

Blog

Fit mice, fit women? Or fit to be tied?

📅 October 11, 2017 👤 Bryn 🔖 breast cancer, fit, fitness, geneticization, health, women ✎ Edit

Blog

Chercheuse en résidence / Scholar in Residence

Abby Lippman, Professor Emerita, Department of Epidemiology, Biostatistics and Occupational Health, McGill University; Research Associate, Simone de Beauvoir Institute, Concordia UniversityAffiliation

Publié/Published: 11 Oct 20172017 A Lippman, [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#)

Conflit d'intérêts	Conflicts of Interest
Aucun déclaré	None to declare
Exonération	Disclaimer
Les opinions exprimées ici sont celles de l'auteur et ne reflètent pas nécessairement celles de la revue.	The views expressed here are the author's and do not necessarily reflect those of the journal.

The headlines ([How inherited fitness may affect breast cancer risk](#)) suggest that being “fit,” as a way to reduce the risks of breast cancer, is not merely being active, but also about what genes affecting “fitness” one inherits.

No, this isn't only another example of geneticization, which of course it is and certainly seems to be from the headline. But no less worse: it's again another extrapolation from rodents to humans. Both of these processes just never seem to end; they only expand. And put women's health at true risk.

So maybe some rats running on treadmills in their cages have, after several generations of these efforts and lots of selected breeding, offspring that vary in breast cancer risk according to whether they were born from high fitness lineages or from low: but so what? Should we care? Or perhaps we should care to the extent that this latest "you have to do" erases the urgent discussion of what "should" we do — and why if we really want to lower the risks and incidence of breast cancer. (And erases, too, who should decide.)

It is so very easy to gloss the science and hide the social and structural determinants of so many conditions, breast cancer included. But for decades, huge funds have been devoted to refining the sciences of breast cancer and of high tech pharmaceutical treatments, and so little, if any, into seriously removing, or even at least reducing or exploring, the environmental, occupational, social and economic reasons that make women. some more so than others, vulnerable to developing this disease — all diseases, in fact.

October, the annual "pink ribbon month," is off and running. But women are still left behind when treatment and screening — the heavy-weight messages to the public this month, all months perhaps — deflect attention from true primary prevention. Posing as "caring" companies, well-heeled capitalist CEOs offer to give a pittance to breast cancer groups in alliance with them, try to make consumers think they are doing "good," that they are "running (or walking) for the cure." But their corporate coffers are closed for those community-based organizations devoted to women's health and breast cancer groups. And not only because the best of these groups reject alliances with pharmaceutical, cosmetic, and other industries that are actually parts of the problems that put women at risk. But because all such handouts come with a price that can jeopardize the independence of these groups. At the same time, groups that refuse potentially tainted funding are also being starved by neoliberal policies that keep cutting public funding for their work, thereby being collectively kept "unfit" to fill their important roles of education, advocacy, and activism.

Fitness matters, but we need true fitness. Authentic and healthful fitness means that groups and individuals have the full resources needed to thrive. These include, unsurprisingly, an end to their impoverishment, to the marginalization that has too many women living (like caged rats?) in health-harming homes and working treadmill-like just to make ends try to meet in health-harming jobs, and without access to holistic health care.... and so much more.

Let's not pink wash fitness to the benefit of genetics and biomedicine and profit making corporations, but, instead, truly offer what women need, want, and deserve.

Rats may not complain about their circumstances, but women can and must — and all allies must join them.

Partager:



[← “Invasive” and “Non-invasive” Technologies in Neuroscience Communication](#)

[Fair Innings: Equality and Children’s Healthcare →](#)

ISSN 1923-2799



Copyright © 2018 [BioéthiqueOnline](#). All rights reserved.
Theme: ColorMag by [ThemeGrill](#). Powered by [WordPress](#).