.d Idealised Me refined as rotating group:

C17 (H17A, H17B, H17C), C27 (H27A, H27B, H27C)

