# Sensory cutaneous papillae in the sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus L.): I. Neuroanatomy and physiology.

Journal:	Journal of Comparative Neurology
Manuscript ID:	DOI: 10.1002/cne.24787
Wiley - Manuscript type: Accepted:	Research Article 20-Sep-2019
Keywords:	Solitary Chemosensory Cells, Lamprey, Papillae, Microvilli, Merkel Cells, Taste, Central projections
	alex

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

John Wiley & Sons

1	Sensory cutaneous papillae in the sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus L.):
2	I. Neuroanatomy and physiology.
3	
4	Short Title: Cutaneous papillae in Lampreys
5	
6	Gheylen Daghfous <sup>1,2</sup> , François Auclair <sup>1</sup> , Felix Blumenthal <sup>1</sup> , Tina Suntres <sup>3</sup> , Jessica Lamarre-
7	Bourret <sup>1</sup> , Masoud Mansouri <sup>1</sup> , Barbara Zielinski <sup>3,4</sup> and Réjean Dubuc <sup>1,2,*</sup>
8	
9	<sup>1</sup> Groupe de Recherche sur le Système Nerveux Central, Département de neurosciences,
10	Université de Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada
11	<sup>2</sup> Groupe de Recherche en Activité Physique Adaptée, Département des sciences de l'activité
12	physique, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada
13	<sup>3</sup> Department of Biological Sciences, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, Canada
14	<sup>4</sup> Great Lakes Institute for Environmental Research, University of Windsor, Windsor, ON, Canada
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	*Correspondence: Réjean Dubuc, Ph.D., Professor, Département des sciences de l'activité
21	physique, Université du Québec à Montréal, PO Box 8888, section Centre-ville, Montréal,
22	Québec, H3C 3P8, Canada.
23	rejean.dubuc@gmail.com

# 24 Acknowledgments

25	The authors would like to thank Danielle Veilleux, Frédéric Bernard, and Christian Valiquette for
26	their technical assistance. We also thank Dr. Colin Favret from the Département des sciences
27	biologiques at the Université de Montréal, for letting us use his Nikon SMZ800 stereomicroscope
28	and Dr. Thomas Théry for help with this equipment. This study was supported by the Great Lakes
29	Fishery Commission as group grants to BZ and RD (54011, 54021, 54035, and 54067), by a grant
30	to RD from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (15129), by individual grants to RD and BZ
31	from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (respectively 217435-01
32	and 03916-2014), and by a group grant from the Fonds de Recherche du Québec - Santé (FRQS,
33	5249). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, decision to
34	publish, or the preparation of the manuscript.

- 35
- 36

# 37 Conflict of Interest Statement

- 38 The authors wish to declare no conflict of interest.
- 39

40

# 41 Data Availability Statement

- 42 The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon
- 43 reasonable request.
- 44

45

46 Abstract

47 Molecules present in an animal's environment can indicate the presence of predators, food or 48 sexual partners and consequently, induce migratory, reproductive, foraging, or escape behaviors. 49 Three sensory systems, the olfactory, gustatory, and solitary chemosensory cell (SCC) systems 50 detect chemical stimuli in vertebrates. While a great deal of research has focused on the olfactory 51 and gustatory system over the years, it is only recently that significant attention has been devoted 52 to the SCC system. The SCCs are microvillous cells that were first discovered on the skin of fish, and later in amphibians, reptiles, and mammals. Lampreys also possess SCCs that are particularly 53 54 numerous on cutaneous papillae. However, little is known regarding their precise distribution, 55 innervation, and function. Here, we show that sea lampreys (Petromyzon marinus L.) have 56 cutaneous papillae located around the oral disc, nostril, gill pores, and on the dorsal fins and that 57 SCCs are particularly numerous on these papillae. Tract-tracing experiments demonstrated that 58 the oral and nasal papillae are innervated by the trigeminal nerve, the gill pore papillae are 59 innervated by branchial nerves, and the dorsal fin papillae are innervated by spinal nerves. We 60 also characterized the response profile of gill pore papillae to some chemicals and showed that 61 trout-derived chemicals, amino acids, and a bile acid produced potent responses. Together with a companion study, (Suntres, Daghfous, Dubuc, & Zielinski, this issue), our results provide new 62 63 insights on the function and evolution of the SCC system in vertebrates.

64

65

### 66 Keywords

Solitary Chemosensory Cells; Lamprey; Papillae, Microvilli; Merkel Cells; Taste; RRID:SCR\_013672;
RRID:AB\_2313574; RRID:AB\_2336881; RRID:AB\_2315147; RRID:SCR\_011323; RRID:SCR\_000903;
RRID:SCR\_003210; RRID:SCR\_014199; RRID:SCR\_010279.

70

71

## 72 1. Introduction

73 Chemoreception is not restricted to olfaction and gustation (Daghfous, Green, Zielinski, & Dubuc, 74 2012; Finger, 1997; Hansen & Reutter, 2004; Kotrschal, 1996; Parker, 1912). Chemicals that are 75 present in the environment are also detected by specialized epithelial cells named "solitary 76 chemosensory cells" (SCCs). Bipolar epidermal cells thought to be sensory were initially described 77 in lampreys (Fahrenholz, 1936a, 1936b; Foettinger, 1876; Langerhans, 1873) and in ranid tadpoles 78 (Kölliker, 1885; 1886). However, it is only with the work of Whitear on the skin of teleost fish that 79 the innervation, and thus sensory nature, of these cells was demonstrated (Lane & Whitear, 1982; 80 Whitear, 1952, 1965, 1971). Subsequently, studies have reported putative SCCs on the barbels 81 and nasopharynx of hagfish (Braun, 1996; 1998; Braun & Northcutt, 1998), on the skin surface of 82 brook lampreys (Lampetra planeri Bloch) and river lampreys (Lampetra fluviatilis L.), including the 83 oral, gill pore, dorsal fin and genital regions (Baatrup & Døving, 1985; Fox, Lane, & Whitear, 1980; 84 Whitear & Lane, 1983a), on the skin, gills, and oropharynx of chondrichthyes and bony fish (Codina 85 et al., 2012; Hansen, Ghosal, Caprio, Claus, & Sorensen, 2014; Kotrschal, 1992; Kotrschal, 86 Krautgartner, & Hansen, 1997; Kotrschal, Peters, & Atema, 1989; Kotrschal, Whitear, & Adam, 87 1984, Kuciel et al., 2014; Peach, 2005; Peters, Kotrschal, & Krautgartner, 1991; Peters, Van 88 Steenderen, & Kotrschal, 1987; Silver & Finger, 1984; Whitear & Moate, 1994). Other studies have 89 described solitary chemosensory cells on the skin and in the oral cavity of amphibians (Koyama, 90 Nagai, Takeuchi, & Hillyard, 2001; Nagai, Koyama, Von Seckendorff Hoff, & Hillyard, 1999; Osculati 91 & Sbarbati, 1995; Whitear, 1976), and in the airways of reptiles and mammals (Finger et al., 2003; 92 Hansen, 2007; Saunders, Christensen, Finger, & Tizzano, 2014; Sbarbati, Crescimanno, Benati, & 93 Osculati, 1998; Sbarbati, Crescimanno, Bernardi, & Osculati, 1999; Sbarbati & Osculati, 2003; 94 Tizzano, Merigo, & Sbarbati, 2006; Tizzano et al., 2010). The study of SCC innervation and

95 physiology has been challenging because of the scarcity and widespread distribution of these 96 cells. Until recently, most knowledge regarding SCC innervation came from two teleost taxa: sea 97 robins (Prionotus carolinus) and rocklings (genera Ciliata and Gaidropsarus). In sea robins, SCCs 98 are concentrated on the free rays of the pectoral fins, and are innervated by spinal nerves 99 (Bardach & Case, 1965; Finger, 1982, 2000; Kotrschal, 1995; Morril, 1895). In rocklings, SCCs are 100 concentrated on the anterior dorsal fin and are innervated by a recurrent branch of the facial 101 nerve (Kotrschal, 1991, Kotrschal et al., 1984; Kotrschal, Royer, & Kinnamon, 1998; Kotrschal & 102 Whitear, 1988; Kotrschal, Whitear, & Finger, 1993b; Whitear & Kotrschal, 1988). More recent 103 studies have shown that the trigeminal nerve provides innervation to some of the SCCs located in 104 the distal nasal cavity of mammals and reptiles (Finger et al., 2003; Hansen, 2007; Tizzano et al., 105 2010). Information regarding the physiology and function of the SCCs is also limited. In sea robins, 106 SCCs detect amino acids, which act as feeding cues and promote foraging in this species (Bardach 107 & Case, 1965; Silver & Finger, 1984), whereas SCCs respond to fish mucus in rocklings (Peters et 108 al., 1991, 1987) and brook lampreys (Baatrup & Døving, 1985). In rocklings, SCCs are narrowly-109 tuned to mucus from heterospecific fish and likely act as a predator-detection system (Kotrschal, 110 Peters, & Atema, 1993; Peters et al., 1991, 1987). Their function in brook lampreys is not clear. In 111 mammals, airway SCCs respond to bacterial signals (Tizzano et al., 2010). Their activation evokes 112 respiratory protective reflexes (i.e. coughing and sneezing) through the activation of trigeminal 113 fibers (Finger et al., 2003) and stimulates the secretion of antimicrobial peptides (Lee et al., 2014, 114 2017). SCC locations, innervation patterns, and functions thus differ greatly in the few species that 115 have been studied and the solitary chemosensory cell system may be highly specialized in these 116 species (Finger, 1997). While these studies have been informative with regards to SCCs in given 117 vertebrate taxa, the general role of this chemosensory system is still unknown.

118 The present study examines SCC localization, chemical sensitivity, and innervation in the 119 sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus* L.). A companion study, (Suntres et al., this issue), investigates 120 the biochemical properties and development of SCCs during the life cycle of the sea lamprey. 121 Together, these two studies advance our understanding of the solitary chemosensory system in 122 the most basal extant vertebrate group. Knowledge on the SCC system in lampreys is crucial to 123 bridge the gap between studies conducted in fish and mammals. Due to the basal phylogenetic 124 position of lampreys within vertebrates, this research sheds light on the general organization and 125 role of the SCC system in vertebrates.

- 126
- 127

# 128 2. Materials and Methods

#### 129 2.1 Animals

130 Experiments were performed on 54 spawning phase adults and 6 newly-transformed sea 131 lampreys (Petromyzon marinus) of both sexes. Animals were collected from the Pike River 132 (Québec, Canada). Agents of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Vermont and Michigan, USA) and 133 the Department of Fisheries and Oceans (Sault Ste. Marie, Canada) provided us with the spawning 134 adults. The animals were kept in aerated fresh water maintained at 4-5°C. All surgical and 135 experimental procedures conformed to the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care 136 (CCAC) and of the animal care and use committee of the Université de Montréal, the Université du Québec à Montréal, and the University of Windsor. 137

138

## 139 2.2 Anatomy and scanning electron microscopy (SEM)

Since cutaneous papillae contain a high density of SCCs in brook and silver lampreys (Baatrup &
Døving, 1985; Fox et al., 1980; Whitear & Lane, 1983a), the distribution of cutaneous papillae was

142 established in the sea lampreys by carefully examining the body surface of larval, newly 143 transformed, parasitic and spawning animals under a stereomicroscope. This examination was 144 either performed directly on live, anesthetized animals or on fixed tissue samples. Live specimens 145 were anesthetized using tricaine methanesulphonate (MS-222, 100 mg/l, E10521, Sigma-Aldrich 146 Canada, Oakville, ON, Canada) and placed in a water-filled dish under a Nikon SMZ800 147 stereomicroscope (Nikon Canada, Mississauga, ON, Canada). Tissue samples were collected from 148 deeply-anesthetized animals (MS-222, 200 mg/l) and quickly transferred into 4% 149 paraformaldehyde (PFA, O4042-500, Fisher Scientific Canada, Ottawa, ON, Canada) dissolved in phosphate buffered saline (PBS, 0.1 M, NaCl 0.9%, pH 7.4) and stored at 4°C. Fixed tissue samples 150 151 were examined and photographed with a Carl Zeiss Discovery V20 stereoscope fitted with an 152 AxioCam HRc camera running on Zen Digital Imaging for Light Microscopy Software V1.1.1.0 (Carl 153 Zeiss Canada, Toronto, ON, Canada, RRID:SCR 013672). Cutaneous papillae were counted on 154 fixed tissue samples under a stereomicroscope using an insect pin mounted on the tip of a Pasteur 155 pipette. For scanning electron microscopy, skin tissue samples were collected from the oral, nasal, 156 gill pore, and dorsal fin regions of deeply-anesthetized lampreys then quickly transferred into a 157 5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate fixative solution for at least 18 hours, rinsed in 158 0.1M sodium cacodylate buffer, and post fixed for 2 h in 2% osmium tetroxide in 0.1M sodium 159 cacodylate buffer. The skin samples were then dehydrated in an ethanol series of increasing 160 concentration, critical point-dried, and gold sputter-coated (Integrated Microscopy Biotron 161 Facility, Western University) before observation on a FEI Quanta 200 FEG SEM microscope (Great 162 Lakes Institute for Environmental Research, University of Windsor). Biometrics (length and width) 163 of cutaneous papillae were derived from low magnification electronmicrographs (SEMs).

164

#### 165 **2.3 Injection of neuroanatomical tracers into cutaneous papillae**

166 For in vivo injections of papillae, anesthetized animals were laid down on their side in a dish and 167 kept moist by perfusing the dish with fish tank water. The papillae to be injected (only one type 168 per animal) were cut with fine scissors (McPherson-Vannas Scissors, 8cm, curved, 5mm blades, 169 #501234, World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA) under a stereomicroscope and the 170 stumps were immediately covered with large crystals of biocytin (B-4261, Sigma-Aldrich Canada) 171 for 10-15 min. The injected papillae were then thoroughly rinsed with water. The animal was transferred into an isolated fish tank until it recovered completely from anesthesia (around 60 172 173 min); it was then returned into a regular fish tank for 1 to 2 weeks to allow for axonal transport 174 of the tracer. At the end of this period, the animal was deeply anesthetized and decapitated caudal to the 7<sup>th</sup> gill pore. The head was transferred into cold (8–10°C), oxygenated Ringer's (in 175 176 mM: 130 NaCl, 2.1 KCl, 2.6 CaCl2, 1.8 MgCl2, 4.0 HEPES, 4.0 dextrose, and 1.0 NaHCO3, at pH 7.4). 177 All tissue around the cranium was removed and the brain was exposed dorsally. For dorsal fin 178 papillae injections, a 5 cm-portion of the whole body (3 cm rostral and 2 cm caudal to the injection 179 site) was additionally collected and transferred into cold oxygenated Ringer's, where the tissue 180 ventral to the notochord was removed. After axonal transport of the tracer, the collected tissue was fixed in PFA for 24 h at 4°C and processed for biocytin histochemistry as described below. 181

182

#### 183 **2.4 Taste bud or cranial nerve injections**

184 Injections of pharyngeal taste buds and whole nerve (nV or nIX/X) were carried out on semi-intact 185 preparations of newly-transformed lampreys. The animal was deeply anesthetized and 186 decapitated caudal to the heart before being transferred into Ringer's. With the heart pumping, 187 the blood in the preparation was gradually replaced by cold, oxygenated Ringer's solution. 188 Meanwhile, the brain was rapidly exposed dorsally, and decerebration achieved with a complete

189	transverse section just rostral to the mesencephalon. As the preparation recovered from
190	anesthesia, the gills began contracting again and followed a normal breathing rhythm.
191	The following protocol was used for nerve injections, to label their peripheral portion towards the
192	papillae (nV, n = 1; nIX/X, n = 1) or their central projections (nIX/X, n = 2). The nerves were cut
193	unilaterally at their exit from the brainstem and crystals of biocytin were applied between the
194	proximal and distal stumps of the cut nerves for 10-15 min. After thorough rinsing, the
195	preparation was transferred into a cooled chamber filled with 500 ml of re-circulated, oxygenated
196	Ringer's for tracer transport overnight. The next day, the preparation was fixed with cooled PFA,
197	post-fixed for 24 h at $4^{\circ}$ C, and processed for the visualization of biocytin (see below).
198	For taste bud injections (n = 2), the preparation was further dissected by making a midline incision
199	of the ventral surface of the animal to gain access to the caudal part of the pharynx (sometimes
200	referred to as respiratory tube or as water tube), where the internal gill pores of the gill baskets
201	open and where the taste buds lie. Two or three taste buds caudal to the first and second internal
202	gill pores on one side were delicately disrupted with the pointed end of a pulled glass micropipette
203	to cut some of their innervating axons. Crystals of biocytin were immediately deposited on the
204	taste buds and left there to dissolve for 10-15 min. The taste buds were then rinsed thoroughly
205	and the preparation was transferred into a cooled chamber filled with Ringer's, for tracer
206	transport overnight as described above. The next day, the central nervous system was dissected
207	out and fixed by immersion in PFA for 24 h at 4°C and processed for the visualization of biocytin
208	(see below).

209

## 210 **2.5 Histology and histochemistry**

211 Samples (skin tissue, whole heads, or isolated central nervous system preparations) were 212 transferred from PFA to a solution of 20% sucrose in phosphate buffer. Samples were

213 subsequently frozen by immersion in 2-methylbutane (O-3551, Fisher Scientific Canada) at -45°C. 214 The preparations were embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT (#4583, Sakura Fineteck, Torrance, CA, USA; 215 diluted 1:10 with dH<sub>2</sub>O), and 25  $\mu$ m-thick transverse sections were cut on a cryostat (Cryo-Cut 216 microtome, Model 845, AO Instrument Co., Buffalo, NY, USA). The sections were collected on 217 ColorFrost Plus microscope slides (Fisher Scientific, Canada) and air-dried overnight on a warming 218 plate set at 37°C. The following day, the tissue sections were rinsed 3 times 10 min with PBS and 219 then incubated for 120 min in PBS containing 0.3% Triton and one or two of the following: 220 streptavidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 594 or 488 (Thermo Fisher Scientific Cat# S-11227, 221 RRID:AB\_2313574, lot 1704463 and Cat# S11223, RRID:AB\_2336881, lot 1694695; dilution 1:400, 222 Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA) and/or phalloidin conjugated to Alexa Fluor 488 (Molecular 223 Probes Cat# A-12379, RRID:AB 2315147, lot: 1859640, dilution 1:100, Molecular Probes). The 224 sections were then rinsed 3 times 10 min with PBS and mounted with Vectashield with or without 225 DAPI (H-1000 or H-1200, Vector laboratories, Burlington, ON, Canada) or processed for 226 fluorescent Nissl staining with Neurotrace Green (120-minute incubation, diluted 1:200 in PBS at 227 room temperature) before mounting. The sections were then observed under epifluorescence microscopy and photographed with an E600 microscope equipped with a DXM1200 digital camera 228 229 (Nikon Canada). The diameter of axons was measured with an intraocular microscale directly 230 under the microscope.

231

#### 232 **2.6 Electrophysiological recordings and chemical applications**

Electrophysiological experiments were performed on *in vitro* isolated skin preparations pinned down to the bottom of a recording chamber lined with Sylgard (Dow Corning, Midland, MI) and continuously perfused with cold oxygenated Ringer's (~4 ml/min, total volume of chamber = 50 ml). Glass electrodes ( $\emptyset \pm 50 \mu m$ ) filled with Ringer's solution were placed over the tip of one 237 papilla under visual guidance through a Wild M3C stereomicroscope (Wild, Heerbrugg, 238 Switzerland) to record multiunit activity. The signals were amplified (x10k) and filtered (100Hz-239 1kHz band-pass) using an AM systems 1800 dual channel amplifier (AM systems Inc, Everett, WA) 240 and digitized using a Digidata 1322A interface running on Clampex V9.2 software (Axon 241 Instruments, Molecular Devices, Union City, CA, USA, RRID:SCR\_011323). Chemical stimulation 242 was delivered through a small plastic tube (ر500 μm) positioned over the recorded papillae 243 connected to a 6-port injection valve (V-450, Upchurch Scientific, Oak Harbor, WA, USA). Test 244 solutions were loaded into the 100  $\mu$ L sample loop of the injection valve and inserted into a 245 continuous flow (~4ml/min) of Ringer's solution to avoid pressure variations. With this method, 246 delivery of the chemicals occurred over a period of approximatively 30 s. The test solutions were 247 delivered in a random order with a 5 min inter-stimulus interval, with three consecutive 248 applications for each stimulus. In other experiments, chemical stimulation was delivered through 249 a glass micropipette connected to a pressure ejection system (4s train, 4 Hz, 20 ms pulse duration, 250 ~4 psi, Picospritzer, General Valve, Fairfield, NJ). The inert dye Fast Green FCF (F99-10, Fisher 251 Scientific, Canada) was added to the solutions to monitor the delivery of the test solution to the 252 recorded papilla. Amino acids used in this study were all L-forms. All chemical substances tested 253 were diluted in Ringer's and applied at 10-3 M except for the thawed trout water (unknown 254 concentration, referred to as "trout water" hereafter) and lamprey sex pheromones (3-ketopetromyzonol sulfate or 3kPZS, 10<sup>-5</sup> M; 3-keto-allocholic acid or 3kACA; 10<sup>-5</sup> M). The pH of these 255 256 solutions ranged from 6.9 to 7.4. Ejections of Ringer's solution were used as a blank control in 257 each experiment. All chemicals except the pheromones and trout water were purchased from 258 Sigma-Aldrich Canada. Pheromones were a courtesy of Dr. Weiming Li (Michigan State University, 259 MI). Trout water was prepared by thawing a frozen rainbow trout in Ringer's and filtering the 260 resulting solution (adapted from Baatrup & Døving 1985). Chemicals were kept as frozen

261 concentrated stock solutions and dissolved to their final concentration in Ringer's solution prior262 to their use.

263

#### 264 **2.7 Data analysis and statistics**

265 Electrophysiological signals were analyzed using Spike2 V5.19 (Cambridge Electronic Design, 266 Cambridge, UK, RRID:SCR\_000903) and Clampfit V10.5 (pClamp, Molecular Devices, Union City, 267 CA, USA, RRID:SCR 011323,) software. Signal offsets were set to zero prior to any analysis. 268 Discharges were detected using an amplitude threshold set as five times the standard deviation 269 of the signal in control condition (Pouzat, Mazor, & Laurent, 2002). Raster plots and associated 270 peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs) were generated for each series of three consecutive 271 applications of a given chemical stimulus. PSTHs were computed over a period of 180 s with a bin 272 width of 1 s. The first 30 s served as the control period followed by 30 s of stimulation, and 120 s 273 post stimulus. The last 30 s of the post stimulus period were considered as the washout period. 274 The mean discharge frequency of each series of three applications over the control, stimulation, 275 and washout periods were compared using one-way repeated measures analysis of variance 276 followed by Holm-Sidak's multiple-comparison post-hoc test or Friedman repeated measures 277 analysis of variance on ranks followed by Tukey's multiple-comparison post-hoc test. Significant 278 changes in mean discharge frequency during control and chemical application were considered 279 as responses. An increase in mean discharge frequencies was classified as an excitatory response, 280 whereas a decrease was considered as an inhibitory response. Results are presented as mean ± 281 SD. Statistical analyses were performed using Sigma Plot V11.0 (Systat Software, San Jose, CA, 282 USA, RRID:SCR\_003210). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05. The majority of 283 photomicrographs and SEMs were adjusted for brightness and contrast, and the ones illustrating 284 phalloidin labeling of microvilli were also sharpened slightly, all using Photoshop software CS5

- 285 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA, RRID:SCR\_014199). Drawings and figure assembly was carried
- out using Illustrator software CS5 (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA, USA, RRID:SCR\_010279).
- 287
- 288
- 289 **3.** Results
- 290 **3.1 Location of cutaneous papillae**

291 Newly-transformed lampreys had small papillae, which became more prominent during parasitic 292 stage, and reached full development in spawning stage. Therefore, the present investigation 293 focused on the spawning adults (for a detailed account of papillae development see Suntres et al. 294 in this issue). In spawning adult P. marinus, cutaneous papillae were found around the oral disc 295  $(N = 25.6 \pm 4.8)$ , on the skin bordering the nostril  $(N = 16.6 \pm 2.5)$ , on the posterior margin of each 296 gill pore (N =  $38.5 \pm 6.7$ ), and on the trailing edges of the dorsal fins (anterior: N =  $329.3 \pm 62.7$ ; 297 posterior: N =  $669.7 \pm 166.0$ ) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Despite a generally similar form, the papillae 298 displayed some variations in size and shape at the different locations (Table 2).

299

300 3.2 Microvilli-bearing cells on oral, nasal, gill pore, and dorsal fin papillae

301 Tufts of microvilli emerged at the junction between the epidermal cells covering the 302 surface of oral, nasal, gill pore, and dorsal fin papillae viewed by SEM (Fig. 2). Tissue sections 303 labeled for F-actin with fluorescent phalloidin, showed that the microvilli extended from narrow 304 epidermal cells present in oral (n = 5), nasal (n = 7), gill pore (n = 5), and dorsal fin (n = 8) papillae 305 (Fig. 3a1,b1,c1,d1). Oral and gill pore papillae had the highest concentration of microvillar tufts in 306 both SEM (Fig. 2a1 and 2c1) and phalloidin labeled preparations (Fig. 3a1 and 3c1). Tufts of 307 microvilli were sparser on dorsal fin papillae (Fig. 3d1) and only a few were seen on the surface of 308 nasal papillae (Fig. 3b1). Phalloidin labeling was used to examine the morphology of the

309 microvillar cells. On sections, phalloidin labeled the actin core of microvilli intensely, slightly more 310 in the protruded portion of the microvilli than in the non-protruded portion, and it also labeled 311 the cell membrane of all cells in the papillae. Most of the microvilli-bearing cells had an elongated 312 piriform shape typical of SCCs (Fig. 3, magenta arrows) and they each bore many microvilli at their 313 apex, regardless of the type of papilla. The detailed microvillar organization was not examined 314 here, but SCCs on gill pore papillae appeared to bear the longest microvilli (Fig. 3c, all 12 315 photomicrographs in columns 2 to 4, including the inset in (b2), are at the same magnification). 316 In some animals, microvilli seemed absent from the surface of papillae, regardless of their location 317 on the body. In those cases, phalloidin only labeled cell apices without obvious protrusions. The 318 reasons for these differences are not clear.

319 In addition to SCCs, phalloidin also labeled Merkel cells (Fig. 3, arrowheads) as they bear 320 microvilli with a F-actin core at opposite poles of their cell body (Whitear & Lane, 1981). All papillae investigated in our study contained Merkel cells. Dorsal fin and nasal papillae had a typical 321 322 aggregation of these cells at their tip (Figs. 3b2, inset, and 3d4 illustrate examples). Oral papillae 323 contained numerous Merkel cells spread out evenly in their epithelium, some located superficially, and others deeper in the epithelium (Fig. 3a3-4), reminiscent of the epithelium 324 325 elsewhere on the body. A few Merkel cells were also seen on almost every gill pore papillae (Fig. 326 3c4 shows an example).

Our investigation of oral papillae also showed that fimbriae (n = 3, whole; n = 2 on sections; see Fig. 1b), which are flat skin extensions with digit-like protrusions at their tip located immediately behind the papillae, had numerous microvilli on their surface, in a manner reminiscent of the oral papillae. On sections, the fimbriae also contained numerous Merkel cells, like oral papillae.

332

#### 333 **3.3 Papillae respond to chemical stimulation**

334 Responses to chemical stimulation were primarily investigated on gill pore papillae 335 because they showed, like oral papillae, the largest concentration of SCCs and the lowest number 336 of Merkel cells (see Fig. 3). The initial placement of the recording electrode over the papillae (Fig. 337 4, Top) generally produced a few discharges that subsided within a minute. A basal activity of the 338 papillae in the absence of chemical stimuli was present in most of the animals examined (n = 13). 339 Within one trial, 5-10 units were generally observed in the recording. The analysis was, however, 340 not performed on individual units (see materials and methods section for more details). Chemical 341 application over the papillae typically induced excitatory responses (i.e. a significant increase in 342 mean discharge frequency). However, in limited cases (4 trials in 2 animals), it produced a 343 significant decrease in mean discharge frequency (i.e. inhibitory responses). Figure 4 shows 344 examples of responses to different chemicals and Table 3 shows trial statistics. Trout water 345 repeatedly produced strong responses; it elicited excitatory responses in all but two animals 346 (11/13). The amino acids, glycine and proline, elicited excitatory responses in more than half of 347 the animals (7/12). Taurocholic acid, a bile acid, elicited excitatory responses in a little less than half of the animals (5/13) and sialic acid, a mucus component, elicited responses in about one 348 349 third of the animals (3/10). Responses to the other amino acids tested (serine, glutamate, 350 arginine, histidine) were less frequent and sex pheromones (3kPZS, 3kACA) did not induce any 351 responses (Table 3). Serine, glutamate and arginine produced a few inhibitory responses. Our data 352 did not reveal any clear clustering of gill pore papillae based on their response profile to the 353 different chemical stimuli. The precise response profile of the other types of papillae (oral, nasal, 354 and fin) was not investigated here, but their chemosensory function was ascertained by puffing 355 trout water using a pressure ejection system over the papillae in a few animals (n = 4). In this 356 series of experiments, we tested whether the papillae responded to mechanical stimuli by puffing

jets of Ringer's over the papillae. Gill pore papillae did not show responses, whereas mechanical stimulation of oral, nasal or fin papillae sometimes produced discharges. When seen, short duration bursts of only a few seconds (unlike responses to chemical stimulation) always characterized the responses to mechanical stimulation of the papillae.

361

#### 362 **3.4 Innervation of papillae by ganglion cells and their central projections**

363 The four types of papillae investigated were all innervated by peripheral, bipolar ganglion 364 cells, never by dorsal cells within the central nervous system. Injections of tracer in oral papillae 365 of spawning adult lampreys (n = 2) labeled ganglion cells in the maxillomandibular part of the 366 trigeminal ganglion, while tracer injections in the nasal papillae of spawning adult lampreys (n =367 2) labeled ganglion cells in the ophthalmicus profundus part of the trigeminal ganglion (Fig. 5). 368 For oral and nasal papillae, the central processes of the ganglion cells were coarse (3-4  $\mu$ m). In 369 both cases, they entered the brainstem through the trigeminal sensory root (Fig. 5a1,a2) to join 370 the descending root of the trigeminal nerve (rdV) and reach the first spinal segment. Our 371 investigation did not include more caudal levels of the spinal cord. Injections (n = 2) of the cut distal end of the trigeminal sensory nerve at its exit from the cranium labeled fibers that entered 372 373 the nasal and oral papillae (Fig. 6a,c). These injections also labeled fibers innervating the fimbriae 374 around the oral disc (Fig. 6b).

Axonal tracing experiments focused on papillae from gill pores 1 to 3 of spawning adult lampreys (n = 6). Injections of tracer in papillae located at the first gill pore labeled cells in the glossopharyngeal ganglion, while tracing from gill pore papillae located at the second and third gill pores labeled cells in close relation to the main trunk of the vagus nerve. Occasional ganglion cells were seen along the vagus nerve root innervating a gill, although not a great distance from the main trunk of the vagus nerve. Their labeled central processes were coarse (around 4 µm) and

381 entered the brainstem through the glossopharyngeal nerve (first gill pore injections) or through 382 one of the roots of the vagus nerve (second and third gill pore injections). Upon entering the 383 brainstem, they immediately coursed towards a more dorso-medial position in the alar plate to 384 join a longitudinal tract (Fig. 7b1, white arrows). Most of them turned caudally in that tract (Fig. 385 7c1) to reach at least the first spinal segment. A small number of the entering axons joined the 386 same tract but coursed rostrally (Fig. 7a1). We could not determine in our material if these were 387 different axons or branches of some of the descending axons. Injections of the cut distal end of 388 the glossopharyngeal and vagus nerve at their exit from the cranium, labeled fibers that entered 389 the gill pore papillae (Fig. 6d, n = 2).

390 The central projections from gill pore papillae constitute only a portion of all the afferents entering the brainstem by cranial nerves IX and X, as shown by the comparison to injections of 391 392 whole nerves IX and X (see Fig. 7a3-c3, injections of nIX and nX, n = 3). To compare their central 393 projections with those from taste buds, whose afferents are known to enter the brainstem 394 through cranial nerves VII, IX and X in many vertebrates (Finger, 1997), we injected taste buds in 395 the pharynx of newly-transformed animals (n = 2). These injections produced a labeling pattern that differed from that obtained after gill pore papillae injections. First, the fibers innervating the 396 397 taste buds were of finer caliber (around 2 µm) and formed a longitudinal tract immediately after 398 entering the brainstem, at the lateral edge of the alar plate (Fig. 7b2). More fibers seemed to turn 399 caudally than rostrally in that tract, although the rostral projection was significant. From the point 400 of entrance of nIX to the level of the obex, fine varicose fibers left the longitudinal tract in a medio-401 dorsal direction to terminate in a well-delineated nucleus, just adjacent to the tract, in the lateral 402 alar plate. This nucleus has been previously suggested to be the lamprey homologue of the 403 mammalian nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS), based on its location and neurochemical evidences 404 (Albersheim-Carter et al., 2016; Auclair, Lund, & Dubuc, 2004; Barreiro-Iglesias, Anadón, &

405 Rodicio, 2010; Pombal, López, de Arriba, González, & Megías, 2008; Pombal, López, de Arriba, 406 Megías, & González, 2006). The present data corroborate this homology hypothesis (see 407 Discussion). The ascending fibers coursed between the rdV and the vestibular area and 408 terminated at isthmic levels, just medial to the anterior octavomotor nucleus. The descending 409 fibers reached the level of the obex but did not seem to continue down to the spinal cord. 410 Injections of the cut distal end of the glossopharyngeal and vagus nerve at their exit from the 411 cranium, labeled fibers that innervated pharyngeal taste buds (Fig. 6e, n = 2). In one animal, the skin dorsal to the 2nd and 3<sup>rd</sup> gill pores was carefully injected, making sure to spare neuromasts 412 413 in that area. The injection included the epidermis, dermis and the surface of the muscle layer. It 414 labeled ganglion cells close to the main trunk of the vagus nerve, but their central projections 415 entered the brainstem through the posterior lateral line nerve to terminate in the medial nucleus 416 of the octavolateral area. Other fibers travelling in the trunk lateral line nerve entered the 417 recurrent nerve. These fibers originated from ganglion cells located both in the intracapsular 418 ganglion and in the lateral portion of the anterior lateral line ganglion (see Koyama, Kishida, Goris, 419 & Kusunoki, 1990), and had central projections that terminated in the dorsal nucleus of the 420 octavolateral area. The skin injection also labeled spinal ganglion cells and dorsal cells within the 421 spinal cord, and ascending fibers were seen in the dorsal columns. These skin injections did not 422 label fibers resembling the ones that entered the brainstem through the vagus nerve after gill 423 pore papillae injections.

Tracer injections in 8 - 12 consecutive dorsal fin papillae of spawning adult lampreys (n = 3) labeled spinal ganglion cells on both sides of the animals. These cells were found either in the dorsal root ganglions outside the spinal canal (Fig. 8a,b) or inside the spinal canal at different levels along the dorsal roots (Fig. 8c,d). These injections never labeled dorsal cells (i.e. intraspinal sensory ganglion cells) in our material. The ganglion cells innervating dorsal fin papillae were

429 bipolar with coarse axons (around 4  $\mu$ m). The central processes from these cells entered the 430 dorsal spinal cord through the dorsal roots where they turned caudally and rostrally in the dorsal 431 funiculus. From the number of labeled ganglion cells and ascending and descending axons, it is 432 most probable that the entering axons gave rise to both an ascending and a descending branch, 433 although we could not see branching axons in our material. The individual descending branches 434 reached as far as 5 or 6 spinal segments more caudal than their own entrance point. Further 435 caudally, this translated into gradually fewer fibers in the dorsal funiculus until there were none 436 left. The ascending branches could be followed up to the last sections of our material (~ 3 cm 437 rostral to the injected dorsal fin papillae). Their numbers were similar to that of labeled ganglion 438 cells, which suggested that all ganglion cells projected at least this far rostrally. In 2 animals, 439 observations from sections of the brainstem failed to show labeled fibers from dorsal fin papillae 440 injections. The distance between the injected caudal dorsal fin and the brainstem was in the order 441 of 20 cm or so in these two animals, a distance that prevents efficient tract tracing in lampreys. 442 Contrary to the other types of papillae, we did not trace the fibers innervating dorsal fin papillae 443 from their exit from the spinal canal to the papillae.

- 444
- 445

#### 446 4. Discussion

Despite recent advances (Finger et al., 2003; Hansen, 2007; Kirino, Parnes, Hansen, Kiyohara, & Finger, 2013; Tizzano et al., 2006), information on the physiology and function of the SCC system in vertebrates is limited. In this study, we established that SCCs are particularly numerous on cutaneous papillae around the oral disc, nostril, gill pores, and on the dorsal fins in the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*). We demonstrated that the oral and nasal papillae are innervated by the trigeminal nerve, the gill pore papillae by the glossopharyngeal or vagus nerve, and the dorsal fin papillae by spinal dorsal roots. We also characterized the chemical response
profile of gill pore papillae and showed that trout water and the amino acids, glycine and proline,
produced strong responses. A companion study, (Suntres et al., this issue), further investigates
the immunocytochemical properties and the development during ontogeny of the lamprey SCCs.
Together, these studies provide new insights on the function and evolution of the SCC system in
vertebrates.

459

#### 460 **4.1 Location of cutaneous papillae and SCC distribution**

The first report of cutaneous papillae in lampreys long predates the discovery of SCCs. 461 462 Linnaeus (1758) described the mouth of *P. marinus* and *L. planeri* as "papillolo". He wrote about 463 L. planeri : "Behind the border of the mouth are numerous sharp papillae" (as cited in Turton, 464 1803). Since then, other authors have reported the presence of papillae (oral - Baatrup & Døving, 1985; Cook, Hilliard, & Potter, 1990; Fox et al., 1980; Langerhans, 1873; Lethbridge & Potter, 1981; 465 466 Potter, Lanzing, & Strahan, 1968; Whitear & Lane, 1983a; Woodland, 1913; gill pore- Dawson, 467 1905; Whitear & Lane, 1983a; fin – Langerhans, 1873; Whitear & Lane, 1983a) and have assessed 468 the papillae as a taxonomic character (Beamish, 2010; Khidir & Renaud, 2003). However, these 469 studies did not look systematically at the morphology, anatomy, and physiology of the papillae.

470 Cells that are now recognized as SCCs were first mentioned by Langerhans (1873) who 471 described hair-bearing bipolar cells on the body surface that were particularly numerous on oral 472 papillae ("Mundpapillen") and dorsal fin papillae ("Flossenpapillen") in *L. planeri*. The cells 473 described by Langerhans and their possible innervation were re-examined and discussed by 474 anatomists in the late 19th - early <sub>20th</sub> century (Fahrenholz, 1936a, 1936b; Ficalbi, 1914; 475 Foettinger, 1876; Fusari, 1907; Marenghi, 1903; Retzius, 1892; Studnička, 1913; Tretjakoff, 1927).

476 Electron microscopy allowed researchers to demonstrate the precise association of these cells
477 with nerve fibers in lampreys ("oligovillous cells", Fox et al., 1980; Whitear & Lane, 1983a).

478 Our investigation of SCCs in spawning phase P. marinus focused on papillae as they 479 contain a high density of SCCs in L. planeri and L. fluviatilis (Baatrup & Døving, 1985; Fox et al., 480 1980; Whitear & Lane, 1983a). We confirmed previous reports (Dawson, 1905; Whitear & Lane, 481 1983a) describing a fringe of papillae close to the gill pores and of papillae around the oral disc 482 (Baatrup & Døving, 1985; Khidir & Renaud, 2003). The number of papillae at these two locations 483 (Table 1) is consistent with observations in other lamprey species (Beamish, 2010; Cook et al., 484 1990; Khidir & Renaud, 2003). We also showed that P. marinus bears papillae on the tip of the 485 dorsal fins, as described in *L. planeri* (Fox et al., 1980; Whitear & Lane, 1983a). Moreover, we 486 provide the first description of papillae on the edge of the nostril.

487 The epidermis covering the papillae located at the different locations was thinner and simpler than elsewhere on the body. It contained occasional granular cells but no skein cells, as 488 489 reported by Lane and Whitear (1980) and Cook et al. (1990) (see also Rodríguez-Alonso, Megías, 490 Pombal, & Molist, 2017). Numerous cells with the typical elongated piriform shape of vertebrate SCCs (Tizzano & Finger, 2013) and harbouring a tuft of microvilli-like extensions were seen. Our 491 492 anatomical experiments showed that these microvilli-like extensions contain a F-actin core, 493 confirming their microvillous nature. These cells correspond to the "oligovillous cells" of Fox et al. 494 (1980) and Whitear and Lane (1983a). They were later recognized as lamprey SCCs (Whitear, 495 1992) and our results support this hypothesis. We found particularly numerous SCCs on oral and 496 gill pore papillae, comparatively less on dorsal fin papillae, and only a few were observed on nasal 497 papillae. In addition to SCCs, papillae also contained Merkell cells. These cells bore microvilli at 498 opposite poles of their cell body, a typical feature of lamprey Merkel cells (Takahashi-Iwanaga & 499 Abe, 2001; Whitear, 1989; Whitear & Lane, 1981). Previous studies reported Merkel cells in oral

papillae in *L. planeri* (Baatrup & Døving, 1985) and *Geotria australis* (Cook et al., 1990). Our
material provided evidence of numerous Merkel cells on oral, nasal, and fin papillae, as well as a
few on gill pore papillae (often 1-2 per papilla) in *P. marinus*.

503 Although, we did not look for the presence of SCCs on the rest of the body, we examined 504 all the tissue that was collected around the papillae. From these observations, we found a large 505 number of SCCs with microvilli, similar to those of the oral papillae on the fimbriae surrounding 506 the oral disk. The external wall of the nostril contained a few SCCs that became rare on the 507 epidermis away from the nostril. The internal wall of the nostril leading to the olfactory epithelium 508 also contained SCCs, but their microvilli were different from that of the papillae SCCs. These cells 509 had only a few (3 to 5) straight microvilli, with a short protruded portion and a long non-protruded 510 portion. The microvilli in these SCCs formed an elongated narrow V shape. Similarly, Fox et al. 511 (1980) originally described two types of SCCs on the basis of the organization of the microvilli in 512 L. planeri. However, in a subsequent paper, the authors argued that they were more likely distinct 513 examples from a continuous series (Whitear & Lane, 1983a). Gill pore structures (i.e. ectal valve 514 and central process) occasionally contained SCCs, but rarely on the thicker epidermis around the 515 gill pores. The epidermis on of the dorsal fins also contained a few SCCs. In general, we did not 516 find many SCCs on the epidermis of the head and body, as reported previously by others on L. 517 planeri (Whitear & Lane, 1983a).

The SCC distribution is variable from one vertebrate species to the other. In aquatic vertebrates, they are widely distributed on the skin, gills, nasopharynx, or oropharynx (hagfish -Braun, 1996, 1998; Braun & Northcutt, 1998; lampreys - Baatrup & Døving, 1985; Fox et al., 1980; Whitear & Lane, 1983a; chondrichthyes - Peach, 2005; Whitear & Moate, 1994; bony fish - Codina et al., 2012; Hansen et al., 2014; Kotrschal, 1992; Kotrschal et al., 1997, 1989, 1984; Kuciel et al., 2014; Peters et al., 1987, 1991; Silver & Finger, 1984; see Whitear, 1992 for a review). In terrestrial

#### Journal of Comparative Neurology

vertebrates, on the other hand, SCCs seem restricted to specific anatomical locations (e.g. the
airways) (amphibians - Osculati & Sbarbati, 1995; Whitear, 1976; but see also for putative skin
SCCs Koyama et al., 2001; Nagai et al., 1999; reptiles - Hansen, 2007; mammals - Finger et al.,
2003; Saunders et al., 2014; Sbarbati et al., 1998, 1999; Sbarbati & Osculati, 2003; Tizzano et al.,
2010, 2006).

529 Chemical response profile and behavioral functionIn the present study, we have 530 examined the responses of papillae to chemicals that could potentially activate SCCs. We have 531 limited our study to chemicals that are known to activate chemosensory systems in lampreys. We 532 did not test all chemicals known to activate these systems in other species. Moreover, the 533 physiological experiments were limited to the responses of papillae in the gill region

534 We showed that gill pore papillae of sea lampreys respond strongly to trout water, to the 535 amino acids proline and glycine, and to the bile acid, taurocholic acid. Serine, sialic acid, and 536 glutamate also produced occasional excitatory responses. These results partially corroborate 537 those of Baatrup & Døving (1985) who electrophysiologically recorded from SCCs located around 538 the oral disc in *L. planeri*. They found responses to acetic acid, sialic acid, and trout water, but not to proline and glycine (Baatrup & Døving, 1985). Several factors may contribute to these 539 540 differences. First, it is not entirely clear if Baatrup and Døving recorded from papillae or fimbriae 541 (see Cook et al., 1990 for details). If they recorded from fimbriae, their chemosensory response 542 profile may be different than the one from the papillae. We did not specifically investigate 543 fimbriae here, but we found that they contain SCCs with microvilli and that these cells are 544 innervated, contrary to earlier reports (Lethbridge & Potter, 1979). Second, oral and gill pore 545 papillae may have different chemosensory response profiles. Third, response profiles could be 546 species-specific as it is the case for the taste system that is tuned to the diet of the animal (Finger, 547 1997; Kasumyan & Døving, 2003). In both studies, trout water was a potent stimulus. The

548 stimulatory compounds of the trout water are not known yet. However, SCC responses to 549 individual chemicals from both our study and that of Baatrup & Døving (1985) suggest the 550 presence of amino acids, bile acids, and mucus-derived chemicals. These are likely to be found in 551 trout thawing water along with bacterial substances (see discussion below). This may indicate a 552 role in predator detection as teleosts can be predators of lampreys (Applegate, 1950; Potter, 553 1980; Vladykov, 1949) or it may indicate a role in feeding as sea lampreys feed on trout (Farmer, 554 1980; Lennon, 1954; Smith, 1971; Swink, 2003). Our findings support the latter hypothesis by 555 showing that amino acids (proline and glycine), which are generally considered as feeding-556 associated cues in fish (Jones, 1989; Mackie & Mitchell, 1983; Mearns, 1986, 1989; Takeda, Takii, 557 & Matsui, 1984; Valentinčič & Caprio, 1994; for reviews see Finger, 1997; Kasumyan & Døving, 558 2003), induce excitatory responses in sea lamprey papillae. Moreover, these two amino acids are 559 concentrated in marine animal tissue (Beers, 1967; Carr, Netherton, Gleeson, & Derby, 1996) on 560 which sea lampreys feed. The responses to the bile acid taurocholic acid seen in the present study, 561 may corroborate a role of the SCC system in feeding as taurocholic acid is a potent stimulus of the 562 taste system in several fish species (Hara, 1994; Michel, 2005; Rolen & Caprio, 2008). However, bile acids including taurocholic acid could act as social cues in fish (Li, Sorensen, & Gallahers, 1995; 563 564 Sorensen, Hara, & Stacey, 1991; Zhang & Hara, 2009). The failure of the lamprey pheromones 565 3kPZS or 3kACA to stimulate chemosensory responses in gill pore papillae could be due to the fact 566 that these sex pheromones are released at the gills (Siefkes, Scott, Zielinski, Yun, &, Li, 2003). This 567 would then result in a continuous stimulation of the receptors by the pheromones. The chemical 568 sensitivity of the lamprey SCC system is thus different from the olfactory system, which is highly 569 sensitive to basic amino acids (arginine and histidine) and pheromones (Green et al., 2017; Li, 570 1994) and at least partially different from that of the gustatory system that responds to

571 substances that are not stimulatory for the SCC system (sucrose, quinine) in *L. planeri* (Baatrup &
572 Døving, 1985).

573 Apart from lampreys, the chemical sensitivity of SCCs has been defined in only a few 574 species. In the sea robin (*Prionotus carolinus*), recordings of the spinal nerves innervating the free 575 pectoral fins rays that contain SCCs, showed responses to feeding-related cues such as prey 576 extract and amino acids (Silver & Finger, 1984). In rocklings (genus Ciliata and Gaidropsarus), the 577 SCCs of the anterior dorsal fin respond to body mucus of heterospecific fish, but not to classical 578 fish taste stimuli (amino-acids, etc.) or pheromones from congeners (Peters et al., 1987, 1991). In 579 the sea catfish (*Plotosus japonicus*), the maxillary barbels are extremely sensitive to pH variations 580 (Caprio, Shimohara, Marui, Harada, & Kiyohara, 2014) and to amino acids (Caprio et al., 2015). 581 However, the barbels contain both taste buds and SCCs which prevents the identification of the 582 sensory receptors involved (Caprio et al., 2014, 2015). In terrestrial vertebrates, SCCs have been 583 observed in amphibians, reptiles and mammals (Finger et al., 2003; Hansen, 2007; Sbarbati & 584 Osculati, 2003). However, their chemical sensitivity is only known in mammals where SCCs located 585 in the airways detect irritants and bacterial compounds via taste receptors (Finger et al., 2003; Gulbransen, Clapp, Finger, & Kinnamon, 2008; Lin, Ogura, Margolskee, Finger, & Restrepo, 2008; 586 587 Tizzano et al., 2010). The detection of these substances by airways SCCs triggers different defense 588 mechanisms. The activation of SCC bitter and sweet taste receptors tunes the release of 589 antimicrobial peptide by adjacent epithelial cells (Lee et al., 2014, 2017). It also prevents harmful 590 airborne substances (irritants, toxins, etc.) to further enter the organism by evoking protective 591 respiratory reflexes (Finger et al., 2003) and by regulating fluid access to the vomeronasal organ 592 (Ogura, Krosnowski, Zhang, Bekkerman, & Lin, 2010). These findings suggest a third possible 593 interpretation of our results in lampreys. Indeed, the SCC-stimulating compound of the trout

water may be bacterial or irritants substances. Therefore the SCC system in lampreys could havea similar role as in mammals.

596

#### 597 **4.2 Innervation of cutaneous papillae and central projections**

598 Tracer injections in the different types of papillae demonstrated that the trigeminal nerve 599 innervates oral and nasal papillae, the glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves innervate gill pore 600 papillae, and spinal dorsal roots innervate dorsal fin papillae. Our tracing experiments did not 601 specifically label the sensory fibers innervating SCCs. Therefore, we cannot differentiate between 602 fibers innervating SCCs, Merkel cells, free nerve endings, or fibers innervating any other types of 603 receptors. However, all labeled fibers from one type of papillae entered the brainstem by the 604 same cranial nerve and had a similar projection pattern in the central nervous system. For 605 example, all labeled fibers from oral papillae which contain numerous SCCs and several Merkel 606 cells entered the brainstem through the trigeminal nerve and descended in the rdV to reach the 607 rostral spinal cord. However the size or diameter of the ganglion cells and axons differed. These 608 differences could relate to the different types of sensory fibers innervating the papillae, but more 609 information is needed to conclude on this matter. One exception to this pattern involves gill pore 610 papillae. On 2 animals out of 4, gill pore papillae injections labeled fibers that travelled in the 611 recurrent branch of the anterior lateral line nerve to enter the brainstem and terminate in the 612 dorsal nucleus of the octavolateral area, a nucleus mainly involved in electroreception (Bodznick 613 & Northcutt, 1981; Koyama, 2005; Ronan & Northcutt, 1987). Occasional putative skin 614 photoreceptors, referred to as "microvillous cells", have been reported on gill pore papillae 615 (Whitear & Lane, 1983b). Others have described similar cells on the tail of larvae and showed their 616 innervation by lateral line fibers (Steven, 1951; Whitear & Lane, 1983b). There is thus a small 617 possibility that the labeled lateral line fibers in our material could be innervating such cells.

However, the fibers innervating photoreceptor cells from the tail area of larval lampreys enter the brainstem through the posterior lateral line nerve and terminate in the medial nucleus of the octavolateral area (Ronan & Bodznick, 1991). Another possibility is that the lateral line fibers were accidentally labeled by lesioning a neuromast, some of which are located in the vicinity of gill pore papillae, slightly dorsal between the gill pores.

623 The source of SCC innervation is often inferred from their location on the body. However, only a 624 few studies identified the nerves providing innervation to SCCs. In sea robins, spinal nerves 625 provide innervation to the SCCs of the pectoral fin rays (Finger, 1997; Silver & Finger, 1984). In 626 rocklings, the dorsal recurrent branch of the facial nerve provides innervation to the SCCs of the 627 anterior dorsal fin, whereas spinal nerves provide innervation to other sensory cells (Merkel) 628 located on the same structure (Kotrschal & Whitear, 1988; Whitear & Kotrschal, 1988). In rodents, 629 the trigeminal nerve provides innervation to SCCs in the distal part of the airways (Finger et al., 630 2003; Gulbransen, Silver, & Finger, 2008; Silver & Finger, 2009; Tizzano et al., 2010). Our results 631 in the lamprey support the view that cranial or spinal nerves providing cutaneous innervation to 632 the area where the SCCs are located also provide innervation to those SCCs (Fig. 9; Finger, 1997). 633 To our knowledge, the central projection pattern of the SCC system is only known from 634 the two teleosts species mentioned above, Prionotus and Ciliata. In Prionotus, the central 635 projections of the SCC system mirror those of an ascending somatosensory system, the dorsal 636 column system. The situation is different in rocklings where the SCCs are centrally connected like 637 an external taste system. Primary afferents terminate in the vagal lobe which, in turn, projects to 638 the secondary taste nucleus (Finger, 1997; Kotrschal & Finger, 1996). In lampreys, the central 639 projection pattern resembles that of the somatosensory system. The central process of the 640 trigeminal ganglion cells that innervate oral or nasal papillae courses in the descending root of 641 the trigeminal nerve (rdV). The central process of the glossopharyngeal or vagal ganglion cells that

642 innervate gill pore papillae courses caudally or rostrally in a longitudinal tract that is adjacent to 643 the rdV. Most of the fibers course caudally in that tract to reach the rostral spinal segments. A 644 few fibers course rostrally in the same tract to reach isthmic levels. We did not see any labeled 645 fibers in the rdV after gill pore papillae injections, suggesting that the fibers in the rdV in the whole 646 nIX/X labeling experiments do not innervate gill pore papillae. The central process of the dorsal 647 root ganglion cells that innervate dorsal fin papillae entered the dorsal columns through the 648 dorsal roots and then ran caudally and rostrally for a few segments. It is possible that the rostrally-649 directed fibers reach brainstem levels directly, but it remains to be demonstrated.

650 We also specifically traced taste bud-innervating fibers from the periphery (i.e. taste buds) 651 to their central target. To our knowledge, this is the first time this has been done in lampreys since 652 previous studies were based on whole-nerve labeling (Fritzsch & Northcutt, 1993; Koyama, 2005). 653 The taste bud injections did not label fibers in the rdV as for whole nIX/X injections, suggesting 654 that the rdV fibers that course in nIX/X innervate structures other than taste buds and gill pore 655 papillae. We now show that the central projection of the lamprey taste system is different from 656 that of the SCC system. The fibers innervating the taste buds are of finer caliber and course in a 657 longitudinal tract located at the lateral edge of the alar plate. Along this tract, the fibers terminate 658 at all levels in a well-delineated nucleus, just medial to the tract, in the caudal hindbrain, but do 659 not reach spinal levels. Based on immunohistochemical data, previous studies have suggested 660 that this nucleus is the lamprey homologue of the mammalian nucleus of the solitary tract (NST) 661 (Auclair et al., 2004; Barreiro-Iglesias et al., 2010; Pombal et al., 2008, 2006; Robertson, Auclair, 662 Ménard, Grillner, & Dubuc, 2007). The present study strongly supports this hypothesis by showing 663 that this nucleus is the primary target of taste bud afferents in lampreys as in other vertebrates 664 (Beckstead, & Norgren, 1979; Contreras, Beckstead, & Norgren, 1982; Finger, 1987, 1997; 665 Hamilton & Norgren, 1984; Herrick, 1905; Norgren & Leonard, 1973). We also described that some

of the taste bud afferents ascend to terminate at isthmic levels. Other studies have reported such
ascending inputs from taste bud afferents in other species, but they only briefly discussed them
despite a potential role in central processing of taste inputs (rabbit: Hanamori & Smith, 1989; rat:
Hamilton & Norgren, 1984; frog: Hanamori & Ishiko, 1983; Matesz & Székely, 1978; chicken:
Ganchrow, Gentle, & Ganchrow, 1987).

671

672 **4.3 Evolutionary considerations and conclusion** 

Taken together, our results show that lampreys, the most basal extant group of 673 vertebrates, possess a relatively well developed and distributed SCC system that is particularly 674 675 well represented on cutaneous papillae. Lamprey SCCs were found in all locations where they 676 have been reported in other species. Our results confirm that the cranial or spinal nerves 677 responsible for the cutaneous innervation of an area also provide innervation to SCCs in the same area. The gill pore papillae respond mainly to trout-derived chemicals and amino acids, which 678 679 could indicate a role in feeding, in predator avoidance or in protective behaviors. The lamprey SCC 680 system appears less specialized than the highly specialized SCC systems observed in Prionotus and *Ciliata* and may resemble the ancestral SCC system from which more specialized systems may 681 682 have arisen. The SCC system is also associated to a variety of behaviors in vertebrates: feeding, 683 predator avoidance, or detection of bacteria and irritants. Altogether, these differences between 684 taxa in the morphology, distribution, chemical sensitivity, and associated behavioral function raise 685 the question of the homology of SCCs among vertebrates. For instance, nasal SCCs in mammals 686 are often considered as components of an array of taste-like cells that are distributed throughout 687 the gut and airways (Saunders et al., 2014). These cells share molecular similarity and use a similar 688 transduction pathway (Saunders, Reynolds, & Finger, 2013). However, SCCs in fish do not seem 689 to use a G protein-coupled receptor transduction cascade as taste bud cells (Ohmoto, Okada,

690 Nakamura, Abe, & Matsumoto, 2011). Furthermore, important differences can exist among 691 species of the same vertebrate lineage. For example, in fish, SCCs located on the trunk are 692 innervated either by spinal or cranial nerves (Prionotus, Finger, 1997; Silver & Finger, 1984; 693 Gaidropsarus, Ciliata, Kotrschal & Whitear, 1988; Whitear & Kotrschal, 1988). So far, these 694 differences have been mainly attributed to phylogenetic differences. They may also be due to the 695 anatomical location of the SCCs. Indeed, in each species examined so far, the SCCs were located 696 on a different body location: anterior dorsal fin in Ciliata; fin rays in Prionotus, and airways in mammals. The lamprey model offers the opportunity to test the hypothesis that SCC function may 697 698 be linked to their location. It will also provide a unique framework to shed light on SCC homology 699 in vertebrates and to compare the respective territories of the taste and SCC systems. 700

701

## 702 Author Contributions

- GD, BZ, and RD designed the study; GD, FB, FA, TS, JL-B, and MM performed the experiments; GD,
- FB, FA, analyzed the data; GD, FA, BZ and RD wrote the paper.
- 705
- 706

## 707 References

- Albersheim-Carter, J., Blubaum, A., Ballagh, I. H., Missaghi, K., Siuda, E. R., McMurray, G., Bass, A.
- H., Dubuc, R., Kelley, D. B., Schmidt, M. F., Wilson, R. J., & Gray, P.A. (2016). Testing the
- 710 evolutionary conservation of vocal motoneurons in vertebrates. Respiratory physiology &
- 711 *neurobiology, 224,* 2-10. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2015.06.010.

- 712 Applegate, V. C. (1950). Natural history of the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) in Michigan.
- 713 Spec Sci Rep US Fish Wildl Serv, 55, 1-237. Retrieved from
  714 http://name.umdl.umich.edu/2142335.0001.001
- 715 Auclair, F., Lund, J. P., & Dubuc, R. (2004). Immunohistochemical distribution of tachykinins in the
- 716 CNS of the lamprey *Petromyzon marinus*. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 479(3), 328-346. doi:
- 717 10.1002/cne.20324
- 718 Baatrup, E., & Døving, K. B. (1985). Physiological studies on solitary receptors of the oral disc
- papillae in the adult brook lamprey, *Lampetra planeri* (Bloch). *Chemical senses*, *10*(4), 559-566.
- 720 doi:10.1093/chemse/10.4.559
- 721 Bardach, J. E., & Case, J. (1965). Sensory capabilities of the modified fins of squirrel hake
- 722 (Urophycis chuss) and searobins (Prionotus carolinus and P. evolans). Copeia, 2, 194-206.
- 723 doi:10.2307/1440724
- 724 Barreiro-Iglesias, A., Anadón, R., & Rodicio, M. C. (2010). The gustatory system of lampreys. Brain,
- 725 *behavior and evolution,* 75(4), 241-250. doi:10.1159/000315151
- 726 Beamish, R. J. (2010). The use of gill pore papillae in the taxonomy of lampreys. *Copeia*, 2010(4),
- 727 618-628. doi:10.1643/CI-09-107
- 728 Beckstead, R. M., & Norgren, R. (1979). An autoradiographic examination of the central
- distribution of the trigeminal, facial, glossopharyngeal, and vagal nerves in the monkey. Journal
- 730 of Comparative Neurology, 184(3), 455-472. doi: 10.1002/cne.901840303
- 731 Beers, J. R. (1967). The species distribution of some naturally-occurring quaternary ammonium
- 732 compounds. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology, 21(1), 11-21. doi:10.1016/0010-
- 733 406X(67)90109-0

- 734 Bodznick, D., & Northcutt, R. G. (1981). Electroreception in lampreys: evidence that the earliest
- 735 vertebrates were electroreceptive. *Science*, *212*(4493), 465-467. doi:10.1126/science.7209544
- 736 Braun, C. B. (1996). The sensory biology of the living jawless fishes: a phylogenetic assessment.
- 737 Brain, Behavior and Evolution, 48(5), 262-276. doi:10.1159/000113205
- 738 Braun, C. B. (1998). Schreiner organs: a new craniate chemosensory modality in hagfishes. Journal
- 739
   of
   Comparative
   Neurology,
   392(2),
   135-163.
   doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096 

   740
   9861(19980309)392:2<135::AID-CNE1>3.0.CO;2-3
- 741 Braun, C. B., & Northcutt, R. G. (1998). Cutaneous exteroreceptors and their innervation in
- hagfishes. In J. M. Jørgensen, J. P. Lomholt, R. E. Weber, & H. Malte (Eds.), *The biology of hagfishes*
- 743 (pp. 512-532). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Caprio, J., Shimohara, M., Marui, T., Harada, S., & Kiyohara, S. (2014). Marine teleost locates live
  prey through pH sensing. *Science*, *344*(6188), 1154-1156. doi: 10.1126/science.1252697
- Caprio, J., Shimohara, M., Marui, T., Kohbara, J., Harada, S., & Kiyohara, S. (2015). Amino acid
  specificity of fibers of the facial/trigeminal complex innervating the maxillary barbel in the
  Japanese sea catfish, *Plotosus japonicus*. *Physiology & Behavior*, *152*, 288-294. doi:
  10.1016/j.physbeh.2015.10.011
- 750 Carr, W. E., Netherton, III, J. C., Gleeson, R. A., & Derby, C. D. (1996). Stimulants of feeding
- behavior in fish: analyses of tissues of diverse marine organisms. *The Biological Bulletin, 190*(2),
- 752 149-160. doi:10.2307/1542535
- 753 Codina, E., Loïc, K., Compere, P., Dragičević, B., Dulčić, J., & Parmentier, E. (2012). The barbel-like
- 754 specialization of the pelvic fins in *Ophidion rochei* (Ophidiidae). Journal of Morphology, 273(12),
- 755 1367-1376. doi:10.1002/jmor.20066

- 756 Contreras, R. J., Beckstead, R. M., & Norgren, R. (1982). The central projections of the trigeminal,
- 757 facial, glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves: an autoradiographic study in the rat. Journal of the
- 758 Autonomic Nervous System, 6(3), 303-322. doi:10.1016/0165-1838(82)90003-0
- 759 Cook, R. D., Hilliard, R. W., & Potter, I. C. (1990). Oral papillae of adults of the southern hemisphere
- lamprey *Geotria australis*. Journal of Morphology, 203(1), 87-96. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052030109
- 761 Daghfous, G., Green, W. W., Zielinski, B. S., & Dubuc, R. (2012). Chemosensory-induced motor
- 762 behaviors in fish. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 22(2), 223-230.
- 763 doi:10.1016/j.conb.2011.10.009
- 764 Dawson, J. (1905). The breathing and feeding mechanism of the lampreys. The Biological Bulletin,
- 765 *9*(1), 1-21. doi:10.2307/1535799
- Fahrenholz, C. (1936a). Tastzellen und Tastorgane in der Neunaugenhaut. Zeitschrift fur
  mikroskopisch-anatomische Forschung, 39, 116-134.
- Fahrenholz, C. (1936b). Die sensiblen Einrichtungen der Neunaugenhaut. Zeitschrift fur
   mikroskopisch-anatomische Forschung, 40, 323-380.
- 770 Farmer, G. J. (1980). Biology and physiology of feeding in adult lampreys. *Canadian Journal of*
- 771 Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, 37(11), 1751-1761. doi:10.1139/f80-220
- 772 Ficalbi, E. (1914). Struttura del tegumento dei Petromizonti. Archivio italiano di Anatomia e di
- 773 *Embriologia, 12, 596-827.*
- Finger, T. E. (1982). Somatotopy in the representation of the pectoral fin and free fin rays in the
- spinal cord of the sea robin, *Prionotus carolinus*. *The Biological Bulletin*, *163*(1), 154-161.
- 776 doi:10.2307/1541505

777 Finger, T.E. (1987). Gustatory nuclei and pathways in the central nervous system. In T. E. Finger,

4 W. L. Silver (Eds.), *Neurobiology of Taste and Smell* (pp. 285-310). New York: John Wiley & Sons.

779 Finger, T. E. (1997). Evolution of taste and solitary chemoreceptor cell systems. *Brain, Behavior* 

780 *and Evolution*, *50*(4), 234-243. doi:10.1159/000113337

Finger, T. E. (2000). Ascending spinal systems in the fish, *Prionotus carolinus*. Journal of
 *Comparative* Neurology, 422(1), 106-122. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096 9861(20000619)422:1<106::AID-CNE7>3.0.CO;2-T

784 Finger, T. E., Böttger, B., Hansen, A., Anderson, K. T., Alimohammadi, H., & Silver, W. L. (2003).

785 Solitary chemoreceptor cells in the nasal cavity serve as sentinels of respiration. *Proceedings of* 

786 the National Academy of Sciences, 100(15), 8981-8986. doi:10.1073/pnas.1531172100

787 Foettinger, A. (1876). Recherches sur la structure de l'épiderme des Cyclostomes et quelques

788 mots sur les cellules olfactives de ces animaux. *Bulletin de l'Académie Royale de Belgique. Série 2.* 

789 Fox, H., Lane, E. B., & Whitear, M. (1980). Sensory nerve endings and receptors in fish and

amphibians. In R. I. C. Spearman, & P. A. Riley (Eds), The Skin of Vertebrates, Linnean Society

791 Symposium Series 9 (pp. 271–281). London: Academic Press.

Fritzsch, B., & Northcutt, G. (1993). Cranial and spinal nerve organization in amphioxus and
lampreys: evidence for an ancestral craniate pattern. *Cells Tissues Organs, 148*(2-3), 96-109.

794 doi:10.1159/000147529

Fusari, R. (1907). Contributo allo studio dei nervi cutanei e delle terminazioni nervöse nella cute
e nella mucose orale dell'*Ammocoetes branchialis*. *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino,*42, 192-200.

- 798 Ganchrow, D., Gentle, M. J., & Ganchrow, J. R. (1987). Central distribution and efferent origins of
- facial nerve branches in the chicken. Brain Research Bulletin, 19(2), 231-238. doi:10.1016/0361-
- 800 9230(87)90088-8
- 801 Greeley, J. R. (1927). Fishes of the Oswego watershed. In State of New York Conservation
- 802 Department, A biological survey of the Oswego River system. Supplemental to Seventeenth annual
- 803 report (pp. 84-107). Albany: JB Lyon Company.
- Green, W. W., Boyes, K., McFadden, C., Daghfous, G., Auclair, F., Zhang, H., & Zielinski, B. S. (2017).
- 805 Odorant organization in the olfactory bulb of the sea lamprey. Journal of Experimental Biology,
- 806 *220*(7), 1350-1359. doi:10.1242/jeb.150466
- 807 Gulbransen, B. D., Clapp, T. R., Finger, T. E., & Kinnamon, S. C. (2008). Nasal solitary
- 808 chemoreceptor cell responses to bitter and trigeminal stimulants in vitro. *Journal of* 809 *Neurophysiology*, *99*(6), 2929-2937. doi:10.1152/jn.00066.2008
- 810 Gulbransen, B., Silver, W., & Finger, T. E. (2008). Solitary chemoreceptor cell survival is

811 independent of intact trigeminal innervation. *Journal of Comparative Neurology, 508*(1), 62-71.
812 doi:10.1002/cne.21657

- Hamilton, R. B., & Norgren, R. (1984). Central projections of gustatory nerves in the rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *222*(4), 560-577. doi: 10.1002/cne.902220408
- 815 Hanamori, T., & Ishiko, N. (1983). Intraganglionic distribution of the primary afferent neurons in
- the frog glossopharyngeal nerve and its transganglionic projection to the rhombencephalon
- studied by HPR method. Brain Research, 260(2), 191-199. doi:10.1016/0006-8993(83)90674-1
- 818 Hanamori, T., & Smith, D. V. (1989). Gustatory innervation in the rabbit: central distribution of
- 819 sensory and motor components of the chorda tympani, glossopharyngeal, and superior laryngeal
- 820 nerves. Journal of Comparative Neurology, 282(1), 1-14. doi:10.1002/cne.902820102
- 821 Hansen, A. (2007). Olfactory and solitary chemosensory cells: two different chemosensory
- 822 systems in the nasal cavity of the American alligator, Alligator mississippiensis. BMC Neuroscience,
- 823 *8,* 64. doi:10.1186/1471-2202-8-64
- Hansen, A., Ghosal, R., Caprio, J., Claus, A., & Sorensen, P. (2014). Anatomical and physiological
- studies of bigheaded carps demonstrate that the epibranchial organ functions as a pharyngeal
- taste organ. Journal of Experimental Biology, 217, 3945-3954. doi:10.1242/jeb.107870
- 827 Hansen, A., & Reutter, K. (2004). Chemosensory systems in fish: structural, functional and
- ecological aspects. In G. von der Emde, J. Mogdans, & B. G. Kapoor (Eds.), *The senses of fish* (pp.
- 829 55-89). Dordrecht: Springer.
- 830 Hara, T. J. (1994). Olfaction and gustation in fish: an overview. Acta Physiologica Scandinavica,
- 831 *152*(2), 207-217. doi:10.1111/j.1748-1716.1994.tb09800.x
- 832 Herrick, C. J. (1905). The central gustatory paths in the brains of bony fishes. Journal of
- 833 *Comparative Neurology and Psychology, 15*(5), 375-456. doi:10.1002/cne.920150503
- Jones, K. A. (1989). The palatability of amino acids and related compounds to rainbow trout, *Salmo*
- 835 gairdneri Richardson. Journal of Fish Biology, 34(1), 149-160. doi:10.1111/j.1095-
- 836 8649.1989.tb02964.x
- Kasumyan, A. O., & Døving, K. B. (2003). Taste preferences in fishes. *Fish and Fisheries, 4*(4), 289347. doi:10.1046/j.1467-2979.2003.00121.x

839	Khidir, K. T., & Renaud, C. B. (2003). Oral fimbriae and papillae in parasitic lampreys											
840	(Petromyzontiformes). Environmental Biology of Fishes, 66(3), 271-278.											
841	doi:10.1023/A:1023961910547											
842	Kirino, M., Parnes, J., Hansen, A., Kiyohara, S., & Finger, T. E. (2013). Evolutionary origins of taste											
843	buds: phylogenetic analysis of purinergic neurotransmission in epithelial chemosensors. Open											
844	<i>Biology, 3</i> (3), 130015. doi:10.1098/rsob.130015											
845	Kölliker, A. (1885). Stiftchenzellen in der Epidermis von Froschlarven. Zoologischer Anzeiger, 8,											
846	439-441.											
847	Kölliker, A. (1886). Histologische Studien an Batrachierlarven. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche											
848	Zoologie, 43, 1-40.											
849	Kotrschal, K. (1991). Solitary chemosensory cells—taste, common chemical sense or what?											
850	Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries, 1(1), 3-22. doi:10.1007/BF00042659											
851	Kotrschal, K. (1992). Quantitative scanning electron microscopy of solitary chemoreceptor cells in											
852	cyprinids and other teleosts. Environmental Biology of Fishes, 35(3), 273-282.											
853	doi:10.1007/BF00001894											
854	Kotrschal, K. (1995). Ecomorphology of solitary chemosensory cell systems in fish: a review.											
855	Environmental Biology of Fishes, 44, 143-155. doi:10.1007/BF00005912											
856	Kotrschal, K. (1996). Solitary chemosensory cells: why do primary aquatic vertebrates need											
857	another taste system? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 11(3), 110-114. doi:10.1016/0169-											
858	5347(96)81088-3											

859 Kotrschal, K., & Finger, T. E. (1996). Secondary connections of the dorsal and ventral facial lobes

in a teleost fish, the rockling (*Ciliata mustela*). Journal of Comparative Neurology, 370(4), 415-426.

861 doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19960708)370:4<415::AID-CNE1&gt;3.0.CO;2-7

- 862 Kotrschal, K., Krautgartner, W. D., & Hansen, A. (1997). Ontogeny of the solitary chemosensory
- cells in the zebrafish, *Danio rerio*. *Chemical senses*, 22(2), 111-118. doi:10.1093/chemse/22.2.111
- 864 Kotrschal, K., Peters, R., & Atema, J. (1989). A novel chemosensory system in fish: do rocklings

865 (*Ciliata mustela*, Gadidae) use their solitary chemoreceptor cells as fish detectors. *The Biological* 

866 Bulletin, 177, 328. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/1541946

- 867 Kotrschal, K., Peters, R., & Atema, J. (1993). Sampling and behavioral evidence for mucus
- 868 detection in a unique chemosensory organ: the anterior dorsal fin in rocklings (*Ciliata mustela*:
- 869 Gadidae: Teleostei). Zoologische Jahrbücher. Abteilung für allgemeine Zoologie und Physiologie
- 870 *der Tiere*, *97*(1), 47-67.
- 871 Kotrschal, K., Royer, S., & Kinnamon, J. C. (1998). High-voltage electron microscopy and 3-D
- 872 reconstruction of solitary chemosensory cells in the anterior dorsal fin of the Gadid fish *Ciliata*
- 873 *mustela* (Teleostei). *Journal of structural biology*, *124*(1), 59-69. doi:10.1006/jsbi.1998.4046
- Kotrschal, K., & Whitear, M. (1988). Chemosensory anterior dorsal fin in rocklings (*Gaidropsarus*and *Ciliata*, teleostei, Gadidae): Somatotopic representation of the ramus recurrens facialis a
  revealed by transganglionic transport of HRP. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *268*(1), 109-120.
  doi:10.1002/cne.902680111
- 878 Kotrschal, K., Whitear, M., & Adam, H. (1984). Morphology and histology of the anterior dorsal fin
- 879 of Gaidropsarus mediterraneus (Pisces Teleostei), a specialized sensory organ. Zoomorphology,
- 880 *104*(6), 365-372. doi:10.1007/BF00312187

- 881 Kotrschal, K., Whitear, M., & Finger, T. E. (1993). Spinal and facial innervation of the skin in the
- gadid fish Ciliata mustela (Teleostei). Journal of Comparative Neurology, 331(3), 407-417.
- 883 doi:10.1002/cne.903310310
- 884 Koyama, H. (2005). Organization of the sensory and motor nuclei of the glossopharyngeal and
- 885 vagal nerves in lampreys. *Zoological Science*, 22(4), 469-476. doi:10.2108/zsj.22.469
- 886 Koyama, H., Kishida, R., Goris, R. C., & Kusunoki, T. (1990). Organization of the primary projections
- 887 of the lateral line nerves in the lamprey *Lampetra japonica*. Journal of Comparative Neurology,
- 888 295(2), 277-289. doi:10.1002/cne.902950210
- 889 Koyama, H., Nagai, T., Takeuchi, H. A., & Hillyard, S. D. (2001). The spinal nerves innervate putative
- 890 chemosensory cells in the ventral skin of desert toads, *Bufo alvarius*. Cell and Tissue Research,
- *304*(2), 185-192. doi:10.1007/s004410100370
- 892 Kuciel, M., Lauriano, E. R., Silvestri, G., Żuwała, K., Pergolizzi, S., & Zaccone, D. (2014). The
- 893 structural organization and immunohistochemistry of G-protein alpha subunits in the olfactory
- 894 system of the air-breathing mudskipper, Periophthalmus barbarus (Linnaeus, 1766) (Gobiidae,
- 895 Oxudercinae). *Acta Histochemica*, *116*(1), 70-78. doi:10.1016/j.acthis.2013.05.005
- Lane, E. B., & Whitear, M. (1982). Sensory structures at the surface of fish skin: I. Putative
- chemoreceptors. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 75(2), 141-151. doi:10.1111/j.1096-
- 898 3642.1982.tb01944.x
- Langerhans, P. (1873). Untersuchungen über Petromyzon planeri. Universitätsbuchhandlung von
  Carl Trommer.
- 901 Lee, R. J., Hariri, B. M., McMahon, D. B., Chen, B., Doghramji, L., Adappa, N. D., Palmer, J. N.,
- 902 Kennedy, D. W., Jiang, P., Margolskee, R. F., & Cohen, N. A. (2017). Bacterial d-amino acids

- 903 suppress sinonasal innate immunity through sweet taste receptors in solitary chemosensory cells.
- 904 *Science Signaling*, *10*(495), eaam7703. doi:10.1126/scisignal.aam7703.
- Lee, R. J., Kofonow, J. M., Rosen, P. L., Siebert, A. P., Chen, B., Doghramji, L., Xiong, G., Adappa, N.
- 906 D., Palmer, J. N., Kennedy, D. W., Kreindler, J. L., Margolskee, R. F., & Cohen, N. A. (2014). Bitter
- and sweet taste receptors regulate human upper respiratory innate immunity. The Journal of
- 908 *Clinical Investigation, 124*(3), 1393-1405. doi:10.1172/JCI72094
- Lennon, R. E. (1954). Feeding mechanism of the sea lamprey and its effect on host fishes. *Fishery*
- 910 Bulletin of the US Fish and Wildlife Service, 56(98), 247-293. Retrieved from
- 911 http://spo.nmfs.noaa.gov/content/feeding-mechanism-sea-lamprey-and-its-effect-host-fishes
- 912 Lethbridge, R. C., & Potter, I. C. (1979). The oral fimbriae of the lamprey *Geotria australis*. Journal
- 913 of Zoology, 188(2), 267-277. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1979.tb03404.x
- Lethbridge, R.C., & Potter, I.C. (1981). The skin. In M. W. Hardisty, & I. C. Potter (Eds.), *The biology*
- 915 of lampreys. Vol. 3 (pp. 377-448). London: Academic Press.
- 916 Li, W. (1994). The olfactory biology of adult sea lamprey (Petromyzon marinus) (Doctoral
- 917 dissertation, University of Minnesota). Available from ProQuest Dissertations and Theses 918 database. (UMI No. 9514669)
- Li, W., Sorensen, P. W., & Gallaher, D. D. (1995). The olfactory system of migratory adult sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) is specifically and acutely sensitive to unique bile acids released by conspecific larvae. *The Journal of general physiology*, *105*(5), 569-587. doi:10.1085/jgp.105.5.569

923	Lin, W., Ogura, T., Margolskee, R. F., Finger, T. E., & Restrepo, D. (2008). TRPM5-expressing solitary
924	chemosensory cells respond to odorous irritants. Journal of Neurophysiology, 99(3), 1451-1460.
925	doi:10.1152/jn.01195.2007
926	Linnaeus, C. V. (1758). Systema Naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines,
927	genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. (10th ed.). Holmiae [Stockholm]:

928 Impensis Direct.

- 929 Mackie, A. M., & Mitchell, A. I. (1983). Studies on the chemical nature of feeding stimulants for
- 930 the juvenile European eel, Anguilla anguilla (L.). Journal of Fish Biology, 22(4), 425-430.
- 931 doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1983.tb04764.x
- Marenghi, G. (1903). Alcune particolarita di struttura e di innervazione della cute
  dell'*Ammocoetes branchialis*. *Memoria letta nella seduta del 9. luglio 1903*. U. Hoepli.
- Matesz, C., & Székely, G. (1978). The motor column and sensory projections of the branchial
  cranial nerves in the frog. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, *178*(1), 157-175.
  doi:10.1002/cne.901780109
- 937 Mearns, K. J. (1986). Sensitivity of brown trout (*Salmo trutta* L.) and Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*
- 938 L.) fry to amino acids at the start of exogenous feeding. Aquaculture, 55(3), 191-200.
- 939 doi:10.1016/0044-8486(86)90114-6
- 940 Mearns, K. J. (1989). Behavioural responses of salmonid fry to low amino acid concentrations.
- 941 Journal of Fish Biology, 34(2), 223-232. doi:10.1111/j.1095-8649.1989.tb03304.x
- Michel, W. C. (2005). Chemoreception. In D. H. Evans & J. B. Claiborne (Eds.), *The Physiology of Fishes* (3<sup>rd</sup> Ed; pp. 471-498). Boca Raton: CRC Press.

- 944 Morrill, A. D. (1895). The pectoral appendages of *Prionotus* and their innervation. *Journal of*
- 945 *Morphology, 11*(1), 177-192. doi:10.1002/jmor.1050110105
- 946 Nagai, T., Koyama, H., Von Seckendorff Hoff, K., & Hillyard, S. D. (1999). Desert toads discriminate
- 947 salt taste with chemosensory function of the ventral skin. Journal of Comparative Neurology,
- 948 408(1), 125-136. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1096-9861(19990524)408:1<125::AID-CNE9>3.0.CO;2-0
- 949 Norgren, R., & Leonard, C. M. (1973). Ascending central gustatory pathways. Journal of
- 950 *Comparative Neurology, 150*(2), 217-237. doi:10.1002/cne.901500208
- 951 Ogura, T., Krosnowski, K., Zhang, L., Bekkerman, M., & Lin, W. (2010). Chemoreception regulates
- 952 chemical access to mouse vomeronasal organ: role of solitary chemosensory cells. PLoS One, 5(7),
- 953 e11924. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011924
- 954 Ohmoto, M., Okada, S., Nakamura, S., Abe, K., & Matsumoto, I. (2011). Mutually exclusive
- 955 expression of Gαia and Gα14 reveals diversification of taste receptor cells in zebrafish. Journal of
- 956 Comparative Neurology, 519(8), 1616-1629. doi: 10.1002/cne.22589
- 957 Osculati, F., & Sbarbati, A. (1995). The frog taste disc: a prototype of the vertebrate gustatory
- 958 organ. Progress in Neurobiology, 46(4), 351-399. doi:10.1016/0301-0082(95)00006-H
- 959 Parker, G. H. (1912). The relation of smell, taste, and the common chemical sense in vertebrates.
- 960 Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia, 15, 221-234. Retrieved from
- 961 https://catalog.hathitrust.org/Record/012241821
- 962 Peach, M. B. (2005). New microvillous cells with possible sensory function on the skin of sharks.

963 Marine and Freshwater Behaviour and Physiology, 38(4), 275-279.

964 doi:10.1080/10236240500482416

965	Peters, R. C., Kotrschal, K., & Krautgartner, W. D. (1991). Solitary chemoreceptor cells of Ciliata
966	mustela (Gadidae, Teleostei) are tuned to mucoid stimuli. Chemical Senses, 16(1), 31-42.
967	doi:10.1093/chemse/16.1.31
968	Peters, R. C., Van Steenderen, G. W., & Kotrschal, K. (1987). A chemoreceptive function for the
969	anterior dorsal fin in rocklings (Gaidropsarus and Ciliata: Teleostei: Gadidae): electrophysiological
970	evidence. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom, 67(4), 819-823.
971	doi:10.1017/S0025315400057064
972	Pombal, M. A., López, J. M., de Arriba, M. C., González, A., & Megías, M. (2008). Distribution of
973	adrenomedullin-like immunoreactivity in the brain of the adult sea lamprey. Brain Research
974	Bulletin, 75(2-4), 261-265. doi: 10.1016/j.brainresbull.2007.10.011
975	Pombal, M. A., López, J. M., de Arriba, M. C., Megías, M., & González, A. (2006). Distribution of
976	neuropeptide FF-like immunoreactive structures in the lamprey central nervous system and its
977	relation to catecholaminergic neuronal structures. <i>Peptides</i> , 27(5), 1054-1072.
978	doi:10.1016/j.peptides.2005.06.033
979	Potter, I. C. (1980). Ecology of larval and metamorphosing lampreys. Canadian Journal of Fisheries
980	and Aquatic Sciences, 37(11), 1641-1657. doi:10.1139/f80-212
981	Potter, I. C., Lanzing, W. J. R., & Strahan, R. (1968). Morphometric and meristic studies on
982	populations of Australian lampreys of the genus Mordacia. Journal of the Linnean Society of

983 London, Zoology, 47(313), 533-546. doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.1968.tb00550f.x

- 984 Pouzat, C., Mazor, O., & Laurent, G. (2002). Using noise signature to optimize spike-sorting and to
- 985 assess neuronal classification quality. Journal of Neuroscience Methods, 122(1), 43-57.
- 986 doi:10.1016/S0165-0270(02)00276-5

- 987 Retzius, G. (1892). Die Nervenendigungen in dem Geschmacksorgan der Säugethiere und
  988 Amphibien. In *Biologische Untersuchungen: Neue Folge* Vol. IV (pp. 26-32). Stockholm: Samson &
  989 Wallin.
- Robertson, B., Auclair, F., Ménard, A., Grillner, S., & Dubuc, R. (2007). GABA distribution in lamprey
  is phylogenetically conserved. *Journal of Comparative Neurology, 503*(1), 47-63.
- 992 doi:10.1002/cne.21348
- 993 Rodríguez-Alonso, R., Megías, M., Pombal, M. A., & Molist, P. (2017). Morphological and
- 994 functional aspects of the epidermis of the sea lamprey Petromyzon marinus throughout
- 995 development. Journal of Fish Biology, 91(1), 80-100. doi:10.1111/jfb.13330
- 996 Rolen, S. H., & Caprio, J. (2008). Bile salts are effective taste stimuli in channel catfish. Journal of
- 997 Experimental Biology, 211(17), 2786-2791. doi:10.1242/jeb.018648
- 898 Ronan, M., & Bodznick, D. (1991). Behavioral and neurophysiological demonstration of a lateralis
- 999 skin photosensitivity in larval sea lampreys. Journal of Experimental Biology, 161(1), 97-117.
- 1000 Retrieved from http://jeb.biologists.org/content/161/1/97
- 1001 Ronan, M., & Northcutt, R. G. (1987). Primary projections of the lateral line nerves in adult
- 1002 lampreys. Brain, Behavior and Evolution, 30(1-2), 62-81. doi:10.1159/000118638
- 1003 Rovainen, C. M., & Yan, Q. (1985). Sensory responses of dorsal cells in the lamprey brain. Journal
- 1004 of Comparative Physiology A, 156(2), 181-183. doi:10.1007/BF00610859
- 1005 Saunders, C. J., Christensen, M., Finger, T. E., & Tizzano, M. (2014). Cholinergic neurotransmission
- 1006 links solitary chemosensory cells to nasal inflammation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 1007 *Sciences, 111*(16), 6075-6080. doi:10.1073/pnas.1402251111

- 1008 Saunders, C. J., Reynolds, S. D., & Finger, T. E. (2013). Chemosensory brush cells of the trachea. A
- 1009 stable population in a dynamic epithelium. American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular
- 1010 *Biology, 49*(2), 190-196. doi: 10.1165/rcmb.2012-0485OC
- 1011 Sbarbati, A., Crescimanno, C., Benati, D., & Osculati, F. (1998). Solitary chemosensory cells in the
- 1012 developing chemoreceptorial epithelium of the vallate papilla. Journal of Neurocytology, 27(9),
- 1013 631-635. doi:10.1023/A:1006933528084
- 1014 Sbarbati, A., Crescimanno, C., Bernardi, P., & Osculati, F. (1999). α-gustducin-immunoreactive
- 1015 solitary chemosensory cells in the developing chemoreceptorial epithelium of the rat vallate
- 1016 papilla. Chemical Senses, 24(5), 469-472. doi:10.1093/chemse/24.5.469
- 1017 Sbarbati, A., & Osculati, F. (2003). Solitary chemosensory cells in mammals? Cells Tissues Organs,
- 1018 *175*(1), 51-55. doi:10.1159/000073437
- 1019 Siefkes, M. J., Scott, A. P., Zielinski, B., Yun, S. S., & Li, W. (2003). Male sea lampreys, *Petromyzon*
- 1020 *marinus* L., excrete a sex pheromone from gill epithelia. *Biology of Reproduction, 69*(1), 125-132.
- 1021 doi:10.1095/biolreprod.102.014472
- 1022 Silver, W. L., & Finger, T. E. (1984). Electrophysiological examination of a non-olfactory, non-
- 1023 gustatory chemosense in the searobin, *Prionotus carolinus*. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A:*
- 1024 Neuroethology, Sensory, Neural, and Behavioral Physiology, 154(2), 167-174.
   1025 doi:10.1007/BF00604982
- 1026 Silver, W. L., & Finger, T. E. (2009). The anatomical and electrophysiological basis of peripheral
- 1027 nasal trigeminal chemoreception. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1170(1), 202-205.
- 1028 doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.03894.x

- 1029 Smith, B. R. (1971). Sea lampreys in the Great Lakes of North America. In M. W. Hardisty, & I. C.
- 1030 Potter (Eds.), *The biology of lampreys*. Vol. 1 (pp. 207-247). London: Academic Press Inc.
- 1031 Sorensen, P. W., Hara, T. J., & Stacey, N. E. (1991). Sex pheromones selectively stimulate the
- 1032 medial olfactory tracts of male goldfish. Brain Research, 558(2), 343-347. doi:10.1016/0006-
- 1033 8993(91)90790-3
- 1034 Steven, D. M. (1951). Sensory cells and pigment distribution in the tail of the ammocoete. Journal
- 1035 of Cell Science, 3(19), 233-247. Retrieved from http://jcs.biologists.org/content/s3-92/19/233
- 1036 Studnička, F. K. (1913). Epidermoidale Sinneszellen bei jungen Ammocoeten (Proammocoeten).
- 1037AnatomischerAnzeiger,44(5),102-112.Retrievedfrom1038http://archive.org/details/anatomischeranze44anat/page/102
- 1039 Suntres, T. E., Daghfous, G., Dubuc, R. D., & Zielinski, B. S. (this issue). Gill solitary chemosensory
- 1040 cells during the complex life cycle of the sea lamprey, *Petromyzon marinus*. Journal of
- 1041 *Comparative Neurology*.
- 1042 Swink, W. D. (2003). Host selection and lethality of attacks by sea lampreys (*Petromyzon marinus*)
- in laboratory studies. *Journal of Great Lakes Research, 29*, 307-319. doi:10.1016/S03801044 1330(03)70496-1
- 1045 Takahashi-Iwanaga, H., & Abe, K. (2001). Scanning electron microscopic observation of Merkel
- 1046 cells in the lamprey epidermis. *Kaibogaku Zasshi, 76*(4), 375-380.
- 1047 Takeda, M., Takii, K., & Matsui, K. (1984). Identification of feeding stimulants for juvenile eel.
- 1048 Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi, 50(4), 645-651. doi:10.2331/suisan.50.645
- 1049 Tizzano, M., & Finger, T. E. (2013). Chemosensors in the nose: guardians of the airways.
- 1050 *Physiology*, 28(1), 51-60. doi:10.1152/physiol.00035.2012

1051	Tizzano, M.	, Gulbransen,	B. D.,	Vandenbeuch, A.	Clapp,	T. R.,	Herman,	J. P.,	Sibhatu,	Η.	М.,	&

- 1052 Finger, T. E. (2010). Nasal chemosensory cells use bitter taste signaling to detect irritants and
- 1053 bacterial signals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(7), 3210-3215.
- 1054 doi:10.1073/pnas.0911934107
- 1055 Tizzano, M., Merigo, F., & Sbarbati, A. (2006). Evidence of solitary chemosensory cells in a large
- 1056 mammal: the diffuse chemosensory system in *Bos taurus* airways. *Journal of Anatomy, 209*(3),
- 1057 333-337. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2006.00617.x
- 1058 Tretjakoff, D. (1927). Das Nervensystem des Flussnevnauges. Zeitschrift für wissenschaftliche
  1059 Zoologie, 129, 359-452.
- 1060 Turton, W. (1803). A general system of nature, through the three grand kingdoms of animals,
- 1061 vegetables, and minerals; with their habitations, manners, economy, structure, and pecularities.
- 1062 (Translated from Linnaeus, C. V. (1788) Systema naturæ (12th ed)). London: Lackington, Allen &
  1063 Co.
- 1064 Valentinčič, T., & Caprio, J. (1994). Consummatory feeding behavior to amino acids in intact and
- 1065 anosmic channel catfish Ictalurus punctatus. Physiology & Behavior, 55(5), 857-863.
- 1066 doi:10.1016/0031-9384(94)90071-X
- 1067 Vladykov, V. D. (1949). *Quebec Lampreys (Petromyzonidae): I. List of Species and Their Economical*
- 1068 Importance (Vol. 26, pp. 1-67). Québec: Department of Fisheries.
- 1069 Whitear, M. (1952). The innervation of the skin of teleost fishes. *Journal of Cell Science*, 3(23),
- 1070 289-306. Retrieved from http://jcs.biologists.org/content/s3-93/23/289
- 1071 Whitear, M. (1965). Presumed sensory cells in fish epidermis. *Nature, 208*(5011), 703-704.
- 1072 doi:10.1038/208703b0

- 1073 Whitear, M. (1971). Cell specialization and sensory function in fish epidermis. *Journal of Zoology*,
- 1074 *163*(2), 237-264. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1971.tb04533.x
- 1075 Whitear, M. (1976). Identification of the epidermal "Stiftchenzellen" of frog tadpoles by electron
- 1076 microscopy. *Cell and Tissue Research*, 175(3), 391-402. doi:10.1007/BF00218717
- 1077 Whitear, M. (1989). Merkel cells in lower vertebrates. Archives of Histology and Cytology,
- 1078 52(Supplement), 415-422. doi:10.1679/aohc.52.Suppl\_415
- 1079 Whitear, M. (1992). Solitary chemosensory cells. In T. J. Hara (Ed), Fish Chemoreception (pp. 103-
- 1080 125). Dordrecht: Springer.
- 1081 Whitear, M., & Kotrschal, K. (1988). The chemosensory anterior dorsal fin in rocklings
- 1082 (*Gaidropsarus* and *Ciliata*, Teleostei, Gadidae): activity, fine structure and innervation. Journal of
- 1083 Zoology, 216(2), 339-366. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1988.tb02434.x
- 1084 Whitear, M., & Lane, E. B. (1981). Fine structure of Merkel cells in lampreys. *Cell and Tissue*
- 1085 *Research*, 220(1), 139-151. doi:10.1007/BF00209973
- 1086 Whitear, M., & Lane, E. B. (1983a). Oligovillous cells of the epidermis: sensory elements of lamprey
- 1087 skin. Journal of Zoology, 199(3), 359-384. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1983.tb02102.x
- 1088 Whitear, M., & Lane, E. B. (1983b). Multivillous cells: epidermal sensory cells of unknown function
- 1089 in lamprey skin. Journal of Zoology, 201(2), 259-272. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1983.tb04275.x
- 1090 Whitear, M., & Moate, R. M. (1994). Chemosensory cells in the oral epithelium of Raja clavata
- 1091 (Chondrichthyes). Journal of Zoology, 232(2), 295-312. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7998.1994.tb01574.x
- 1092 Woodland, W. N. F. (1913). On the supposed gnathostome ancestry of the Marsipobranchii; with
- a brief description of some features of the gross anatomy of the genera *Geotria* and *Mordacia*.

1094	Anatomischer	Anzeiger,	<i>45</i> (5),	113-153.	Retrieved	from
1095	https://www.biodive	rsitylibrary.org/i	tem/43340			
1096	Zhang, C., & Hara, T	. J. (2009). Lake	char (Salvelir	us namaycush) ol	factory neurons a	re highly
1097	sensitive and specified	c to bile acids.	Journal of C	omparative Physi	ology A, 195(2), 2	203-215.
1098	doi:10.1007/s00359-	008-0399-у				
1099						
1100						
1101	Figure Legends					
1102	Figure 1. Location of o	cutaneous papill	ae in spawning	g adult sea lamprey	ys. (a) Schematic illu	ustration
1103	of Petromyzon marin	<i>us</i> showing the a	natomical loc	ation of the cutane	eous papillae (adap	ted from
1104	Greeley, 1927). Papill	lae are present a	round the ora	l disc, on the skin b	oordering the nostr	il, on the
1105	posterior margin of th	ne gill pores, and	on the dorsal	fins (arrows). (b) t	o (e) are stereomic	rographs
1106	of cutaneous papillae	e illustrated at a	high magnifica	ition. (b) Arrowhea	ads point to oral pa	pillae on
1107	the external border o	of the oral disc. T	he flat skin ex	tensions with digit	-like protrusions at	their tip
1108	located immediately	behind the pap	illae are term	ed fimbriae and w	ere not investigate	ed in the
1109	present study. (c) Na	asal papillae are	arranged aro	und the lip of the	nostril. (d) Papilla	e form a
1110	dorso-ventral row alo	ong the posterio	r margin of a	gill pore. (e) Papill	ae extend from the	e surface
1111	of the anterior dorsa	l fin, forming a fi	ringe its trailin	g edge. Scale bars	= 1000 µm (b), 250	0 μm (c),
1112	400 $\mu$ m (d), and 200 $\mu$	μm (e).				
1113						
1114	Figure 2. SEMs of the	surface of oral, r	nasal, gill pore,	and dorsal fin pap	villae. (a1) Low pow	er image
1115	of an oral papilla sho	wing the distrib	ution of micro	villar tufts over its	surface. (a2) Highe	er power

1116 image of a portion of an oral papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over its surface. (a3)

1117 High power image of one microvillar tuft on an oral papilla. (b1) Low power image of three 1118 adjacent nasal papillae showing the distribution of microvillar tufts over their surface. (b2) Higher 1119 power image of a portion of a nasal papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over its surface. 1120 (b3) High power image of one microvillar tuft on a nasal papilla. (c1) Low power image of two 1121 adjacent gill pore papillae showing the distribution of microvillar tufts over their surface. (c2) 1122 Higher power image of a portion of a gill pore papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over 1123 its surface. (c3) High power image of one microvillar tuft on a gill pore papilla. (d1) Low power 1124 image of two adjacent dorsal fin papillae showing the distribution of microvillar tufts over their 1125 surface. (d2) Higher power image of a portion of a dorsal fin papilla showing examples of 1126 microvillar tufts over its surface. (d3) High power image of one microvillar tuft on a dorsal fin 1127 papilla. Scale bars:  $(a1-d1) = 25 \mu m$ ;  $(a2-d2) = 5 \mu m$ ;  $(a3-d3) = 2 \mu m$ .

1128

1129 Figure 3. Epifluorescence photomicrographs of whole mounts and sectioned oral, nasal, gill pore, 1130 and dorsal fin papillae showing the binding of phalloidin. Examination under high power was used 1131 to identify SCCs (arrows) and Merkel cells (arrowheads). (a1) Photomicrograph of an oral papilla (whole mount) showing the numerous tufts of microvilli over its surface. The microvilli were 1132 1133 revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (a2-a4) Photomicrographs of oral papillae 1134 cut along their long axis showing examples of SCCs with microvilli (arrows) and Merkel cells 1135 (arrowheads). Only a few SCCs (magenta arrow in (a2)) were sectioned in such a way that allowed 1136 for the observation of their whole typical elongated piriform shape. The microvilli were revealed 1137 by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (b1) Photomicrograph of a nasal papilla (whole 1138 mount) showing a few tufts of microvilli over its surface. The microvilli were revealed by the 1139 binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (b2-b4) Photomicrographs of sections of nasal papillae 1140 along their long axis showing a few examples of SCCs with microvilli (arrows) and many examples

1141 of Merkel cells (arrowheads). The inset in (b2) shows numerous Merkel cells (arrowheads) at the 1142 tip of a nasal papilla. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. 1143 (c1) Photomicrograph of a gill pore papilla (whole mount) showing the numerous tufts of microvilli 1144 over its surface. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (c2-1145 c4) Photomicrographs of sections of gill pore papillae along their long axis (c2 and c4) and on a 1146 cross section (c3) showing examples of SCCs with microvilli (arrows) and one Merkel cell 1147 (arrowhead in (c4)). Only a few SCCs (magenta arrows in (c3 and c4)) were sectioned in such a 1148 way that allowed for the observation of their whole typical elongated piriform shape. The 1149 microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (d1) Photomicrograph of 1150 a dorsal fin papilla (whole mount) showing a few tufts of microvilli over its surface. The microvilli 1151 were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (d2-d4) Photomicrographs of 1152 sections of dorsal fin papillae along their long axis showing examples of SCCs with microvilli 1153 (arrows) and Merkel cells (arrowheads). Only a few SCCs (magenta arrows in (d3 and d4)) were 1154 sectioned in such a way that allowed for the observation of their whole typical elongated piriform 1155 shape. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. The scale bar 1156 in (d1) is for (a1,b1,c1,d1) and the one in (d4) is for (a2-a4, b2-b4, c2-c4, d2-d4); both = 50  $\mu$ m.

1157

Figure 4. Neural responses of gill pore papillae to chemical stimulation. Top: Schematic illustration of the experimental recording procedure showing the location of the gill pore papillae, the recording electrode and the chemical stimulus delivery system. Scale bar = 25 μm. Bottom: Examples of multiunit activity recorded from gill pore papillae in response to delivery of different chemical stimuli. (+) indicates a significantly increased activity; (-) indicates a significantly decreased activity; (0) indicates no significant change in activity. Arrowheads indicate chemical delivery onset. The chemical stimuli tested included trout water, amino acids, sialic acid (a mucus

component), taurocholic acid (a bile acid), 3-keto allocholic acid (3kACA) and 3-keto petromyzonol sulfate (3kPZS) (sex pheromones), and Ringer's solution (control solution). The responses are illustrated as rasters of three consecutive responses with the associated peristimulus time histogram (PSTH). See **Table 3** for response statistics and a detailed trial by trial analysis.

1169

1170 Figure 5. Central projections of trigeminal afferents that innervate oral and nasal papillae. The top 1171 panel shows a schematic illustration of a dorsal view of the hindbrain showing the extent of the 1172 central projections from oral and nasal papillae (blue line). Transverse gray lines represent the 1173 levels of the cross sections illustrated in the photomicrographs in the bottom section of the figure. 1174 A green fluorescent Nissl stain was used as counterstain. (a1) Fluorescence photomicrograph of a 1175 cross section corresponding to level (a1) from the top panel. Fibers labeled from an injection of 1176 biocytin in oral papillae (white arrows) enter the brainstem through the trigeminal sensory root 1177 (nVs) and then join the descending root of the trigeminal nerve (rdV). (b1) The fibers from the 1178 same animal described in (a1) are shown here (between the white arrows) descending in the rdV 1179 on a cross section corresponding to level (b1) from the top panel. (c1) The fibers described in (a1) 1180 and (b1) are shown here (between the white arrows) descending in the rdV on a cross section 1181 corresponding to level (c1) from the top panel. (a2) Fluorescence photomicrograph of a cross 1182 section corresponding to level (a2) from the top panel. Fibers labeled from an injection of biocytin 1183 in nasal papillae (white arrows) enter the brainstem through the trigeminal sensory root (nVs) and 1184 join the descending root of the trigeminal nerve (rdV). Asterisks indicate autofluorescent blood 1185 vessels. (b2) The fibers from the same animal described in (a2) are shown here (between the 1186 white arrows) descending in the rdV on a cross section corresponding to level (b2) from the top 1187 panel. Asterisks indicate autofluorescent blood vessels. (c2) The fibers described in (a2) and (b2) 1188 are shown here (between the white arrows) descending in the rdV on a cross section

1189 corresponding to level (c2) from the top panel. Asterisks indicate autofluorescent blood vessels. 1190 Inset photomicrographs in (c1) and (c2) illustrate trigeminal ganglion cells labeled in the 1191 maxillomandibular nerve ganglion (gV<sub>2.3</sub>) after oral papillae injections (c1) and in the ophthalmicus 1192 profundus nerve ganglion  $(gV_1)$  after nasal papillae injections (c2). ARRN, anterior 1193 rhombencephalic reticular nucleus; d, dorsal; DC, dorsal column nucleus; l, lateral; Mes, 1194 mesencephalon; nIX, glossopharyngeal nerve; nllp, posterior lateral line nerve; NOMA, anterior 1195 octavomotor nucleus; NOMP, posterior octavomotor nucleus; nVm, motor root of the trigeminal 1196 nerve; nVs, sensory root of the trigeminal nerve; rdV, descending root of the trigeminal nerve; Rh, 1197 rhombencephalon; SC, spinal cord; V, trigeminal motor nucleus; X, vagal motor nucleus. Scale bars 1198 over photomicrographs =  $200 \,\mu m$ .

1199

1200 Figure 6. Photomicrographs of cross sections of papillae and pharyngeal taste buds showing their 1201 innervation. Note that the magenta labeling at the surface of the tissue in (a), (b) and (d) is 1202 unspecific autofluorescence. The green channel in (a), (b) and (e) is fluorescent Nissl staining while 1203 in (c) and (d), it is phalloidin labeling. (a,b,c) Injecting the trigeminal nerve at its exit from the 1204 cranium labeled fibers (arrowheads) innervating the oral (a) and nasal (c) papillae, and the oral 1205 fimbriae (b). In (c), many Merkel cells are indicated with white arrows and one out-of-focus SCC 1206 is indicated with a magenta arrow. (d) Injecting the glossopharyngeal or vagus nerves at their exit 1207 from the cranium labeled fibers (arrowheads) innervating the gill pore papillae. Labeled microvilli 1208 from two SCCs are indicated with magenta arrows. (e) Injecting the glossopharyngeal and vagus 1209 nerves at their exit from the cranium also labeled fibers (arrowheads) innervating pharyngeal 1210 taste buds. Scale bars =  $50 \mu m$ .

1211

1212 Figure 7. Central projections of glossopharyngeal and vagal afferents that innervate gill pore 1213 papillae or pharyngeal taste buds. The top panel shows a schematic illustration of a dorsal view 1214 of the hindbrain and the extent of the central projections from gill pore papillae (green) and taste 1215 buds (magenta). Transverse gray lines represent the levels of the cross sections illustrated in the 1216 photomicrographs in the bottom section of the figure. (a1,b1,c1) Photomicrographs of cross 1217 sections showing the central distribution of afferents (magenta, indicated with white arrows) 1218 labeled after gill pore papillae injection at three different rostro-caudal levels (see top panel for 1219 reference). The counterstain is a fluorescent Nissl stain (green). The fibers entered the brainstem 1220 through the glossopharyngeal (b1) and vagus nerves. Some fibers ascended to reach isthmic levels 1221 (a1) while other fibers descended in the caudal hindbrain (c1) on their way to the spinal cord. The 1222 inset in (b1) shows some glossopharyngeal ganglion cells labeled after gill pore papillae injection. 1223 (a2,b2,c2) Central distribution of afferents labeled after injection of a few pharyngeal taste buds 1224 (magenta, indicated with white arrows) for comparison with gill pore papillae afferents shown in 1225 (a1,b1,c1). The counterstain used here is fluorescent Nissl stain (green). Rostro-caudal levels of 1226 the cross sections illustrated in photomicrographs in panels (a2,b2,c2) correspond to the levels of 1227 panels (a1,b1,c1), respectively. The labeled taste bud afferents entered the brainstem through 1228 the glossopharyngeal nerve (b2) and vagus nerve, and many terminated in the nucleus of the 1229 solitary tract (NTS, b2), adjacent to the lateral edge of the alar plate. Some fibers ascended to 1230 reach isthmic levels (a2), while other fibers descended along the lateral edge of the alar plate (c2) 1231 to reach the caudal hindbrain. The inset in (c2) shows examples of glossopharyngeal ganglion cells 1232 labeled after taste bud injection. (a3,b3,c3) Whole nerve labeling showing the central distribution 1233 of all afferents from the vagus and glossopharyngeal nerves for comparison with gill pore papillae 1234 (a1,b1,c1) and taste bud (a2,b2,c2) afferents. Rostro-caudal levels of the cross sections illustrated 1235 in photomicrographs in panels (a3,b3,c3) correspond to the levels of panels (a1,b1,c1) and

1236 (a2,b2,c2), respectively (see also top panel for reference). The arrowheads in (b3) indicate axons 1237 from motoneurons on their way to exit the brainstem. The white arrows in (c3) indicate a central 1238 rootlet of the vagus nerve on its way to join the other taste bud afferents more dorsally located. 1239 The counterstain is DAPI, which labels nuclear DNA in blue. For all photomicrographs in the figure, 1240 we have delineated each afferent component with a specific color (see color code in the top panel 1241 for reference). Note that the whole nerve reference injection of glossopharyngeal and vagus 1242 nerves (a3,b3,c3) also labeled sensory fibers entering by the posterior lateral line nerve and the 1243 first spinal nerve, as indicated. ARRN, anterior rhombencephalic reticular nucleus; d, dorsal; DC, 1244 dorsal column nucleus; gIX, glossopharyngeal nerve ganglion; l, lateral; Mes, mesencephalon; nIX, 1245 glossopharyngeal nerve; nllp, posterior lateral line nerve; NOMA, anterior octavomotor nucleus; 1246 NOMP, posterior octavomotor nucleus; NTS, nucleus of the solitary tract; nVm, motor root of the 1247 trigeminal nerve; nVs, sensory root of the trigeminal nerve; nX, vagus nerve; rdV, descending root 1248 of the trigeminal nerve; Rh, rhombencephalon; SC, spinal cord; V, trigeminal motor nucleus; X, 1249 vagal motor nucleus. Scale bars for photomicrographs =  $200 \mu m$ .

1250

1251 Figure 8. Central projections of dorsal root ganglion cells that innervate dorsal fin papillae. The 1252 top panel shows a schematic illustration of a dorsal view of the spinal cord showing the extent of 1253 the central projections from dorsal fin papillae (purple lines). Transverse gray lines represent the 1254 levels of the cross sections illustrated in the photomicrographs in the bottom section of the figure. 1255 (a,b,c,d) Photomicrographs of cross sections of the spinal cord and surrounding area at levels 1256 corresponding to levels (a) to (d) on the diagram, showing the labeling resulting from dorsal fin 1257 papillae injections. (a) Photomicrograph of a cross section of the spinal cord and surrounding area 1258 showing two ganglion cells (arrows) located dorsal to the spinal cord, and labeled fibers 1259 (arrowhead) in the dorsal columns of the spinal cord. Asterisks were placed over unlabeled

1260	ganglion cells. (b) Same as (a) but the labeled ganglion cell (arrow) is located ventral to the spinal
1261	cord. Labeled fibers are seen in the dorsal columns (arrowheads) and an asterisk was placed over
1262	an unlabeled ganglion cell. (c,d) Same as (a) but the ganglion cells (arrows) are located within the
1263	spinal canal. Labeled fibers are found in the dorsal columns (arrowheads). No counterstain was
1264	applied. dr, dorsal root; SC, spinal cord; vr, ventral root. Scale bar for all photomicrographs = 200
1265	μm.
1266	
1267	Figure 9. The cutaneous papillae innervation and central projections. Top: Schematic illustration
1268	of a dorsal view of the hindbrain (left) and caudal spinal cord (right) showing the extent of the
1269	central projections from nasal (blue), oral (blue), gill pore (magenta), and fin (purple) papillae.
1270	Bottom: Schematic illustration of a lateral view of the head (left) and tail (right) of an adult
1271	spawning sea lamprey showing the location of the cutaneous papillae as well as their innervation
1272	by cranial and spinal nerves. The nasal and oral papillae are innervated by trigeminal afferents;
1273	the gill pore papillae are innervated by glossopharyngeal and vagus afferents; the fin papillae are

1274 innervated by spinal dorsal roots afferents.



Figure 1. Location of cutaneous papillae in spawning adult sea lampreys. (a) Schematic illustration of Petromyzon marinus showing the anatomical location of the cutaneous papillae (adapted from Greeley, 1927). Papillae are present around the oral disc, on the skin bordering the nostril, on the posterior margin of the gill pores, and on the dorsal fins (arrows). (b) to (e) are stereomicrographs of cutaneous papillae illustrated at a high magnification. (b) Arrowheads point to oral papillae on the external border of the oral disc. The flat skin extensions with digit-like protrusions at their tip located immediately behind the papillae are termed fimbriae and were not investigated in the present study. (c) Nasal papillae are arranged around the lip of the nostril. (d) Papillae form a dorso-ventral row along the posterior margin of a gill pore. (e) Papillae extend from the surface of the anterior dorsal fin, forming a fringe its trailing edge. Scale bars = 1000 μm (b), 250 μm (c), 400 μm (d), and 200 μm (e).

173x84mm (300 x 300 DPI)



**Figure 2.** SEMs of the surface of oral, nasal, gill pore, and dorsal fin papillae. (a1) Low power image of a oral papilla showing the distribution of microvillar tufts over its surface. (a2) Higher power image of a portion of an oral papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over its surface. (a3) High power image of one microvillar tuft on an oral papilla. (b1) Low power image of three adjacent nasal papillae showing the distribution of microvillar tufts over its surface. (b2) Higher power image of a portion of a nasal papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over its surface. (b2) Higher power image of one microvillar tuft on a nasal papilla (b1) Low power image of two adjacent gill pore papillae showing the distribution of microvillar tufts over its surface. (b3) High power image of one microvillar tufts or microvillar tufts over image of a portion of a gill pore papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over image of a portion of a gill pore papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over image of a portion of a gill pore papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over image of a portion of a gill pore papilla. (d1) Low power image of two adjacent dorsal fin papillae showing the distribution of microvillar tufts over their surface. (d2) Higher power image of a portion of a dorsal fin papilla showing examples of microvillar tufts over its surface. (d3) High power image of one microvillar tuft on a dorsal fin papilla. Scale bars: (a1-d1) =  $25 \mu m$ ; (a2-d2) =  $5 \mu m$ ; (a3-d3) =  $2 \mu m$ .

176x195mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 3. Epifluorescence photomicrographs of whole mounts and sectioned oral, nasal, gill pore, and dorsal fin papillae showing the binding of phalloidin. Examination under high power was used to identify SCCs (arrows) and Merkel cells (arrowheads). (a1) Photomicrograph of an oral papilla (whole mount) showing the numerous tufts of microvilli over its surface. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (a2-a4) Photomicrographs of oral papillae cut along their long axis showing examples of SCCs with microvilli (arrows) and Merkel cells (arrowheads). Only a few SCCs (magenta arrow in (a2)) were sectioned in such a way that allowed for the observation of their whole typical elongated piriform shape. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (b1) Photomicrograph of a nasal papilla (whole mount) showing a few tufts of microvilli over its surface. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (b2-b4) Photomicrographs of sections of nasal papillae along their long axis showing a few examples of SCCs with microvilli (arrows) and many examples of Merkel cells (arrowheads). The inset in (b2) shows numerous Merkel cells (arrowheads) at the tip of a nasal papilla. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (c1) Photomicrograph of a gill pore papilla (whole mount) showing the numerous tufts of microvilli over its surface. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (c2-c4) Photomicrographs of sections of gill pore papillae along their long axis (c2 and c4) and on a cross section (c3) showing examples of SCCs with microvilli (arrows) and one Merkel cell (arrowhead in (c4)). Only a few SCCs (magenta arrows in (c3 and c4)) were sectioned in such a way that allowed for the observation of their whole typical elongated piriform shape. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (d1) Photomicrograph of a dorsal fin papilla (whole mount) showing a few tufts of microvilli over its surface. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. (d2-d4) Photomicrographs of sections of dorsal fin papillae along their long axis showing examples of SCCs with microvilli (arrows) and Merkel cells (arrowheads). Only a few SCCs (magenta arrows in (d3 and d4)) were sectioned in such a way that allowed for the observation of their whole typical elongated piriform shape. The microvilli were revealed by the binding of green fluorescent phalloidin. The scale bar in (d1) is for (a1,b1,c1,d1) and the one in (d4) is for (a2-a4, b2-b4, c2-c4, d2-d4); both = 50  $\mu$ m.

180x148mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ceoted preprint



**Figure 4.** Neural responses of gill pore papillae to chemical stimulation. Top: Schematic illustration of the experimental recording procedure showing the location of the gill pore papillae, the recording electrode and the chemical stimulus delivery system. Scale bar = 25 μm. Bottom: Examples of multiunit activity recorded from gill pore papillae in response to delivery of different chemical stimuli. (+) indicates a significantly increased activity; (-) indicates a significantly decreased activity; (0) indicates no significant change in activity. Arrowheads indicate chemical delivery onset. The chemical stimuli tested included trout water, amino acids, sialic acid (a mucus component), taurocholic acid (a bile acid), 3-keto allocholic acid (3kACA) and 3-keto petromyzonol sulfate (3kPZS) (sex pheromones), and Ringer's solution (control solution). The responses are illustrated as rasters of three consecutive responses with the associated peristimulus time histogram (PSTH). See **Table 3** for response statistics and a detailed trial by trial analysis.

157x203mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 5. Central projections of trigeminal afferents that innervate oral and nasal papillae. The top panel shows a schematic illustration of a dorsal view of the hindbrain showing the extent of the central projections from oral and nasal papillae (blue line). Transverse gray lines represent the levels of the cross sections illustrated in the photomicrographs in the bottom section of the figure. A green fluorescent Nissl stain was used as counterstain. (a1) Fluorescence photomicrograph of a cross section corresponding to level (a1) from the top panel. Fibers labeled from an injection of biocytin in oral papillae (white arrows) enter the brainstem through the trigeminal sensory root (nVs) and then join the descending root of the trigeminal nerve (rdV). (b1) The fibers from the same animal described in (a1) are shown here (between the white arrows) descending in the rdV on a cross section corresponding to level (b1) from the top panel. (c1) The fibers described in (a1) and (b1) are shown here (between the white arrows) descending in the rdV on a cross section corresponding to level (c1) from the top panel. (a2) Fluorescence photomicrograph of a cross section corresponding to level (a2) from the top panel. Fibers labeled from an injection of biocytin in nasal papillae (white arrows) enter the brainstem through the trigeminal sensory root (nVs) and join the descending root of the trigeminal nerve (rdV). Asterisks indicate autofluorescent blood vessels. (b2) The fibers from the same animal described in (a2) are shown here (between the white arrows) descending in the rdV on a cross section corresponding to level (b2) from the top panel. Asterisks indicate autofluorescent blood vessels. (c2) The fibers described in (a2) and (b2) are shown here (between the white arrows) descending in the rdV on a cross section corresponding to level (c2) from the top panel. Asterisks indicate autofluorescent blood vessels. Inset photomicrographs in (c1) and (c2) illustrate trigeminal ganglion cells labeled in the maxillomandibular nerve ganglion (qV2,3) after oral papillae injections (c1) and in the ophthalmicus profundus nerve ganglion (gV1) after nasal papillae injections (c2). ARRN, anterior rhombencephalic reticular nucleus; d, dorsal; DC, dorsal column nucleus; l, lateral; Mes, mesencephalon; nIX, glossopharyngeal nerve; nllp, posterior lateral line nerve; NOMA, anterior octavomotor nucleus; NOMP, posterior octavomotor nucleus; nVm, motor root of the trigeminal nerve; nVs, sensory root of the trigeminal nerve; rdV, descending root of the trigeminal nerve; Rh, rhombencephalon; SC, spinal cord; V, trigeminal motor nucleus; X, vagal motor nucleus. Scale bars over photomicrographs = 200  $\mu$ m.

182x146mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ceeted Preprin



**Figure 6.** Photomicrographs of cross sections of papillae and pharyngeal taste buds showing their innervation. Note that the magenta labeling at the surface of the tissue in (a), (b) and (d) is unspecific autofluorescence. The green channel in (a), (b) and (e) is fluorescent Nissl staining while in (c) and (d), it is phalloidin labeling. (a,b,c) Injecting the trigeminal nerve at its exit from the cranium labeled fibers (arrowheads) innervating the oral (a) and nasal (c) papillae, and the oral fimbriae (b). In (c), many Merkel cells are indicated with white arrows and one out-of-focus SCC is indicated with a magenta arrow. (d) Injecting the glossopharyngeal or vagus nerves at their exit from the cranium labeled fibers (arrowheads) innervating the glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves at their exit from the cranium also labeled fibers (arrowheads) innervating the glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves at their exit from the cranium also labeled fibers (arrowheads) innervating pharyngeal taste buds. Scale bars = 50 μm.

181x218mm (300 x 300 DPI)



**Figure 7.** Central projections of glossopharyngeal and yagal afferents that innervate gill pore papillae or pharyngeal taste buds. The top panel shows a schematic illustration of a dorsal view of the hindbrain and the extent of the central projections from gill pore papillae (green) and taste buds (magenta). Transverse gray lines represent the levels of the cross sections illustrated in the photomicrographs in the bottom section of the figure. (a1,b1,c1) Photomicrographs of cross sections showing the central distribution of afferents (magenta, indicated with white arrows) labeled after gill pore papillae injection at three different rostrocaudal levels (see top panel for reference). The counterstain is a fluorescent Nissl stain (green). The fibers entered the brainstem through the glossopharyngeal (b1) and vagus nerves. Some fibers ascended to reach isthmic levels (a1) while other fibers descended in the caudal hindbrain (c1) on their way to the spinal cord. The inset in (b1) shows some glossopharyngeal ganglion cells labeled after gill pore papillae injection. (a2,b2,c2) Central distribution of afferents labeled after injection of a few pharyngeal taste buds (magenta, indicated with white arrows) for comparison with gill pore papillae afferents shown in (a1,b1,c1). The counterstain used here is fluorescent Nissl stain (green). Rostro-caudal levels of the cross sections illustrated in photomicrographs in panels  $(a_2,b_2,c_2)$  correspond to the levels of panels  $(a_1,b_1,c_1)$ , respectively. The labeled taste bud afferents entered the brainstem through the glossopharyngeal nerve (b2) and vagus nerve, and many terminated in the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS, b2), adjacent to the lateral edge of the alar plate. Some fibers ascended to reach isthmic levels (a2), while other fibers descended along the lateral edge of the alar plate (c2) to reach the caudal hindbrain. The inset in (c2)

shows examples of glossopharyngeal ganglion cells labeled after taste bud injection. (a3,b3,c3) Whole nerve labeling showing the central distribution of all afferents from the vagus and glossopharyngeal nerves for comparison with gill pore papillae (a1,b1,c1) and taste bud (a2,b2,c2) afferents. Rostro-caudal levels of the cross sections illustrated in photomicrographs in panels (a3,b3,c3) correspond to the levels of panels (a1,b1,c1) and (a2,b2,c2), respectively (see also top panel for reference). The arrowheads in (b3) indicate axons from motoneurons on their way to exit the brainstem. The white arrows in (c3) indicate a central rootlet of the vagus nerve on its way to join the other taste bud afferents more dorsally located. The counterstain is DAPI, which labels nuclear DNA in blue. For all photomicrographs in the figure, we have delineated each afferent component with a specific color (see color code in the top panel for reference). Note that the whole nerve reference injection of glossopharyngeal and vagus nerves (a3,b3,c3) also labeled sensory fibers entering by the posterior lateral line nerve and the first spinal nerve, as indicat

180x196mm (300 x 300 DPI)

Accepted Predimit



**Figure 8.** Central projections of dorsal root ganglion cells that innervate dorsal fin papillae. The top panel shows a schematic illustration of a dorsal view of the spinal cord showing the extent of the central projections from dorsal fin papillae (purple lines). Transverse gray lines represent the levels of the cross sections illustrated in the photomicrographs in the bottom section of the figure. (a,b,c,d) Photomicrographs of cross sections of the spinal cord and surrounding area at levels corresponding to levels (a) to (d) on the diagram, showing the labeling resulting from dorsal fin papillae injections. (a) Photomicrograph of a cross section of the spinal cord and surrounding area showing two ganglion cells (arrows) located dorsal to the spinal cord, and labeled fibers (arrowhead) in the dorsal columns of the spinal cord. Asterisks were placed over unlabeled ganglion cells. (b) Same as (a) but the labeled ganglion cell (arrow) is located ventral to the spinal cord. Labeled fibers are seen in the dorsal columns (arrowheads) and an asterisk was placed over an unlabeled ganglion cell. (c,d) Same as (a) but the ganglion cells (arrows) are located within the spinal canal. Labeled fibers are found in the dorsal columns (arrowheads). No counterstain was applied. dr, dorsal root; SC, spinal cord; vr, ventral root. Scale bar for all photomicrographs = 200 µm.

79x103mm (300 x 300 DPI)

ceeted predit



**Figure 9.** The cutaneous papillae innervation and central projections. Top: Schematic illustration of a dorsal view of the hindbrain (left) and caudal spinal cord (right) showing the extent of the central projections from nasal (blue), oral (blue), gill pore (magenta), and fin (purple) papillae. Bottom: Schematic illustration of a lateral view of the head (left) and tail (right) of an adult spawning sea lamprey showing the location of the cutaneous papillae as well as their innervation by cranial and spinal nerves. The nasal and oral papillae are innervated by trigeminal afferents; the gill pore papillae are innervated by glossopharyngeal and vagus afferents; the fin papillae are innervated by spinal dorsal roots afferents.

174x106mm (300 x 300 DPI)

	Oral		1 <sup>st</sup>	2 <sup>nd</sup>	3 <sup>rd</sup>	4 <sup>th</sup>	5 <sup>th</sup>	6 <sup>th</sup>	7 <sup>th</sup>	Dorsal	Dorsal
	disc	Nostril	GP	fin (A)	fin (P)						
N	11	7	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	3	3
Min	13	13	27	30	29	32	28	29	24	275	515
Max	32	20	43	49	50	52	50	54	42	398	845
Mean	25.6	16.6	36.5	39.7	38.6	41.3	39.4	39.4	34.5	329.3	669.7
S.D.	4.8	2.5	4.9	5.4	8.3	6.9	6.4	7.6	5.4	62.7	166.0

certe pre

**Table 1.** Range, mean and standard deviation of the number of cutaneous papillae in *P. marinus*

A: anterior; GP: gill pore; N: number of animals; P: posterior.

John Wiley & Sons

## Table 2. Papillae biometrics

	Oral disc		Nostril		Gill	pore	Dorsal fin		
	n=11, 3 animals		n=11, 3 animals		n=19, 4 a	nimals	n=24, 5 animals		
	Length	Width	Length	Width	Length	Width	Length	Width	
	(µm)	(µm)	(µm)	(µm)	(µm)	(µm)	(µm)	(µm)	
Min	782.2	530.3	136.3	97.0	143.6	58.6	73.7	61.9	
Max	1761.4	1087.6	254.5	199.6	341.5	217.1	276.7	157.9	
Mean	1300.4	764.9	192.4	159.2	211.7	109.4	171.5	92.3	
S.D.	363.3	232.5	37.0	28.4	56.1	39.3	53.7	20.9	
Ratio (L/W)	1.7		1.2		1	9	1.9		

Accepted Prederic

John Wiley & Sons
Т

Т

Т

Т

Т

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	R	Ν	%
Trout water														11	13	84.6
Glycine													nt	7	12	58.3
Proline													nt	7	12	58.3
Taurocholic Acid														5	13	38.5
Serine													nt	4	12	33.3
Sialic Acid				nt				nt				nt		3	10	30.0
Glutamate													nt	3	12	25.0
Arginine													nt	1	12	8.3
Histidine													nt	1	12	8.3
Ringer's														1	13	7.7
3kPZS	nt		nt		nt	nt			nt	nt	nt	nt	nt	0	4	0.0
3kACA	nt		nt		nt	nt			nt	nt	nt	nt	nt	0	4	0.0

## **Table 3** Responses of gill pore papillae to chemical stimulation

Т

Т

Т

Т

Cross-table showing the individual responses of gill pore papillae from 13 animals to 12 different compounds. All compounds were tested at  $10^{-3}$  M except for the pheromones (3kPZS, 3kACA;  $10^{-5}$  M) and the trout water (unknown concentration). Colored squares indicate significant excitatory (red) or inhibitory (blue) responses. Grey squares indicate the absence of significant responses. nt: not tested; N: number of animals tested; R: number of animals displaying significant responses;%: relative effectiveness (R/N\*100).