

Tétreault, É., Bouvette-Turcot, A.-A., Bernier, A., & Bailey, H.N. (2016). Associations between early maternal sensitivity and children's sleep throughout early childhood. *Infant and Child Development*. DOI:10.1002/icd.2004

Abstract

Despite strong theoretical reasons to believe that the quality of parent-infant interactions should influence child sleep, the empirical evidence for links between maternal behavior and children's sleep is equivocal. Notably, it is unclear at which ages such influences might be particularly salient. The current study aimed to examine prospective longitudinal associations between early maternal sensitivity and children's sleep during early childhood. Maternal sensitivity was assessed at 12 months during a home visit. Children's sleep was measured at 12 and 18 months as well as at 2, 3, and 4 years, using a sleep diary completed by mothers. Results revealed significant or marginal positive associations between maternal sensitivity and children's sleep consolidation (percentage of nighttime sleep) at 2, 3 and 4 years, but not at the most proximal assessments of 12 and 18 months. These findings suggest that child age could potentially be a key factor in the associations between maternal behavior and children's sleep.

Keywords: child sleep, maternal sensitivity, early childhood.

Associations between Early Maternal Sensitivity and Children's Sleep throughout Early Childhood

Children's sleep problems are one of the most common reasons for which parents seek medical help (Bayer, Hiscock, Hampton, & Wake, 2007; Wake et al., 2006). Young children spend the majority of their time asleep, which has been taken to suggest that sleep is key for brain and body development (Dahl, 1996). In fact, in addition to being a period of rest, sleep is also characterized by intense brain activity that involves high-level cortical functions (Dahl, 1996). The duration and quality of children's sleep are related to many areas of development and functioning, such as brain maturation (Feinberg & Campbell, 2010; Mirmiran & Van Someren, 1993), learning and memory (Astill, Van der Heijden, Van IJzendoorn, & Van Someren, 2012), physical health (Leproult & Van Cauter, 2010), and socioemotional adjustment (Gregory, Eley, O'Connor, & Plomin, 2004). Conversely, sleep problems may significantly impair optimal child development, as they are associated with sub-optimal cognitive, behavioral, and emotional outcomes (Bélanger, Bernier, Simard, Desrosiers, & Carrier, 2015; Gregory & Sadeh, 2012). Sleep difficulties in early childhood therefore represent an important challenge, especially because they are strong predictors of later sleep problems: it has been found that 50 to 70% of infants who had sleep difficulties at 1 year of age still presented such difficulties at 2 years (Jenkins, Owen, Bax, & Hart, 1984), and that sleep problems tend to persist from infancy to the preschool period (Thome & Skuladottir, 2005). Thus, it is crucial to understand the factors that contribute to well-regulated sleep among young children.

Parent-child interactions and children's sleep

Many studies have emphasized the contribution of family interactions to children's sleep (Dahl & El-Sheikh, 2007). During infancy, parents establish a routine for sleep and awakenings

1
2
3 with their children, and while sleep problems such as difficulty falling or staying asleep are
4
5 common among infants and young children, parents' responses are critical to prevent those
6
7 difficulties from persisting (Sheldon, 2001). In addition to parental behaviors directly related to
8
9 sleep, all forms of parent-child interactions may potentially impact children's sleep (El-Sheikh,
10
11 2011). This includes, among other factors, mother-child attachment security and its
12
13 corresponding maternal behavior, namely maternal sensitivity (Bélanger, Bernier, Simard,
14
15 Bordeleau, & Carrier, 2015; Keller, 2011).
16
17
18
19

20 Maternal sensitivity is defined as the capacity to perceive and to respond promptly and
21
22 appropriately to infant cues (Ainsworth, Blehar, Waters, & Wall, 1978). Sensitive mothers are
23
24 able to read their child's signals and to respond positively and consistently (Davis & Logson,
25
26 2010). The efficacy and the rapidity with which sensitive mothers respond to their child's needs
27
28 allow for the establishment of a clear contingency between child cues and maternal responses
29
30 (Leerkes, Blankson, & O'Brien, 2009). This contingency is presumed to favor the development
31
32 of children's sense of control over their environment (Baumwell, Tamis-LeMonda, & Bornstein,
33
34 1997), which, in turn, facilitates adaptation to stress, including stress resulting from the
35
36 separation and darkness inherent to bedtime (Keller, 2011). In addition, maternal sensitivity
37
38 leads to the development of children's emotional security (Van IJzendoorn, Vereijken,
39
40 Bakermans-Kranenburg, & Riksen-Walraven, 2004). When put to bed, children experiencing
41
42 emotional insecurity may worry about the separation from their caregivers, making it hard to
43
44 down-regulate and thus fall and remain asleep (Keller & El-Sheikh, 2011). Overall, the
45
46 hypothesis is that maternal sensitivity supports the development of children's self-regulatory
47
48 capacity (Bornstein, 1985) and emotional security (Ainsworth et al., 1978; Keller & El-Sheikh,
49
50 2011), which are essential to the decrease in vigilance required to initiate and to maintain sleep
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(Dahl, 1996). Accordingly, maternal sensitivity should favor child sleep.

Despite this clear and generally endorsed rationale, results of studies investigating the links between maternal sensitivity and children's sleep are inconsistent. A first set of studies suggested positive links between sensitivity and sleep. Philbrook and Teti (2016) found that when mothers were more sensitive at bedtime (i.e., were more emotionally available to their child), their infant slept more throughout the night, as measured with videosomnography during the first 6 months postpartum. Using parental reports to measure children's sleep, Priddis (2009) observed that 7 to 18 month-old infants with better sleep quality had mothers who were more sensitive. Also using parental reports of children's sleep (questionnaires and sleep diaries), Teti, Kim, Mayer, and Countermine (2010) found that greater maternal sensitivity at bedtime (as measured by maternal emotional availability) was inversely related to the frequency of infant night wakings and mothers' perception of their infants' sleep difficulties between 1 and 24 months. Bordeleau, Bernier, and Carrier (2012) observed that maternal sensitivity assessed at 1 year was positively linked to children's sleep consolidation (percentage of nighttime sleep measured by a maternal sleep diary) at 3 and 4 years of age, although sensitivity was unrelated to total 24-hour sleep duration (also derived from a sleep diary). Finally, Adam, Snell, and Pendry (2007) found that parental warmth was associated with greater sleep duration as measured by a time diary among school-aged children, and Bell and Belsky (2008) reported that higher levels of maternal warmth, sensitivity, and involvement with school-aged children were associated with better sleep as measured by parental reports.

This could appear to be a clear and consistent picture. Other studies, however, found non-significant associations or even negative associations between maternal sensitivity and children's sleep. Scher (2001a) found no concurrent relation between maternal sensitivity and sleep

1
2
3 measured among 1 year-old infants using actigraphy, an objective measure of sleep based on
4
5 motor activity. Bates, Viken, Alexander, Beyers, and Stockton (2002) reported similar results
6
7 using a maternal sleep diary with children aged 4 to 5 years. Tikotzky and Sadeh (2009)
8
9 observed that higher levels of maternal sensitivity were correlated with *more* nighttime
10
11 awakenings at 1 year as measured with actigraphy. Futhermore, Dearing, McCartney, Marshall
12
13 and Warner (2001) observed that higher levels of sensitivity at 6 months were related to less
14
15 mature sleep patterns (mother-reported) at 19 months, but not at 7 or 31 months. Weinraub et al.
16
17 (2012) observed that higher levels of maternal sensitivity at 6, 21, and 36 months of age were
18
19 related to more nighttime awakenings at concurrent ages. In a cross-sectional study, Paret (1983)
20
21 also found that higher levels of maternal sensitivity (more rapid responses to crying during the
22
23 day) were associated with more nighttime awakenings among infants aged 9 months. Of note,
24
25 both latter studies used parental reports to assess awakenings, despite this method's poor
26
27 reported validity when studying awakenings (Sadeh, 1996). However, Tikotzky and Sadeh
28
29 (2009) found similar results with an objective sleep measure.

30
31
32 Overall, results of studies examining the relations between maternal sensitivity and
33
34 children's sleep are quite mixed. The inconsistency in results may be explained partly by the
35
36 great variability in methodological parameters across studies, notably child age. Child age is
37
38 likely a key factor to consider, given the documented marked developments in the organization
39
40 of sleep/wake patterns that take place between infancy and the preschool period (Acebo et al.,
41
42 2005; Davis, Parker, & Montgomery, 2004). However, given that each study used a sample of
43
44 different children, often studied at one time point only, existing research does not allow for
45
46 isolating child age as a potential explanation for the discrepant results. Of note in this regard is
47
48 the study by Dearing et al. (2001), which found different associations between maternal
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 sensitivity and children's sleep according to child age at the time of the sleep assessment, which
4
5 suggests that age at which sleep is assessed could be a key factor in the associations between
6
7
8 early maternal behavior and children's subsequent sleep.
9

10 Also, as described above, studies have used different methods to assess children's sleep.
11
12 While some studies used subjective measures of sleep such as general retrospective parental
13
14 reports or prospective sleep diaries, others used objective measures like actigraphy. There is also
15
16 great variability in the sleep parameters that were considered, such as the frequency or length of
17
18 night awakenings (Bell & Belsky, 2008; Paret, 1983; Priddis, 2009; Scher, 2001a; Teti et al.,
19
20 2010; Tikotzky & Sadeh, 2009; Weinraub et al., 2012), sleep duration or consolidation (Adam et
21
22 al., 2007; Bates et al., 2002; Bordeleau et al., 2012; Philbrook & Teti, 2016; Priddis, 2009;
23
24 Scher, 2001a; Tikotzky & Sadeh, 2009), sleep onset time and morning awakening time (Priddis,
25
26 2009; Scher, 2001a; Tikotzky & Sadeh, 2009), or mothers' perceptions of child sleep difficulties
27
28 (Bell & Belsky, 2008; Teti et al., 2010).
29
30
31
32
33

34 Indeed, an important issue when studying sleep in young children is the choice of sleep
35
36 parameters to examine (Hayes, 2002; Jenni & Carskadon, 2007). Because sleep evolves rapidly
37
38 during early childhood, relevant sleep parameters must be selected based on developmental
39
40 considerations, notably age-dependent developments in sleep patterns. The development of
41
42 sleep-wake states is driven by two intrinsic bio-regulatory processes: one is homeostatic,
43
44 meaning that the need for sleep accumulates during arousal and dissipates during sleep, whereas
45
46 the other is a circadian process that provides cyclical clock-like signals promoting alertness in
47
48 opposition to sleep, independently from prior waking and sleep (Jenni & LeBourgeois, 2006).
49
50 During early childhood, maturation and interaction of these two processes build up to lead to
51
52 increasingly adult-like sleep-wake cycles characterized notably by clear-cut day-night alternation
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and a decrease of daytime sleep, resulting in consolidation of sleep into the night period. Large-
4
5 scale studies confirm that this translates into increasingly greater proportions of the total sleep
6
7 period taking place at night throughout early childhood (Acebo et al., 2005; Coons &
8
9 Guilleminault, 1992; Davis et al., 2004). Accordingly, the proportion of total sleep occurring at
10
11 night can be considered a developmentally appropriate index of sleep regulation in infancy and
12
13 early childhood. In fact, greater proportions of nighttime sleep have been observed to show
14
15 positive links to cognitive development among young children (Bernier, Beauchamp, Bouvette-
16
17 Turcot, Carlson, & Carrier, 2013; Dionne et al., 2011), supporting the validity of this sleep index.
18
19 Moreover, the ability to consolidate sleep into longer bouts of uninterrupted sleep at night is also
20
21 a key aspect of biological maturation in infancy and toddlerhood (Coons & Guilleminault, 1984;
22
23 Sadeh & Anders, 1993). Consequently, both the proportion of nighttime sleep and the longest
24
25 bout of uninterrupted sleep at night are developmentally-appropriate sleep parameters in infancy
26
27 and early childhood.
28
29
30
31
32

33
34 Besides child age and the choice of sleep parameters, discrepancies in prior results may
35
36 be due to the measurement of maternal sensitivity itself. Indeed, whereas most studies assessed
37
38 maternal sensitivity in the family's home, whether during daytime (e.g., Adam et al., 2007;
39
40 Priddis, 2009; Weinraub et al., 2012), bedtime (e.g., Philbrook & Teti, 2016; Teti et al., 2010), or
41
42 nighttime interactions (e.g., Tikotzky & Sadeh, 2009), Scher (2001a) assessed sensitivity in the
43
44 laboratory. Contexts of observation also varied, with mothers being observed during mother-
45
46 child free play (e.g., Scher, 2001a), structured or semi-structured play (e.g., Dearing et al., 2001;
47
48 Weinraub et al., 2012), or while engaged in an interview with a research assistant (e.g., Adam et
49
50 al., 2007; Bates et al., 2002). Sensitivity scores could also vary according to the coding system
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 used, based on the occurrence (e.g., Bates et al., 2002), frequency (e.g., Adam et al., 2007) or
4
5 quality of maternal behaviors (e.g., Dearing et al., 2001).
6
7

8 **The current study**

9
10 The current study, thus, aimed to address the inconsistency in previous results by
11
12 investigating the prospective links between early maternal sensitivity and young children's sleep
13
14 with a longitudinal design, assessing the same sleep parameters among the same children and
15
16 with the same method throughout, thereby allowing us to isolate the age factor. This study builds
17
18 on a previous study by our team (Bordeleau et al., 2012; described above) that used a subsample
19
20 (approximately half) of the current study's sample. That prior study aimed to disentangle the
21
22 contributions of different facets of maternal and paternal parenting in relation to composite sleep
23
24 scores in preschoolers (averaged across 3 and 4 years) while adjusting for sleep during infancy.
25
26 The current study aimed, rather, to take advantage of an increased sample size and investigate
27
28 whether a developmental trend could be identified in the associations between early maternal
29
30 sensitivity (assessed at age 1) and age-specific assessments of child sleep – which would result in
31
32 stronger associations at certain ages. To isolate the age factor, we adopted a longitudinal
33
34 approach: child sleep was assessed five times between ages 1 to 4. We also took a closer look at
35
36 maternal sensitivity itself, given that sensitivity specifically is expected to play an important role
37
38 in the development of sleep regulation, as explained above. In line with recent recommendations
39
40 (Mesman & Emmen, 2013), we used a new multidimensional measure of maternal sensitivity
41
42 that allowed studying finer potential associations between precise aspects of sensitivity and child
43
44 sleep, with the aim of exploring the role of maternal sensitivity assessment in the inconsistent
45
46 results presented above. Hence, we focused on three aspects of maternal sensitivity with close
47
48 connections to the original Ainsworth maternal care scales (Ainsworth, Bell, & Stayton, 1974):
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Cooperation/Attunement, Positivity, and Accessibility/Availability (Bailey et al., 2016; see
4
5
6 Methods section).

7
8 As explained above, the great diversity of methodological parameters across previous
9
10 studies makes it difficult to isolate the age factor and formulate specific hypotheses. However, it
11
12 has frequently been proposed that sleep regulation in infancy could largely be under the
13
14 influence of central nervous system maturation, whereas environmental factors could play an
15
16 increasingly important role as children mature (e.g., Dearing et al., 2001; Jenni & LeBourgeois,
17
18 2006). Accordingly, it could be expected that associations between early maternal sensitivity and
19
20 child sleep will become stronger from ages 1 to 4. This is a tentative hypothesis however, given
21
22 that the current state of the empirical literature does not allow for making firm predictions.
23
24 Likewise, given that all three aspects of sensitivity under consideration were closely linked to
25
26 Ainsworth et al.'s (1974) original theorizing about the core aspects of maternal caregiving, no a
27
28 priori hypotheses were formulated regarding different dimensions of sensitivity.
29
30
31
32
33

34 **Method**

35 **Participants**

36
37 At Time 1, the sample was initially comprised of 200 mother-child dyads (101 girls), of
38
39 which 125 (63 girls) also participated at Time 2, 148 (74 girls) at Time 3, 117 (67 girls) at Time
40
41 4, and finally 115 (58 girls) at Time 5. T-tests revealed that families who left the study were not
42
43 different from others on maternal sensitivity, child sleep parameters, or any demographic
44
45 variables (all p 's > .10). Given this absence of differences, the data were considered missing at
46
47 random, and missing data were thus handled with multiple imputations (Jelicic, Phelps, & Lener,
48
49 2009). Therefore, participants with missing sleep data were included in analyses by estimating
50
51 their missing values through multiple imputations (10 imputations). However, due to the amount
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 of missing data at certain points, approaching the limit of 50% past which estimation of missing
4 data is not advised (Graham, 2009), we chose a conservative approach so as to diminish
5 uncertainty in the data. Thus, only participants who had sleep data at a minimum of two time
6 points were retained in analyses; this yielded a sample size of 143 families. To maximize the
7 precision of imputed data, missing values were imputed from all other data available, except for
8 maternal sensitivity scores. All subsequent analyses were performed on each of the imputed data
9 sets, and results were then averaged (Schafer, 1997).

10
11 Families were recruited from random birth lists, provided by the Ministry of Health and
12 Social Services. The study protocol was approved by the university's institutional review board.
13 Criteria for participation were full-term pregnancy and absence of any known physical or mental
14 disability in the child. Annual family income (in Canadian dollars) varied from less than \$20,000
15 to over \$100,000, with a mean situated in the \$60,000 –\$79,000 bracket. Mothers were between
16 20 and 45 years old ($M = 32.0$, $SD = 4.5$), had 16 years of education on average ($SD = 2.1$;
17 varying from 11 to 18), and most (91.5%) were Caucasian. Most (86%) were married or living
18 with the child's father throughout data collection.

19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

Procedure

The dyads took part in five visits, when children were 12 (T1; $M = 12.6$, $SD = 1.0$)
and 18 months (T2; $M = 18.3$, $SD = 1.0$), as well as 2 (T3; $M = 25.5$ months, $SD = 1.5$), 3 (T4; M
 $= 36.8$ months, $SD = .74$), and 4 years of age (T5; $M = 48.8$ months, $SD = 0.89$). The first visit
was conducted in the families' homes and aimed at assessing maternal sensitivity in a naturalistic
context. The procedure was modeled after the work of Pederson and Moran (1995). The home-
visit protocol was specifically designed to create a situation in which the mother's attention was
being solicited by both research tasks and infant demands, thus approximating real-life

1
2
3 conditions in which parents are often faced with competing demands. This first visit lasted
4
5 approximately 90 minutes and included child-centered tasks, a brief interview with the mother, a
6
7 mother-infant interactive sequence (free play), and questionnaires that mothers had to complete
8
9 while the infant was not looked after by the research assistant. The visit was conducted by
10
11 graduate observers who had received extensive training based on Pederson and Moran's
12
13 recommendations (1995). The observers then rated maternal sensitivity based on all their
14
15 observations during this visit, as described below. In addition, at the end of each visit (T1 to T5),
16
17 the research assistants left the mother a sleep diary and gave her instructions to complete it to
18
19 describe her child's sleep pattern as it unfolded on three consecutive days in the week following
20
21 the visit. Mothers were asked to return the diary by mail with a provided prepaid envelope.
22
23
24
25
26

27 **Measures**

28
29 **Maternal Behavior Q-Sort.** Maternal sensitivity was assessed during the first visit (T1)
30
31 using the Maternal Behavior Q-Sort (MBQS; Pederson & Moran, 1995), a 90-item measure of
32
33 the quality of maternal behavior during mother-infant interactions occurring at home. Pederson
34
35 and Moran (1995) suggested that assessments based on lengthier in-home mother-child
36
37 interactions were more reliable than brief lab-based play episodes, often used in previous studies.
38
39 Thus, research assistants observed maternal behaviors throughout the T1 visit described above
40
41 and rated the MBQS immediately after, based on the entire observation period. Each item of the
42
43 MBQS describes a potential maternal behavior. Those potential behaviors are sorted into nine
44
45 piles, ranging from very similar to very unlike the observed mother's behaviors. The observer's
46
47 sort is then correlated with a criterion sort, provided by the developers of the instrument and
48
49 representing the prototypically sensitive mother. Therefore, sensitivity scores can vary from -1
50
51 (least sensitive) to +1 (prototypically sensitive).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The MBQS is significantly related to other measures of maternal behavior, such as the
4 HOME Inventory and the Ainsworth scales (see Pederson & Moran, 1995), shows good temporal
5 stability (Behrens, Parker, & Kulkofsky, 2014; Tarabulsky et al., 2008), and excellent prediction
6 of child subsequent socio-emotional and cognitive functioning (Lemelin, Tarabulsky, & Provost,
7 2006). For almost thirty percent of home visits (29.5%) the MBQS was rated independently by
8 two research assistants, which revealed excellent inter-rater agreement ($ICC = .86$).
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 The MBQS has typically been used to obtain one overall score of global maternal
18 sensitivity. Yet, parenting scholars have suggested that a multidimensional approach to the
19 assessment of maternal sensitivity should be preferred, owing to the complexity and inherently
20 multifaceted nature of this concept (e.g., Bailey et al., 2016; Mesman & Emmen, 2013; Seifer,
21 Schiller, Sameroff, Resnick, & Riordan, 1996). The MBQS developers and their colleagues
22 noted that the way in which sensitivity is conceptualized and assessed significantly influences
23 research findings and that greater specificity regarding the developmental role of maternal
24 sensitivity can be expected with the use of a multi-dimensional approach to its measurement
25 (Bailey et al., 2016). They reported that a three-factor structure involving 41 of the original 90
26 items best represented the latent organization of the MBQS (see Appendix for a list of the items
27 included in each factor – items were drawn from Version 2 of the MBQS). These three factors
28 are *Cooperation/Attunement* (17 items; $\alpha = .93$ in the current study), *Positivity* (13 items; $\alpha =$
29 88), and *Accessibility/Availability* (11 items; $\alpha = .91$). Cooperation/Attunement describes
30 mothers' ability to accurately perceive and interpret infants' cues, and to adjust the interaction
31 correspondingly, so that infants appeared to find mothers' contributions enjoyable and supportive
32 rather than becoming frustrated or overwhelmed. Positivity refers to mothers' positive mood and
33 comments about their infants, in contrast to mothers who seem overwhelmed or depressed and
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 are critical of their infant. Some items of this factor also concern mothers' apparent comfort and
4
5 pleasure when interacting with their infant. Finally, Accessibility/Availability describes a mother
6
7 who prioritizes the interaction with her child over other activities and who remains accessible
8
9 and aware of her child even when she is engaged in other tasks.
10
11

12 As expected, the three factors were significantly inter-correlated in the current sample:
13
14 Cooperation/Attunement and Positivity, $r = .31$, $p = .001$, Cooperation/Attunement and
15
16 Accessibility/Availability, $r = .48$, $p = .001$, Positivity and Accessibility/Availability, $r = .28$, $p =$
17
18 $.001$. The moderate magnitude of these associations suggested that it was relevant to keep those
19
20 three dimensions distinct in analyses. Due to the absence of prior studies investigating different
21
22 aspect of sensitivity and child sleep, however, no hypotheses were derived about specific links
23
24 between sleep and particular dimensions of sensitivity.
25
26
27

28
29 **Sleep diary.** The sleep diary is a noninvasive measure widely used in sleep research with
30
31 infants and children. The sleep diary records, on a timeline of 24 hours, the child's sleep-wake
32
33 patterns as they unfold. The mother is asked to indicate, for each half hour, whether the child is
34
35 awake or asleep, and where, if asleep (e.g., child bedroom, car, etc.). The mother is also required
36
37 to note any event that might have disturbed the child's sleep, such as illness or visitors at home.
38
39 In the current study, mothers were asked to complete the diary on three consecutive days during
40
41 which their child had a fairly usual routine. For the reasons mentioned in the introduction, two
42
43 parameters were derived: percentage of total sleep occurring at night time (between 7 pm and 8
44
45 am), and the longest uninterrupted bout of nighttime sleep. While percentage of nighttime sleep
46
47 was averaged across the three days of recording, the longest uninterrupted bout of nighttime
48
49 sleep represents the maximum duration observed over the three days.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Several studies have shown the validity of sleep diaries (Acebo et al., 2005; Gaina, Sekine, Chen, Hamanishi, & Kagamimori, 2004; Sadeh, 2004; Tikotzky & Sadeh, 2001). In contrast to general or retrospective questionnaires, sleep diary data show convergent validity with objective sleep measures like actigraphy (Acebo et al., 2005; Sadeh, 2004; Tikotzky & Sadeh, 2001; Werner, Molinari, Guyer, & Jenni, 2008), and discriminant validity to differentiate clinical and nonclinical samples (Sadeh, 2004). In fact, when studying sleep schedule or sleep duration, a sleep diary has been shown to be interchangeable with actigraphy (Bélanger, Simard, Bernier, & Carrier, 2014; Werner et al., 2008). Sadeh (2008) also suggested that when such sleep variables are the primary outcome measures, parental reports, particularly in the form of sleep diaries as used here, are sufficient in most cases. Finally, it has been observed that three days (the duration of the sleep assessment used here) represented the optimal duration to obtain reliable data while accounting for mothers' compliance in filling out diary data (St-James-Roberts & Plewis, 1996).

Results

Preliminary analyses

Table 1 presents descriptive statistics for the three dimensions of maternal sensitivity and children's sleep. All variables showed satisfactory variability and normal or near-normal distributions.

Bivariate correlations were performed between numerous potential confounding variables (i.e., maternal ethnicity, maternal education, family income, maternal age, child exact age at each time point, child gender, and number of siblings) and children's sleep. Very few correlations were significant: at 12 months, the longest bout of uninterrupted sleep was negatively correlated with maternal age ($r = -.19, p = .03$) and at 4 years, the percentage of nighttime sleep was

1
2
3 positively associated with family income ($r = .25, p = .02$). In the final regression analyses, we
4
5 adjusted for the covariates that were significantly associated with the outcome when appropriate.
6
7 The stability of sleep parameters was also investigated. The percentage of nighttime sleep
8
9 showed good stability with coefficients ranging from $r = .27$ to $r = .41$ (all p 's $< .005$) for
10
11 consecutive time points, except for stability between T2 and T3, which was low ($r = .11, ns$). For
12
13 the longest bout of uninterrupted sleep, correlations varied from $r = .19$ ($p = .05$) to $r = .43$ ($p <$
14
15 $.001$) for consecutive time points, except for the link between T4 and T5 which yielded the only
16
17 non-significant correlation ($r = .16, ns$). Finally, the correlations between the two sleep
18
19 parameters at each age were non-significant, varying between .06 (at T3) and .16 (at T1).
20
21
22
23
24

25 Main analyses

26
27 The zero-order correlations between the three dimensions of maternal sensitivity and the
28
29 two sleep parameters at each age are displayed in Table 2. A first observation is that the longest
30
31 bout of uninterrupted sleep was unrelated to any dimensions of sensitivity at any age (all p 's $>$
32
33 $.10$). This sleep variable was, therefore, not considered further.
34
35

36
37 The dimensions of maternal sensitivity were unrelated to the percentage of nighttime
38
39 sleep at 12 and 18 months (r 's between .02 and .11, ns). However, significant correlations were
40
41 found at later ages. At 2 years, both Cooperation/Attunement ($r = .33, p = .001$) and
42
43 Accessibility /Availability ($r = .21, p = .01$) showed significant associations with the percentage
44
45 of nighttime sleep. At 3 years, the percentage of nighttime sleep was significantly associated
46
47 with Cooperation/Attunement and Positivity ($r = .27, p = .004$; $r = .28, p = .003$). Finally, at 4
48
49 years, only Cooperation/Attunement was associated with the percentage of nighttime sleep ($r =$
50
51 $.21, p = .05$).
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

We next conducted multiple regression analyses to investigate the unique contribution of each dimension of maternal sensitivity to the prediction of the percentage of nighttime sleep while controlling for the other dimensions (to run conservative analyses accounting for the shared variance among aspects of maternal behavior) and relevant covariates. The covariates (when appropriate) were entered first in Block 1, followed by the three dimensions of maternal sensitivity in Block 2. The results of these analyses are presented in Table 3. In line with the correlational analyses presented above, the results indicated that the three dimensions of maternal sensitivity were unrelated to the percentage of nighttime sleep at 12 ($\beta = .02$ to $.08$, *ns*) and 18 months ($\beta = .05$ to $.14$, *ns*). However, at 2 years, Cooperation/Attunement was significantly associated with this sleep parameter ($\beta = .30$, $p = .001$), which was not the case for Positivity ($\beta = -.02$, *ns*) or Accessibility/Availability ($\beta = .07$, *ns*). At 3 years, children's percentage of nighttime sleep was marginally predicted by Cooperation/Attunement ($\beta = .20$, $p = .08$) and significantly predicted by Positivity ($\beta = .18$, $p = .05$), although not by Accessibility/Availability ($\beta = .04$, *ns*). Finally, at 4 years, only Cooperation/Attunement marginally predicted children's percentage of nighttime sleep ($\beta = .23$, $p = .06$).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to investigate the prospective links between maternal sensitivity and children's sleep across infancy and early childhood. Overall, the results indicated several positive associations between maternal sensitivity and children's percentage of nighttime sleep. However, and as observed by Dearing et al. (2001), associations varied across child ages. Indeed, the relations were negligible at 12 and 18 months, but generally increased in magnitude at 2, 3, and 4 years, with those involving Cooperation/Attunement becoming significant or marginally significant at all three ages. This pattern of generally more compelling results at later

1
2
3 ages seems especially stimulating from a developmental and conceptual point of view, given that
4
5
6 maternal sensitivity was assessed early, at 12 months only. From a purely methodological point
7
8
9 of view, the increasingly longer time lags between the assessments of maternal sensitivity and
10
11
12 child sleep should have decreased the likelihood of finding substantial relations with sleep at
13
14
15 later ages. On the contrary, results became more compelling from 2 years on despite this lesser
16
17
18 methodological proximity, suggesting that developmental processes are likely to be at play.

19
20 The results at 2, 3, and 4 years are in line with previous research that found positive
21
22
23 associations between maternal behaviors and children's sleep from 3 years to school age (Adam
24
25
26 et al., 2007; Bell & Belsky, 2008; Bordeleau et al., 2012; Spilsbury et al., 2005; but see Bates et
27
28
29 al., 2002; Weinraub et al., 2012). Furthermore, the absence of associations at 12 and 18 months
30
31
32 is also consistent with other studies that found minimal associations between infants' sleep and
33
34
35 aspects of mother-child relationships such as maternal sensitivity (Scher, 2001a) and mother
36
37
38 child attachment security (Scher, 2001b; Scher & Asher, 2004), although other studies have
39
40
41 found associations between maternal behaviors and infants' sleep (Priddis, 2009; Teti et al.,
42
43
44 2010). Thus, while exceptions exist, there are indications including in the current study that the
45
46
47 links between maternal behaviors and children's sleep might perhaps be more compelling when
48
49
50 sleep is assessed after infancy. This would be in line with the proposition that individual
51
52
53 differences in infants' sleep are explained mostly by maturational factors, whereas environmental
54
55
56 factors such as caregiving would become more important for children's sleep as they get older
57
58
59 (Dearing et al., 2001; Jenni & LeBourgeois, 2006). Given also that very pronounced changes in
60
children's sleep occur throughout the second year of life, followed by a period of stabilization
thereafter (Acebo et al., 2005; National Sleep Foundation, 2004), it has been suggested that it

1
2
3 may be too early, prior to toddlerhood, to effectively measure putative effects of caregiving on
4
5 children's sleep (Bordeleau et al., 2012).
6
7

8 An important consideration, however, is that maternal sensitivity was the only
9
10 environmental factor measured here; hence, the current results should not be taken as evidence
11
12 that environment factors, or even caregiving factors do not play a role in infant sleep. In
13
14 particular, it may be that environmental factors closer to the sleep domain (sleep arrangements,
15
16 bedtime routines, bedtime and nighttime parenting) do matter for infant sleep, perhaps more so
17
18 than daytime maternal sensitivity. This would be congruent with the work of Teti et al. (2010) as
19
20 well as Philbrook and Teti (2016) who found that maternal sensitivity at bedtime and during the
21
22 night was associated with infant sleep. It could be potentially explained, as Teti et al. (2015)
23
24 proposed, by the fact that bedtime and nighttime parenting are more proximal to infant sleep
25
26 behaviors, thereby possibly exerting a greater influence in comparison to daytime parenting.
27
28
29
30

31
32 Given the design used for the current study, however, a central developmental issue
33
34 raised by the present results is the process by which maternal sensitivity in infancy may come to
35
36 impact toddlers' and preschoolers' sleep consolidation while not first impacting their sleep
37
38 during infancy. Although the current correlational design precludes causal inference, the pattern
39
40 of longitudinal associations is consistent with such an interpretation. While necessarily
41
42 speculative, some hypotheses can be proposed to explain this deferred association. First, it is
43
44 possible that early maternal sensitivity does impact infant concurrent sleep, but the impact is
45
46 specific to aspects of sleep that were not assessed here (for instance, the proportion of slow-wave
47
48 sleep or REM sleep). These, in turn, would pave the way to the higher sleep consolidation
49
50 observed here in the toddlerhood and preschool years. Alternatively, it could be that early
51
52 maternal sensitivity first supports adaptive regulation at a basic psychophysiological level in
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 infancy, which in turn would favor later sleep consolidation, as suggested by prior research with
4 school-aged children (El-Sheikh & Buckhalt, 2005; El-Sheikh, Erath, & Bagley, 2013). Finally,
5
6 it may be that early maternal sensitivity, as assessed here, is merely an indicator of later
7
8 sensitivity, as experienced by the child at the moment of the subsequent sleep assessments, and
9
10 that it is concurrent rather than early sensitivity that relates to child sleep – a hypothesis that was
11
12 not possible to test here given the lack of subsequent sensitivity assessments in this study.
13
14
15
16

17
18 In addition to developmental issues, an important methodological factor to consider when
19
20 studying the caregiving-sleep links is the exact aspect of sleep studied. In the current sample,
21
22 none of the dimensions of maternal sensitivity were related to the longest bout of uninterrupted
23
24 nighttime sleep at any age, which is recognized as a useful indicator of sleep among infants and
25
26 toddlers (Coons & Guilleminault, 1984; Sadeh & Anders, 1993). Maternal sleep diaries are,
27
28 however, not the optimal assessment tools for this sleep parameter, given that assessment of the
29
30 longest bout of uninterrupted nighttime sleep requires the accurate detection of night
31
32 awakenings, which are difficult to assess reliably with parental diaries (Sadeh, 1996). We
33
34 nonetheless chose to consider this mother-reported sleep variable in the current study, owing to
35
36 previous studies that did find theoretically sound associations between maternal sensitivity and
37
38 sleep variables that included maternal reports of child awakenings (Bell & Belsky, 2008; Priddis,
39
40 2009). Still, the different results found here across the two sleep parameters might be due to the
41
42 fact that the percentage of night sleep was assessed more reliably.
43
44
45
46
47

48
49 Besides child age and sleep parameters, another potential explanation for the
50
51 inconsistency in previous results regarding the relation between maternal sensitivity and
52
53 children's sleep relates to the fact that each study assessed maternal sensitivity in a different
54
55 context and with a different coding system, in addition to examining different maternal
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 behaviors. Because different components of maternal behavior can have distinct contributions to
4
5 children's development (e.g., Meins, Fernyhough, Fradley, & Tuckey, 2001; Moran, Forbes,
6
7 Evans, Tarabulsy, & Madigan, 2008), it may be important, when studying links between
8
9 maternal sensitivity and children's sleep, to consider multiple components of maternal
10
11 sensitivity. In this context, a new structure of the MBQS was used and allowed us to investigate
12
13 the hypothesis that, indeed, different dimensions of maternal sensitivity might contribute
14
15 differently to children's sleep. Overall, only Cooperation/Attunement showed reliable links to
16
17 child sleep at different ages. This specificity of reliable associations with
18
19 Cooperation/Attunement is noteworthy, given that this dimension reflects closely the original
20
21 definition of maternal sensitivity, namely the mother's capacity to accurately perceive the child's
22
23 cues and to respond promptly and appropriately (Ainsworth et al., 1974). With regards to
24
25 children's sleep specifically, it could be argued that the behaviors included in the
26
27 Cooperation/Attunement dimension (see Appendix) are especially likely to contribute to the
28
29 promotion of children's self-regulation and emotional security, which are believed to favor sleep
30
31 consolidation among children (Dahl, 1996; Keller, 2011). Indeed, some of those items describe
32
33 mothers' efficacy and rapidity in responding to their infant's cues, which is believed to allow
34
35 infants to establish a clear contingency between their cues and their caregiver's responses, thus
36
37 favoring self-regulation (Leerkes et al., 2009). Another set of items of the Cooperation/
38
39 Attunement dimension describes mothers who adjust the interaction adequately based on their
40
41 infant's pace and current state. This could gradually convey to infants that they can exert a
42
43 certain level of control over their environment (Baumwell et al., 1997), which could promote
44
45 adaptation to stress and thus support sleep consolidation (Keller, 2011). Nonetheless, given that
46
47 this study's analyses of specific dimensions of sensitivity were exploratory, further research is
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

needed to decipher the links between precise aspects of parenting and child sleep.

The current research presents limitations that restrict the conclusions that can be drawn. As mentioned above, the design used precludes causal inference or investigation of bidirectional effects. Another concern is that children's sleep was measured with sleep diaries. As mentioned above, other sleep assessments, particularly objective assessments such as actigraphy or polysomnography, might have produced different patterns of results, for instance regarding the longest bout of uninterrupted sleep. Additionally, concurrent measures of sensitivity were not available, making it impossible to determine whether it is early or concurrent maternal sensitivity that accounts for observed associations with child sleep. Finally, only mothers were included, and it is likely that fathers make unique contributions to their children's sleep.

Despite those limitations, the current results contribute to expanding the literature on parenting and children's sleep, by shedding light on some of the factors that may contribute to explain prior discrepant findings and thus suggesting new research directions. In particular, the repeated sleep assessments provide insight into the possibly critical role of child age in the parenting-sleep links, and suggest that researchers should take developmental considerations into account when investigating parenting and child sleep. Of note, longitudinal designs and related analytic strategies can also allow researchers to tackle qualitatively different developmental questions than those addressed here. For instance, growth curve modeling could be used in future studies to examine the *rate of change* in sleep parameters across time. Although examination of such developmental trajectories was not suited to this study's aim to examine the links between early mothering and sleep at different discrete ages, such analyses can be worthwhile when pursuing different research aims, pertaining to increasing or decreasing developmental trends on certain sleep variables.

1
2
3 Also, considering that different components of maternal behavior can have distinct
4 contributions to children's adaptation (Moran et al., 2008) and provided the current findings,
5 which tentatively point to the Cooperation/Attunement dimension as showing more compelling
6 relations to child sleep, researchers investigating associations between maternal sensitivity and
7 children's sleep should adopt a multidimensional perspective: various sleep parameters and
8 multiple components of maternal sensitivity should be assessed at different ages. Given the
9 availability of evidence-based intervention modalities to improve maternal sensitivity (see
10 Bakermans-Kranenburg, Van IJzendoorn, & Juffer, 2003), such a multidimensional research
11 orientation could contribute to the development of well-targeted intervention efforts, tailored to
12 the aspects of maternal sensitivity most likely to promote quality sleep in children of different
13 ages. The development of such interventions could contribute to improving young children's
14 sleep quality and hence support their optimal development.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- Acebo, C., Sadeh, A., Seifer, R., Tzischinsky, O., Hafer, A., & Carskadon, M. (2005). Sleep/wake patterns derived from activity monitoring and maternal report for healthy 1- to 5- year-old children. *Sleep, 28*, 1568-1577. Retrieved from http://www.sleepforscience.org/stuff/contentmgr/files/fafa930188788db54d44a4e7073e2a46/pdf/acebo_etal._2005.pdf
- Adam, E. K., Snell, E. K., & Pendry, P. (2007). Sleep timing and quantity in ecological and family context: A nationally representative time-diary study. *Journal of Family Psychology, 21*, 4-19. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2869.2007.00603.x
- Ainsworth, M. D. S., Bell, S. M., & Stayton, D. J. (1974). Infant–mother attachment and social development: “Socialization” as a product of reciprocal responsiveness to signals. In P. M. Richards (Ed.), *The integration of a child into a social world* (pp. 99 –135). Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Ainsworth, M.D.S., Blehar, M.C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of attachment*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Astill, R. G., Van der Heijden, K. B., Van IJzendoorn, M. H., & Van Someren, E. J. (2012). Sleep, cognition, and behavioral problems in school-age children: A century of research meta-analyzed. *Psychological Bulletin, 138*, 1109-1138. doi:10.1037/a0028204
- Bailey, H., Bernier, A., Bouvette-Turcot, A. A, Tarabulsy, G., Pederson, D.R., & Becker-Stoll, F. (2016). *Deconstructing maternal sensitivity: Predictive relations to mother-child attachment in home and laboratory settings*. Manuscript revised and resubmitted.
- Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., Van IJzendoorn, M. H., & Juffer, F. (2003). Less is more: Meta-analyses of sensitivity and attachment interventions in early childhood. *Psychological Bulletin, 129*, 195-215. doi:10.1037/0033-2909.129.2.195

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Bates, J., Viken, R., Alexander, D., Beyers, J., & Stockton, L. (2002). Sleep and adjustment in preschool children: Sleep diary reports by mothers relate to behavior reports by teachers. *Child Development, 73*, 62-74. doi:10.1111/1467-8624.00392
- Baumwell, L., Tamis-LeMonda, C. S., & Bornstein, M. H. (1997). Maternal verbal sensitivity and child language comprehension. *Infant Behavior and Development, 20*, 247-258. doi:10.1016/S0163-6383(97)90026-6
- Bayer, J. K., Hiscock, H., Hampton, A., & Wake, M. (2007). Sleep problems in young infants and maternal mental and physical health. *Journal of Paediatrics and Child Health, 43*, 66-73. doi:10.1111/j.1440-1754.2007.01005.x
- Behrens, K. Y., Parker, A. C., & Kulkofsky, S. (2014). Stability of Maternal Sensitivity Across Time and Contexts with Q-Sort Measures. *Infant and Child Development, 23*, 532-541. doi: 10.1002/icd.1835
- Bélangier, M-E., Bernier, A., Simard, V., Bordeleau, S., & Carrier, J. (2015). Objective and subjective measures of sleep among preschoolers: Disentangling attachment security and dependency. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development, 80*, 125-140. doi:10.1111/mono.12148
- Bélangier, M. È., Bernier, A., Simard, V., Desrosiers, K., & Carrier, J. (2015). Sleeping Toward Behavioral Regulation: Relations Between Sleep and Externalizing Symptoms in Toddlers and Preschoolers. *Journal of Clinical Child & Adolescent Psychology*. Advance online publication. doi:10.1080/15374416.2015.1079782
- Bélangier, M-E., Simard, V., Bernier, A., & Carrier, J. (2014). Investigating the convergence between actigraphy, maternal sleep diaries and the Child Behavior Checklist as measures of sleep in toddlers. *Frontiers in Psychiatry, 5*, article 158. doi:10.3389 /fpsyt.2014.00158

1
2
3 Bell, B. G., & Belsky, J. (2008). Parents, parenting, and children's sleep problems: Exploring
4 reciprocal effects. *British Journal of Developmental Psychology*, *26*, 579-593.

5
6
7
8 doi:10.1348/026151008X285651

9
10 Bernier, A., Beauchamp, M. H., Bouvette-Turcot, A. A., Carlson, S. M., & Carrier, J. (2013).
11 Sleep and cognition in preschool years: Specific links to executive functioning. *Child*
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Development, *84*, 1542-1553. doi:10.1111/cdev.12063

Bordeleau, S., Bernier, A., & Carrier, J. (2012). Longitudinal associations between the quality of
parent-child interactions and children's sleep at preschool age. *Journal of Family*
Psychology, *26*, 254-262. doi:10.1037/a0027366

Bornstein, M. (1985). How infant and mother jointly contribute to developing cognitive
competence in the child. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science*, *82*, 7470-7473.
Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/26393>

Coons, S., & Guilleminault, C. (1984). Development of consolidated sleep and wakeful periods
in relation to the day/night cycle in infancy. *Developmental Medicine and Child*
Neurology, *26*, 169-176. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.1984.tb04428.x

Coons, S., & Guilleminault, C. (1992). Development of sleep-wake patterns and REM sleep
stages during the first six months of life in normal infants. *Pediatrics*, *69*, 793-798.

Dahl, R. E. (1996). The regulation of sleep and arousal: Development and psychopathology.
Development and Psychopathology, *8*, 3-27. doi:10.1017/S095457940000694

Dahl, R. E., & El-Sheikh, M. (2007). Considering sleep in a family context: Introduction to the
special issue. *Journal of Family Psychology*, *21*, 1-3. doi:10.1037/0893-3200.21.1.1

Davis, D. W., & Logsdon, M. C. (2010). *Maternal sensitivity: A scientific foundation for*
practice. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers.

1
2
3 Davis, K. F., Parker, K. P., & Montgomery, G. L. (2004). Sleep in infants and young children.

4
5 Part one: normal sleep. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care*, 18, 65-71. doi:10.1016/S0891-

6
7
8 5245(03)00149-4

9
10 Dearing, E., McCartney, K., Marshall, N. L., & Warner, R. M. (2001). Parental reports of
11
12 children's sleep and wakefulness: longitudinal associations with cognitive and language

13
14 outcomes. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 24, 151-170. doi:10.1016/S0163-6383

15
16
17 (01)00074-1

18
19 Dionne, G., Touchette, E., Forget-Dubois, N., Petit, D., Tremblay, R. E., Montplaisir, J. Y., &

20
21 Boivin, M. (2011). Associations between sleep-wake consolidation and language

22
23 development in early childhood: A longitudinal twin study. *Sleep*, 34, 987-995.

24
25
26 doi:10.5665/SLEEP.1148

27
28 El-Sheikh, M. (2011). *Sleep and development: Familial and socio-cultural considerations*. New

29
30
31 York: Oxford University Press.

32
33 El-Sheikh, M., & Buckhalt, J. A. (2005). Vagal regulation and emotional intensity predict

34
35 children's sleep problems. *Developmental Psychobiology*, 46, 307-317. doi:10.1002

36
37
38 /dev.20066

39
40 El-Sheikh, M., Erath, S. A., & Bagley, E. J. (2013). Parasympathetic nervous system activity and

41
42 children's sleep. *Journal of Sleep Research*, 22, 282-288. doi:10.1111/jsr.12019

43
44
45 Feinberg, I., & Campbell, I. G. (2010). Sleep EEG changes during adolescence: An index of a

46
47
48 fundamental brain reorganization. *Brain and Cognition*, 72, 56-65. doi:10.1016/j.bandc

49
50
51 .2009.09.008

- 1
2
3 Gaina, A., Sekine, M., Chen, X., Hamanishi, S., & Kagamimori, S. (2004). Validity of child
4
5 sleep diary questionnaire among junior high school children. *Journal of Epidemiology, 14*,
6
7 1-4. doi:10.2188/jea.14.1
8
9
- 10 Graham, J. W. (2009). Missing data analysis: Making it work in the real world. *Annual Review of*
11
12 *Psychology, 60*, 549–576. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085530
13
14
- 15 Gregory, A. M., Eley, T. C., O'Connor, T. G., & Plomin, R. (2004). Etiologies of associations
16
17 between childhood sleep and behavioral problems in a large twin sample. *Journal of the*
18
19 *American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, 43*, 744-751. doi:10.1097/01.chi
20
21 /0000122798.47863.a5
22
23
- 24 Gregory, A.M., & Sadeh, A. (2012). Sleep, emotional and behavioral difficulties in children and
25
26 adolescents. *Sleep Medicine Reviews, 16*, 129-136. doi:10.1016 /j.smr.2011.03.007
27
28
- 29 Hayes, M. (2002). Methodological issues in the study of arousal and awakenings during sleep in
30
31 the human infants. In P. Salzarulo & G. Ficca (Eds.), *Awakening and sleep-wake cycle*
32
33 *across development* (pp. 21-45). Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
34
35
- 36 Jellicic, H., Phelps, E., & Lener, R. M. (2009). Use of missing data methods in longitudinal
37
38 studies: The persistence of bad practices in developmental psychology. *Developmental*
39
40 *Psychology, 45*, 1195-1199. doi: 10.1037/a0015665
41
42
- 43 Jenkins, S., Owen, C., Bax, M., & Hart, H. (1984). Continuities of common behaviour problems
44
45 in pre-school children. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, 25*, 75–89.
46
47 doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.1984.tb01720.x
48
49
- 50 Jenni, O. G., & Carskadon, M. A. (2007). Sleep behavior and sleep regulation from infancy
51
52 through adolescence: Normative aspects. *Sleep Medicine Clinics, 2*, 321–329.
53
54 doi:10.1010/j.jsmc.2007.05.001
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Jenni, O. G., & LeBourgeois, M. K. (2006). Understanding sleep-wake behavior and sleep
4
5 disorders in children: The value of a model. *Current Opinion in Psychiatry*, *19*, 282-287.
6
7 doi:10.1097/01.yco.0000218599.32969.03
8
9
- 10 Keller, P. S. (2011). Sleep and attachment. In M. El-Sheikh (Ed.), *Sleep and development:
11
12 Familial and socio-cultural considerations* (pp. 49-78). New York, NY: Oxford University
13
14 Press.
15
16
- 17 Keller, P., & El-Sheikh, M. (2011). Children's emotional security and sleep: Longitudinal
18
19 relations and directions of effects. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *52*, 64 –71.
20
21 doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2010.02263.x
22
23
- 24 Leerkes, E. M., Blankson, A. N., & O'Brien, M. (2009). Differential effects of maternal
25
26 sensitivity to infant distress and nondistress on social-emotional functioning. *Child
27
28 Development*, *80*, 762-775. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01296.x
29
30
- 31 Lemelin, J.-P., Tarabulsky, G. M., & Provost, M. A. (2006). Predicting preschool cognitive
32
33 development from infant temperament, maternal sensitivity and psychosocial risk. *Merrill-
34
35 Palmer Quarterly*, *52*, 779–806. doi:10.1353 mpq.2006.0038
36
37
- 38 Leproult, R., & Van Cauter, E. (2010). Role of sleep and sleep loss in hormonal release and
39
40 metabolism. *Endocrine Development*, *17*, 11–21. doi:10.1159/000262524
41
42
- 43 Meins, E., Fernyhough, C., Fradley, E., & Tuckey, M. (2001). Rethinking maternal sensitivity:
44
45 Mothers' comments on infants' mental processes predict security of attachment at 12
46
47 months. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *42*, 637-648. doi: 10.1111/1469-
48
49 7610.00759
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Mesman, J., & Emmen, R. A. (2013). Mary Ainsworth's legacy: A systematic review of
4
5 observational instruments measuring parental sensitivity. *Attachment and Human*
6
7 *Development, 15*, 485-506. doi:10.1080/14616734.2013.820900
8
9
- 10 Mirmiran, M., & Vans Smeren, E. (1993). The importance of REM sleep for brain maturation.
11
12 *Journal of Sleep Research, 2*, 188-192. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2869.1993.tb00088.x
13
14
- 15 Moran, G., Forbes, L., Evans, E., Tarabulsky, G. M., & Madigan, S. (2008). Both maternal
16
17 sensitivity and atypical maternal behavior independently predict attachment security and
18
19 disorganization in adolescent mother– infant relationships. *Infant Behavior and*
20
21 *Development, 31*, 321–325. doi:10.1016/j.infbeh.2007.12.012
22
23
- 24 National Sleep Foundation. (2004). *Sleep in America poll*. Retrieved from
25
26 <http://www.sleepfoundation.org/sites/default/files/FINAL%20SOF%202004.pdf>
27
28
- 29 Paret, I. (1983). Night-waking and its relation to mother-infant interaction in nine month old
30
31 infants. In J.D. Call, E. Galenson, & R.L. Tyson (Eds.), *Frontiers of Infant Psychiatry* (pp.
32
33 171-177). New York, NY: Basic Books.
34
35
- 36 Pederson, D. R., & Moran, G. (1995). A categorical description of attachment relationships in
37
38 the home and its relation to Q-Sort measures of infant-mother interaction. *Monographs of*
39
40 *the Society for Research in Child Development, 60*, 111-132. doi:10.2307/1166174
41
42
- 43 Philbrook, L. E., & Teti, D. M. (2016). Bidirectional associations between bedtime parenting and
44
45 infant sleep: Parenting quality, parenting practices, and their interaction. *Journal of Family*
46
47 *Psychology, 30*, 431-441. doi:10.1037/fam0000198
48
49
- 50 Priddis, L. E. (2009). Tuned in parenting and infant sleep patterns. *Early Child Development and*
51
52 *Care, 179*, 259-269. doi:10.1080/03004430600912132
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Sadeh, A. (1996). Evaluating night wakings in sleep-disturbed infants: A methodological study
4 of parental reports and actigraphy. *Sleep*, *19*, 757-762. Retrieved from
5
6 <http://www.tau.ac.il/~sadeh/clinic/Parents%20know%20Sadeh.pdf>
7
8
9
10 Sadeh, A. (2004). A brief screening questionnaire for infant sleep problems: Validation and
11 findings for an Internet sample. *Pediatrics*, *113*, 570-577. Retrieved from <http://pediatrics>
12 [.aappublications.org/content/113/6/e570.full](http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/113/6/e570.full)
13
14
15
16
17 Sadeh, A. (2008). Commentary: Comparing actigraphy and parental report as measures of
18 children's sleep. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology*, *33*, 406-407. doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsn018
19
20
21
22 Sadeh, A., & Anders, T. F. (1993). Infant sleep problems: Origins, assessment,
23 interventions. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, *14*, 17-34. doi:10.1002/10970355
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Schafer, J. L. (1997). *Analysis of incomplete multivariate data*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Scher, A. (2001a). Mother-child interaction and sleep regulation in one-year olds. *Infant and Child Development*, *22*, 515-528. doi:10.1002/imhj.1015
- Scher, A. (2001b). Attachment and sleep: A study of night waking in 12-month-old infants. *Developmental Psychobiology*, *38*, 274-285. doi:10.1002/dev.1020
- Scher, A., & Asher, R. (2004). Is attachment security related to sleep-wake regulation?: Mothers' reports and objective sleep recordings. *Infant Behavior and Development*, *27*, 288-302. doi:10.1016/j.infbeh.2003.12.002
- Seifer, R., Schiller, M., Sameroff, A. J., Resnick, S., & Riordan, K. (1996). Attachment, maternal sensitivity, and infant temperament during the first year of life. *Developmental Psychology*, *32*, 12-25. doi:10.1037//0012-1649.32.1.12

1
2
3 Sheldon, S.H. (2001). Insomnia in children. *Current Treatment Options in Neurology*, 3, 37-50.

4
5 Retrieved from <http://download.springer.com/static/pdf/816>

6
7
8 [/art%253A10.1007%252Fs11940-001-00229.pdf?auth66=1417366478n](http://art%253A10.1007%252Fs11940-001-00229.pdf?auth66=1417366478n)

9
10
11 [_e739aacb016a810ec29e311fd46f0e9e&ext=.pdf](#)

12
13 Spilsbury, J. C., Storfer-Isser, A., Drotar, D., Rosen, C. L., Kirchner, H. L., & Redline, S. (2005).

14
15 Effects of the home environment on school-aged children's sleep. *Sleep*, 28, 1419–1427.

16
17 Retrieved from [http://www.journalsleep.org/Articles/281113 .pdf](http://www.journalsleep.org/Articles/281113.pdf)

18
19 St-James-Roberts, I., & Plewis, I. (1996). Individual differences, daily fluctuations, and

20
21 developmental changes in amounts of infant waking, fussing, crying, feeding, and sleeping.

22
23 *Child Development*, 67, 2527–2540. doi:10.2307/1131638

24
25 Tarabulsky, G. M., Provost, M. A., Larose, S., Moss, E., Lemelin, J. P., Moran, G., ... Pederson,

26
27 D. R. (2008). Similarities and differences in mothers' and observers' ratings of infant

28
29 security on the Attachment Q-Sort. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 31, 10-22.

30
31 doi:10.1016/j.infbeh.2007.05.002

32
33 Teti, D. M., Kim, B. R., Mayer, G., & Counterline, M. (2010). Maternal emotional availability

34
35 at bedtime predicts infant sleep quality. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 24, 307-315.

36
37 doi:10.1037/a0019306

38
39 Teti, D. M., Philbrook, L., Shimizu, M., Reader, J., Rhee, H. Y., McDaniel, B., ... & Jian, N.

40
41 (2015). The Social Ecology of Infant Sleep. In S. Calkins (Ed.), *Handbook of Infant*

42
43 *Biopsychosocial Development* (pp. 359-391). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.

44
45 Thome, M., & Skuladottir, A. (2005). Changes in sleep problems, parents distress and impact of

46
47 sleep problems from infancy to preschool age for referred and unreferred children.

48
49

50
51

52
53

54
55

56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Scandinavian Journal of Caring Sciences, 19, 86–94. doi:10.1111 /j.1471-6712.2005.00322.x

Tikotzky, L., & Sadeh, A. (2001). Sleep patterns and sleep disruptions in kindergarten children. *Journal of clinical child psychology*, 30, 581-591. doi:10.1207/S15374424JCCP3004_13

Tikotzky, L., & Sadeh, A. (2009). Maternal sleep-related cognitions and infant sleep: A longitudinal study from pregnancy through the 1st year. *Child Development*, 80, 860-874. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2009.01302.x

Van IJzendoorn, M. H., Vereijken, C. M. J. L., Bakermans-Kranenburg, M. J., & Riksen-Walraven, J. M. (2004). Assessing attachment security with the Attachment Q-Sort: Meta-analytic evidence for the validity of the observer AQS. *Child Development*, 75, 1188 – 1213. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2004.00733.x

Wake, M., Morton-Allen, E., Poulakis, Z., Hiscock, H., Gallagher, S., & Oberklaid, F. (2006). Prevalence, stability, and outcomes of cry-fuss and sleep problems in the first 2 years of life: Prospective community-based study. *Pediatrics*, 117, 836-842. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-0775

Weinraub, M., Bender, R. H., Friedman, S. L., Susman, E. J., Knoke, B., Bradley, R., ... Williams, J. (2012). Patterns of developmental change in infants' nighttime sleep awakenings from 6 through 36 months of age. *Developmental Psychology*, 48, 1511-1528. doi:10.1037/a0027680

Werner, H., Molinari, L., Guyer, C., & Jenni, O. G. (2008). Agreement rates between actigraphy, diary, and questionnaire for children's sleep patterns. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*, 162, 350-358. doi:10.1001/archpedi.162.4.350

MATERNAL SENSITIVITY AND CHILD SLEEP

34

Table 1

Means, Standard Deviations and Ranges for the Main Study Variables

| Variables | M | SD | Observed range |
|---|-------|------|----------------|
| Maternal sensitivity | | | |
| Cooperation/Attunement | 7.26 | 1.27 | 2.29-8.71 |
| Positivity | 7.54 | 1.12 | 1.31-8.85 |
| Accessibility/Availability | 6.31 | 1.51 | 1.09-8.27 |
| Percentage of nighttime sleep (%) | | | |
| 12 months | 71.93 | 9.41 | 36.75-90.7 |
| 18 months | 74.73 | 9.27 | 29.41-90.91 |
| 2 years | 77.76 | 8.67 | 50.82-100 |
| 3 years | 82.44 | 8.71 | 57.89-100 |
| 4 years | 86.62 | 7.61 | 67.86-100 |
| Longest uninterrupted bout of sleep (hours) | | | |
| 12 months | 10.62 | 1.94 | 1-13.50 |
| 18 months | 11.15 | 1.52 | 3.50-17.50 |
| 2 years | 10.81 | 1.35 | 2.50-14 |
| 3 years | 10.77 | 0.80 | 8-13 |
| 4 years | 10.72 | 0.92 | 6-13 |

Note. Values for percentage of nighttime sleep are averages of the three days of data.

Table 2

Correlations between Sleep Parameters and Dimensions of Maternal Sensitivity at Each Age

| | Maternal sensitivity (12 months) | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|--------------------------------|
| | Cooperation/ Attunement | Positivity | Accessibility/ Availability |
| 12 months | | | |
| Percentage of nighttime sleep | .10 | .03 | .08 |
| Longest uninterrupted bout of sleep | -.04 | .09 | -.06 |
| 18 months | | | |
| Percentage of nighttime sleep | .11 | .02 | .02 |
| Longest uninterrupted bout of sleep | .05 | .12 | -.01 |
| 2 years | | | |
| Percentage of nighttime sleep | .33** | .11 | .21* |
| Longest uninterrupted bout of sleep | .03 | -.01 | .05 |
| 3 years | | | |
| Percentage of nighttime sleep | .27** | .28** | .18 |
| Longest uninterrupted bout of sleep | -.11 | -.10 | -.10 |
| 4 years | | | |
| Percentage of nighttime sleep | .21* | .05 | .12 |
| Longest uninterrupted bout of sleep | .04 | .03 | .03 |

Note. Values for percentage of nighttime sleep are averages of the three days of data.

* $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$

Table 3

Hierarchical Regression Models Predicting Percentage of Nighttime Sleep from Dimensions of Maternal Sensitivity

| | R^2 | ΔR^2 | F | β |
|----------------------------|-------|--------------|--------|------------------|
| 12 months | | | | |
| 1. Cooperation/Attunement | .01 | .01 | .53 | .08 |
| Positivity | | | | .02 |
| Accessibility/Availability | | | | .04 |
| 18 months | | | | |
| 1. Cooperation/Attunement | .03 | .03 | 1.30 | .14 |
| Positivity | | | | .05 |
| Accessibility/Availability | | | | .06 |
| 2 years | | | | |
| 1. Cooperation/Attunement | .11 | .11 | 5.52** | .30** |
| Positivity | | | | .02 |
| Accessibility/Availability | | | | .07 |
| 3 years | | | | |
| 1. Cooperation/Attunement | .13 | .13 | 5.83** | .20 ^t |
| Positivity | | | | .18* |
| Accessibility/Availability | | | | .04 |
| 4 years | | | | |
| 1. Family income | .06 | .06 | 8.45* | .23* |
| 2. Cooperation/Attunement | .09 | .06 | 2.80 | .23 ^t |
| Positivity | | | | .04 |
| Accessibility/Availability | | | | .05 |

Note. Values for percentage of nighttime sleep are averages of the three days of data.

^t $p < .10$. * $p < .05$. ** $p < .01$.

Appendix

Items Included in each Dimension of Maternal Sensitivity

| |
|--|
| Cooperation/Attunement |
| Interactions revolve around baby's tempo and current state. |
| Often misses "slow down" or "back off" signals from baby during face-to-face play (R). |
| Well-resolved interaction with baby – interaction ends when baby is satisfied. |
| Subjects baby to constant and unphased barrage of stimulation; baby overwhelmed (R). |
| Interprets cues correctly as evidenced by baby's response. |
| When baby is distressed, is able to quickly and accurately identify the source. |
| Slows pace down; waits for baby's response in face-to-face interactions. |
| Content and pace of interactions with the baby seem to be set by mother rather than according to baby's responses (R). |
| Unaware of or insensitive to baby's signs of distress (R). |
| Sometimes is aware of baby's signals of distress, but ignores or does not respond immediately to these signals (R). |
| Rough or intrusive in interactions with baby (R). |
| Interactions appropriately vigorous and exciting as judged from baby's responses. |
| Responds only to frequent, prolonged, or intense signals (R). |
| Responds immediately to cries/whimpers. |
| Responds consistently to baby's signals. |
| Responses to baby's communications are inconsistent and unpredictable (R). |
| Teases baby beyond point where baby seems to enjoy it (R). |
| Positivity |
| Is animated in social interactions with baby. |
| Flat affect when interacting with baby (R). |
| Comments are generally positive when speaking about baby |
| Praise directed toward baby. |
| Is delighted over baby. |
| Critical in her descriptions of baby (R). |
| Predominantly positive mood about baby. |
| Displays affection by touching. |
| Seldom speaks to the baby directly (R). |
| Seems overwhelmed, depressed (R). |
| When holding, cuddles baby as a typical mode of interaction; molds baby to self. |
| Seems "long suffering" in her attitude about her maternal duties (R). |
| Seems awkward and ill at ease when interacting directly with the baby face to face (R). |
| Accessibility/Availability |
| Often seems to forget baby is present in the room during interaction with visitor (R). |
| Not skillful in dividing her attention between baby and competing demands; thus misses baby's cues (R). |

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

| |
|--|
| Arranges her location so that she can perceive baby's signals. |
| Preoccupied with interview – seems to ignore baby (R). |
| Monitors and responds to baby even when engaged in some other activity such as cooking or having a conversation with visitor. |
| Seems to be aware of baby even when not in the same room. |
| Leaves the room without any sort of "signal" or "explanation" to the baby (e.g. "I'll be back in just a minute") (R). |
| Sometimes will break off from the child in mid-interaction to speak to visitor or attend to some other activity that suddenly comes to mind (R). |
| When in the same room as baby, provides baby with unrestricted access to her. |
| Fails to interrupt activity by her baby that is likely to be dangerous (R). |
| Greets baby when reentering room. |

For Peer Review