Pagé MG, Karanicolas PJ, Cleary S, Wei AC, McHardy P, Ladak SSJ, Ayach N, Sawyer J, McCluskey SA, Srinivas C, Katz J, Coburn N, Hallet J, Law C, Greig P, Clarke H. (2019). Inhospital consumption, but not pain intensity scores, predict 6-month levels of pain disability following hepatic resection: a trajectory analysis. Eur J Pain; 23(3): 503-514. doi: 10.1002/ejp.1324. PMID: 30298685. In-hospital opioid consumption, but not pain intensity scores, predict 6-month levels of pain catastrophizing following hepatic resection: a trajectory analysis

M Gabrielle Pagé PhD^{1,2}, Paul J Karanicolas MD PhD^{3,4}, Sean Cleary MD MSc MPH^{5,6}, Alice C Wei MD MSc⁵, Paul McHardy MD⁷, Salima S. J. Ladak MN NP PhD^{8,9}, Nour Ayach BPhm¹⁰, Jason Sawyer NP⁷, Stuart A. McCluskey MD PhD¹⁰, Coimbatore Srinivas MD¹⁰, Joel Katz PhD^{8,10,11}, Natalie Coburn MD MPH^{3,4}, Julie Hallet MD MSc³, Calvin Law MD MPH^{3,4}, Paul Greig MD³, Hance Clarke MD PhD^{8,10}

- 1- Centre de recherche du Centre hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, bureau S01-112, Tour Saint-Antoine, 850 rue St-Denis, Montreal, QC, H2X 0A9 Canada
- 2- Department of anesthesiology and pain medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Pavillon Roger-Gaudry, local S-172, 2900 boul. Édouard-Montpetit, Montreal, QC, H3T 1J4, Canada
- 3- Department of Surgery, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University of Toronto, 2075 Bayview Avenue Room D5.74. Toronto, ON, M4N 3M5, Canada
- 4- Department of Health Policy, Management, and Evaluation, University of Toronto, Health Sciences Building, 155 College street, suite 425, Toronto, ON M5T 3M6, Canada
- 5- Department of Surgery, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Stewart Building, 149 College Street 5th floor, Toronto, ON M5T 1P5, Canada
- Division of Hepatobiliary and Pancreas Surgery, Mayo Clinic Rochester, 200 First St. SW, Rochester, MN 55905, USA
- 7- Department of Anaesthesia, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, University of Toronto, 2075 Bayview Avenue, Toronto, ON, M4N 3M5, Canada
- 8- Department of Anaesthesia and Pain Management, University Health Network, University of Toronto, 200 Elizabeth Street, 3EN-464, Toronto, ON, M5G 2C4, Canada
- 9- Lawrence S. Bloomberg Faculty of Nursing, University of Toronto, suite 150, 155 College St, Toronto, ON, M5T 1P8, Canada
- 10- Pain Research Unit, Toronto General Hospital, University Health Network, 200 Elizabeth Street, Toronto, ON, M5G 2C4, Canada
- 11- Department of psychology, Faculty of Arts, York University, 4700 Keele Street, Toronto, ON M3J 1P3, Canada

Running title: Postoperative opioid trajectories and disability Number of words: 2994 Number of tables: 3 Number of figures: 4 Submitted as an original article

Declaration of interests

J. Hallet received speaking honoraria from Ipsen and Novartis Oncology. J Sawyer: Board Member of American Society for Pain Management Nursing.

Funding

This work was supported by the Innovation Fund of the Alternative Funding Plan from the Academic Health Sciences Centres of Ontario.

Corresponding author:

Hance Clarke, MD, PhD Pain Research Unit, Toronto General Hospital, University Health Network 200 Elizabeth Street, Toronto, ON, M5G 2C4, Canada hance.clarke@uhn.ca

Significance: Differences in initial levels of opioid consumption and rates of change in opioid consumption shortly after surgery can help predict long-term psychological responses to pain. Identifying key characteristics associated with initial opioid consumption can lead to the development of cost-effective early interventions targeted to high risk individuals.

Abstract

Background. The study aims were to model acute pain intensity and opioid consumption trajectories up to 72 hours after open hepatic resection, identify predictors of trajectory membership and examine the association between trajectory memberships and six-month pain and psychological outcomes. This is a long-term analysis of a published randomized controlled trial on the impact of medial open transversus abdominis plane catheters on post-operative outcomes.

Methods. A total of 152 patients (89 males; mean age 63.0 [range: 54-72]) completed questionnaires on pain and related characteristics pre-operatively and 6 months post-operatively. Total opioid use was recorded several times over a 72-hour period while self-reported pain intensity scores were collected multiple times until hospital discharge. Analyses were carried out using growth mixture modeling, logistic regression and general linear models.

Results. Both pain intensity and opioid consumption showed that a four-trajectory model best fit the data. Patients in the lowest opioid consumption trajectory were more likely to be classified in the constant mild pain intensity trajectory. Age and baseline levels of anxiety significantly predicted opioid trajectory membership while baseline depressive symptoms significantly predicted pain intensity trajectory membership. Patients in the two highest opioid consumption trajectories reported significantly higher levels of pain catastrophizing at six months compared to patients in the other 3 trajectories (all p < 0.05).

Conclusion. High consumption of opioids after surgery is associated with higher levels of pain catastrophizing six months later. Identification of patients within these trajectories may lead to the development of early interventions targeted to high risk individuals. **Significance**: Differences in initial levels of opioid consumption and rates of change in opioid consumption shortly after surgery can help predict long-term psychological responses to pain. Identifying key characteristics associated with initial opioid consumption can lead to the development of cost-effective early interventions targeted to high risk individuals.

Keywords:

Chronic Pain; Surgery; Analgesics, Opioid; trajectories

Trial Registry Number: NCT01960049, Sept 23, 2013; http://clinicaltrials.gov

Chronic post-surgical pain (CPSP) is a common outcome of many types of operations (Bruce and Quinlan 2011). CPSP refers to the presence of pain at the surgery site with an onset following surgery (or worsening of a pre-operative pain after surgery) that lasts for at least 3-6 months, that cannot be attributed to other causes than surgery and that impacts on a patient's quality of life or functioning (Werner and Kongsgaard 2014). Several risk factors for the development of CPSP have been identified (Katz and Seltzer 2009), including poor early postoperative pain experience (Katz 2012; Katz et al., 1996; Katz and Seltzer 2009; Perkins and Kehlet 2000).

Both preoperative pain status and preoperative opioid consumption have been identified as risk factors for the development of CPSP (Schug and Bruce 2017). While this is also true of acute post-surgical pain, fewer articles have been published on the association between perioperative opioid consumption and CPSP outcomes (Katz et al., 2015; Schug and Bruce 2017). Research suggests however that acute post-operative pain and opioid consumption are strongly associated (Lindberg et al., 2017; Maheshwari et al., 2016). Recently, studies have moved beyond simple static measures of acute pain to examine profiles of pain trajectories and how they relate to long-term outcomes (Chapman et al., 2011a; Chapman et al., 2011b; Chapman et al., 2012; Page et al., 2016). It remains to be determined whether specific empirically-derived opioid consumption profiles following surgery might contribute to the prediction of CPSP above and beyond pain intensity.

The overall study aim was to use a latent trajectory-based approach to examine the association between pain and opioid consumption after hepatic resection and CPSP

5

status, levels of pain disability and psychological distress 6 months later. More specifically, the objectives were to:

(1) Identify and examine subgroup trajectories of cumulative opioid consumption as well as subgroup trajectories of pain intensity at rest over the first 72 hours postoperatively;

(2) Examine degree of association between opioid and pain trajectory membership; and

(3) Examine the association between opioid and pain trajectory membership and 6month pain and related outcomes.

This is a long-term analysis of a published prospective, longitudinal, multicenter, randomized controlled trial comparing medical open transversus abdominis plane (MOTAP) catheters combined with intra-venous (IV) patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) to IV PCA alone among patients undergoing liver resection using a right subcostal incision (Karanicolas et al., in press).

Methods

Study design

Please refer to published study protocol for details (Karanicolas et al., 2014). The Research Ethics Boards from Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre (178-2013) and University Health Network (12-0493-A) approved this registered trial (http://clinicaltrials.gov NCT01960049, Sept 23, 2013).

Participants

Participants were eligible for this study if they were scheduled to undergo liver resection through a right subcostal incision. Exclusion criteria included presence of chronic pain or chronic use of opioids, contraindication to local anaesthetic, and having had in the past a right subcostal incision. In addition, patients were withdrawn from study if they did not receive a right subcostal incision, were intubated for more than four hours postoperatively or re-intubated within 48 hours postoperatively.

Procedures and measures

Procedures and measures relevant to this analysis are described below; please refer to detailed protocol for a comprehensive overview of all measures administered (Karanicolas et al., 2014).

Recruitment. Patients were recruited either during their pre-surgical consent visit or the anaesthesia pre-assessment clinic and informed consent was then obtained.

Baseline information. Prior to surgery, patients completed self-report questionnaires assessing sociodemographic characteristics, chronic pain history (including presence of chronic pain problems, pain frequency and intensity using the 11point Numeric Rating Scale (Dworkin et al., 2005) (0 = no pain, 10 = worst possible pain), and pain disability using the Pain Disability Index (PDI) (Chibnall and Tait 1994)), and validated psychological measures including the Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS) (Sullivan et al., 1995) and the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) (Bjelland et al., 2002).

Randomization. Patients were randomized to the experimental (local anesthetic administered through the MOTAP catheters) or placebo (normal saline solution administered through the MOTAP catheters) groups using a double-blind procedure.

Surgical procedure and peri-operative information. Patients underwent liver resection using a right subcostal incision with upper midline extension or limited left subcostal extension if determined necessary by operating surgeon.

Study intervention. Once surgery was completed, the trained surgeon inserted two catheters (transversus abdominis plane and posterior rectus space) that was used to administer ropivacaine 0.2% 5mL through each catheter or saline solution. These catheters were removed in the morning post-operative day 3. Multimodal analgesia algorithm was used in all patients regardless of group allocation. This included celecoxib 200mg taken twice daily orally (if baseline serum creatinine inferior to 90 μmol/L) as well as intravenous patient-controlled-analgesia (PCA) of hydromorphone 0.2mg using a 5-minute lockout period and no background infusion.

In-hospital post-operative period. Cumulative morphine equivalent consumption over the first 72 hours postoperatively, taking into account IV PCA as well as any additional IV or oral opioids), taken from medical chart. Pain intensity at rest and with coughing was assessed by a research assistant using the NRS every 8 hours for the first 48 hours and then daily until hospital discharge.

Long-term outcomes. Six months postoperatively, patients completed the following questionnaires administered over the phone: PCS, HADS, PDI as well as questions on the status of their post-operative pain (presence/absence, frequency, intensity using the NRS). Patients with pre-existing chronic pain were considered as having chronic post-surgical pain if their post-surgical pain intensity (NRS) and interference (PDI) scores were clinically meaningfully (Farrar et al., 2001) higher than pre-operatively (increase of 2 points on the NRS pain intensity and 20% on the PDI) (Werner and Kongsgaard 2014).

Data analysis

Obj 1. Cumulative opioid consumption and pain intensity trajectories

Two separate latent growth mixture modeling (GMM) analyses were run, one to create an opioid consumption trajectory model and the other to create a pain intensity trajectory model. This latent trajectory approach was chosen because it takes into account both inter- and intra-individual variability and groups individuals that share similar profiles in terms of intercept and rates of change over time. This has the advantage of taking into account the dynamic nature of the pain experience and how these early day changes influence long-term outcomes. The goal of this statistical approach is to identify different subgroups of patients that are homogeneous in their initial levels of pain or opioid consumption as well as similar rates of change on this outcome over time.

GMM (Asparouhov and Muthen 2008; Muthen and Asparouhov 2009) carried out using the lcmm package (Proust-Lima et al., 2015) in R (version 3.4.1) (R Core Team 2014) was performed to generate opioid consumption and pain at movement (coughrelated) trajectory models over the first 72 hours postoperatively. For each model, this latent approach examines and compares trajectory solutions that differed in terms of number of trajectories, initial level of the outcome, as well as linear and quadratic parameters to capture rates of change on the outcome over time. A total of 16 models for each opioid and pain trajectory models (from 1 to 8 trajectories and presence/absence of a quadratic term) were tested and compared. Model selection was based on fit indices (lowest Akaike Information Criterion and Bayesian Information Criterion) (Akaike 1983), adequacy of trajectories (a minimum of 5% of patients assigned to the smallest class) as well as theoretical soundness and interpretability of trajectories. Following selection of the optimal model, the model was re-run with the inclusion of the treatment allocation variable as a covariate of the trajectory membership and other baseline predictors that were retained in the final model only if significant (p < 0.05). *Obj 2. Association between opioid consumption and pain intensity trajectories*

Pearson chi-square test was used to examine the degree of association between opioid consumption trajectory membership and pain intensity trajectory membership. *Obj 3. Association between opioid consumption trajectories, pain intensity trajectories and gender with long-term outcomes*

Logistic regression models were used to examine whether opioid consumption trajectory membership and pain intensity trajectory membership, after controlling for gender, were associated with presence/absence of chronic postoperative pain status at 6 months (model 1), and presence/absence of chronic postoperative pain of moderate to severe intensity (NRS > 3) (model 2).

General linear modeling was used to examine the associations between opioid consumption trajectory membership, opioid consumption trajectory membership, and gender with levels of pain disability at 6 months (PDI).

Multivariate general linear modeling was used to examine the associations between opioid consumption trajectory membership, pain intensity trajectory membership and gender with psychological outcomes at 6 months (PCS, HADS depression subscore, HADS anxiety subscore).

Results

A total of 480 participants were screened for eligibility criteria between December 2013 and June 2016 and 176 patients were randomized. A total of 152 patients were included in the primary study analysis (see Figure 1 for details).

Patients were primarily male (n=89; 58.82%) with a mean age of 63 years (range: 54-72). Descriptive statistics on key measures for the overall sample are presented in Table 1. Figure 2 shows the cumulative opioid consumption (left panel) and NRS pain at movement scores over the first 72 hours postoperatively according to treatment allocation (intervention vs. placebo). There were statistically significant differences in opioid consumption and pain scores across treatment allocation (Karanicolas et al., 2018) and as such this variable was controlled for in the trajectory models.

Opioid and Pain Trajectories

For each of the opioid and pain trajectory analyses, a total of 16 models were evaluated that differed in terms of number of trajectories (between 1 and 8) as well as presence or absence of a quadratic term. Table 2 shows the model fit for each model tested. For both the opioid trajectory and the pain at movement trajectory models, a four trajectory model (with a linear and quadratic term for the opioid consumption model and with a linear term only for the pain at movement model) best fit the data while ensuring a minimum of 5% of patients belonging to the smallest trajectory.

Opioid consumption model: The square root of opioid consumption was used as this variable was positively skewed. Several baseline predictors of opioid trajectory were examined (age, sex, pre-operative pain status, pre-operative use of analgesics, anxiety, depression, pain catastrophizing, cumulative consumption of acetaminophen, celecoxib, and gabapentin in-hospital, surgical procedure and type of diagnosis) individually and significant individual predictors of trajectory membership were included in the final model. Model controlled for randomization (intervention and placebo). The final model (AIC = 2458.10, BIC = 2531.64) was a 4-trajectory model with a linear and quadratic terms and randomization, age and baseline anxiety level as predictors of class trajectory membership. Patients in Opioid Trajectory 1 had the lowest opioid consumption while patients in Opioid Trajectory 4 had the highest opioid consumption. For all trajectories, there was a significant linear or quadratic effect suggesting their levels of opioid consumption significantly increased over time. The comparative amount of opioid consumption across trajectories remained the same over time (e.g., patients in trajectory # 5 consumed more opioids in the first 12 hours postoperatively and this trend continued up to 72 hours postoperatively).

Results showed that compared to patients in trajectory 4, patients in trajectories 1-3 were significantly older while patients in trajectory 1 had significantly lower levels of baseline anxiety compared to patients in trajectory 4 (p < 0.05).

Pain at movement trajectory model: The same baseline predictors as for the opioid model were examined (age, sex, pre-operative pain status, pre-operative use of analgesics, anxiety, depression, pain catastrophizing, cumulative consumption of acetaminophen, celecoxib and gabapentin in-hospital, surgical procedure and type of diagnosis) with the addition of cumulative opioid consumption at 72 hours. Final model (AIC = 5018.62, BIC = 5071.31) controlled for randomization (intervention and placebo) and included baseline levels of depression as predictor of trajectory membership. None of

the other baseline predictors tested were significantly associated with pain trajectory membership in the final model (p > 0.05).

Patients in Trajectory 1 (constant mild pain) reported minimal pain intensity throughout the first three days postoperatively with no significant changes in intensity levels across time. Similarly, patients in Trajectories 3 (constant mild/moderate pain) and 4 (constant moderate pain) experienced also constant pain over the first three postoperative days but their pain was higher in intensity. Patients in Trajectory 2 (severe pain intensity rapidly decreasing) reported a rapid significant change in their pain intensity level to reach mild pain intensity by the third post-operative day. Results also showed that patients in Trajectory (constant mild pain) had significantly lower levels of baseline depressive symptoms compared to patients in Trajectory 4 (constant moderate pain).

Distribution frequencies of diagnosis per trajectory membership are presented in Table 1. Opioid and pain trajectories are presented in Figure 3. *Association between Opioid and Pain Trajectory Membership*

Pearson chi-square test showed significant differences between opioid and pain trajectory membership such that patients in the lowest opioid consumption trajectory were more likely to be classified in the constant mild pain trajectory and less likely to be classified in the constant moderate pain trajectory than would be expected by change (χ^2 (df=9) = 33.36; *p* < 0.001). There were no other significant differences; for example, patients in the severe pain intensity rapidly decreasing trajectory were equally distributed across the opioid trajectories (see Table 3).

6-month Outcomes

Results of the factorial logistic regression models examining association between opioid and pain trajectory membership and gender with CPSP status at 6 months were significant for presence/absence of CPSP (p > 0.05). More specifically, gender, but not opioid or pain trajectory memberships, was associated with presence/absence of CPSP ($X^2(5) = 13,64$; p = 0.018; gender: B = 1.13; standard error = 0.552; Wald = 4.20; p =0.040) and presence/absence of CPSP of moderate to severe intensity ($X^2(5) = 13,85$; p =0.017; gender: B = 1.97; standard error = 0.862; Wald = 5.22; p = 0.022) at 6 months. Females were more likely to report CPSP compared to males.

Results of general linear model examining associations between opioid and pain trajectory membership and gender with levels of pain disability at 6 months showed an overall significant effect of gender (F(1;89) = 4.22, p = 0.043), but not pain or opioid trajectory memberships.

Results of the multivariate general linear model examining associations between pain and opioid trajectories and psychological outcomes at 6 months (pain catastrophizing, anxiety and depression) showed an overall significant effect of opioid trajectory membership (Pillai's Trace = 0.21, F(9;255) = 2.17, p = 0.025) and gender (Pillai's Trace = 0.11, F(3;83) = 3.49, p = 0.019) but not pain trajectory membership (p =0.297). More specifically, opioid trajectory membership and gender were significantly associated with levels of pain catastrophizing at 6 months (p < 0.05) (see Figure 4 for details).

Discussion

This study showed that patients can be grouped into 4 different trajectories in terms of (1) postsurgical pain intensity at movement and (2) cumulative opioid

consumption. Opioid consumption trajectories were significantly associated with pain catastrophizing outcomes at six months.

Repartition of Patients into the Pain and Opioid Consumption Trajectories

The results showed that belonging to the lowest opioid consumption trajectory was associated with increased likelihood to be classified in the constant mild pain trajectory. This was not the case necessarily for the higher opioid consumption trajectories; namely patients in the highest opioid consumption trajectory were not systematically classified into the constant moderate pain trajectory. This suggests that the association between pain intensity and opioid consumption goes beyond the pain experience and is multifactorial. While a significant body of literature has found an association between levels of acute post-operative pain intensity and opioid consumption, (Lindberg et al., 2017; Maheshwari et al., 2016) such association has not been found in other studies (Seong Tan et al., 2013) or has been shown to vary with respect to the strength of association based on variables such as age (Gagliese et al., 2008). The association between pain intensity and opioid consumption in the days following surgery might be influenced or mediated by other factors, such as psychological distress or perioperative factors. Psychological factors have been shown to influence pain intensity reports acutely post-surgically beyond levels of opioid consumption (Bot et al., 2014). Results from this study however showed that anxiety variables were associated with opioid trajectories (baseline anxiety predicted opioid trajectory membership while trajectory membership predicted 6-month pain catastrophizing). No such association was found for pain intensity trajectories; rather baseline depressive symptoms predicted pain

trajectories. This suggests that perhaps pre-operative anxiety might influence pain perception and play a greater role on post-operative behaviors (consumption of opioids). *Intervention Arm and Trajectory Memberships*

Group assignment (intervention vs. placebo) was surprisingly not predictive of trajectory membership in either model. In the primary clinical trial paper published on these data (Karanicolas et al., in press), results suggested that patients in the ropivacaine group consumed significantly less opioids over a 72-hour period compared to patients in the control group. Taking together, these results suggest that while procedural techniques and drug administration during surgery impact on global amount of opioid consumption, discriminant factors in opioid consumption, namely initial levels and speed of consumption, are important elements of the acute post-surgical experience that have an impact on long-term outcomes. These elements points to the need to better understand trajectories of in-hospital opioid consumption following surgery and risk factors for elevated opioid consumption trajectories as this might propose early intervention strategies to minimize the risks of poor long-term outcomes.

Acute Pain Trajectories

Results from the acute pain trajectory model are strikingly similar to those obtained among patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty (Page et al., 2016), namely highlighting subgroups of patients with unchanging elevated or mild pain intensities as well as those with rapidly improving pain intensity. The literature is controversial regarding the association between acute postoperative pain trajectories and CPSP outcomes (Althaus et al., 2014; Bonnet et al., 2012; Page et al., 2016). While acute postsurgical pain, typically measured as a static variable, is a common risk factor for CPSP

16

(Katz 2012; Katz et al., 1996; Katz and Seltzer 2009; Perkins and Kehlet 2000; Schug and Bruce 2017), the lack of such significant association in pain trajectory studies highlights the importance of examining the heterogeneity of pain responses acutely in the understanding and the prevention of CPSP.

Opioid Consumption Trajectories

To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine opioid consumption trajectories acutely following surgery using a latent class model. Results showed that as early as 12-24 hours after surgery differences in amount of opioid consumption can be observed and these differences only increase over time. In other words, results point to the importance of detecting early on elevated opioid consumption as it is most likely to continue to remain elevated over the subsequent days, thus increasing the risk that a patient will transition to prolonged opioid use (Clarke et al., 2014).

Trajectories and Long-Term Outcomes

The absence of a significant association between opioid consumption trajectories with six-month pain disability and CPSP might be explained by the complexity of the association between chronic pain, disability and their predictors. Interestingly, opioid consumption trajectories predicted six-month pain catastrophizing, suggesting an association between opioid consumption and psychological responses. As stated previously, in-hospital opioid consumption is multifactorial (Hah et al., 2017; Ip et al., 2009) (such as being influenced by depression, anxiety, catastrophizing, physiological response to opioids, etc.). Results from the current study do not allow us to determine causal mechanisms. But it is possible that targeting opioid consumption along with influencing psychological factors shortly after surgery might help prevent poor long-term outcomes (Huang et al., 2016; Katz et al., 2015; Weinrib et al., 2017).

Results suggests that from the first 24 hours of opioid consumption after surgery, it is possible to identify patients at risk of poor long-term outcomes (e.g., individuals who have consumed more than 40mg of morphine equivalent dose). Given that most patients are not discharged from hospital until typically at least 2 days later, this provides a window of opportunity for intervention strategies to modify consumption trajectory and minimize the chances of poor outcomes. Such initiative of early postsurgical interventions has been shown to lead to sustained favorable outcomes in terms of long-term opioid consumption months after surgery (Huang et al., 2016; Katz et al., 2015; Weinrib et al., 2017).

Study Limitations

This study was limited by only one time point to measure long-term outcomes. Knowing that pain is dynamic, replicating the results with multiple times points measuring acute post-hospital discharge and monthly pain and opioid statuses would allow deeper understanding of the relationship between pain and opioid trajectories and long-term outcomes. The vast majority of patients had primary or secondary metastatic cancer. It is possible that cancer status at six months could have influenced patients' pain reports, yet such variables was not measured.

Future Studies

Beyond the need to replicate the opioid consumption trajectory model, the current findings that pain intensity and opioid consumption trajectory memberships do not significantly overlap suggest that perhaps other variables fully mediate whether and how

18

individuals use opioids to manage their acute postsurgical pain. Failure to take into account these subgroup interactions might in part explain the difficulties to modify acute postoperative factors with the aim of reducing CPSP (Weinrib et al., 2017). It remains to be understood how pre- and peri-operative anxiety might explain some of these associations. Anxiety has typically be identified as an important predictor of CPSP (Burns et al., 2015).

Conclusions

This is the first study to examine the association between acute postsurgical pain and opioid consumption trajectories and long-term outcomes. Results showed the importance of examining subgroup differences in the acute postoperative period to predict poor long-term outcome and identify key characteristics that can lead to the development of early interventions that are cost-effective and targeted to high risk individuals.

Authors contributions

All authors have approved the submitted version of the manuscript. All authors have made significant contributions to the study conception and design (HC, PK, JK, SC, AW, PM, SM, CS, NC, JH, CL, PG) data acquisition (HC, PK, SC, AW, PM, SL, NA, JS, SM) and/or analysis and data interpretation (HC, GP).

Acknowledgements

Dr. Karanicolas is supported by a Canadian Institute of Health Research (CIHR) New Investigator Award. Dr. Clarke is supported by a University of Toronto, Department of Anesthesia Research Merit Award. Dr. Pagé was supported by a CIHR postdoctoral fellowship. Dr. Katz is a Canada Research Chair in Health Psychology. The authors would like to thank the research assistants who played a vital role in the dayto-day management of this trial: Jenny Lam-McCulloch, Victoria Zuk, Maggie Hamel-Smith, Jessica Marchese, Rachel Roke, Jo Carroll and Nour Ayach. Our greatest thanks go to the patients who participated in this trial, for your willingness to further science and

for placing your trust in us.

Original publication

Portion of this project has been submitted for poster presentation at the Canadian Pain Society Conference in May 2018 and conference proceedings. Results from the original randomized controlled clinical trial have been published (Karanicolas et al. 2018, Annals of Surgery). This manuscript focused on the acute care outcomes associated with MOTAP intervention and the long-term outcomes were not included in that manuscript.

References

- Akaike A. Information measures and model selection. International Statistical Institute 1983;44: 277-291.
- Althaus A, Arranz Becker O, Neugebauer E. Distinguishing between pain intensity and pain resolution: using acute post-surgical pain trajectories to predict chronic post-surgical pain. Eur J Pain 2014;18: 513-521.
- Asparouhov T and Muthen B. Multilevel mixture models. In: Advances in latent variable mixture models.Charlotte, NC: Information Age Publishing Inc; 2008; 27-51.
- Bjelland I, Dahl AA, Haug TT, Neckelmann D. The validity of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale. An updated literature review. J Psychosom Res 2002;52: 69-77.
- Bonnet A, Lavand'homme P, France M-N, Reding R, De Kock M. Identification du risque de douleur chronic après hépatectomie pour don de foie par les trajectoires de douleur. Annales Françaises d'Anesthésie et de Réanimation 2012;31: 945-949.
- Bot AG, Bekkers S, Arnstein PM, Smith RM, Ring D. Opioid use after fracture surgery correlates with pain intensity and satisfaction with pain relief. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2014;472: 2542-2549.

Bruce J and Quinlan J. Chronic Post Surgical Pain. Reviews in Pain 2011;5: 23-29.

- Burns LC, Ritvo SE, Ferguson MK, Clarke H, Seltzer Z, Katz J. Pain catastrophizing as a risk factor for chronic pain after total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review. Journal of pain research 2015;8: 21-32.
- Chapman CR, Davis J, Donaldson GW, Naylor J, Winchester D. Postoperative pain trajectories in chronic pain patients undergoing surgery: the effects of chronic opioid pharmacotherapy on acute pain. J Pain 2011a;12: 1240-1246.
- Chapman CR, Donaldson GW, Davis JJ, Bradshaw DH. Improving individual measurement of postoperative pain: the pain trajectory. J Pain 2011b;12: 257-262.
- Chapman CR, Fosnocht D, Donaldson GW. Resolution of acute pain following discharge from the emergency department: the acute pain trajectory. J Pain 2012;13: 235-241.
- Chibnall JT and Tait RC. The Pain Disability Index: factor structure and normative data. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 1994;75: 1082-1086.
- Clarke H, Soneji N, Ko DT, Yun L, Wijeysundera DN. Rates and risk factors for prolonged opioid use after major surgery: population based cohort study. Bmj 2014;348: g1251.
- Dworkin RH, Turk DC, Farrar JT, Haythornthwaite JA, Jensen MP, Katz NP, Kerns RD, Stucki G, Allen RR, Bellamy N, Carr DB, Chandler J, Cowan P, Dionne R, Galer BS, Hertz S, Jadad AR, Kramer LD, Manning DC, Martin S, McCormick CG, McDermott MP, McGrath P, Quessy S, Rappaport BA, Robbins W, Robinson JP, Rothman M, Royal MA, Simon L, Stauffer JW, Stein W, Tollett J, Wernicke J, Witter J. Core outcome measures for chronic pain clinical trials: IMMPACT recommendations. Pain 2005;113: 9-19.
- Farrar JT, Young JP, Jr., LaMoreaux L, Werth JL, Poole RM. Clinical importance of changes in chronic pain intensity measured on an 11-point numerical pain rating scale. Pain 2001;94: 149-158.
- Gagliese L, Gauthier LR, Macpherson AK, Jovellanos M, Chan VW. Correlates of postoperative pain and intravenous patient-controlled analgesia use in younger and older surgical patients. Pain medicine 2008;9: 299-314.
- Hah JM, Bateman BT, Ratliff J, Curtin C, Sun E. Chronic Opioid Use After Surgery: Implications for Perioperative Management in the Face of the Opioid Epidemic. Anesth Analg 2017;125: 1733-1740.

- Huang A, Azam A, Segal S, Pivovarov K, Katznelson G, Ladak SS, Mu A, Weinrib A, Katz J, Clarke H. Chronic postsurgical pain and persistent opioid use following surgery: the need for a transitional pain service. Pain management 2016;6: 435-443.
- Ip HY, Abrishami A, Peng PW, Wong J, Chung F. Predictors of postoperative pain and analgesic consumption: a qualitative systematic review. Anesthesiology 2009;111: 657-677.
- Karanicolas P, Cleary S, McHardy P, Kiss A, Sawyer J, Behman R, Ladak S, McCluskey S, Srinivas C, Katz J, Coburn N, Law C, Wei A, Greig P, Hallet J, Clarke H. Medial open transversus abdominis plane (MOTAP) catheters reduce opioid requirements and improve pain control following open liver resection: A multicenter, blinded, randomized controlled trial. Annals of Surgery 2018;268: 233-240.
- Karanicolas P, Cleary S, McHardy P, Kiss A, Sawyer J, Behman R, Ladak S, McCluskey S, Srinivas C, Katz J, Coburn N, Law C, Wei A, Greig P, Hallet J, Clarke H. Medial open transversus abdominis plane (MOTAP) catheters reduce opioid requirements and improve pain control following open liver resection: A multicenter, blinded, randomized controlled trial. Annals of Surgery in press.
- Karanicolas P, Cleary S, McHardy P, McCluskey S, Sawyer J, Ladak S, Law C, Wei A, Coburn N, Ko R, Katz J, Kiss A, Khan J, Coimbatore S, Lam-McCulloch J, Clarke H. Medial open transversus abdominis plane (MOTAP) catheters for analgesia following open liver resection: study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2014;15: 241.
- Katz J. One man's risk factor is another man's outcome: difference in risk factor profiles for chronic postsurgical pain maintenance vs transition. Pain 2012;153: 505-506.
- Katz J, Jackson M, Kavanagh BP, Sandler AN. Acute pain after thoracic surgery predicts long-term post-thoracotomy pain. Clin J Pain 1996;12: 50-55.
- Katz J and Seltzer Z. Transition from acute to chronic postsurgical pain: risk factors and protective factors. Expert Reviews of Neurotherapeutics 2009;9: 723-744.
- Katz J, Weinrib A, Fashler SR, Katznelzon R, Shah BR, Ladak SS, Jiang J, Li Q, McMillan K, Santa Mina D, Wentlandt K, McRae K, Tamir D, Lyn S, de Perrot M, Rao V, Grant D, Roche-Nagle G, Cleary SP, Hofer SO, Gilbert R, Wijeysundera D, Ritvo P, Janmohamed T, O'Leary G, Clarke H. The Toronto General Hospital Transitional Pain Service: development and implementation of a multidisciplinary program to prevent chronic postsurgical pain. Journal of pain research 2015;8: 695-702.
- Lindberg MF, Miaskowski C, Rustoen T, Rosseland LA, Paul SM, Cooper BA, Lerdal A. The Impact of Demographic, Clinical, Symptom and Psychological Characteristics on the Trajectories of Acute Postoperative Pain After Total Knee Arthroplasty. Pain medicine 2017;18: 124-139.
- Maheshwari K, Cummings KC, 3rd, Farag E, Makarova N, Turan A, Kurz A. A temporal analysis of opioid use, patient satisfaction, and pain scores in colorectal surgery patients. J Clin Anesth 2016;34: 661-667.
- Muthen B and Asparouhov T. Growth mixture modeling: Analysis with non-Gaussian random effects. In: Longitudinal Data Analysis.Boca Raton, FL: CRC Print, Taylor and Francis; 2009; 143-165.
- Page MG, Katz J, Curtis K, Lutzky-Cohen N, Escobar EM, Clarke HA. Acute pain trajectories and the persistence of post-surgical pain: a longitudinal study after total hip arthroplasty. J Anesth 2016;30: 568-577.
- Perkins FM and Kehlet H. Chronic pain as an outcome of surgery. A review of predictive factors. Anesthesiology 2000;93: 1123-1133.
- Proust-Lima C, Philipps V, Diakite A, Liquet B.lcmm: Extended Mixed Models using Latent Classes and Latent Proceses. R package version 172; 2015.

- R Core Team.R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014.
- Schug S and Bruce J. Risk stratification for the development of chronic postsurgical pain. PAIN reports 2017;2: e627.
- Seong Tan PC, Nik Mohamad NA, Gan SH. Factors that influence pain intensity and fentanyl requirements after a gynecologic laparotomy. Pain management nursing : official journal of the American Society of Pain Management Nurses 2013;14: 102-109.
- Sullivan MJL, Bishop SR, Pivik J. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: Development and Validation. Psychological Assessment 1995;7: 524-532.
- Weinrib AZ, Azam MA, Birnie KA, Burns LC, Clarke H, Katz J. The psychology of chronic postsurgical pain: new frontiers in risk factor identification, prevention and management. Br J Pain 2017;11: 169-177.
- Werner MU and Kongsgaard UE. I. Defining persistent post-surgical pain: is an update required? British Journal of Anaesthesia 2014;113: 1-4.

24

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Study flow chart

Figure 2. Amounts of cumulative opioid consumption and pain intensity scores at movement over the first three post-operative days based on allocation (intervention vs. placebo group).

Figure 3. Results of the pain intensity during movement and cumulative opioid consumption trajectories each showing four subgroups that differ in terms of initial levels and rates of change over time.

Figure 4. Results of the multivariate general linear model showing levels of pain catastrophizing (PCS) [left column], depression (Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS) – Depression) [central column] and anxiety (Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS-A) [right column] across opioid (top row) and pain at movement (bottom row) trajectories. Results show differences in levels of pain catastrophizing levels (figure A1) at six months across opioid consumption trajectories.

					BASELINE					
		Opioid Tra	jectories (n=150))		Pain Traject	tories (n=148)		Overall	
	Traj 1	Traj 2	Traj 3	Traj 4	Traj 1	Traj 2	Traj 3	Traj 4	sample	
	n=32	n=51	n=41	n=16	n=30	n=7	n=50	n=51		
Age	65.71 (10.2)	62.88	60.50 (12.5)	49.87 (13.7)	64.87 (10.8)	67.43 (11.0)	61.22 (11.1)	58.27 (13.1)	61.55 (12.0)	
		(10.1)								
Female (N (%))	15 (48.4)	22 (43.1)	15 (39.5)	7 (43.8)	12 (40.0)	3 (42.9)	19 (38.0)	25 (49.0)	63 (41.2)	
Pain (N (%))	6 (19.4)	7 (13.7)	8 (21.1)	5 (31.3)	4 (13.3)	3 (42.9)	5 (10.0)	16 (31.4)	29 (19.0)	
Analgesics (N (%))	6 (19.4)	5 (9.8)	6 (15.8)	3 (18.8)	4 (13.3)	3 (42.9)	2 (4.0)	12 (23.5)	22 (14.4)	
PDI	3.27 (9.5)	3.65 (9.1)	4.56 (11.9)	11.86 (17.2)	1.62 (4.73)	9.71 (21.5)	3.04 (8.8)	9.72 (16.0)	5.45 (12.5)	
PCS	9.52 (12.0)	6.70 (8.2)	7.53 (12.1)	12.50 (12.4)	10.17 (11.6)	13.71 (12.8)	7.07 (8.9)	8.69 (12.5)	8.28 (11.0)	
HADS-A	3.90 (3.9)	4.94 (3.8)	5.89 (4.2)	7.44 (3.6)	4.10 (3.0)	6.14 (6.1)	3.81 (3.2)	7.47 (4.2)	5.26 (4.1)	
HADS-D	2.42 (2.6)	2.86 (2.9)	3.34 (4.1)	4.88 (3.8)	1.90 (2.0)	3.43 (3.7)	2.72 (3.0)	4.29 (4.1)	3.09 (3.7)	
	PERI-OPERATIVELY									
	Opioid Trajectories (n=150)				Pain Trajectories (n=148)				Overall	
	Traj 1	Traj 2	Traj 3	Traj 4	Traj 1	Traj 2	Traj 3	Traj 4	sample	
	n=32	n=51	n=41	n=16	n=30	n=7	n=50	n=51		

Table 1. Baseline and 6-month descriptive statistics for the overall sample as well as based on opioid and pain trajectory membership

Group (N (%))									
Ropivacaine	18 (58.1)	27 (52.9)	14 (36.8)	6 (37.5)	24 (80.0)	0 (0.0)	20 (40.0)	19 (37.3)	71 (46.4)
Diagnosis (N (%))									
Primary/metastatic	30 (96.8)	50 (98.0)	36 (94.7)	15 (93.8)	29 (96.7)	7 (100.0)	48 (96.0)	49 (96.0)	148 (96.7)
cancer									
	6-MONTH FOLLOW-UP								
	Opioid Trajectories					Pain Tra	ijectories		Overall
	Traj 1	Traj 2	Traj 3	Traj 4	Traj 1	Traj 2	Traj 3	Traj 4	sample
	n=32	n=51	n=41	n=16	n=30	n=7	n=50	n=51	
CPSP (N (%))	3 (12.5)	5 (11.6)	8 (26.7)	4 (26.7)	4 (16.0)	1 (16.7)	3 (7.1)	13 (31.0)	22 (17.5)
CPSP mod/sev (N	1 (4.2)	3 (7)	4 (13.3)	2 (13.3)	3 (12.0)	0 (0.0)	1 (2.4)	6 (14.3)	10 (8.0)
(%))									
NRS-rest (median;	3 (2-6)	4 (2-5)	3.5 (2.25-4)	4.5 (1.75-8.75)	4.5 (2.5-5)	4 (4-4)	3 (1-6)	3 (2.5-4.5)	3.5 (2-5)
IQR)									
PDI	1.42 (4.3)	3.68 (8.1)	6.67 (12.7)	11.00 (13.4)	2.57 (7.2)	10.14 (13.2)	3.24 (7.6)	8.03 (13.3)	5.60 (10.8)
PCS	2.67 (3.6)	4.17 (6.2)	6.21 (9.5)	11.83 (14.0)	4.88 (8.0)	8.14 (8.0)	3.18 (5.7)	7.57 (10.5)	5.71 (8.9)
HADS-A	3.09 (3.3)	2.95 (3.5)	4.13 (4.38)	5.46 (3.9)	2.46 (3.1)	4.14 (4.7)	2.91 (3.4)	4.81 (4.2)	3.71 (4.0)
HADS-D	1.48 (1.2)	3.37 (3.1)	3.29 (3.9)	5.80 (4.8)	2.38 (2.7)	3.14 (2.9)	2.80 (3.9)	4.05 (3.6)	3.16 (3.5)

Note : Pain: Number of patients reporting ongoing pain at baseline; Analgesics: Number of patients taking pain medication prior to surgery; PDI : Pain Disability Index; PCS : Pain Catastrophizing Scale; HADS-D : Hospital Anxiety and Depression – Depression subscale; HADS-A : Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale – Anxiety subscale; CPSP: Chronic Post-Surgical Pain; CPSP mod/sev: CPSP of moderate to severe intensity (≥4/10); NRS: Numeric Rating Scale; IQR: Inter-quartile range

	Oj	pioid Trajectory Mo	odel	
Number of	Linear		Linear + Quadrat	ic
trajectories	AIC	BIC	AIC	BIC
1	3930.22	3939.26	3917.90	3929.95
2	3276.27	3294.33	3236.83	3260.92
3	3004.70	3031.79	2944.50	2980.63
4	2792.45	2828.58	2701.63	2749.80
5	2569.52	2614.68	2439.92	2500.14
6	2575.52	2629.71	2365.89	2438.14
7	2463.10	2526.32	2292.46	2376.76
8	2447.23	2519.48	2266.03	2362.37
	Pain at N	Aovement - Trajecto	ory Model	
Number of	Pain at N Linear	Movement - Trajecto	ory Model Linear + Quadrat	ic
Number of trajectories	Pain at N Linear AIC	Movement - Trajecto BIC	ory Model Linear + Quadrat AIC	ic BIC
Number of trajectories	Pain at M Linear AIC 5549.10	Movement - Trajecto BIC 5558.07	Dry Model Linear + Quadrat AIC 5551.09	ic BIC 5563.05
Number of trajectories 1 2	Pain at N Linear AIC 5549.10 5399.85	Movement - Trajecto BIC 5558.07 5417.80	Dry Model Linear + Quadrat AIC 5551.09 5400.34	ic BIC 5563.05 5424.27
Number of trajectories 1 2 3	Pain at N Linear AIC 5549.10 5399.85 5390.91	Movement - Trajecto BIC 5558.07 5417.80 5417.83	Dry Model Linear + Quadrat AIC 5551.09 5400.34 5389.20	ic BIC 5563.05 5424.27 5425.09
Number of trajectories 1 2 3 4	Pain at N Linear AIC 5549.10 5399.85 5390.91 5373.86	Movement - Trajecto BIC 5558.07 5417.80 5417.83 5409.75	Dry Model Linear + Quadrat: AIC 5551.09 5400.34 5389.20 5376.83	ic BIC 5563.05 5424.27 5425.09 5424.67
Number of trajectories 1 2 3 4 5	Pain at N Linear AIC 5549.10 5399.85 5390.91 5373.86 <i>5374.18</i>	Movement - Trajecto BIC 5558.07 5417.80 5417.83 5409.75 5419.04	Dry Model Linear + Quadrat: AIC 5551.09 5400.34 5389.20 5376.83 <i>5379.32</i>	ic BIC 5563.05 5424.27 5425.09 5424.67 5439.13
Number of trajectories 1 2 3 4 5 6	Pain at N Linear AIC 5549.10 5399.85 5390.91 5373.86 5374.18 5380.18	Movement - Trajecto BIC 5558.07 5417.80 5417.83 5409.75 5419.04 5434.01	Dry Model Linear + Quadrat: AIC 5551.09 5400.34 5389.20 5376.83 5379.32 5376.63	ic BIC 5563.05 5424.27 5425.09 5424.67 5439.13 5448.40
Number of trajectories 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Pain at N Linear AIC 5549.10 5399.85 5390.91 5373.86 5374.18 5380.18 5384.95	Movement - Trajecto BIC 5558.07 5417.80 5417.83 5409.75 5419.04 5434.01 5447.75	Dry Model Linear + Quadrat: AIC 5551.09 5400.34 5389.20 5376.83 5379.32 5376.63 5380.56	ic BIC 5563.05 5424.27 5425.09 5424.67 5439.13 5448.40 5464.30

Table 2. Model fit indicators for the opioid trajectory and pain trajectory models

AIC: Akaike Information Criterion; BIC: Bayesian Information Criterion Models in italic are excluded given that the smaller trajectory in each of these models did not contain a minimum of 5% of the sample.

	Opioid Trajectories					
	Traj 1	Traj 2	Traj 3	Traj 4	Total	
Traj 1	14	12	3	1	30	
Traj 2	2	2	1	1	6	
Traj 3	11	22	9	7	49	
Traj 4	3	13	25	7	48	
Total	30	49	38	16	133	

Table 3. Distribution of patients according to opioid and pain trajectory membership

 $\overline{(\chi^2 \text{ (df=9)} = 33.36; p < 0.001).}$

*1 patient in pain trajectory 1, 1 patient in pain trajectory 2, 1 patient in pain trajectory 3 and 3 patients in pain trajectory 4 did not have membership in the opioid trajectory because of insufficient opioid data. Similarly, 2 patients in opioid trajectory 1, 2 patients in opioid trajectory 2 and 3 patients in opioid trajectory 3 did not have membership in the pain trajectory because of insufficient pain data.







Figure 3



