

CAHIER 9417

THE CREDITORS' FINANCIAL REORGANIZATION DECISION: NEW EVIDENCE FROM CANADIAN DATA

Timothy C.G. FISHER¹ and Jocelyn MARTEL²

- Wilfrid Laurier University
- Département de sciences économiques and Centre de recherche et développement en économique (C.R.D.E.), Université de Montréal

August 1994

We thank the Bankruptcy Branch of the Industry and Science Canada for making available the data used in the study. We also thank Lucy Ackert, René Garcia, Thomas Lemieux, Michel Poitevin, and Jacques Robert for comments. Errors and omissions are the responsibility of the authors.

Ce cahier a également été publié au Centre de recherche et développement en économique (C.R.D.E.) (publication no 1594). Dépôt légal - 1994 Bibliothèque nationale du Québec ISSN 0709-9231 Bibliothèque nationale du Canada

RÉSUMÉ

Ce document analyse une banque de données originales de 338 propositions de réorganisation commerciale soumises au Canada au cours de la période 1978–1987. Contrairement à l'hypothèse traditionnelle à l'effet que les créanciers approuvent automatiquement un plan de réorganisation, les données démontrent que 25 % des propositions sont rejetées par ces derniers. De plus, près de 20 % des propositions acceptées aboutissent à un échec, ce qui laisse entrevoir une certaine défaillance dans le processus de réorganisation à assurer une séparation efficace des entreprises insolvables. L'estimation d'un modèle logit de la décision des créanciers génère deux résultats intéressants. Premièrement, la probabilité d'acceptation d'une proposition augmente avec la proportion des paiements comptants aux créanciers. Ce résultat confirme l'hypothèse que les caractéristiques du contrat de réorganisation jouent un rôle important lors du vote des créanciers. Deuxièmement, les propositions des entreprises qui ont une plus forte proportion de créances garanties sont plus susceptibles d'être acceptées par les créanciers, ce qui va à l'encontre du rôle négatif que l'on attribue traditionnellement aux banques.

Mots-clés : réorganisation financière, créanciers.

ABSTRACT

The paper examines a randomly selected data set of 338 financial reorganization proposals filed in Canada during the period 1978–1987. Creditors reject roughly 25% of reorganization plans, contrary to the assumption in many bankruptcy models that creditors automatically approve reorganization. About 20% of the plans creditors accept tail before completion, providing evidence of filtering failure in the reorganization process. A logit model of the creditors' reorganization decision produces two interesting results. Supporting recent claims that characteristics of the reorganization contract are an important consideration to creditors, plans offering a high proportion of cash payments are more likely to be accepted. Contrary to the view that secured creditors discourage reorganization, plans with high ratios of secured debt are more likely to be accepted by creditors.

Key words: financial reorganization, creditors.



1 Introduction

Bankruptcy laws in most countries, including the U.S., give creditors a role in the bankruptcy decision of an insolvent firm. However, theories of bankruptcy often ignore the creditors' role. Bulow and Shoven (1978) and White (1981, 1989) assume that debt holder claims cannot be renegotiated, therefore giving no role to unsecured creditors. Brown (1989) and Bebchuk and Chang (1990) allow for renegotiation but assume an information structure such that creditors automatically approve reorganization.

The fact is, creditors overturn a significant proportion of reorganization attempts. Roughly 90 percent of reorganization plans are not confirmed in the U.S. (Flynn, 1989) and, in Canada, about 25 percent of reorganization plans are rejected by the creditors (Fisher and Martel, 1994). Recent models (Giammarino (1989), White (1992)) attempt to address this issue by introducing asymmetric information. Insolvent firms are assumed to use the proposed reorganization return as a signal of viability to creditors.

This article looks at two questions. Empirically, what is the role of creditors in financial reorganization? And if the creditors do play an important role, what, empirically, determines their behavior?

There are few empirical studies on bankruptcy and financial reorganization. Excepting Flynn (1989), the studies have two features in common: a small number of observations and nonrandom samples. Casey, McGee, and Stickney (1986) and Franks and Torous (1989) examine only successful Chapter 11 cases, which is clearly not representative of all firms attempting reorganization. The White (1981, 1984) and the LoPucki (1983) studies are confined to isolated geographical areas and, as such, their data may not be representative of all U.S. firms going through Ch. 11.

This study differs from previous ones in two important ways. First, this article focuses on the behavior of creditors rather than firms. Reorganization is a two-stage process. At stage 1, the firm chooses between liquidation and reorganization. At stage 2, unsecured creditors vote whether to allow reorganizing firms to proceed. In our data, stage 1 has already happened; we examine the determinants of the creditors' stage 2 decision. Second, this study employs a unique and rich data set not previously available to researchers. Our sample includes 338 reorganization plans covering the years 1978 to 1987 provided by the Bankruptcy Branch of the Canadian Department of Consumer and Corporate Affairs. Major advantages of the data are: (i) they are randomly selected from the population of commercial reorganization plans filed in Canada, (ii) they are representative of accepted and rejected reorganization plans, and (iii) there is a relatively large

number of events to be analyzed.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 compares Canadian with American bankruptcy law. Section 3 describes the data. Section 4 presents some important facts from the data. We find evidence that creditors vote according to whether their expected return is higher in liquidation or reorganization. Section 5 discusses results from logit estimation of the incidence of acceptance of reorganization plans by unsecured creditors. We find that the acceptance rate of reorganization plans increases with the return offered in the plan and with the proportion of cash payments, while it decreases with the expected liquidation return. Contrary to the view that banks discourage reorganization, we find that plans with higher ratios of secured debt are more likely to be accepted. It is often held that bankruptcy law may encourage debtors to delay proceedings, but we find that acceptance probabilities decrease with delays, implying that the bankruptcy process may discipline firms. Finally, we find evidence of bargaining between debtor and creditors. Section 6 contains some concluding remarks.

2 Background to Canadian Bankruptcy Law

Before discussing the data, methodology, and results, it is worthwhile to compare the Canadian Bankruptcy Act with the American Bankruptcy Code. The basics of bankruptcy are very similar in the two countries. An insolvent firm seeking the protection of bankruptcy law in Canada has two options: liquidation (Chapter 7 in the U.S.) or reorganization (Chapter 11 in the U.S.). A firm choosing reorganization submits a plan to its creditors. The fate of the plan is decided at a meeting of the creditors. Provided that (i) a majority of unsecured creditors present at the meeting vote in favor of the plan, and (ii) the claims of unsecured creditors voting in favor of the plan represent at least 75 percent of the total claims of the unsecured creditors at the meeting, the plan is deemed to be accepted.

There are two key differences between the bankruptcy laws in the two countries. First, unlike U.S. bankruptcy law, secured creditors are not bound by the Bankruptcy Act in Canada. A secured creditor in Canada is not subject to a stay and has the option of liquidating the assets of a debtor (in arrears) at any time during reorganization. Given that secured creditors are not constrained by the Bankruptcy Act, one would expect any negative influence on the reorganization process by secured creditors, if it exists, to show up clearly in Canadian data. Second, Canadian law has nothing similar to the 'cramdown' procedure

¹A revised Bankruptcy Act came into effect in December 1992. The data set covers the period 1978-87, i.e., prior to the revisions, so the discussion in this section pertains to the old Act. For a discussion of the new Act and its implications for bankruptcy see Fisher and Martel (1993).

that exists in the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. The cramdown procedure typically results in prolonged court proceedings involving competing testimony by expert witnesses, valuation of a firm's assets by outside appraisers, etc. The courts are much less likely to become involved in bankruptcy proceedings in Canada. raising the hope that economic fundamentals, rather than legal maneuvering, ultimately determine the outcome of the bankruptcy process.

3 Data

Every reorganization plan made under the Bankruptcy Act is filed with one of 15 regional bankruptcy offices of the Department of Industry and Science (ISC), the federal government department responsible for the administration of Canadian bankruptcy law. The data used in the present study are taken from these files.2

We limit attention to the approximately 1,985 commercial reorganization plans filed at the largest offices in each of five regions of the country (Halifax, Montreal, Toronto, Calgary, and Vancouver) during the period 1978-87.3 Using a masterlist of file-numbers kept by ISC. a random sample of 499 plans is selected from the 1,985.4 The sample is chosen to be 'balanced', i.e., the sample is representative of the regional distribution of plans filed each year over the sample period.

Because the study focuses on commercial reorganization plans, consumer plans are omitted from the sample. reducing the sample by 55 plans to 444.5 Owing to insufficient data and missing or incomplete files a further 105 files are deleted from the sample. The final sample has 338 files, of which 241 were officially closed and 97 were still active when the data were collected.

Preliminary Analysis 4

In view of the scarcity of representative data on firms going through financial reorganization, we believe there is some value added in presenting stylized facts from the data. It is worth stressing that these facts originate from the data and not from casual observation or discussion with bankruptcy practitioners. which is the approach used by many other studies of bankruptcy.

²For a detailed description of the data set, see Fisher and Martel (1994).

³Strictly speaking, 1,985 plans is an upper limit on the number of commercial plans because the population may include consumer plans or bankruptcies.

Random sampling is carried out using the Systematic Random Sampling Procedure.

⁵Commercial plans are those with more than 50 percent of total debts represented by business debts, which is the definition used by ISC.

Table 1 gives some descriptive statistics for the data. Firms attempting reorganization under the Bankruptcy Act are fairly small, with average assets of \$2.4 million and average liabilities of \$4.0 million.⁶ Only 13 of the firms in the sample have publicly traded shares; the remaining 325 firms are privately owned. As might be expected with financial data, the variables are highly skewed. For example, while the mean value of assets is \$2.4 million, over 74 percent of the firms in the sample have total assets of less than \$1 million.

Summary statistics for reorganization plans are listed under 'contract variables'. Reorganizing firms offer an average of 44 cents on the dollar to ordinary creditors and have a liquidation value of 29 cents on the dollar. Given the payment to creditors in the plan, on average, 14 percent is paid in cash up-front, 82 percent in cash installments, and 3 percent in equity.

On average, the representative firm has 2.6 secured creditors, 80.4 ordinary (unsecured) creditors, and 13.6 preferred (unsecured) creditors. The mean number of amendments to plans is 0.4, about 40 percent of the plans had at least one amendment, and no plan has more than three amendments. Lastly, the average amount of time between submission of the plan and the creditors' vote is 52.5 days and 90 percent of the plans were voted on within 3 months of submission.

For the sample as a whole, unsecured creditors accept 259 of the 338 reorganization plans, representing an acceptance rate of 77 percent. Acceptance of a plan is no guarantee that the firm will complete reorganization: of the 182 closed files that are accepted by creditors, 34 enter bankruptcy before the terms of the plan are completed, representing a default rate of 19 percent. In fact, for the 241 closed files, the 59 rejected plans and the 34 defaulted plans imply that 148 plans successfully complete the reorganization process. Therefore, the ex ante probability that a firm entering the reorganization process will emerge as an ongoing enterprise is about 61 percent. Two features of reorganization are thus apparent. First, the rejection rate of 23 percent implies unsecured creditors do not automatically approve the reorganization of insolvent firms. Second, the default rate of 19 percent indicates there is significant uncertainty whether reorganizing firms

⁶All dollar figures in the text are December 1993 Canadian dollars, deflated by the CPI deflator (Cansim Series Number P484000). To convert these figures to U.S. dollars, the average noon spot exchange rate in December 1993 was US\$1.00=C\$1.33 (Cansim Series Number B3400).

exchange rate in December 1993 was US\$1.00=C\$1.33 (Cansim Series Number B3400).

*Gross liquidation value is defined as total assets minus secured and preferred claims. The liquidation value is the gross liquidation value divided by ordinary claims.

⁸Up-front payments are defined as cash paid within one month of the date the bankruptcy court approves the plan. Installments are defined as cash payments more than one month after the court approval date.

⁹Preferred creditors have roughly the same status as 'priority' creditors under the American Bankruptcy Code. Examples of preferred creditors are federal and provincial governments, employees, and landlords.

will actually pay off their creditors.

If the firm is a viable enterprise, then creditors make the correct decision if they vote to accept a plan from the firm and a Type I error if they vote to reject the plan. If the firm is not viable, then creditors make the correct decision if they vote to reject a plan from the firm and a Type II error if they vote to accept the plan. Of the 182 firms with accepted plans, 34 subsequently enter liquidation, implying that creditors commit a Type II error in 34 cases. It is not possible to determine the number of cases where a Type I error is committed, because it cannot be determined from the data which of the rejected plans were made by viable firms. However, the possible incidence of Type I errors can be determined given different levels of the incidence of Type II errors together with the fact that 59 plans are rejected. Table 2 presents possible scenarios for the incidence of Type I and Type II errors. 10 Table 2 shows that the incidence of Type II errors is no less than 36 percent, and is at least four times the incidence of Type I errors at confidence levels of 5 percent or 10 percent for the creditors' decision. According to White (1992) 'filtering failure' occurs in the bankruptcy process when Type I and II errors are committed by creditors. The overall incidence of filtering failure in the data is between 14.0 percent (34/241) and 38.6 percent (93/241).

Another important set of stylized facts about the reorganization process concerns the type of contract offered by firms to their creditors. According to White's model, firms use the reorganization payoff rate to signal financial viability to creditors. According to Martel (1994), the payoff rate is an important factor in the creditors' decision but two other characteristics of the plan also play a role: the amount of cash payments offered to creditors, which signals the firm's viability, and the liquidation payoff rate, which represents the opportunity cost of reorganization to creditors. Table 3 sheds some light on these issues.

Table 3 displays three potentially important facts. First, the liquidation payoff rate exceeds the reorganization payoff rate for rejected plans and vice versa for accepted plans.¹¹ Second, accepted plans have higher reorganization payoff rates than rejected plans.¹² These facts are consistent with creditors voting ac-

¹⁰Table 2 is determined as follows. If all 59 rejected plans are from nonviable firms, i.e., creditors always make the correct rejection decision, then the incidence of Type I errors is zero and the incidence of Type II errors is $34/(34+59) \approx .366$, giving the first row. If 57 of the 59 rejected plans were from nonviable firms, then 2 rejected plans were made by viable firms, the incidence of Type I errors is $2/(2+148) \approx .013$ and the incidence of Type II errors is $34/(34+57) \approx .374$, giving the second row. The rest of the table is determined the same way.

 $^{^{11}}$ A t-test of the hypothesis that the mean liquidation payoff rate is greater (less) than the mean reorganization payoff rate has critical value of 0.16 (4.74) and a p-value of 0.44 (2.27 \times 10⁻⁶) for rejected (accepted) plans.

¹²A t-test of the hypothesis that the mean reorganization payoff rate is greater for accepted plans than it is for rejected plans has critical value of 1.11 and a p-value of 0.14.

cording to whether the (expected) payoff rate is greater under reorganization or liquidation. It is also apparent that liquidation values play a role in the creditors' decision. Effectively, the expected liquidation payoff rate defines the participation constraint of unsecured creditors. Variations in the expected liquidation payoff rate shift the participation constraint and thus change the set of contracts acceptable to unsecured creditors. Third, looking only at accepted plans, cash payments for successful, i.e., completed, plans are more than four times higher than cash payments for plans that defaulted.¹³ This is consistent with high cash payments signaling firms that are more likely to reorganize successfully. This is supported by the fact that the reorganization payoff rate for accepted-completed plans is lower than the payoff rate for accepted-defaulted plans. Creditors were willing to accept a lower payoff rate from accepted-completed plans because the higher cash payments signaled a higher probability that these plans would actually succeed.

To summarize, it is clear that the reorganization decision is more complex than traditional bankruptcy models allow. First, a large rejection rate implies that creditors play an important role and do not just rubber-stamp reorganization plans. Second, the existence of filtering failure indicates that creditors face significant uncertainty when deciding whether to allow firms to proceed with reorganization. Third, the data suggest that reorganization depends on the payoff rate creditors expect to receive in reorganization versus liquidation. Fourth, the nature of the reorganization contract, in particular the amount of cash payments made to creditors, also seems to play a role in the process.

5 Parametric Analysis of Creditor Voting

By voting to reject roughly one-quarter of reorganization plans, unsecured creditors clearly play a critical role in bankruptcy reorganization. But what factors influence how creditors vote? Preliminary analysis suggests that reorganization and liquidation payoff rates to unsecured creditors are probably important determinants of the creditors' decision. To investigate whether this is the case, we estimate the impact of payoff rates on the outcome of the unsecured creditors vote whether to approve a reorganization plan. Other questions about reorganization can also be analyzed within this framework. For example, we may investigate the impact of secured creditors, the impact of legal restrictions, and the impact of bargaining between debtor and creditors.

 $^{^{13}}$ Cash payments are defined as the proportion of payments in cash (see Table 1) times the reorganization payoff rate. It is thus the proportion of outstanding debt that ordinary creditors receive in cash. A t-test of the hypothesis that the mean cash payoff rate is greater for completed plans than for defaulted plans has critical value of 3.18 and a p-value of 1.45×10^{-3} .

5.1 Model specification

The dependent variable takes only the discrete values of unity (if the plan is accepted by the creditors) and zero (otherwise). Given the dichotomous nature of the dependent variable, the acceptance incidence equation is estimated by logit analysis. ¹⁴ Strictly speaking, the vote represents the joint effect of many individual decisions. For tractability, we assume that creditors act as a coalition.

Following the preliminary analysis, assume that creditors compare the expected payoff rate from the reorganization plan to the expected payoff rate from liquidation and vote for the option with the higher payoff rate. Preliminary analysis also suggested that the amount of cash the firm offers as part of the plan may be an important element of the creditors' decision. Thus, the contract variables—the reorganization payoff rate, the liquidation payoff rate, and the ratio of cash payments to total payments—model the impact of the plan on the outcome of the unsecured creditors vote.

Theoretical models of bankruptcy (Bulow and Shoven (1978), White (1981, 1989)) assume that secured creditors favor liquidation over reorganization. Basically, the argument is that insolvency reveals the firm to be unprofitable. Continuation of an unprofitable firm will only further reduce the value of its assets and, hence, a creditor holding assets of the firm as a security favors immediate liquidation. Furthermore, in Canada, secured creditors have the power to terminate reorganization because they are not constrained by bankruptcy law. Clearly, an important issue facing unsecured creditors is whether secured creditors will choose to exercise their power over a firm attempting reorganization. For example, one possibility is that firms with relatively high levels of secured debt may be more at risk from liquidation by secured creditors. To determine the influence of secured creditors on the vote by unsecured creditors, the ratio of secured claims to total liabilities is added to the logit model.

According to White (1981) insolvent firms with large numbers of ordinary creditors are much more likely to reorganize than insolvent firms with a small number of ordinary creditors. The existence of extreme values in the sample makes it problematic to implement empirically a variable capturing the effect of ordinary creditors. To mitigate the impact of extreme values we use the ratio of ordinary creditors to the total number of creditors as an explanatory variable.

Full repayment of preferred claims is mandatory for the approval of financial reorganization in Canada. The mandatory repayment of preferred claims may impose a burden on the cash-flow of reorganizing firms. In addition, federal and provincial government, or Crown, claims, which are almost always the largest

¹⁴See Maddala (1983). Estimation is performed using Version 7.0 of SHAZAM (White, 1988).

component of preferred claims, ¹⁵ are viewed by many bankruptcy practitioners to be a significant impediment to reorganization. To control for this effect, the ratio of Crown claims to total liabilities is added to the model.

An efficiency concern about the bankruptcy process is it allows unprofitable firms to 'buy time' and extend their lives at the expense of their creditors. To examine the effect of delays, the time between filing and voting is added to the list of explanatory variables. As a proxy for willingness to negotiate on the part of the debtor, we add a variable measuring the number of amendments to the plan. To control for the influence of the business cycle, the change in unemployment rate over the quarter before the date of the creditors' vote is also added to the model. We also control for the following fixed effects: a dummy variable for corporations—to control for differences between corporate plans and those made by individuals—4 regional dummy variables (Toronto is the excluded region), 10 year dummy variables, and 4 industry dummy variables.

Owing to incomplete information, 55 so-called holding plans are deleted from the sample reducing the sample size to 283 plans for the logit analysis. Holding plans are submitted by firms requiring more time to prepare complete proposals. Because they are essentially interim documents, important data for the logit analysis—such as the distribution of claims against the firm and information about payoff rates to creditors—are frequently missing from holding plans. Table 4 lists descriptive statistics for the logit regression variables. 16

The data set is generated by firms that have chosen reorganization over liquidation, raising the possibility that estimates are subject to self-selection bias. ¹⁷ In principle, it is possible to correct for the selectivity bias given data on the variables that determine firms' choice between liquidation and reorganization. However, given the absence of an accepted theoretical model of the bankruptcy decision and, in any case, the likelihood that our data do not cover all the relevant variables, we do not control for self-selection bias in the estimates below.

¹⁵Crown claims account for the majority of preferred claims in 82 percent of the plans with preferred claims.

¹⁶In cases where the payoff rate and the proportion of cash payments are unknown they have been set to zero on the grounds that creditors assume the worst in the absence of information to the contrary.

¹⁷Of course, preceding the bankruptcy decision, there is another type of self-selection when insolvent firms choose to resolve their financial difficulties under the protection of bankruptcy law rather than trying an informal arrangement.

5.2 Estimation results

Table 5 contains the results from logit estimation. The three key variables modeling the creditors decision are individually significant at the .05 level. A higher liquidation payoff rate implies a lower acceptance rate, a higher reorganization payoff rate implies a higher acceptance rate, and a higher proportion of cash payments implies a higher acceptance rate, ceteris paribus. These results confirm the story in Table 3. As expected, the reorganization payoff rate is important. The type of contract offered to the creditors also plays a key role, supporting the hypothesis that asymmetric information induces firms to use cash payments to signal viability to creditors. With symmetric information, a firm's investment decision is independent of its choice of financing (Modigliani and Miller, 1958), implying that an insolvent firm is indifferent between cash and deferred payments. The data indicate that the firm's reorganization decision is not independent of its financing.

Plans made by firms with a relatively large amount of secured claims are significantly more likely to be accepted. This apparently contradicts the view that secured creditors hinder reorganization. To explain this result, recall that secured creditors can usually terminate a plan at any time under Canadian bankruptcy law. This being the case, most of the plans in the sample are probably supported by secured creditors. It is also likely that secured creditors are better-informed than unsecured creditors about the financial prospects of the firm. Thus, unsecured creditors may interpret the relative size of secured claims as a signal of a firm's viability. Accordingly, unsecured creditors interpret a high ratio of secured debt to total debt as a signal of a viable firm, and, as a result, the unsecured creditors are more likely to vote in favor of reorganization.

Plans made by firms with a relatively large number of ordinary creditors are significantly more likely to be accepted. Everything else being equal, an increase in the number of ordinary creditors makes it more difficult for a consensus to emerge about a firm's viability. Thus, the signaling value of secured claims will be more important in firms with relatively large numbers of ordinary creditors.

Plans with relatively large Crown claims are less likely to be accepted, which is consistent with the view that the statutory nature of Crown claims hinders successful reorganization by raising the burden on the firm's cash flow. The effect is statistically significant at the .10 level.

The longer between filing and voting, the lower the probability that unsecured creditors will vote in favor of the plan. I appears that a delay in the vote is interpreted by unsecured creditors as a sign of a non-viable firm. This suggests that firms attempting to 'buy time' will be unsuccessful unless they compensate cred-

itors for the delay, e.g., by offering a higher payoff rate. The more amendments to the plan, the more likely the plan is accepted. This is almost a tautology: if a firm refuses to amend a plan, the plan will probably be rejected. Nonetheless, it is evidence of bargaining between unsecured creditors and debtors.

Because the unemployment rate variable has a negative and insignificant coefficient, there is weak evidence that acceptance probabilities are procyclical. ¹⁸ Corporate plans are not estimated to have significantly different acceptance probabilities than non-corporate plans.

To give an idea of the robustness of the results, Table 6 presents results from alternative specifications of the model. Column (1) reproduces the results from Table 5 for comparison. A likelihood ratio test to determine the joint significance of the contract variables clearly rejects the hypothesis that the characteristics of the reorganization plan have no effect on the probability that the plan is accepted by creditors. The column (2) estimates replace the Crown claims variable with the ratio of preferred claims to total liabilities. The other coefficients hardly change and the coefficient on the preferred claims variable is not statistically significant. Thus, there is weak evidence that it is Crown claims and not preferred claims, of which Crown claims are a subset, that have a negative impact on the acceptance rate.

Column (3) investigates the secured claims signaling story. Here the ordinary creditor and secured claims ratio variables are replaced by an interaction term, which is simply the product of the two variables times .01.¹⁹ The higher the value of the interaction term, the greater the potential for secured claims to act as signal because it represents cases where secured claims are relatively high and ordinary creditors are a relatively large group. The coefficient on the interaction term is statistically significant at the .10 level and positive, which is consistent with the signaling story. Note also that the coefficients on the contract variables are largely unaffected by the change in specification.

Column (4) shows the estimates when the control variables for year, region, and industry are deleted from the list of explanatory variables. The pseudo- R^2 falls by almost 30 percent from the base model, indicating that a fair degree of the 'fit' in the model is due to the control variables. Nonetheless, the significance of the other variables in the model is not appreciably altered by deleting the control variables. In particular, joint insignificance of the contract variables is easily rejected at conventional levels of significance, echoing other results in the table.

¹⁸Replacing the national unemployment rate with regional unemployment rates produced an even less significant impact.

¹⁹The product is multiplied by .01 to give the interaction term a range of zero to 100.

6 Conclusion

The paper has presented a unique data set on firms undergoing financial reorganization in Canada over the period 1978–87. At the outset, we claimed that it was necessary to understand the creditors' reorganization decision in order fully to understand the firm's bankruptcy decision. We have presented ample evidence in this paper showing that there is indeed negotiation between the firm and its creditors after the firm has decided to proceed with reorganization. In other words, the models of Bulow and Shoven (1978) and White (1981, 1989), in which bankruptcy begins and ends with the firm's decision between liquidation and reorganization, seem to be incomplete.

What does all this suggest about bankruptcy theory? First, the reorganization process is more complex than the recent model of White (1992) suggests. To be sure, the rate of return offered to unsecured creditors in a firm's reorganization plan is an important inducement for creditors to favor the plan. But the data also indicate that the liquidation return to unsecured creditors, i.e., the opportunity cost of financial reorganization to the creditors, is also an important variable in the reorganization process. Moreover, the rate of up-front cash payments by the firm also has a significant on the process, supporting the Martel (1994) claim that signaling is an important element of the process. That the nature of the contract itself is an important factor in the reorganization process is a genuinely new empirical finding and warrants further theoretical investigation.

Second, secured creditors seem to play an additional role to that suggested in Bulow and Shoven (1978) and White (1981, 1989). In these models, secured creditors prefer liquidation over reorganization. In our data, however, we find that unsecured creditors are more likely to favor reorganization in firms which have relatively higher rates of secured debt. One interpretation is that secured creditors play a role in screening viable firms from nonviable firms. Of course, this is not necessarily inconsistent with the Bulow-Shoven-White view. It is quite possible that secured creditors have a negative effect on the number of firms choosing reorganization while strongly backing a few firms that they would like to see restructured. In other words, to completely understand the affect of secured creditors on reorganization, one would need to determine the impact of secured creditors on the number of firms choosing reorganization over liquidation.

References

- Bebchuk, L.A. and Chang, H.F. "Bargaining and the Division of Value in Corporate Reorganization." Journal of Law, Economics and Organization 8 (April 1992): 253-279.
- Brown, D.T. "Claimholders Incentive Conflicts in Reorganization: The Role of Bankruptcy Law." Review of Financial Studies 2 (1989): 109-123.
- Bulow, J.I., and Shoven, J.B. "The Bankruptcy Decision." Bell Journal of Economics 9 (Autumn 1978): 437-456.
- Casey, C.J., McGee, V.E., and Stickney, C.P. "Discriminating between Reorganized and Liquidated Firms in Bankruptcy." Accounting Review 61 (April 1986): 249–262.
- Fisher, T.C.G., and Martel, J. "Will the Bankruptcy Reforms Work? An Empirical Analysis of Financial Reorganization in Canada." November 1993. Forthcoming in Canadian Public Policy.
- . "Financial Reorganization in Canada." Canadian Business Economics 2 (Winter 1994): 54-66.
- Flynn, E. "Statistical Analysis of Chapter 11." The Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Bankruptcy Division, October 1989.
- Franks, J.R., and Torous, W.N. "An Empirical Investigation of U.S. Firms in Reorganization." Journal of Finance 44 (July 1989): 747-769.
- Giammarino, R.N. "The Resolution of Financial Distress." Review of Financial Studies, 2 (January 1989): 25-47.
- LoPucki, L.M. "The Debtor in Full Control—Systems Failure under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code?" American Bankruptcy Law Journal 57 (Summer 1983): 247-273.
- Maddala, G.S. Limited-dependent and Qualitative Variables in Econometrics. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.
- Martel, J. "A Model of Financial Reorganization." Unpublished manuscript.

 Montreal: Department of Economics and CRDE, University of Montreal, 1994.
- Modigliani, F., and Miller, M. "The Cost of Capital, Corporation Finance and the Theory of Investment." American Economic Review 48 (June 1958): 261-297.
- White, K.J. "SHAZAM: A Comprehensive Computer Program for Regression Models." Computational Statistics and Data Analysis (August 1988): 102– 104.

- White, M.J. "Economics of Bankruptcy: Liquidation and Reorganization." Working Paper No. 239. New York: Solomon Brother Center for the Study of Financial Institutions, Graduate School of Business Administration, New York University, August 1981.
- "Bankruptcy, Liquidation and Reorganization." In Handbook of Modern Finance, edited by Dennis Logue. Boston: Warren, Gorham & Lamont. 1984.
- . "The Corporate Bankruptcy Decision." Journal Of Economic Perspectives 3 (Spring 1989): 129–151.
- . "Corporate Bankruptcy as a Filtering Device." Working Paper. Ann Arbor. MI: Department of Economics, University of Michigan, 1992.

TABLE 1 — DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR REORGANIZATION PLANS, CANADA, 1978-87.

Variable	Mean	Median	Standard deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Financial variables. ^a Total assets	2,372.1	299.6	10,955.0	0.0	122,100.0
3	3,971.7	791.6	18,228.0	16.0	247,620.0
Total liabilities	1,890.5	222.3	11,920.0	0.0	189,460.0
Secured claims	1,324.7	342.8	6,185.5	0.0	92,852.0
Ordinary claims Preferred claims	96.1	17.9	337.3	0.0	4,415.2
Contract variables.b			27.0	0.0	100.0
Liquidation payoff rate	28.7	10.3	35.3	0.0	100.0
Reorganization payoff rate	43.6	32.3	33.5	0.0	101.0
Proportion of payments in cash ^d	14.3	0.0	31.7	0.0	100.0
Proportion of payments by installment	82.2	100.0	35.4	0.0	100.0
Proportion of payments in equity	3.4	0.0	17.8	0.0	100.0
Other variables:		0.0	3.7	0.0	42.0
Number of secured creditors	2.6	2.0	173.0	0.0	2,487.0
Number of ordinary creditors	80.4	41.0	173.0 55.8	0.0	827.0
Number of preferred creditors	13.6	2.0	0.6	0.0	3.0
Number of amendments	0.4	0.0	113.6	• • •	1,682.0
Number of days between filing and voting	52.5	24.0	113.0	11.0	1,002.

Notes: The sample size is 338 plans. The acceptance rate is 76.6 percent (259 of 338).

Reported in thousands of December 1993 Canadian dollars, deflated by the CPI.

^b Reported in percent.

^c Based on the 284 plans for which the information is available.

^d The proportion of payments variables are based on the 279 plans for which information is available.

TABLE 2 — Possible Incidence of Type I and Type II Errors in the Creditors' Decision.

Number of rejected plans		Incidence of	Incidence of	
from viable firms	from nonviable firms	Type I errors (percent)	Type II errors	
0	59	0.0	36.6	
. 2	57	1.3	37.4	
8	51	5.1	40.0	
16	43	9.8	44.2	
37	22	20.0	60.7	
59	0	28.5	100.0	

Table 3 — Payoff Rates and Cash Payments under Liquidation and Reorganization.

	Accepte	Rejected plans	
	Completed	Defaulted	
Liquidation payoff rate	24.5	30.2	38.3
Reorganization payoff rate	39.9	52.9	37.2
Cash payoff rate	5.2	1.2	1.9
Number of plans	129	39	52

Note: Based on 220 completed plans where the information is available. Payoff rates are reported in percent.

TABLE 4 — DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS FOR REGRESSION VARIABLES.

Variable ^a	Mean	Standard deviation
Contract variables: Liquidation payoff rate	27.179	34.024
Reorganization payoff rate	39.452	34.344
Ratio of cash payments to total payments	12.933	30.411
Other variables:	80.878	17.973
Ratio of ordinary creditors to total creditors Ratio of secured claims to total liabilities	33.558	26.737
Ratio of Crown claims to total liabilities	4.030	8.109
Number of days between filing and voting	38.700	56.356
Number of amendments	.385	.568
Other variables:		
Unemployment rate change over previous quarter	.009	.484
Corporations	64.311	47.993

Notes: The sample size is 283 plans. The acceptance rate is 79.2 percent (224 of 283).

^a The payoff rates and all ratio variables are reported in percent.

TABLE 5 — LOGIT ESTIMATES OF THE PROBABILITY OF ACCEPTANCE.

Explanatory variable	Logit coefficient	Change in probability ^a	Asymptotic t-statisticb
Contract variables:			
Liquidation payoff rate	0161	27	2.46**
Reorganization payoff rate	.0154	.25	2.21**
Ratio of cash payments to total payments	.0190	.31	2.30
Other variables:			
Ratio of ordinary creditors to total creditors	.0235	20	2.0
Ratio of secured claims to total liabilities	.0166	.39	2.04**
Ratio of Crown claims to total liabilities	0418	.27	1.96**
Number of days between filing and voting	0102	69 17	1.90
Number of amendments	2.6522	43.77	3.34** 4.25**
Other variables:			
Unemployment rate change over previous quarter	9363	15.45	1 41
Corporations	.6532	-13.45 8.79	1.41 1.32

Notes: Table 4 contains descriptive statistics for the explanatory variables. The estimated model includes a constant term and controls for year (10), industry (4), and region (4).

Change in the percentage probability of acceptance in response to a unit change in the corresponding explanatory variable evaluated at the mean acceptance probability of 79.2 percent.

b Absolute values of t-statistics are reported.

[&]quot;Statistically significant at the .05 level. Statistically significant at the .10 level.

TABLE 6 — ALTERNATIVE LOGIT ESTIMATES OF THE PROBABILITY OF ACCEPTANCE.

Explanatory variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Contract variables:				0.0164**
Liquidation payoff rate		-0.0162**		-0.0164** (0.0055)
	(0.0065)	(0.0065) 0.0149**	(0.0065) 0.0172**	0.00357
Reorganization payoff rate	0.0154**	(0.0070)	(0.0069)	(0.0061)
	(0.0070) 0.0190**	0.0195**	0.0172**	0.0094
Ratio of cash payments to total payments		(0.0083)	(0.0078)	(0.0063)
	(0.0082)	(0.0000)	(0.0010)	(0.0000)
Other variables:	0.0235**	0.0242**	_	0.0260**
Ratio of ordinary creditors to total creditors		(0.0116)		(0.0099)
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	(0.0115) 0.0166**	0.0175**	_	0.0168**
Ratio of secured claims to total liabiliti	(0.0085)	(0.0085)		(0.0073)
	(0.0000)	(0.0000)	0.0178*	_
Ordinary creditors-secured claims interaction term	-		(0.0107)	
- 10 Links to 10 Links	-0.0418*	_	-0.0466**	-0.0204
Ratio of Crown claims to total liabilities	(0.0220)		(0.0219)	(0.0193)
n a control to the second limiting	(0.0220)	-0.0221	-	- /
Ratio of preferred claims to total liabilities		(0.0184)		
at 1 f have between filing and voting	-0.0102**	-0.0101**	-0.0105**	-0.0067**
Number of days between filing and voting	(0.0030)	(0.0030)	(0.0030)	(0.0027)
Number of amendments	2.6522**	2.5904**	2.6999**	2.1965**
Millipel of attendments	(0.6236)	(0.6107)	(0.6250)	(0.5327)
Oil 111.	(0.0200)	(0.000)	, ,	,
Other variables: Unemployment rate change over previous quarter	-0.9363	-0.9356	-0.8279	-0.3396
Unemployment rate change over previous quarter	(0.6637)	(0.6614)	(0.6508)	(0.3172)
Corporations	0.6532	0.6662	0.7510	0.2745
Corporations	(0.4954)	(0.4927)	(0.4843)	(0.3721)
Controls (number of variables):	(3)	, /	. ,	
Year (10), Industry (4), Region (4)	yes	yes	yes	no
rear (10), mounty (1), respon (1)	•	-	-	
Likelihood ratio test for contract variables ^a	13.82	14.02	14.81	11.93
	[0.00317]		•	•
n 1 m2 k	.26	.25	.25	.18
Pseudo R ^{2,b} Log likelihood	-102.89	-104.09	-104.80	-115.99

Notes: Sample size is 283. Standard errors are reported in parentheses. All estimated equations include a constant term.

^a Likelihood ratio test for the joint significance of the contract variables. Probability values ^b Maddala (1983), eq.(2.44), p.39.

^{**} Statistically significant at the .05 level. * Statistically significant at the .10 level.



Université de Montréal Département de sciences économiques Centre de documentation C.P. 6128, succursale A Montréal (Québec) H3C 3,17

i

Cahiers de recherche (Discussion papers) 1993 à aujourd'hui (1993 to date)

Si vous désirez obtenir un exemplaire, vous n'avez qu'à faire parvenir votre demande et votre paiement (5 \$ l'unité) à l'adresse ci-haut mentionnée. I To obtain a copy (\$ 5 each), please send your request and prepayment to the above-mentioned address.

- 9301: Mercenier, Jean, "Nonuniqueness of Solutions in Applied General-Equilibrium Models with Scale Economies and Imperfect Competition: A Theoretical Curiosum?", 26 pages.
- 9302: Lemieux, Thomas, "Unions and Wage Inequality in Canada and in the United States", 66 pages.
- 9303 : Lemieux, Thomas, "Estimating the Effects of Unions on Wage Inequality in a Two-Sector Model with Comparative Advantage and Non-Random Selection", 54 pages.
- 9304: Harchaoui, Tarek H., "Time-Varying Risks and Returns: Evidence from Mining Industries Data", 20 pages.
- 9305 : Lévy-Garboua, Louis et Claude Montmarquette, "Une étude économétrique de la demande de théâtre sur données individuelles", 40 pages.
- 9306: Montmarquette, Claude, Rachel Houle et Sophie Mahseredjian, "The Determinants of University Dropouts: A Longitudinal Analysis", 17 pages.
- 9307: Gaudry, Marc, Benedikt Mandel et Werner Rothengatter, "A Disaggregate Box-Cox Logit Mode Choice Model of Intercity Passenger Travel in Germany", 17 pages.
- 9308: Fortin, Nicole M., "Borrowing Constraints and Female Labor Supply: Nonparametric and Parametric Evidence of the Impact of Mortgage Lending Rules", 38 pages.
- 9309 : Dionne, Georges, Robert Gagné, François Gagnon et Charles Vanasse, "Debt, Moral Hazard and Airline Safety : an Empirical Evidence", 34 pages.
- 9310: Dionne, Georges, Anne Gibbens et Pierre St-Michel, "An Economic Analysis of Insurance Fraud", 40 pages.

- 9311: Gaudry, Marc, "Asymmetric Shape and Variable Tail Thickness in Multinomial Probabilistic Responses to Significant Transport Service Level Changes". 26 pages.
- 9312: Laferrière, Richard et Marc Gaudry, "Testing the Linear Inverse Power Transformation Logit Mode Choice Model", 29 pages.
- 9313: Kollmann, Robert, "Fiscal Policy, Technology Shocks and the US Trade Balance Deficit", 38 pages.
- 9314: Ghysels, Eric, "A Time Series Model With Periodic Stochastic Regime Switching", 54 pages.
- 9315: Allard, Marie, Camille Bronsard et Lise Salvas-Bronsard, "C*-Conjugate Expectations and Duality", 22 pages.
- 9316 : Dudley, Leonard et Claude Montmarquette, "Government Size and Economic Convergence", 28 pages.
- 9317 : Bronsard, Camille, "L'histoire de l'économie mathématique racontée à Juliette", 17 pages.
- 9318: Tremblay, Rodrigue, "The Quest for Competitiveness and Export-Led Growth", 16 pages.
- 9319: Proulx, Pierre-Paul, "L'ALÉNA", 12 pages.
- 9320 : Proulx, Pierre-Paul, "Le Québec dans l'ALÉNA", 28 pages.
- 9321: Dionne, Georges, Denise Desjardins, Claire Laberge-Nadeau et Urs Magg, "Medical Conditions, Risk Exposure and Truck Drivers' Accidents: an Analysis with Count Data Regression Models, 20 pages.
- 9322: Ghysels, Eric, "Seasonal Adjustment and Other Data Transformations", 28 pages.
- 9323: Dufour, Jean-Marie et David Tessier, "On the Relationship Between Impulse Response Analysis, Innovation Accounting and Granger Causality", 12 pages.
- 9324 : Dufour, Jean-Marie et Eric Renault, "Causalités à court et à long terme dans les modèles VAR et ARIMA multivariés", 68 pages.
- 9325: Ghysels, Eric et Alastair Hall, "On Periodic Time Series and Testing the Unit Root Hypothesis", 36 pages.
- 9326 : Campbell, Bryan et Jean-Marie Dufour, "Exact Nonparametric Orthogonality and Random Walk Tests", 28 pages.
- 9327: Proulx, Pierre-Paul, "Quebec in North America: from a Borderlands to a Borderless Economy: an Examination of its Trade Flows with the U.S.A. at the National and Regional Levels", 24 pages.
- 9328 : Proulx, Pierre-Paul, "L'ALÉNA, le Québec et la mutation de son espace économique", 36 pages.

- 9329: Sprumont, Yves, "Strategyproof Collective Choice in Economic and Political Environments", 48 pages.
- 9330: Cardia, Emanuela et Steve Ambler, "The Cyclical Behaviour of Wages and Profits Under Imperfect Competition", 24 pages.
- 9331: Arcand, Jean-Louis L. et Elise S. Brezis, "Disequilibrium Dynamics During the Great Depression", 64 pages.
- 9332: Beaudry, Paul et Michel Poitevin, "Contract Renegotiation: a Simple Framework and Implications for Organization Theory", 48 pages.
- 9333: Ghysels, Eric et Alastair Hall, "On Periodic Time Series and Testing the Unit Root Hypothesis", 36 pages. (Ce cahier portait déjà le no 9325.)

 9333: Dagenais Marcel G. et Jean Marie D. 60 (1915)
- 9333: Dagenais, Marcel G. et Jean-Marie Dufour, "Pitfalls of Rescaling Regression Models with Box-Cox Transformations", 16 pages.
- 9334 : Bonomo, Marco et René Garcia, "Disappointment Aversion as a Solution to the Equity Premium and the Risk-Free Rate Puzzles", 40 pages.
- 9335: Ghysels, Eric et Offer Lieberman, "Dynamic Regression and Filtered Data Series: A Laplace Approximation to the Effects of Filtering in Small Samples", 24 pages.
- 9336: Kollmann, Robert, "The Duration of Unemployment as A Signal: Implications for Labor Market Equilibrium", 19 pages.
- 9337: Kollmann, Robert, "Fertility, Consumption and Bequests in a Model with Non-Dynastic Parental Altruism", 22 pages.
- 9401: Mercenier, Jean et Bernardin Akitoby, "On Intertemporal General-Equilibrium Reallocation Effects of Europe's move to a Single Market", janvier 1994, 41 pages.
- 9402 : Gauthier, Céline et Michel Poitevin, "Using Ex Ante Payments in Sefl-Enforcing Risk-Sharing Contracts, février 1994, 38 pages.
- 9403 : Ghysels, Eric et Joanna Jasiak, "Stochastic Volatility and Time Deformation : an Application of Trading Volume and Leverage Effects, février 1994, 37 pages.
- 9404: Dagenais, Marcel G. et Denyse L. Dagenais, "GMM Estimators for Linear Regression Models with Errors in the Variables", avril 1994, 33 pages.
- 9405 : Bronsard, C., F. Rosenwald et L. Salvas-Bronsard, "Evidence on Corporate Private Debt Finance and the Term Structure of Interest Rates", avril 1994, 42 pages.
- 9406: Dinardo, John, Nicole M. Fortin et Thomas Lemieux, "Labor Market Institutions and the Distribution of Wages, 1973-1992: A Semiparametric Approach", avril 1994, 73 pages.

- Campbell, Bryan et Jean-Marie Dufour, "Exact Nonparametric Tests of Orthogonality and Random Walk in the Presence of a Drift Parameter", avril 9407: 1994, 32 pages.
- Bollerslev, Tim et Eric Ghysels, "Periodic Autoregressive Conditional 9408: Heteroskedasticity", mai 1994, 29 pages.
- Cardia, Emanuela, "The Effects of Government Financial Policies : Can We 9409: Assume Ricardian Equivalence?", mai 1994, 42 pages.
- Kollmann, Robert, "Hidden Unemployment: A Search Theoretic Interpretation", 9410: mai 1994, 9 pages.
- Kollmann, Robert, "The Correlation of Productivity Growth Across Regions and 9411: Industries in the US", juin 1994, 14 pages.
- à venir 9412:
- 9413 : à venir
- Lewis, Tracy et Michel Poitevin, "Disclosure of Information in Regulatory 9414: Proceedings", juillet 1994, 38 pages.
- Ambler, Steve, Emanuela Cardia et Jeannine Farazli, "Export Promotion and 9415 : Growth", août 1994, 41 pages.
- Ghysels, Eric et Haldun Sarlan, "On the Analysis of Business Cycles Through 9416: the Spectrum of Chronologies", août 1994, 37 pages.
- Martel, Jocelyn et Timothy C.G. Fisher, "The Creditors' Financial Reorganization Decision: New Evidence from Canadian Data", août 1994, 9417: 21 pages.