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Abstract

A 6-year-old boy diagnosed with autism participated in a pilot study showing that (a) television was associated with increased vocal stereotypy and (b) sitting was associated with lower levels of vocal stereotypy. Subsequently, we reduced vocal stereotypy while the television was on by reinforcing sitting on a variable-interval schedule. Results suggest that conditional percentages may be useful for identifying alternative behaviors as part of treatments for stereotypy.
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A handful of studies have shown that stereotypy may occur more frequently in the presence of certain idiosyncratic stimuli (e.g., Friman, 2000; Rapp, 2005; Van Camp et al., 2000). For example, Rapp found that turning on a television (TV) evoked multiple response forms of stereotypy and that contingent timeout (i.e., turning off the TV) effectively reduced such behavior. However, when other viewers are present, turning off the TV may not be a suitable intervention to reduce stereotypy. Although engaging in vocal stereotypy while viewing TV does not cause physical harm, the behavior may be distracting or disruptive to other viewers and socially stigmatizing for the individual during public viewing (e.g., when in a movie theater or at school). To our knowledge, no study has reported the effects of interventions other than timeout from the evocative stimulus to reduce stereotypy associated with idiosyncratic stimuli. Nonetheless, other research has shown that differential reinforcement may reduce stereotypy unrelated to specific idiosyncratic stimuli (e.g., Saunders, Saunders, & Marquis, 1998). The purpose of the study was to replicate and extend prior research by examining the effects of TV on vocal stereotypy and implementing a differential reinforcement procedure to reduce stereotypy when the TV was turned on.

Method

Participant, Data Collection, and Interobserver Agreement

The participant was Charles, a 6-year-old boy diagnosed with autism, who reportedly engaged in higher levels of vocal stereotypy when the TV was on than during other activities. His vocal stereotypy consisted mainly of monosyllable sounds. Charles also used two- to three-word statements to communicate vocally with others. We conducted sessions in the participant’s home in a room that was equipped with a cathode ray tube 20-inch (51 cm) TV, a chair, and toys. A graduate research assistant videotaped each session and subsequently scored the duration of
vocal stereotypy during all sessions and the duration of sitting when the TV was on. Both
measures were converted into percentages of time by dividing the total duration of the behavior
by the duration of the session and multiplying the result by 100%.

We defined vocal stereotypy as noncontextual sounds or words produced by the vocal
apparatus. To facilitate continuous data collection, the research assistant stopped scoring vocal
stereotypy when the behavior had not occurred for two consecutive seconds. The emission of
tacts, mands, and intraverbals related to items in the room or to the TV shows was not scored as
vocal stereotypy. We defined sitting as contact of the participant’s buttocks with the seat of the
chair with his two feet in front of him while the four legs of the chair were touching the floor. To
calculate the conditional percentage of time engaged in vocal stereotypy given sitting, we
divided the duration of vocal stereotypy during sitting only with the total duration of sitting and
multiplied the result by 100%. We also calculated the conditional percentage of time engaged in
vocal stereotypy given the absence of sitting using a similar formula. We used the block-by-block
method with 10-s intervals to calculate interobserver agreement (IOA) scores for 38% of sessions
(Mudford, Taylor, & Martin, 2009). Mean IOA scores were 88% (range, 83%-93%) for vocal
stereotypy and 96% (range, 88%-100%) for sitting.

Experimental Design and Procedures

Effects of TV. We used an ABABA reversal design to assess engagement in vocal
stereotypy when the TV was on and off. We conducted 6 to 8 consecutive 5-min sessions per day.
During the TV-off condition, we provided no social consequences for vocal stereotypy and the
TV was turned off. The TV-on condition was the same except that we turned on the TV and tuned
it to The Disney Channel® at a constant volume. The TV shows were generally the same from
one day to another, but the episodes varied. In addition, toys already present in the room were
accessible in both conditions, but the participant rarely interacted with them. During the TV-on
sessions, the research assistant noted that Charles engaged in lower levels of vocal stereotypy when he appropriately sat in front of the television. To confirm this observation, we compared the conditional percentage of time Charles engaged in vocal stereotypy given sitting with the conditional percentage of the behavior given the absence of sitting (i.e., any behavior other than sitting appropriately) during the TV-on sessions.

**Treatment evaluation.** We implemented differential reinforcement of sitting as an intervention because our results indicated that sitting was associated with lower levels of vocal stereotypy during TV-on sessions. In addition, other behavior exhibited in the absence of sitting (e.g., wandering in front of the TV) may have been disruptive for other viewers. We conducted no more than one session per day and alternated between 10-min baseline and differential reinforcement of alternative behavior (DRA) sessions within a multielement design. The research assistant also measured post-intervention levels of vocal stereotypy and sitting for 10 min immediately after sessions to determine whether the behavior changes persisted following the withdrawal of the intervention. The baseline condition was similar to the initial TV-on condition with the exception of toys, which were no longer present. During the DRA condition, the environment was the same as during the baseline condition, but the research assistant placed a large red poster board above the TV to signal the condition and used a 15-s variable-interval (VI) schedule to provide a preferred edible (identified via a paired-stimulus preference assessment) contingent on sitting. An audio file on a digital music player signaled the interval to the research assistant through earphones. The post-intervention sessions were identical to baseline regardless of the preceding condition.

**Results and Discussion**

The upper panel of Figure 1 shows engagement in vocal stereotypy during TV-on and TV-off sessions and in sitting during TV-on sessions. An examination of the means and patterns
across the last four phases suggests that turning on the TV was associated with increases in vocal stereotypy. Sitting remained at low levels during all TV-on phases. The percentage of time Charles engaged in vocal stereotypy was considerable lower when he was sitting than when he was not sitting during the TV-on phases (M = 12% vs. 31%; data not depicted). The middle and lower panels of Figure 1 show the results of the treatment evaluation. Charles consistently engaged in lower levels of vocal stereotypy and higher levels of sitting during DRA sessions than during baseline sessions. Post-intervention levels of vocal stereotypy remained similar following baseline and DRA sessions. We observed sitting during only 1 of 4 sessions following baseline in comparison with all four sessions following DRA. A within-session analysis indicated that sitting occurred mostly during the first minutes of the post-intervention sessions, suggesting that sitting was not yet under stimulus control of the poster board.

In sum, we showed that (a) stimulation from watching or listening to TV was associated with increased engagement in vocal stereotypy, (b) sitting was associated with lower levels of vocal stereotypy, and (c) differential reinforcement of sitting was effective in reducing engagement in vocal stereotypy while the TV was on. However, the observed effects did not persist immediately following the withdrawal of the intervention. These results replicate those of Rapp (2005) by showing that turning on a TV may increase engagement in vocal stereotypy. That is, the visual and auditory stimulation generated by the television may have produced an establishing operation for engaging in the target behavior. The treatment evaluation demonstrated that DRA could reduce vocal stereotypy while the TV was on, which also replicates and extends results of prior studies (e.g., Saunders et al., 1998). Sitting may have reduced the value of the reinforcer maintaining vocal stereotypy (i.e., produced an abolishing operation). Alternatively, vocal stereotypy may have covaried with behavior associated with the absence of sitting (e.g., wandering around the room). The study also extends research on the
utility of using conditional probability measures during the assessment of problem behavior (Martens, DiGennaro, Reed, Szczech, & Rosenthal, 2008). Specifically, the results highlight the potential utility of using conditional probabilities to identify alternative behavior associated with low levels of problem behavior.

The initial assessment showed that vocal stereotypy persisted across 160 min despite the absence of social consequences. This pattern suggests that the behavior was at least in part maintained by automatic reinforcement, but the results are limited insofar as the assessment did not rule out social reinforcement functions. A second limitation is that our definition of sitting excluded some forms of sitting (e.g., sitting with his feet on the chair). Our anecdotal observations suggested that vocal stereotypy was at its lowest when Charles was sitting appropriately on a chair; furthermore, sitting inappropriately may be unsafe and disruptive. The low number and short duration of sessions also may limit the applicability of the results (e.g., to a 90-min movie). Finally, the changes produced by DRA were relatively modest (i.e., vocal stereotypy never reached near-zero levels) and did not persist after the intervention was removed. Replication of the procedures across a larger number of lengthier sessions and gradual thinning of the reinforcement schedule should be conducted in the future to address these concerns.
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Figure 1. Percentage of time Charles engaged in vocal stereotypy when the television (TV) was on and off and in sitting when the TV was on (upper panel). Percentage of time Charles engaged in vocal stereotypy and sitting during and after baseline and differential reinforcement of alternative behavior (DRA) sessions (middle and lower panels).