

Université de Montréal

**The expression and production of piano timbre: gestural control and technique,
perception and verbalisation in the context of piano performance and practice**

par
Michel Bernays

Faculté de musique

Thèse présentée à la Faculté des études supérieures et postdoctorales
en vue de l'obtention du grade de Philosophiæ Doctor (Ph.D.)
en musique, option musicologie

Janvier, 2013

© Michel Bernays, 2013.

Université de Montréal
Faculté des études supérieures et postdoctorales

Cette thèse intitulée:

**The expression and production of piano timbre: gestural control and technique,
perception and verbalisation in the context of piano performance and practice**

présentée par:

Michel Bernays

a été évaluée par un jury composé des personnes suivantes:

Marie-Hélène Benoit-Otis,	président-rapporteur
Caroline Traube,	directrice de recherche
Maneli Pirzadeh,	membre du jury
John Rink,	examinateur externe

RÉSUMÉ

Cette thèse a pour objet l'étude interdisciplinaire et systématique de l'expression du timbre au piano par les pianistes de haut niveau, dans le contexte de l'interprétation et la pratique musicales. En premier lieu sont exposées la problématique générale et les différentes définitions et perspectives sur le timbre au piano, selon les points de vue scientifiques et musicaux. Suite à la présentation de la conception du timbre au piano telle qu'établie par les pianistes dans les traités pédagogiques, la perception et la verbalisation du timbre au piano sont examinées à l'aide de méthodes scientifiques expérimentales et quantitatives. Les mots dont usent les pianistes pour décrire et parler de différentes nuances de timbre sont étudiés de façon quantitative, en fonction de leurs relations sémantiques, et une carte sémantique des descripteurs de timbre communs est dressée. Dans deux différentes études, la perception du timbre au piano par les pianistes de haut niveau est examinée. Les résultats suggèrent que les pianistes peuvent identifier et nommer les nuances de timbre contrôlées par l'interprète dans des enregistrements audio, de façon consistante et convergente entre production et perception. Enfin, la production et le contrôle gestuel du timbre au piano en interprétation musicale est explorée à l'aide du système d'enregistrement d'interprétation Bösendorfer CEUS. La PianoTouch *toolbox*, développée spécialement sous MATLAB afin d'extraire des descripteurs d'interprétation à partir de données de clavier et pédales à haute résolution, est présentée puis mise en œuvre pour étudier la production expressive du timbre au piano par le toucher et le geste au sein d'interprétations par quatre pianistes exprimant cinq nuances de timbre et enregistrées avec le système CEUS. Les espaces et portraits gestuels des nuances de timbre ainsi obtenus présentent différents degrés d'intensité, attaque, équilibre entre les mains, articulation et usage des pédales. Ces résultats représentent des stratégies communément employées pour l'expression de chaque nuance de timbre en interprétation au piano.

Mots-clés: piano, timbre, expression, interprétation, geste, toucher, perception, description verbale, Bösendorfer CEUS

ABSTRACT

This dissertation presents an interdisciplinary, systematic study of the expression of piano timbre by advanced-level pianists in the context of musical performance and practice. To begin, general issues and aims are introduced, as well as differing definitions and perspectives on piano timbre from scientific and musical points of view. After the conception of piano timbre is presented as documented by pianists in pedagogical treatises, the perception and verbalisation of piano timbre is investigated with experimental and quantitative scientific methods. The words that pianists use to describe and talk about different timbral nuances are studied quantitatively, according to their semantic relationships, and a semantic map of common piano timbre descriptors is drawn out. In two separate studies, the perception of piano timbre by highly skilled pianists is investigated. Results suggest that advanced pianists can identify and label performer-controlled timbral nuances in audio recordings with consistency and agreement from production to perception. Finally, the production and gestural control of piano timbre in musical performance is explored using the Bösendorfer CEUS piano performance recording system. The PianoTouch toolbox, specifically developed in MATLAB for extracting performance features from high-resolution keyboard and pedalling data, is presented and used to study the expressive production of piano timbre through touch and gesture in CEUS-recorded performances by four pianists in five timbral nuances. Gestural spaces and portraits of the timbral nuances are obtained with differing patterns in intensity, attack, balance between hands, articulation and pedalling. The data represents common strategies used for the expression of each timbral nuance in piano performance.

Keywords: piano, timbre, expression, performance, gesture, touch, perception, verbal description, Bösendorfer CEUS

CONTENTS

RÉSUMÉ	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xvi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	xvii
INTRODUCTION	1
I Theoretical and musical perspectives on the expression of piano timbre	9
CHAPTER 1: DEFINITIONS OF PIANO (AND) TIMBRE	10
1.1 Timbre	10
1.1.1 Introduction	10
1.1.2 Acoustic and perceptual definition	11
1.1.3 Functional definition	14
1.1.4 Etymology, synonymy, vocabulary	15
1.1.5 Timbre in piano music	18
1.2 The Piano	20
1.2.1 History	20
1.2.2 Mechanism	23

1.2.3	Timbre of the instrument	24
1.2.4	Pianist, control and controversy	25
1.2.5	Scientific perspective on piano timbre-quality and its control . .	27
CHAPTER 2:	EXPRESSION AND PRODUCTION OF PIANO TIMBRE ACCORDING TO TREATISES	30
2.1	Introduction	30
2.2	Gesture	32
2.2.1	Hands and touch	32
2.2.2	Arms and body	34
2.3	Piano techniques	36
2.3.1	Chords	36
2.3.2	Setting the notes in time	38
2.3.3	Dynamics	38
2.3.4	Pedals	39
2.3.5	Articulation	39
2.4	Mental conception	40
2.5	Composers and their works	44
2.6	Aesthetics	47
2.7	Conclusion	48
II	Perception and verbalisation of piano timbre	52
CHAPTER 3:	TIMBRE PERCEPTION AND VERBALISATION STUDIES	53
3.1	Timbre perception studies	53
3.1.1	Methodologies in timbre perception studies	54
3.1.2	Analysis of matching data	57

3.1.3	Analysis of proximity data: Multidimensional Scaling	58
3.1.4	Cluster analysis of proximity data	64
3.1.5	Results	64
3.2	Timbre verbalisation studies	65
3.2.1	Verbal attributes of timbre	67
3.2.2	Free verbalisations of timbre and semantic studies	70
3.2.3	Verbalisation of intra-instrumental timbre	75
3.2.4	Verbalisation of piano timbre	81
3.2.5	Conclusion	86
CHAPTER 4: PERCEPTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF PIANO TIMBRE: A PILOT STUDY		87
4.1	Introduction – Aims	87
4.2	Method	88
4.2.1	Production of piano timbre and audio recordings	88
4.2.2	Perceptual identification test of piano timbre	92
4.3	Results	94
4.3.1	Preliminary timbre identification test	94
4.3.2	Main timbre perception test	94
4.4	Discussion	102
CHAPTER 5: VERBAL EXPRESSION OF PIANO TIMBRE: SEMANTIC SCALING OF ADJECTIVAL DESCRIPTORS		106
5.1	Introduction	106
5.2	Aims	107
5.3	Method	108
5.4	Results	111
5.4.1	Familiarity with timbre descriptors	111
5.4.2	Dissimilarities and semantic space	112

5.4.3	Cluster analysis	120
5.5	Discussion	121
CHAPTER 6: PERCEPTION AND IDENTIFICATION OF PIANO		
TIMBRE: A FOLLOW-UP STUDY		125
6.1	Introduction and aims	125
6.2	Method	126
6.2.1	Piano timbre descriptors	126
6.2.2	Audio stimuli	126
6.2.3	Perceptual identification test of piano timbre	130
6.2.4	Participants	130
6.2.5	Testing interface and protocol	131
6.3	Results	133
6.3.1	Timbre identification rate per participant	133
6.3.2	Effects of piece, performer and timbre of the stimuli on identification rates	134
6.3.3	Confusion matrix	135
6.4	Discussion	138
III Production and gestural control of piano timbre		141
CHAPTER 7: SCIENTIFIC STUDIES OF PIANO PERFORMANCE		
AND TIMBRE PRODUCTION		142
7.1	Illustrated epistemological perspective on performance studies	142
7.1.1	History of empirical music performance studies	142
7.1.2	Movement and gesture in performance	145
7.1.3	Data acquisition technologies for piano performance	147
7.2	Findings on piano performance	154

7.2.1	Expressive patterns in piano performance	155
7.2.2	Synchrony, articulation and pedalling in piano performance . .	157
7.2.3	Timbre, touch and a single tone	160
7.2.4	Timbre in combination of tones	168
CHAPTER 8:	PIANO TOUCH ANALYSIS: A MATLAB TOOLBOX FOR EXTRACTING PERFORMANCE FEATURES	171
8.1	Introduction	171
8.2	High-resolution data acquisition	172
8.3	Data processing	175
8.3.1	From streamed data files to piano rolls	175
8.3.2	Retrieving notes	178
8.3.3	Identifying chords	179
8.4	Piano touch features	181
8.4.1	Single note features	181
8.4.2	Chord features	183
8.5	Analysis and visualisation functions	186
8.5.1	Comparison of piano rolls	186
8.5.2	Chords and notes selection	187
8.5.3	Graphical representation of performance features	189
8.5.4	Score matching	193
8.5.5	MIDI to boe conversion	195
8.6	Discussion	198
CHAPTER 9:	EXPRESSION AND GESTURAL CONTROL OF PIANO TIMBRE: TOUCH AND GESTURE FOR TIMBRE PRODUCTION IN PIANO PERFORMANCE	200
9.1	Introduction	200
9.2	Method	201

9.2.1	Timbre descriptors	201
9.2.2	Musical pieces	201
9.2.3	Equipment	204
9.2.4	Participants and task outline	204
9.2.5	Performance analysis	205
9.3	General results and discussion	206
9.3.1	Significant, timbre-discriminating piano performance features .	206
9.3.2	Gestural spaces of piano timbre	207
9.3.3	Gestural description of piano timbre	212
9.3.4	Pairwise comparisons between timbres	217
9.3.5	Gestural descriptions of piano timbre in time	219
9.3.6	Piece-wise gestural description of piano timbre	230
9.4	Individual results	239
9.4.1	Comments from the participants	239
9.4.2	Pianist-wise gestural description of piano timbre	242
9.4.3	Comparison between participants' comments and individual performance analysis results	252
9.5	General discussion: gestural portraits of piano timbre	254
CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK		259
BIBLIOGRAPHY		271

LIST OF TABLES

4.I	Timbre descriptors provided (mostly in French) per audio excerpt in the free identification task	95
4.II	Synonyms and antonyms of the eight timbre descriptors used as performance instructions, as extracted and listed from Bellemare and Traube (2005).	97
4.III	Numerical evaluation of synonymy for the verbal descriptors pro- vided in the free identification of each excerpt.	98
4.IV	Confusion matrix of forced-choice timbre identification	100
5.I	Uses and citations of the 14 timbre descriptors selected for the study	110
5.II	Evaluation of familiarity with piano timbre descriptors	111
5.III	Coordinates of the 14 piano timbre descriptors along the four di- mensions of the MDS semantic similarity space	116
6.I	Timbre-wise confusion matrix and timbre identification rates (180 answers and stimuli per timbre).	136
9.I	Summary of performance features significant in post-hoc pairwise timbre comparisons.	218

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1	Cristofori's Pianoforte	21
1.2	Erard's Grand Pianoforte	22
1.3	Piano action mechanism	24
2.1	Debussy's <i>La cathédrale engloutie</i> , last page of the score.	45
2.2	Recitative section near the end of the first movement in Beethoven's <i>Sonata no.17 in D minor</i> (right hand solo) (Neuhaus, 1973, p. 59).	46
3.1	Semantic atlas of piano timbre	85
4.1	Score of the first miniature piece composed for this pilot study.	89
4.2	Score of the second miniature piece composed for this pilot study.	90
4.3	Score of the third miniature piece composed for this pilot study.	91
4.4	The Bösendorfer Imperial 290 grand piano and recording setup	92
4.5	Timbre identification rate per participant	100
4.6	Timbre identification rate per audio excerpt	101
5.1	Semantic atlas of piano timbre	108
5.2	Mean evaluation of familiarity with piano timbre descriptors	112
5.3	Scree plot of the stress values corresponding to MDS spaces of different dimensionalities	115
5.4	Individual linear representation of each of the four MDS dimensions	117
5.5	Planar projections of the MDS space	117
5.6	3D representation of the first three MDS dimensions	118
5.7	Shepard plot of distances in the MDS space and disparities vs. averaged original dissimilarities.	119
5.8	Dendrogram of the hierarchical clustering of semantic dissimilarities between the 14 piano timbre descriptors.	121

5.9	Semantic MDS space plans with groups of neighbouring descriptors highlighted	122
6.1	Scores of the four miniature pieces composed and selected for the study.	128
6.2	Cardioid microphones setup used in recording performances on the Bösendorfer Imperial 290 grand piano	130
6.3	Page of the testing interface in its initial, pre-manipulation state. .	131
6.4	Page of the testing interface in its final, pre-validation state, with each excerpt (grey disk) assigned to a different timbre descriptor (yellow disk).	133
6.5	Timbre identification rate per participant	134
6.6	Identification rate per piece by timbre	136
6.7	Identification rate per timbre by performer	137
8.1	The Imperial Bösendorfer grand piano with embedded CEUS system	173
8.2	Details of the CEUS system	174
8.3	Piano roll display of a performance (detail)	176
8.4	Piano roll display of another performance (detail)	177
8.5	Piano roll display with framed chords	180
8.6	Illustration of some basic note features	182
8.7	Note key tracking sectioning analogous to the acoustic temporal ADSR envelope	183
8.8	Piano roll display of successive chords with their interval and overlap relations	185
8.9	Superimposed display of two performance piano rolls (detail) . .	187
8.10	Chord selection interface	188
8.11	Graphical user interface for feature selection	190

8.12	Graphical display of one performance piano roll and evolution over time of selected features	191
8.13	Comparison in time of two performances, according to three features	192
8.14	Comparison in time of six performances grouped by performer, according to three features	194
8.15	Graphical output of the score matching function	196
8.16	Piano rolls generated from MIDI-like text data	197
9.1	Semantic similarity Multidimensional Scaling space of piano timbre descriptors (Dimensions 1 and 2)	202
9.2	Scores of the four miniature pieces composed and selected for the study.	203
9.3	Principal Component Analysis of the 192 significant gestural features over 80 samples: Dimensions 1 and 2	208
9.4	Principal Component Analysis of 192 significant gestural features over the 60 performances of Pieces no.1 and no.2: Dimensions 1 and 2	209
9.5	Principal Component Analysis of 192 significant gestural features over the 60 performances of Pieces no.3 and no.4: Dimensions 1 and 2	210
9.6	Kiviat chart of the 13 gestural features giving a minimal and unique description of the five timbral nuances explored in the study . . .	213
9.7	Evolution in time, over all performances of Piece no.1 grouped by timbre, of four gestural control features	221
9.8	Evolution in time, over all performances of Piece no.2 grouped by timbre, of four gestural control features	224
9.9	Evolution in time, over all performances of Piece no.3 grouped by timbre, of four gestural control features	226

9.10	Evolution in time, over all performances of Piece no.4 grouped by timbre, of four gestural control features	228
9.11	Kiviat chart of the four gestural features giving a minimal and unique description in Piece no.1 of the five timbral nuances explored in the study	231
9.12	Kiviat chart of the eight gestural features giving a minimal and unique description in Piece no.2 of the five timbral nuances explored in the study	233
9.13	Kiviat chart of the six gestural features giving a minimal and unique description in Piece no.3 of the five timbral nuances explored in the study	235
9.14	Kiviat chart of the seven gestural features giving a significant, minimal and unique description in Piece no.4 of the five timbral nuances explored in the study	237
9.15	Kiviat chart of the 11 minimal performance gesture and touch features that can account in RB's performances for a unique description of each of the five timbral nuances explored in the study . . .	243
9.16	Kiviat chart of the 10 minimal performance gesture and touch features that can account in PL's performances for a unique description of each of the five timbral nuances explored in the study . . .	245
9.17	Kiviat chart of the 8 minimal performance gesture and touch features that can account in FP's performances for a unique description of each of the five timbral nuances explored in the study . . .	247
9.18	Kiviat chart of the 8 minimal performance gesture and touch features that can account in BB's performances for a unique description of each of the five timbral nuances explored in the study . . .	250

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I:	Questionnaire used in the semantic study of piano timbre verbal descriptors	xix
Appendix II:	Publications stemming from the work described herein . .	xxiii

La version intégrale de cette thèse est disponible
uniquement pour consultation individuelle
à la Bibliothèque de musique de l'Université de Montréal
(<http://www.bib.umontreal.ca/MU>).