

Title:

A prospective two-year examination of cognitive and behavioral correlates of provoked vestibulodynia outcomes

Authors:

Seth NP Davis PhD¹, Sophie Bergeron PhD¹, Katy Bois BSc¹, Gentiana Sadikaj PhD², Yitzchak M Binik PhD², Marc Steben MD³

Affiliations:

1. Université de Montréal, Department of Psychology
2. McGill University, Department of Psychology
3. Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal

Corresponding author:

Seth NP Davis
1205 Rue Dr. Penfield
Montreal, Quebec, Canada
H3A 1B1
seth.davis@mail.mcgill.ca
514-398-5323

Tables: 3

Figures: 1

Abstract

Background:

Provoked vestibulodynia (PVD) is a common genital pain disorder in women, which is associated with sexual dysfunction and lowered sexual satisfaction. A potentially applicable cognitive-behavioral model of chronic pain and disability is the fear-avoidance model (FAM) of pain. The FAM posits that cognitive variables, such as pain catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety lead to avoidance of pain-provoking behaviors (intercourse), resulting in continued pain and disability. Although some of the FAM variables have been shown to be associated with PVD pain and sexuality outcomes, the model as a whole has never been tested in this population. An additional protective factor, pain self-efficacy, is also associated with PVD, but has not been tested within the FAM model.

Aim:

Using a two-year longitudinal design, we aimed to examine (1) whether initial levels (T1) of the independent FAM variables and pain self-efficacy were associated with changes in pain, sexual function and sexual satisfaction over the two-year time period, (2) the prospective contribution of changes in cognitive-affective (FAM) variables to changes in pain, and sexuality outcomes and (3) whether these were mediated by behavioral change (avoidance of intercourse).

Methods:

A sample of 222 women with PVD completed self-report measures of FAM variables, self-efficacy, pain, sexual function and sexual satisfaction at Time 1 and at a two-year follow-up. Structural equation modeling with latent difference scores was used to examine changes and to examine mediation between variables.

Main Outcomes:

Questionnaires included the Pain Catastrophizing Scale, McGill Pain Questionnaire, Trait Anxiety Inventory, Pain Self-Efficacy Scale, and Global Measure of Sexual Satisfaction, Female Sexual Function Index.

Results:

Participants who reported higher self-efficacy at T1 reported greater declines in pain, greater increases in sexual satisfaction, and greater declines in sexual function over the two time points. The overall change model did not support the FAM using negative cognitive-affective variables. Only increases in pain self-efficacy were associated with reductions in pain intensity. The relationship between changes in self-efficacy and changes in pain was partially mediated through changes in avoidance (more intercourse attempts). The same pattern of results was found for changes in sexual satisfaction as the outcome, and a partial mediation effect was found. There were no significant predictors of changes in sexual function other than T1 self-efficacy.

Discussion:

Changes in both cognitive and behavioral variables were significantly associated with improved pain and sexual satisfaction outcomes. However, it was the positive changes in self-efficacy that better predicted changes in avoidance behavior, pain and sexual satisfaction. Cognitive behavioral therapy is often focused on changing negative pain-related cognitions to reduce avoidance and pain, but the present results demonstrate the potential importance of bolstering positive self-beliefs as well. Indeed, before engaging in exposure therapies, self-efficacy beliefs should be assessed and potentially targeted to improve adherence to exposure strategies.

Introduction

Provoked vestibulodynia (PVD) is one of the most common genital pain conditions in women, with prevalence rates estimated around 12%¹. PVD is characterized by burning and cutting pain at the vulvar vestibule, which is generally elicited by pressure or rubbing to the area. Not surprisingly, PVD is associated with significant sexual dysfunction and lowered sexual satisfaction². Both biomedical and psychosocial factors have been hypothesized to be involved in the development and maintenance of PVD. Proposed biomedical pathways suggest that initial trauma to the genitalia may trigger inflammatory processes, pelvic floor muscle dysfunction, and other local changes, leading to increased pain sensitization and pain persistence³. Alternative psychosocial explanations for the development and maintenance of PVD include maladaptive pain-related cognitions and behaviors that exacerbate pain and contribute to pain-related disability and sexual dysfunction⁴. At present, no convincing evidence justifies one single biomedical or psychosocial explanation. To add to the complexity of PVD, the triggers associated with the onset of the condition are often unclear and may be distinct from the factors that maintain and exacerbate it, and the predictors of pain outcomes can differ from those of sexuality outcomes, both of which are important to patient well-being. Unfortunately, much of the research to date has focused on trying to identify factors associated with the development of this prevalent condition, with little work examining variables that may modulate the pain experience and associated sexual difficulties. Furthermore, the few published studies tend to be cross-sectional and therefore only provide partial information^{2,5}. The present two-year prospective study examined how changes in pain-related cognitions and emotions predicted changes in pain and sexual outcomes in women with PVD, and whether these changes were mediated through behavioral avoidance.

One of the most influential cognitive-behavioral theories of chronic pain and pain-related disability is the fear-avoidance model (FAM) (6,7). Although the model is most often applied to musculoskeletal pain, it may also be appropriate, in a modified form, to the prediction of PVD symptomatology. The FAM proposes a number of cognitive, affective, and behavioral steps that lead from pain to pain-related disability. Initially, individuals prone to pain catastrophizing tend to focus, ruminate and magnify the pain they experience. Catastrophic thinking about pain leads to fear of pain or anxiety, and more specifically, the belief that movement and movement-related pain will lead to re-injury. The behavioral effect of fear of pain and movement is an avoidance of potentially pain-inducing activities. The results of avoiding pain-inducing stimuli are that these beliefs are not challenged, which perpetuates the cycle and leads to disuse, disability, and depression. The FAM can also be conceptualized as a cycle by which disuse, disability, and depression lead to further pain.

The FAM may be a useful model for explaining some of the cognitive-behavioral aspects of pain and disability in PVD. Indeed, higher levels of pain catastrophizing have been shown to be associated with increased PVD pain ⁸, which is the first step of the FAM. Furthermore, higher pre-treatment levels of catastrophizing have also been found to predict increased pain intensity after CBT treatment ⁹. Although fear of movement and behavioral avoidance of movement are not strictly applicable to PVD, avoidance of sexual intercourse is common in these women ¹⁰. This has previously been proposed as the circle of fear, by which fear of pain leads to avoidance of penetration ⁴. Avoidance may then lead to lowered sexual arousal, vaginal dryness, and pelvic floor tension, which in turn may result in greater pain during the sexual experience. Indeed, harm-avoidance has been shown to be elevated in women with vaginismus, another sexual pain disorder, as compared to controls, while

women with dyspareunia had means that were intermediate between the two ¹¹. Hence, it is reasonable to hypothesize that the FAM could apply to women with PVD as well. Fear of pain has been examined specifically in PVD, but was not found to be an independent predictor of pain or disability above and beyond catastrophizing, although this study did not take into account the sequential aspects of the FAM ⁸. However, another study showed that pain-related fear reduced sexual arousal in women with PVD as well as healthy controls ¹². Thus the role of fear in PVD remains unclear to date.

In addition to FAM variables, self-efficacy has recently been shown to be an important predictor of pain and disability in chronic pain sufferers ¹³, and sometimes a better predictor than FAM variables in low-back pain ¹⁴. Pain self-efficacy is conceptualized as the degree to which someone feels they can cope with difficult situations, in this case, situation that may provoke vulvo-vaginal pain. Better self-efficacy would mean a greater sense of being able to cope with pain, and feelings of being able to engage in activities despite pain. Self-efficacy has also been studied in women with PVD using cross-sectional designs ⁸ and as a predictor of treatment outcomes ⁹. In this randomized trial comparing cognitive-behavioral therapy and a topical medical treatment, higher pre-treatment levels of self-efficacy were a significant predictor of better sexual function (disability) at six-month follow-up, although not pain intensity. One possible explanation is that self-efficacy mediates the relationship between pain and disability by increasing patients' engagement in the pain provoking behavior (sexual intercourse), thereby functioning to extinguish the association between pain and intercourse.

Both FAM variables and self-efficacy have important implications for the treatment of PVD. One increasingly common treatment option for PVD is cognitive-behavioral therapy ¹⁵. The

FAM dovetails nicely with cognitive-behavioral therapy as it points toward explicit negative cognitions to work on as well as behaviors to target by way of graduated exposure assignments. It also provides a temporal framework to work within, as the therapist must initially focus on challenging negative cognitions before explicitly addressing avoidance behaviors. A similar model has been used in the cognitive-behavioral treatment of vaginismus, with improvements using both group therapy and bibliotherapy ¹⁶. In particular, the actual behavioral engagement in the fear provoking stimuli (vaginal penetration) was shown to predict less fear of pain at later time points, and both fear of pain and penetration behaviors mediated outcomes ¹⁷. Furthermore, speed in treatment responding was mediated by fear of pain, such that late responders had higher levels of fear of pain than early responders. These results, however, were for women with vaginismus, and may not apply to PVD. Nonetheless, in another CBT treatment trial for women with PVD, higher perceived pain control was related to more improvement in pain outcomes, but only in women who reported an ability to engage in intercourse after the treatment ¹⁵. This suggests that perceived control, which shares much conceptual overlap with self-efficacy, is related to behavior.

An examination of FAM cognitive-affective variables and self-efficacy is not novel in genital pain. Several studies have demonstrated that fear of pain, self-efficacy, and pain catastrophizing are all related to pain intensity and sexual function/satisfaction ^{8,9,11,17}.

There are, however, a number of areas where this research can be expanded upon to refine cognitive-behavioral theoretical conceptualizations of PVD and to improve utility for clinical applications. One issue is the cross-sectional design of much of the previous research. While cross-sectional data give important information about cognitive factors that are related to pain and sexual dysfunction, they do not allow us to determine whether changes in these

cognitive variables are related to changes in outcomes. Second, these data assume a relationship between cognitive and behavioral variables, but within the PVD literature, these have not been tested in a sequential manner as proposed by the FAM. Doing so will allow this research to inform the development of targeted therapeutic interventions. Finally, to our knowledge, behavioral avoidance has never been measured in the context of the FAM as applied to PVD. Instead, previous studies on PVD assume that cognitions related to avoidance lead to actual behavioral avoidance, although this relationship has never been tested. The present study aimed to rectify this by measuring the number of attempts at intercourse as an indicator of avoidance and examining their association with pain-related cognitions and emotions.

The goals of the present two-year prospective study were three-fold. First, we aimed to examine whether initial levels of the independent FAM variables and pain self-efficacy predicted changes in pain and sexuality outcomes. Second, using change scores over two years, we aimed to examine whether changes in cognitive-affective variables would predict changes in pain and sexual outcomes over the same two-year period. Third, we aimed to examine whether the relationship between changes in cognitive-affective variables and changes in pain/sexuality outcomes was mediated by changes in behavioral avoidance as proposed by the FAM.

It was hypothesized that higher initial (T1) levels of catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety would be associated with less changes in pain, sexual function, and sexual satisfaction, while higher initial levels of self-efficacy would be associated with more changes. It was further hypothesized that decreases in catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety, as well as increases in self-efficacy, would be associated with increases in sexual satisfaction, and decreases in pain

and sexual dysfunction over the two-year period, and that these changes would be accounted for by increases in number of attempts at sexual intercourse (i.e. decreases in behavioral avoidance).

Methods

Participants

Participants were recruited during clinic visits to either gynecologists or other health professionals, as well as through newspaper in a large urban center and website advertisements. Because this was part of a larger study on couples, women were required to be in a relationship. If women indicated an interest in the study, they were screened either face-to-face or by telephone to determine eligibility. The specific inclusion criteria for women were: a) pain during intercourse that was subjectively distressing, occurred on 80% of intercourse attempts, and lasted > 1 year; b) pain limited to intercourse and other activities that caused pressure to be exerted on the vestibule; c) if recruited through a gynecologist, severe pain in one or more vestibular locations during the cotton swab test; d) married or cohabiting with a partner for at least 6 months. The exclusion criteria were: a) vulvar pain not clearly linked to intercourse or pressure to the vestibule; b) presence of major medical or psychiatric illness, active infection, deep dyspareunia, physician diagnosed vaginismus, dermatologic lesion, pregnancy, age < 18 years old.

Procedure

This study was approved by the Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal Institutional Review Board. All participants gave written informed consent. If women were interested in participating, they were either given a questionnaire package in person at the gynecology clinic or, in the case where they were recruited via an advertisement, the package was sent

to their home. They were asked to complete it on their own and without the help of their partner. Two years later, a research assistant contacted all participants that participated at Time 1. If they agreed to participate in the Time 2 data collection, they were sent one package each via regular mail and were asked to complete the measures as per the same initial instructions. If participants were now single or had a new partner, they were excluded from the present analysis, as behavioral measures of intercourse attempts required consistency of partners.

Measures

Dependent variables:

Pain intensity

Pain intensity was measured using a Visual Analogue Scale by asking participants to estimate their average vulvo-vaginal pain over the past month. Participants rated pain intensity on a scale from 0-10, with 0 representing no pain and 10 representing the worst pain ever. The VAS has good validity and reliability in measuring many different types of pain¹⁸. This method of pain measurement is sensitive to treatment effects in PVD¹⁹, and correlates well with other measures of pain⁸ in PVD.

Sexual Satisfaction

The Global Measure of Sexual Satisfaction (GMSEX)²⁰ was used to measure sexual satisfaction. The GMSEX is a five-item measure that assesses satisfaction and affective descriptors regarding the sexual relationship with one's partner. Each item is rated on a 7-point Likert scale, with higher scores indicating greater satisfaction. The scale has good psychometric properties including good reliability and excellent validity²¹. The Cronbach alpha in the present sample was 0.90.

Sexual Function

The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) is a well-validated measure of sexual function in women ²². The scale is divided into 6 dimensions: desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, sexual satisfaction, and pain, with higher scores indicating better sexual function. The present study calculated a total FSFI score without the pain subscale, as the aim was to measure aspects of sexual function other than pain. Inclusion of the pain subscale would likely lead to inflated correlations with other measures of pain. The Cronbach's alpha for the present sample was 0.80.

Independent Variables:

Pain Catastrophizing

The Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS) ²³ is a well validated and widely used measure of pain catastrophizing from the chronic pain literature. In the present study, women were asked to fill out the PCS regarding the thoughts and feelings they had when experiencing pain during intercourse. Although there are subscales of rumination, magnification, and helplessness, the total score was used in the present study. Higher scores indicate more catastrophizing. Cronbach's alpha in this sample was 0.87.

Pain Self-efficacy

The Painful Intercourse Self-Efficacy Scale (PISES) is a scale adapted from the Arthritis Self-Efficacy Scale ²⁴. The original scale is widely used and cited in the general pain literature, and has been found to be reliable and valid in arthritis populations ²⁴. The adapted version has been used in previous research in women with PVD and was predictive of pain-related variables ⁹, with higher scores indicating more self-efficacy. Previous studies using an

adapted version of the scale have found a Cronbach's alpha of 0.89, and the Cronbach alpha for the present study was 0.90.

Anxiety

The Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) ²⁵ was used to measure anxiety. This is a 40-item, well-known, and well-validated measure of anxiety with excellent psychometric properties. There are two subscales: State and Trait. Only the trait subscale was used in the present study, as the questionnaire was completed at home, hence state anxiety could not be accounted for. Higher scores indicate more anxiety. The Cronbach alpha for the trait scale in the present sample was 0.93.

Fear

Each participant filled out the McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ)²⁶, which is a widely used measure of affective, cognitive, and sensory descriptors of pain. Participants were asked to fill out the questionnaire specifically regarding their pain during intercourse. Participants are asked to choose pain-related adjectives from a list to describe their pain. There are three fear-related adjectives that may be chosen, and participants may choose between 0-3. The number of fear-related pain adjectives chosen was used as the fear score, and higher scores indicated more fear. The MPQ has been shown to be a reliable and valid measure of many types of pain ¹⁸.

Behavioral avoidance

Participants were asked how many times in the past month they had attempted to have sexual intercourse with vaginal penetration. The actual number of attempts, successful or

not, was taken as the behavioral measure of avoidance, with a lower number of attempts being indicative of more avoidance.

Analysis strategy

To examine the influence of changes in cognitive, affective and behavioral variables on the changes in pain, sexual satisfaction and sexual function, a Latent Difference Score (LDS) approach was employed^{27,28}. In contrast to observed difference score models, the LDS model accommodates the estimation of a reliable index of change by modeling change in perfectly reliable scores in time-ordered data²⁹. LDS modeling permits the examination of within-person change and individual differences in this within-person change³⁰.

Specifically, within-person change is represented by a latent construct (i.e., LDS) indexing the difference between adjacent scores in time-ordered data. Individual differences in this within-person change are modeled as a function of the individual differences in the level of the (1) same variable at the prior measurement occasion (i.e., auto-regressive lagged effect), and (2) other time-varying (e.g. sexual satisfaction) and time-invariant covariates (e.g. gender). Furthermore, this within-person change between two adjacent measurement occasions can be used as predictor of other time-varying variables thereby permitting an examination of the effect of the dynamic change in one process on other parallel change processes.

First, for each of the variables, we constructed auto-regressive lagged paths from the same variable at T1 on the LDS, depicting change in that variable between the two occasions.

Next, the LDSs in pain, sexual satisfaction, and sexual dysfunction were examined in relation to (1) cognitive, affective and behavioral variables at T1, and (2) by the LDSs occurring between the two occasions in each cognitive, affective and behavioral variable. Thus, these

cross-variable lagged effects represent the influences of the cognitive-affective and behavioral variables' (1) T1 and (2) LDSs on the dependent variables (i.e., LDSs in pain, sexual satisfaction, and sexual function), controlling for the auto-regressive lagged effects T1 on the dependent variables. Finally, we re-estimated the model by co-varying out the influence of pain duration on LDSs in each of the dependent and independent variables.

We examined mediation in the model by constructing indirect effects of the difference scores in cognitive-affective variables on difference scores in pain, sexual satisfaction, and sexual function through the LDS in the behavioral avoidance variable (number of attempts).

Analyses were conducted using the Mplus software package (Version 7; Muthen & Muthen, 1998 – 2012) and the maximum likelihood estimator with standard errors that are robust to non-normality of observations and to missing data. Fit of the model was examined using the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), standardized root mean square residual (SRMR), and comparative fit index (CFI). RMSEA and SRMR values of .08 or less ³¹ and CFI values over .90 ³² indicate good model fit.

Results

Sample characteristics

A total of 317 participants completed the questionnaires at Time 1 (T1), and 222 at Time 2 (T2), for a retention rate of 70.1%. Therefore, the final data analyzed included 222 women. There were no significant differences between T1 variables between women who completed and women who did not complete T2. Of these 222 women, 104 had been diagnosed with PVD by their gynecologist, and the remainder (118) met criteria for PVD based on the telephone screening. There were no differences in any of the T1 variables

based on the source of recruitment (gynecologist or other). The mean age of the sample at T1 was 31.0 years (range = 18-68, SD = 10.9). The mean pain duration at T1 was 5.6 years (range = 0.5 – 43.8, SD = 6.2), and the mean pain intensity at T1 was 7.1/10 (range = 1-10, SD = 1.7). Seven participants left the T1 pain intensity blank and were coded as missing data for further analyses. All women were in a relationship at T1 and the mean relationship duration was 6.8 years (range = 0.5-38.4, SD = 7.4). The majority (97.3%) identified culturally as Québécois or Canadian, and had at least completed high school (88.9%). All women who did not engage in intercourse were asked the reason for not engaging in intercourse, and all indicated that pain or fear of pain were at least one of the potential reasons.

The means and standard deviations for each dependent and independent variable are provided in Table 1. The observed means for pain, catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety decreased over the two-year period. The means for self-efficacy, sexual satisfaction, number of attempts, and sexual function increased. As expected, there were positive correlations (at the same time point) between the FAM cognitive-affective variables with the exception of anxiety and fear at T1. The FAM variables were all negatively correlated with self-efficacy. These cognitive-affective variables were also correlated in the expected direction with the number of attempts in the last month, with the exception of fear at T1 and T2 and anxiety at T1. Finally, FAM cognitive-affective variables (catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety) were generally positively correlated with pain and negatively correlated with sexual satisfaction, while self-efficacy and number of attempts were generally negatively correlated with pain, and positively correlated with sexual satisfaction. Sexual function was inconsistently correlated with cognitive, affective and behavioral variables. All bivariate correlations are available in Table 1. In addition, the sociodemographic variables of age, pain duration,

relationship duration, age of first pain, and years of education were correlated with the independent and dependent variables. None were correlated above 0.30, so it was decided not to co-vary them out of further analyses.

Main Effects Model

Goodness of fit indices for the model indicated good fit (RMSEA = 0.06, SRMR = .03, CFI = 0.99). We first examined the auto-regressive, lagged effects of each variable at T1 on the LDS for that variable. All the effects were significant and with a negative coefficient, indicating that, compared to participants with lower scores, those who scored higher on the initial status (i.e. T1) in each of the independent (catastrophizing, fear, anxiety, self-efficacy, and avoidance) and dependent (pain, sexual satisfaction, and sexual function) variables are expected to report lower scores at the subsequent time point (i.e. T2).

The first part of the model that was examined was the degree to which initial level (T1) of the independent variables were associated with the degree to which the dependent variables changed (LDS). To do so, cross-variable lagged effects of the independent variables at the initial status (T1) on the three dependent variables (LDS in pain, sexual satisfaction and sexual function) were examined (Table 2). Findings indicate that participants who reported higher self-efficacy at T1 reported greater declines in pain, greater increases in sexual satisfaction, and greater declines in sexual dysfunction over the two time points. None of the T1 values for any of the FAM variables (catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety) were significantly associated with LDS in pain, satisfaction, sexual function, or number of attempts.

The second part of the model that was examined was the degree to which changes (LDS) in the independent variables were associated with changes (LDS) in the dependent variables. To do so, cross-variable lagged effects of the LDS in independent variables (self-efficacy, catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety) on the three dependent variables (LDS in pain, sexual satisfaction and sexual function) were examined (Table 3). Controlling for other effects in the model, LDS in self-efficacy and number of attempts was associated with LDS in pain such that participants who reported increases in self-efficacy and number of attempts (i.e. a positive change score) between the two measurement occasions experienced greater declines in pain between T1 and T2: PISES: $B = -0.52, p < 0.001$ and number of attempts: $B = -.12, p < .05$. Controlling for other sources of influence in the model, LDS in self-efficacy and LDS in number of attempts was also associated with LDS in sexual satisfaction. Participants who reported increases in self-efficacy and number of attempts from T1 to T2 experienced increases in sexual satisfaction between T1 and T2 (PISES: $B = 0.45, p < 0.001$: Number of attempts: $B = .20, p < .001$). Finally, none of the LDSs for the independent variables (catastrophizing, fear, anxiety, and self-efficacy) or behavioral avoidance (number of attempts) predictors was significantly related to changes in sexual function (Table 3).

Mediational Analysis

The final portion of the model tested the degree to which the association between pain, sexual satisfaction and sexual function by FAM cognitive-affective variables (fear, catastrophizing, anxiety, and self-efficacy) was accounted for by behavioral avoidance (number of attempts). The examination of the indirect effects indicated that LDS in number of attempts (estimated indirect effect = $.03, p < .05$) (see figure 1) accounted for the relation between LDS in self-efficacy and LDS in sexual satisfaction. More specifically, participants who reported greater LDS in self-efficacy, experienced an increased number of attempts

which, in turn, was associated with these participants' increases in sexual satisfaction between the two occasions.

Discussion

Findings from the present two-year prospective study show that only higher initial levels of self-efficacy were significantly associated with improved outcomes for pain and sexual satisfaction, and contrary to our hypothesis, of a worse outcome for sexual function. In addition, contrary to our hypothesis, changes in the FAM cognitive-affective variables were generally not associated with outcomes. While increases in pain self-efficacy were significantly associated with both decreases in pain and increases in sexual satisfaction. These findings are partially consistent with current cognitive-behavioral theories of chronic pain, as well as with results from studies examining the influence of cognitive-behavioral variables on pain and sexuality endpoints in women with PVD^{8,9}, whereby only some of the expected predictors were significant. The mediation hypothesis that cognitive-affective variables and self-efficacy were associated with pain and sexual outcomes through changes in behavioral avoidance was only supported for self-efficacy.

There are a number of implications of these findings for the applicability of the FAM model to women with PVD. Despite the widespread use of the FAM in explaining pain-related disability in chronic pain, FAM cognitive-affective variables (catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety) were not the most important factors associated with outcomes, including pain, in the present study. Specifically, both initial levels and changes in FAM cognitive-affective variables were not associated with changes in pain, sexual satisfaction or sexual function. Furthermore, the FAM is proposed as a sequential model, by which FAM cognitions and emotions influence outcomes through behavioral avoidance of pain-inducing stimuli.

Therefore, it is important to test not only whether FAM cognitions are associated with final outcomes, but also whether they are associated with behaviors, and whether these behaviors, in turn, account for changes in outcomes. The behavior-mediated model using FAM variables was not supported, as none of the mediation models of FAM variables predicting pain and sexual outcomes through changes in number of attempts at intercourse were significant. In addition, none of the FAM cognitive-affective variables were associated with behavioral avoidance (number of attempts). In contrast to the FAM variables, self-efficacy was significantly associated with behavioral avoidance. Changes in self-efficacy from T1 to T2 were associated with changes in number of attempts in a positive direction. Furthermore, increase in number of attempts was significantly associated with both decreases in pain and increases in sexual satisfaction. Tests of indirect effects found that they partially accounted for sexual satisfaction. This indicates that the association between changes in sexual satisfaction and self-efficacy may be partially accounted for by changes in number of attempts at intercourse. This is directly in line with the sequential portion of the FAM, except that instead of negative cognitions and emotions (catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety) leading to increased avoidance, the positive cognition of self-efficacy was associated with decreased avoidance, which in turn was associated with better sexual satisfaction.

Self-efficacy was associated with behavior and outcomes throughout the model. Increases in self-efficacy were associated with both decreases in pain and increases in sexual satisfaction. Furthermore, self-efficacy at T1 was associated with changes in all outcome variables (pain, sexual satisfaction, and sexual function), although in the opposite direction that was expected for sexual function. Finding self-efficacy to be more highly associated with outcomes than FAM cognitions and emotions is not entirely surprising. Recent studies in

chronic pain have demonstrated that self-efficacy is a powerful predictor of pain and pain-related disability^{13,33}. Furthermore, in women with PVD, using cross-sectional designs, self-efficacy has been found to be the only significant predictor of sexual function, although catastrophizing was a better predictor of pain⁸. In a CBT treatment trial, both initial levels of self-efficacy as well as initial levels of FAM variables predicted changes in outcomes⁹. Both the present study and these previous studies find self-efficacy to be important; however, the present study failed to find the same support for FAM cognitions and emotions. There are a number of reasons this may have occurred. In particular, our question of interest was how changes in independent variables predicted changes in dependent variables, as opposed to initial levels of the T1 independent variables predicting T2 endpoints. While these are similar concepts, they are theoretically different models, as the former is dynamic, while the latter is static. Just because initial levels are high does not mean they will necessarily change over time and subsequently be associated with changes in outcome. Indeed, using initial levels to predict outcomes at T2 may only identify those participants who do not change over time. For example, high catastrophizers may remain high catastrophizers, and have little decrease in pain because of it. It may be that FAM cognitions are less amenable to change than self-efficacy without specific treatment. Therefore, one would not expect to see changes in FAM cognitions be significantly associated with changes in outcome variables if these variables remain static over time. On the other hand, analyzing change scores allows an examination of what changes are associated with improvements (LDS) in outcomes; in the present study, this was self-efficacy.

In the present sample, almost none of the cognitive-affective or behavioral variables were associated with sexual function. The only exception was initial levels of self-efficacy, which

surprisingly was associated with changes in sexual function in the opposite direction than was expected. This is in contrast with previous research which has found that sexual functioning is positively related to self-efficacy in women with PVD^{8,9}. This difference could be explained by previous research's use of the full FSFI scale score, which includes a subscale for pain. Therefore, it is likely that if pain decreased, so would sexual dysfunction, as measured by the FSFI, and the two scales would be correlated. In our sample, the pain subscale of the FSFI was omitted to obtain a measure of sexual function that was not obscured by pain levels. Another potential problem is the scoring of the FSFI. Previous criticisms of the FSFI are that women who are not engaging in sexual activity are forced to score zero on many items³⁴. This may be a problem in PVD research considering avoidance of sexual activity is an important aspect of PVD. In the present sample, mean FSFI scores at both time points were below a clinical cutoff (21)³⁵ for sexual dysfunction, which may suggest a potentially exaggerated lowering of scores due to avoidance. Prior recommendations have been made to only include women engaging in sexual activity on the FSFI³⁴, but this would likely remove a large, clinically significant, and non-random portion of our sample.

Another explanation is simply that changes in sexual function were not influenced by the predictor variables of interest. The FAM cognitive-affective variables and self-efficacy are measured in relation to pain, and may not have had an effect on other aspects of sexual function (desire, arousal, and lubrication). Furthermore, resolution of pain does not necessarily mean that one will automatically have more desire for their partner, or become lubricated more easily. In fact, pain and sexuality outcomes are not always correlated among one another^{5,36}. Despite this possibility, sexual satisfaction outcomes did improve when measured using the GMSEX, which does not have the same scoring issues as the FSFI

for women with PVD. It is apparent that teasing apart the relationship between genital pain and sexual dysfunction in women with PVD will remain a needed focus of future research and the FSFI may not be the best scale with which to do this. There is certainly debate as to whether genital pain should be classified as a sexual dysfunction or a pain disorder ³⁷, although it will remain a sexual dysfunction in the DSM-5 ^{38,39}.

Treatment implications

These findings are clinically relevant for CBT treatment of women with PVD. At present, CBT therapy for women with PVD is often focused on challenging maladaptive cognitions regarding pain, such as catastrophizing, fear, and anxiety. Cognitive work is generally combined with assigning exercises of graduated vaginal penetration exposure (touch, fingers, and graduated dilator sets), with the ultimate goal of achieving penetrative sexual intercourse. The significant finding that behavior mediated the impact of self-efficacy on pain and sexual satisfaction supports the importance of engaging in exposure exercises. The present findings, however, highlight the need for improving patients' self-efficacy as a first step in reducing pain and increasing sexual satisfaction. Because exposure exercises are often aversive to patients, these findings demonstrate the importance of bolstering self-efficacy before behavioral assignments are given to improve adherence to the homework. It is also worth noting that self-efficacy is a positive cognition that clinicians can aim to improve, rather than a maladaptive cognition that clinicians aim to extinguish. This may provide a unique positive psychology alternative that nicely complements the present treatments focused on the FAM.

Strengths

Although this is not the first study to examine cognitive, affective and behavioral variables in PVD ^{8,9,12,15}, it does extend these findings in several important ways. The first is by exploring a mediational analysis of how cognitive, affective and behavioral variables relate to one another, as well as to outcomes. This study also improves upon previous studies by using actual attempts at engagement in sexual intercourse as the measure of avoidance. Many previous studies of PVD assume that fear and avoidance cognitions are related to actual behavioral avoidance. By measuring reported engagement in sexual intercourse, we were able to demonstrate the mediational aspects of behavior in our model. Finally, the present study measured how changes in predictor variables predicted changes in outcomes, as opposed to using single time point measurements. In terms of creating effective cognitive-behavioral treatments, it is important to know that changing maladaptive cognitions and behaviors leads to changes in outcomes. By measuring change scores (LDS), the present study was able to do so.

Study limitations

In addition to the strengths of the present study, there are a number of ways that it could be improved. A mediation analysis using two time points does not allow causal or truly mediation conclusions to be made from the data. By adding a third (or more) time point(s) to the study, it would have been possible to test whether early changes in cognitions led to later changes in behaviors, followed by changes in outcomes, in a sequential manner. Using only two time points, it is possible that changes in pain led to reductions in avoidance behaviors and changes in cognitions, instead of vice versa. Indeed, other studies in chronic pain have found that the FAM does not always hold up in a sequential analysis ⁴⁰. The potential applicability of the study could also be stronger if it were part of a CBT treatment trial. It is possible that changes in FAM variables are actually more important than changes

in self-efficacy, but are less likely to change without therapy. Perhaps targeting these variables specifically in therapy would have led to them being significantly associated with outcomes. Another limitation was that our measure of anxiety was a general measure, and our measure of fear was based on affective descriptions of pain. Specifically, the use of the fear adjectives of the MPQ has not been previously validated as a measure of fear. Although the measure of anxiety and fear correlated as expected with other FAM cognitions in the expected directions, they could have been improved by measuring the actual emotions of fear and anxiety as related to pain due to intercourse. For example, in musculoskeletal pain, the Tampa Scale of Kinesiophobia is often used to measure fear of movement and the pain and injury it is believed to cause ⁴¹. To our knowledge, this scale does not exist for PVD. Nonetheless, these results may not be as directly applicable to other examinations of the FAM model because of the difference in outcome measures used. In addition, the behavioral measure of sexual attempts relies on recall, which may be biased. Finally, the present study was only conducted in women who were in long-term romantic relationships and hence may not necessarily apply to those who are single.

Conclusions

In conclusion, cognitive, affective and behavioral factors do play a role in the experience of pain and sexual health of women with PVD. Specifically, changes in self-efficacy appear to be the best predictor of changes in pain and sexual satisfaction over a two-year time period. Furthermore, these changes are mediated by changes in avoidance behavior. These findings demonstrate the importance of targeting self-efficacy early in treatment to improve outcomes and to help reduce avoidance of potentially pain provoking behaviors.

1. Harlow BL, Stewart EG. A population-based assessment of chronic unexplained vulvar pain: have we underestimated the prevalence of vulvodynia? *J Am Med Womens Assoc.* 2003;58(2):82–88.
2. Desrochers G, Bergeron S, Landry T, Jodoin M. Do Psychosexual Factors Play a Role in the Etiology of Provoked Vestibulodynia? A Critical Review. *J. Sex & Marital Therapy.* 2008;34(3):198–226.
3. Bergeron S, Rosen NO, Morin M. Genital pain in women: Beyond interference with intercourse. *Pain.* 2011;152(6):1223–1225.
4. Dewitte M, Van Lankveld J, Crombez G. Understanding sexual pain: A cognitive-motivational account. *Pain.* 2011;152(2):251–253.
5. Rosen NO, Bergeron S, Lambert B, Steben M. Provoked Vestibulodynia: Mediators of the Associations Between Partner Responses, Pain, and Sexual Satisfaction. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2013; 42:129-132.
6. Vlaeyen JWS, Linton SJ. Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art. *Pain.* 2000;85(3):317–332.
7. Vlaeyen JWS, Kole-Snijders AMJ, Boeren RGB, van Eek H. Fear of movement/(re)injury in chronic low back pain and its relation to behavioral performance. *Pain.* 1995;62(3):363–372.
8. Desrochers G, Bergeron S, Khalifé S, Dupuis MJ, Jodoin M. Fear avoidance and self-efficacy in relation to pain and sexual impairment in women with provoked vestibulodynia. *The Clin J Pain.* 2009;25(6):520–527.
9. Desrochers G, Bergeron S, Khalifé S, Dupuis MJ. Provoked vestibulodynia: Psychological predictors of topical and cognitive-behavioral treatment outcome. *Behav Research and Ther.* 2010;48:106-115.
10. Gordon AS, McComb F, Melegari C, Sharp S. Characteristics of Women with Vulvar Pain Disorders: Responses to a Web-Based Survey. *J Sex & Marital Therapy.* 2003;29(sup1):45–58.
11. Borg C, Peters ML, Schultz WW, de Jong PJ. Vaginismus: Heightened Harm Avoidance and Pain Catastrophizing Cognitions. *J Sex Med.* 2011;9(2):558–567.
12. Brauer M, Kuile MM, Janssen SA, Laan E. The effect of pain-related fear on sexual arousal in women with superficial dyspareunia. *Euro J Pain.* 2012;11(7):788–798.
13. Foster NE, Thomas E, Bishop A, Dunn KM, Main CJ. Distinctiveness of psychological obstacles to recovery in low back pain patients in primary care.

Pain. 2010;148(3):398–406.

14. Costal L, Maherl CG, McAuleyl JH. Self-efficacy is more important than fear of movement in mediating the relationship between pain and disability in chronic low back pain. *Euro J Pain*. 2011;15:213-219.
15. Kuile ter MM, Weijnenborg PTM. A Cognitive-Behavioral Group Program for Women with Vulvar Vestibulitis Syndrome (VVS): Factors Associated with Treatment Success. *J Sex & Marital Ther*. 2006;32(3):199–213.
16. Van Lankveld JJDM, Kuile ter MM, de Groot HE, Melles R, Nefs J, Zandbergen M. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for women with lifelong vaginismus: A randomized waiting-list controlled trial of efficacy. *J Consulting and Clin Psych*. 2006;74(1):168.
17. Kuile ter MM, van Lankveld J, Groot E. Cognitive-behavioral therapy for women with lifelong vaginismus: Process and prognostic factors. *Behav Res and Ther*. 2007;45:355-373.
18. Turk DC, Melzack R. Handbook of Pain Assessment 2011. Third Edition. Guilford Press: NY, NY.
19. Bergeron S, Khalife S, Glazer HI, Binik YM. Surgical and Behavioral Treatments for Vestibulodynia. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*. 2008;111(1):159–166.
20. Lawrance, K. A., & Byers, E. S. (1998). Interpersonal exchange model of sexual satisfaction questionnaire. *Sexuality Related Measures: A compendium, 2*, 514-519.
21. Byers ES, Macneil S. Further Validation of the Interpersonal Exchange Model of Sexual Satisfaction. *J Sex & Marital Ther*. 2006;32(1):53–69.
22. Rosen C Brown J Heiman S Leib R. The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A Multidimensional Self-Report Instrument for the Assessment of Female Sexual Function. *J Sex & Marital Ther*. 2000;26(2):191–208.
23. Sullivan MJL, Bishop SR, Pivik J. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: Development and validation. *Psych Assess*. 1995;7(4):524–532.
24. Lorig K, Chastain RL, Ung E, Shoor S, Holman HR. Development and evaluation of a scale to measure perceived self-efficacy in people with arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res*. 1989;32(1):37–44.
25. Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL. The state-trait anxiety inventory. *Palo Alto*. 1970.
26. Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: Major properties and scoring methods. *Pain*. 1975;1(3):277–299.

27. McArdle, J. J., & Nesselroade, J. R. (1994). *Using multivariate data to structure developmental change*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
28. McArdle, B. H., & Anderson, M. J. (2001). Fitting multivariate models to community data: A comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. *Ecology*, 82(1), 290–297. 29. King LA, King DW, McArdle JJ, Saxe GN, Doron-Lamarca S, Orazem RJ. Latent difference score approach to longitudinal trauma research. *J Trauma Stress*. 2006;19(6):771–785. doi:10.1002/jts.20188.
30. Selig JP, Preacher KJ. Mediation Models for Longitudinal Data in Developmental Research. *Research in Human Development*. 2009;6(2-3):144–164.
31. Hu LT, Bentler PM. Cutoff criteria for fit indexes in covariance structure analysis: Conventional criteria versus new alternatives. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*. 1999;6(1):1–55.
32. Hoyle, R. H., & Panter, A. T. (1995). Writing about structural equation models. *RH Hoyle (Ed. J, Structural equation modeling: Concepts, issues, and applications*, 158-176.
33. Woby SR, Urmston M, Watson PJ. Self-efficacy mediates the relation between pain-related fear and outcome in chronic low back pain patients. *European Journal of Pain*. 2012;11(7):711–718.
34. Meyer-Bahlburg HFL, Dolezal C. The Female Sexual Function Index: A Methodological Critique and Suggestions for Improvement. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*. 2007;33(3):217–224.
35. Wiegel, M., Meston, C., & Rosen, R. (2005). The female sexual function index (FSFI): cross-validation and development of clinical cutoff scores. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*, 31(1), 1-20.
36. Rosen NO, Bergeron S, Leclerc B, Lambert B, Steben M. Woman and Partner-Perceived Partner Responses Predict Pain and Sexual Satisfaction in Provoked Vestibulodynia (PVD) Couples. *The Journal of Sexual Medicine*. 2010;7(11):3715–3724.
37. Binik YM. Should Dyspareunia Be Retained as a Sexual Dysfunction in DSM-V? A Painful Classification Decision. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2005;34(1):11–21.
38. Binik YM. The DSM Diagnostic Criteria for Dyspareunia. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2009;39(2):292–303.
39. Binik YM. The DSM Diagnostic Criteria for Vaginismus. *Arch Sex Behav*. 2009;39(2):278–291.

40. Wideman TH, Adams H, Sullivan M. A prospective sequential analysis of the fear-avoidance model of pain. *Pain*. 2009.
41. Swinkels-Meewisse, E. J. C. M., Swinkels, R. A. H. M., Verbeek, A. L. M., Vlaeyen, J. W. S., & Oostendorp, R. A. B. (2003). Psychometric properties of the Tampa Scale for kinesiophobia and the fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire in acute low back pain. *Manual therapy*, 8(1), 29-36.