1	Newborn screening for congenital hypothyroidism and congenital adrenal hyperplasia:		
2	the balance of benefits and costs of a public health success		
3	Guy Van Vliet ¹ and Scott D. Grosse ²		
4 5	¹ Endocrinology Service and Research Center, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Sainte-Justine and Department of Pediatrics, University of Montreal,		
6	3175 Côte Sainte-Catherine, Montreal (Qc) H3T 1C5, Canada		
7	<u>guy.van.vliet@umontreal.ca</u> , + 1-514-345-4735		
8	² National Center on Birth Defects and Developmental Disabilities,		
9	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention		
10	4770 Buford Highway, Atlanta, GA, 30341, USA		
11	sgrosse@cdc.gov, + 1-404-498-3074		
12			
13	Disclosure : The authors declare no conflict of interest. The findings and conclusions in this paper		
14	are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for		
15	Disease Control and Prevention.		
16			
17			

18 Summary

19 Newborn screening is an important public health program and a triumph of preventive 20 medicine. Economic analyses show that the benefits of newborn screening clearly outweigh the 21 costs for certain diseases but not necessarily for other ones. This is due to the great diversity of the 22 natural history of the diseases detected, to the fact that each of these diseases considered individually is rare, and to differences in the effectiveness of interventions. In addition, the benefit-23 cost ratio of screening for a particular disorder may differ between countries, specifically between 24 25 high-income and low- and middle-income countries. The burden of a disorder may also be alleviated by increased clinical awareness and effective clinical services, even in the absence of 26 newborn screening. In this article, the authors focus on economic analyses of newborn screening 27 for primary congenital hypothyroidism, which has been in place in high-income countries for 28 roughly 40 years, and for classic congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency. 29 Screening for the latter is not yet universal, even in high-income countries, although the lack of 30 universal implementation may reflect factors other than economic considerations. 31

33 Introduction

34 Laboratory-based newborn screening (NBS) on dried blood spots collected on filter paper, 35 which began with the method developed by Guthrie and Susi [1] for phenylketonuria (PKU) in 36 1963, is considered as one of the major advances in preventive medicine over the past half-century 37 [2, 3]. NBS was originally justified as a publicly funded program by its ability to avoid the cost of institutional care for severely disabled individuals with untreated PKU. However, it is unclear what 38 role has been played by the economic benefits of early detection in the establishment and 39 40 maintenance of NBS for all the other diseases that can now be screened for. Ever since the time of Dr Guthrie, advocacy by parents and/or professionals has certainly played a major role in the 41 adoption and expansion of NBS. 42

43 Economic evaluations of health interventions can be either partial, looking just at costs, or full, reporting calculations of both costs and health consequences. For example, a partial economic 44 45 evaluation of NBS might report the cost of screening and diagnostic testing per case detected but not the health impacts or downstream costs of screening. There are two main types of full economic 46 evaluations, cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) and cost-benefit analysis (CBA). CEAs calculate 47 48 the sum of intervention and treatment costs with and without the intervention and compare the difference in total costs with the difference in health outcomes. A CBA converts all outcomes to 49 monetary values. That includes health outcomes-by assigning monetary values to years of life 50 gained and years of avoided illness. It can also include economic outcomes, such as increased 51 economic productivity. 52

Both CEAs and CBAs calculate "incremental" cost relative to the costs associated with a comparison strategy. For example, the cost of adding a disorder to a newborn screening panel does not include the fixed cost of the existing newborn screening infrastructure, but only those costs that change when a new disorder is added. In a CEA, analysts are expected to calculate the sums of costs and outcomes for the strategies that are being compared. If one strategy has better outcomes and lower costs than all other strategies (i.e., negative incremental costs), it is said to be the "dominant" strategy and is "cost-saving" [4].

If net costs for a strategy with better outcomes are positive relative to the comparison, CEA 60 analysts calculate the ratio of the incremental cost per unit of health outcome and report it as the 61 incremental cost-effectiveness ratio or ICER. The denominator of the ICER can be life-years saved 62 or quality-adjusted life-years (QALYs), a preference-based measure that combines improvements 63 in both functioning and survival in terms of health utilities. A CEA that calculates outcomes in 64 terms of QALYs can also be said to be a cost-utility analysis (CUA). If the ICER for a proposed 65 strategy is favorable relative to that of accepted healthcare interventions, it is widely considered to 66 be "cost-effective." 67

Full economic evaluations may differ in which types of costs are assessed. Economic evaluations conducted from the societal perspective typically include "indirect" or "productivity" costs. These include the lost economic output from affected individuals due to premature death and disability. In addition to complete disability, individuals may be limited in the type or amount of work they are able to perform. Productivity costs can also include the loss of earned income resulting from providing informal care to a disabled family member. Many CEAs and CBAs

- 74 include estimates of productivity costs, but differences in methods can make it difficult to compare
- 75 estimates [5, 6].

Congenital disorders such as congenital hypothyroidism (CH) and PKU can result in cognitive deficits, which range in severity from overt intellectual disability to milder deficits within the usual range of cognitive ability in the population. CEAs and CBAs often include the medical, educational, and residential costs of care associated with overt intellectual disability. Some also calculate the loss in lifetime economic output among persons with intellectual disability. Published CEAs or CBAs of NBS to date have not quantified the economic impact of milder cognitive deficits, unlike in environmental health [7].

83 NBS is conducted in numerous countries for two endocrine disorders, CH and classic congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency (CAH). The latter is a single, 84 85 lifelong, monogenic disorder with a clear pattern of autosomal recessive transmission and NBS has therefore not resulted in an increase in prevalence [8] (Table). By contrast, CH is 86 heterogeneous, encompassing a group of disorders, some of which are monogenic and others are 87 multifactorial in etiology [9]. Primary (or thyroidal) CH is the primary target of NBS because of 88 clear evidence that early detection prevents intellectual disability. Depending on the screening 89 protocol used, NBS programs may also detect cases of central CH, but because of lack of 90 conclusive evidence of improved outcomes from early diagnosis, central CH is not a screening 91 92 target in most NBS programs [10, 11].

CH may be either permanent or transient, and prevalence of permanent CH at age 3 years or later is substantially lower than CH prevalence during early infancy [12, 13]. Incomplete phenotyping through thyroid imaging and documentation of permanence of hypothyroidism hampers the assessment of causes and implications of primary CH [14] and overreliance on biochemical measures may lead to overdiagnosis at present [15].

98 Congenital hypothyroidism (CH)

99 Quebec was the first place in the world where population screening for CH was established 100 on April 1, 1974 [16]. For technical reasons, the biomarker often used initially as the primary test was thyroxine (T_4) but this was replaced by thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) in Quebec in 1987 101 and subsequently in most other NBS programs [17]. It has been reported in a partial CEA from the 102 Netherlands that a primary T₄ testing strategy followed by TSH measurement in specimens with 103 low T₄ values, which can detect cases of both central and primary CH, is considerably less 104 expensive than primary TSH testing [18]. However, the remainder of this article focuses on 105 primary CH, the main target of most NBS programs. 106

107 The positive predictive value (PPV) of a TSH concentration greater than 30 mU/L of whole 108 blood on a sample obtained after 24 hours of life for a confirmed diagnosis of CH is 95% [19]. 109 Since the implementation of NBS, about two thirds of newborns with confirmed overt CH have 110 thyroid dysgenesis (either sublingual thyroid ectopy or athyreosis) [20, 21]. Prior to NBS, about 111 30% of children with clinically diagnosed CH received special education due to intellectual disability [22, 23]. Since the implementation of NBS, this proportion has gradually decreased andis now no higher than that of the general population [24].

Given the prevalence of CH, which is 4 to 8 times that of PKU, and the impact of NBS on 114 115 the prevention of intellectual disability [23, 25], CH quickly became a model disease that illustrates the benefits of NBS. After about a decade of adding NBS for CH on the filter paper cards collected 116 for PKU screening, it was estimated by several groups that the economic benefits of NBS for CH 117 greatly exceeded its costs, with a ratio of 2.5-7.8 dollars in savings for every dollar spent on 118 screening [26]. However, some estimates may have reflected unrealistic projections of the 119 prevalence and cost of intellectual disability among children with CH [27]. Another economic 120 analysis projected at least 2 dollars in averted education and productivity costs per dollar spent on 121 screening [28]. 122

123 The majority of CBAs of NBS for CH assumed that most children with CH experience 124 intellectual disability if treated late or not at all. In contrast, a meta-analysis found that 28% of children with clinically diagnosed CH in unscreened cohorts had IQ <70 (the definition of 125 intellectual disability used by the World Health Organization) [23]. In addition, as often happens 126 with screening, implementation of NBS quickly led to an increase in the number of children being 127 diagnosed with CH, from one in 6,500-7,000 to one in 3,100 [12, 23]. A retrospective study in 128 Sweden combined with systematic follow-up was able to assess cognitive and neurological 129 development in 26 of 32 children who had a TSH on the stored NBS specimen > 40 mU/L. Of the 130 26, 20 (one in 4,500) had permanent hypothyroidism diagnosed at age 5 y, 14 who had been 131 clinically diagnosed and treated at a median age of 5 months (six after 12 months) and six who 132 had not been diagnosed or treated prior to the study. The average cognitive ability among the 20 133 children with permanent CH was 16 points lower relative to the six children who screened positive 134 but were euthyroid at age 5 [12]. By subgroup, the average loss was 55 points for two children 135 with intellectual disability, 14 points for 12 other children with clinical CH, and 7 points for six 136 137 children with subclinical CH (Table).

Among children with permanent CH diagnosed by NBS, delayed initiation of treatment 138 beyond 21 days after birth was reported in one study to be associated with an average loss of 8 IQ 139 points [29]. However, other studies did not find a significant association between age at initiation 140 of treatment and cognitive test scores [30]. Because early initiation of high-dose levothyroxine 141 treatment has been shown to normalize cognitive scores in most children with permanent CH 142 diagnosed after NBS, it would be reasonable to include the economic gain in productivity 143 associated with higher IQ scores within the usual range in future societal perspective economic 144 evaluations of CH NBS. 145

Over time, lowering TSH cut-offs and adding screening samples has predictably led to a further progressive increase in estimated prevalence of CH, typically more than one in 2,000 [14, 19]. Very recently, a program even reported a prevalence of one in 911 births [15] - seven-fold higher than pre-NBS. Many of these children may have isolated hyperthyrotropinemia (transient or permanent) and not CH [31, 32]. Most additional infants diagnosed with permanent CH through lower screening cutoffs or repeat specimens have a normal thyroid anatomy [13, 21, 33, 34], and the benefit of early treatment for such children has not been demonstrated. Accurately projecting the economic benefits of NBS for CH to include all newborns diagnosed with CH is not currently feasible given the controversy about cognitive and educational outcomes for the complete spectrum of children diagnosed with CH through various NBS programs [35].

156 Children with CH or mild hyperthyrotropinemia at NBS may have behavioral or learning 157 difficulties even if IQ is in the normal range [36, 37]. It appears that abnormal thyroid hormone 158 status in a child is associated with a range of behavioral and developmental challenges, such as 159 attention problems [38]. However, it is not established whether NBS and early diagnosis avoids 160 those issues.

161 Lastly, it is sobering to realize that 70% of the world's newborns do not benefit from any 162 NBS at all [17, 39]. In some low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), sending filter papers to a central laboratory may not be feasible because of a high proportion of home deliveries and 163 transportation hurdles hampering same-day sample transfer to the laboratory. A bedside TSH 164 measurement (*point-of-care test or POCT*) by a health worker attending the birth or visiting the 165 mother and newborn soon after could potentially overcome the lack of NBS infrastructure. 166 Although POCT technology for TSH measurement suitable for NBS is not currently available, it 167 has already been developed and tested for sickle cell anemia [40], another major public health 168 problem in many LMICs for which NBS appears cost-effective in pilot studies [41]. It is not yet 169 clear how the POCT approach to NBS might be implemented on a population basis. As with 170 centralized laboratory testing, POCT screening, if implemented as a public health program, would 171 require quality assurance. Quality assurance could be facilitated through prompt transmittal of 172 screen-positive results by cell phone to the relevant professionals and use of information 173 technologies by public health authorities for regular audits of program performance. Importantly, 174 NBS is just the beginning of a process that leads to confirmation of the diagnosis of CH and ideally 175 of its etiology, adequate continuous treatment and documentation of outcomes [42]. All of these 176 downstream aspects of NBS are particularly a challenge in LMICs. 177

In conclusion, the costs of NBS for overt CH are clearly justified by the ensuing benefits.
 However, the full achievement of the benefits of NBS requires prompt follow-up and initiation of
 treatment along with continued monitoring and lifelong treatment for individuals with permanent
 CH.

182 Congenital Adrenal Hyperplasia (CAH)

183 NBS for classic CAH due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency, a condition with a prevalence in high-income countries of about one in 18,000, both before and after NBS [8], was first proposed 184 in 1977 with the primary objective of preventing the death of affected boys [43]. Many historical 185 case series had shown a marked female predominance [44]. Because the mode of inheritance of 186 CAH is autosomal recessive, this imbalance likely reflected underdiagnosis of affected boys, since 187 in contrast to genetic females, their external genitalia are unambiguous and there is no clinical clue 188 to the diagnosis at birth. Increased clinical recognition has led to the expected Mendelian ratio of 189 males and females, even in the absence of NBS [45], including in middle-income countries, such 190 as Brazil [46]. Losing a newborn because the diagnosis of an eminently treatable disease has not 191 been made is a tragedy for parents, which cannot be expressed in monetary terms [47]. Fortunately, 192

this tragedy has become exceptional in high income countries [8], although it still occurs in a few
cases, even where NBS has been implemented [48]. Regardless of NBS, healthcare professionals
caring for newborns should think of this diagnosis in cases of dehydration or insufficient weight
gain, a very sensitive indicator of the severe, potentially fatal salt-wasting form of CAH [49].

Another often quoted argument in favor of NBS for CAH is to "avoid misassignment" of a fully virilized genetic female newborn to a male sex, which can also lead to genital surgical reconstruction. However, this major and contentious challenge for parents is not "avoided" by NBS. Rather, in the majority of these cases, genetic sex is established a few days earlier than it would be when a salt-wasting crisis leads to the diagnosis.

Screening for CAH using 17-hydroxyprogesterone (170HP) as the biomarker was implemented in New Zealand and some US states and Canadian provinces in the early 1980s but was not universally recommended in France until 1995 and in the USA until 2005. Over time, NBS for CAH has been gradually implemented in most high-income countries, with holdouts including the United Kingdom [50] and some Australian states and Canadian provinces [45].

207 Two published CEAs of NBS for CAH in the USA yielded conflicting results as to whether 208 NBS would be considered cost-effective relative to other preventive strategies [51, 52]. The two 209 studies assumed different probabilities of death in the absence of NBS [8, 53], with the less 210 favorable cost-effectiveness results reflecting an evidence-based assessment of mortality data suggesting that no more than 3% of infants with CAH in high-income countries die in the absence 211 of NBS versus an infant mortality rate of 10% assumed in the other CEA. An erratum to the second 212 CEA concluded that, even correcting for calculation problems, NBS for CAH would not meet 213 conventional cost-effectiveness criteria [54]. The less favorable cost-effectiveness findings on 214 NBS for CAH relative to CH reflect the very small number of potentially preventable deaths from 215 this rare disorder in high-income countries, even taking into account the preventable costs of 216 hospitalizations due to salt-wasting crises [53]. Nonetheless, decisions on screening for particular 217 disorders are primarily determined on the basis of better outcomes for affected children, not 218 considerations of economic benefits [27]. 219

Newer economic evaluations of NBS for CAH have explored two additional avenues for 220 showing economic value. First, a Canadian study reported greater preventable hospitalization costs 221 222 than previously reported but assumed no reduction in mortality with NBS [55]. Second, even though relatively few children with clinically diagnosed CAH experience neurocognitive effects 223 similar to those that were observed in CH before NBS [45, 56], two economic evaluations of NBS 224 225 for CAH, an unpublished study from Australia and a recently published study from Brazil, have modeled reductions in neurocognitive impairment as an expected benefit [53]. The Brazilian study 226 provided supporting evidence of an excess rate of neurological impairment in children with CAH 227 in that country [46]. The magnitude of benefit of NBS may be more evident in LMICs because of 228 limited access to qualified professionals, a higher neonatal mortality or more severe neonatal 229 morbidity from CAH [46]. An interesting question is whether more thorough education of 230 professionals might achieve the primary objective of screening, to prevent the death of affected 231 boys, possibly at a lower cost than with NBS [44]. 232

233 Although NBS for CAH is recommended by expert opinion [57], the lack of its universal implementation may result from questions about its rationale, different priorities in expanding 234 235 NBS and the rarity of severe outcomes. One challenge is the short turnaround time required for reporting results, especially if the blood spots are collected late in the first week of life (as is the 236 case in the UK), since death from a salt-wasting crisis can occur as early as day 8 [47]. Another 237 challenge is the very low (<10%) PPV of 17OHP [58, 59], the biomarker used as first-tier screening 238 for CAH. Elevated 170HP is frequent in children born prematurely, but since CAH is not 239 frequently observed in premature infants [45], a different strategy in this subgroup may be 240 warranted [48]. The PPV of screening for CAH can be improved by adding other biomarkers or 241 repeat specimens. Although second-tier screening increases costs, the reduction in false-positive 242 screening results may justify the added expenditure. For example, the New South Wales screening 243 program in Australia recently reported a PPV of 71% for 17OHP immunoassay screening of 244 specimens collected at 48-72 hours followed by steroid profiling using liquid chromatography 245 tandem mass spectrometry of specimens in the top 2% of 17OHP values by birthweight as well as 246 repeat specimens for first-tier positive screens [60]. The program notified providers of presumptive 247 results in all cases by day 9, prior to the occurrence of any adrenal crisis among the 10 infants 248 identified with CAH. These recent developments may lead to the universal adoption of NBS for 249 250 CAH.

251 Conclusion

The individual and societal-level health and economic benefits of NBS for permanent CH 252 have been clearly demonstrated, which is why CH is typically among the first disorders for 253 newborn screening to be established. The economic benefits of NBS for CH may have been 254 incompletely calculated, i.e., understated, by not taking into account changes in the overall 255 distribution of cognitive and behavioral endpoints. On the other hand, some newborns, especially 256 those born preterm, have transient hyperthyrotropinemia and are currently identified with CH and 257 treated with levothyroxine, the benefits of which are unclear. It has been argued that this can result 258 in overdiagnosis of CH and the undue medicalization of a large number of premature infants [61], 259 which future economic analyses of NBS might consider [62]. The economic benefits of NBS for 260 CAH are ambiguous, as mortality has become very rare in high income countries and a causal 261 262 relationship between the initial dehydration episode and neurocognitive sequelae has not been established [53]. 263

Table. Comparison of economic analyses of NBS for primary CH and classic CAH

Disease	СН	САН
Prevalence before NBS	1/6,500	1/18,000
Prevalence after NBS	1/2,000 or more	1/18,000
Benefits of NBS	Normalizes IQ in all	-Prevents neonatal deaths
		-Shortens initial hospital stay
		-Shortens duration of sex misassignment
PPV of biomarker	95% for TSH > 30 mU/L	1-10% for 17OHP > 50 nmol/L
Savings (per US \$ spent on	2 \$ or more	<1 \$
NBS)	(negative net cost)	(positive net cost)
Gain of IQ among children	Variable impact of timely	NA
with permanent CH	treatment – mean increase	
(prevalence of 1 in 4,500 in	of 16 IQ points	
Swedish study by Alm et al. 1984) [12]	(range 7-55)	
ICER (if positive net cost)	NA	Less than USD 150,000 per life- year saved

- 267 CAH congenital adrenal hyperplasia
- 268 CH congenital hypothyroidism
- 269 ICER incremental cost-effectiveness ratio
- 270 IQ intelligence quotient
- NA not applicable
- 272 NBS newborn screening
- 273 PPV positive predictive value
- 274 TSH thyroid stimulating hormone

275 **References**

- 276 1. Guthrie R, Susi A. A simple phenylalanine method for detecting phenylketonuria in large
 277 populations of newborn infants. *Pediatrics* 1963; 32 : 338-43.
- 278 2. Brosco JP, Paul DB. The political history of PKU: reflections on 50 years of newborn screening.
- **279** *Pediatrics* 2013; 132 : 987-9.
- 3. Coutant R, Feillet F. [State of play of neonatal screening in France]. *Med Sci (Paris)* 2018; 34
 Hors serie n degrees 1 : 19-21.
- 4. Cohen DJ, Reynolds MR. Interpreting the results of cost-effectiveness studies. J Am Coll
 Cardiol 2008; 52 : 2119-26.
- 5. Pike J, Grosse SD. Friction cost estimates of productivity costs in cost-of-illness studies in
- comparison with human capital estimates: A review. *Appl Health Econ Health Policy* 2018; 16:
 765-78.
- 287 6. Grosse SD, Pike J, Soelaeman R, Tilford JM. Quantifying family spillover effects in economic
- evaluations: Measurement and valuation of informal care time. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2019; 37 :
 461-73.
- 7. Grosse SD, Matte TD, Schwartz J, Jackson RJ. Economic gains resulting from the reduction in
 children's exposure to lead in the United States. *Environ Health Perspect* 2002; 110 : 563-9.
- 8. Grosse SD, Van Vliet G. How many deaths can be prevented by newborn screening for congenital adrenal hyperplasia? *Horm Res* 2007; 67 : 284-91.
- 9. Cherella CE, Wassner AJ. Congenital hypothyroidism: insights into pathogenesis and treatment.
 Int J Pediatr Endocrinol 2017; 2017 : 11.
- 10. Braslavsky D, Méndez MV, Prieto L, et al. Pilot neonatal screening program for central
- congenital hypothyroidism: Evidence of significant detection. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2017; 88 : 27480.
- 11. Naafs JC, Marchal JP, Fliers E, *et al.* Cognitive and motor outcome in patients with earlydetected central congenital hypothyroidism compared with siblings. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*2020.
- Alm J, Hagenfeldt L, Larsson A, Lundberg K. Incidence of congenital hypothyroidism:
 retrospective study of neonatal laboratory screening versus clinical symptoms as indicators leading
- to diagnosis. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1984; 289 : 1171-5.
- 13. Pitts L, McCormick W, Mick GJ. Congenital hypothyroidism: 8-year experience using 2
 newborn screens in Alabama. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2019; 91 : 319-28.
- 14. Kemper AR, Grosse SD, Baker M, *et al.* Treatment discontinuation within 3 years of
 levothyroxine initiation among children diagnosed with congenital hypothyroidism. *J Pediatr* 2020; 223 : 136-40.
- 310 15. Caiulo S, Corbetta C, Di Frenna M, *et al.* Newborn screening for congenital hypothyroidism:
- the benefit of using differential TSH cutoffs in a two-screen program. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*2020.
- 313 16. Dussault JH. The anecdotal history of screening for congenital hypothyroidism. J Clin
 314 Endocrinol Metab 1999; 84 : 4332-4.
- 315 17. Ford G, LaFranchi SH. Screening for congenital hypothyroidism: a worldwide view of 316 strategies. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2014; 28 : 175-87.
- 18. Lanting CI, van Tijn DA, Loeber JG, *et al.* Clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of the
- 318 use of the thyroxine/thyroxine-binding globulin ratio to detect congenital hypothyroidism of
- thyroidal and central origin in a neonatal screening program. *Pediatrics* 2005; 116 : 168-73.

- 19. Saleh DS, Lawrence S, Geraghty MT, *et al.* Prediction of congenital hypothyroidism based on
- initial screening thyroid-stimulating-hormone. *BMC Pediatr* 2016; 16 : 24.
- 322 20. Deladoey J, Ruel J, Giguere Y, Van Vliet G. Is the incidence of congenital hypothyroidism
- really increasing? A 20-year retrospective population-based study in Quebec. *J Clin Endocrinol*
- 324 *Metab* 2011; 96 : 2422-9.
- 325 21. Albert BB, Cutfield WS, Webster D, et al. Etiology of increasing incidence of congenital
- hypothyroidism in New Zealand from 1993-2010. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2012; 97 : 3155-60.
- 327 22. Tillotson SL, Fuggle PW, Smith I, *et al.* Relation between biochemical severity and
 328 intelligence in early treated congenital hypothyroidism: a threshold effect. *BMJ* 1994; 309 : 440329 5.
- 330 23. Grosse SD, Van Vliet G. Prevention of intellectual disability through screening for congenital
 hypothyroidism: how much and at what level? *Arch Dis Child* 2011; 96 : 374-9.
- 332 24. Leger J, Ecosse E, Roussey M, *et al.* Subtle health impairment and socioeducational attainment
- in young adult patients with congenital hypothyroidism diagnosed by neonatal screening: A
- longitudinal population-based cohort study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2011; 96 : 1771-82.
- 25. New England Congenital Hypothyroidism C. Effects of neonatal screening for
 hypothyroidism: prevention of mental retardation by treatment before clinical manifestations. *Lancet* 1981; 2 : 1095-8.
- 26. Grosse SD. Does newborn screening save money? The difference between cost-effective and
 cost-saving interventions. *J Pediatr* 2005; 146 : 168-70.
- 27. Grosse SD. Cost effectiveness as a criterion for newborn screening policy decisions. In : Baily
- MA, Murray, T.H, ed. *Ethics and Newborn Genetic Screening: New Technologies, New Challenges.* Baltimore, MD : Johns Hopkins University Press, 2009 : 58-88.
- 28. Smith P, Morris A. Assessment of a programme to screen the newborn for congenital hypothyroidism. *Community Med* 1979; 1 : 14-22.
- 29. Boileau P, Bain P, Rives S, Toublanc JE. Earlier onset of treatment or increment in LT4 dose
- in screened congenital hypothyroidism: which as the more important factor for IQ at 7 years?
- 347 *Horm Res* 2004; 61 : 228-33.
- 348 30. Donaldson M, Jones J. Optimising outcome in congenital hypothyroidism; current opinions on
 349 best practice in initial assessment and subsequent management. *J Clin Res Pediatr Endocrinol*350 2013; 5 Suppl 1 : 13-22.
- 351 31. Connelly KJ, LaFranchi SH. Detection of neonates with mild congenital hypothyroidism
- 352 (primary) or isolated hyperthyrotropinemia: an increasingly common management dilemma.
- 353 *Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab* 2014; 9 : 263-71.
- 354 32. Van Vliet G, Diaz Escagedo P. Redefining congenital hypothyroidism? J Clin Endocrinol
 355 Metab 2020.
- 356 33. Barry Y, Bonaldi C, Goulet V, et al. Increased incidence of congenital hypothyroidism in
- France from 1982 to 2012: a nationwide multicenter analysis. *Ann Epidemiol* 2016; 26 : 100-5.e4.
- 34. Ford GA, Denniston S, Sesser D, *et al.* Transient versus permanent congenital hypothyroidism
- after the age of 3 years in infants detected on the first versus second newborn screening test in
 oregon, USA. *Horm Res Paediatr* 2016; 86 : 169-77.
- 361 35. Lain S, Trumpff C, Grosse SD, *et al.* Are lower TSH cutoffs in neonatal screening for
- 362 congenital hypothyroidism warranted? *Eur J Endocrinol* 2017; 177 : D1-d12.
- 363 36. Wolter R, Noel P, De Cock P, *et al.* Neuropsychological study in treated thyroid dysgenesis.
- 364 *Acta Paediatr Scand Suppl* 1979; 277 : 41-6.

- 365 37. Lain SJ, Wiley V, Jack M, *et al.* Association of elevated neonatal thyroid-stimulating hormone
- levels with school performance and stimulant prescription for attention deficit hyperactivitydisorder in childhood. *Eur J Pediatr* 2020.
- 368 38. Rovet JF. Congenital hypothyroidism: an analysis of persisting deficits and associated factors.
 369 *Child Neuropsychol* 2002; 8 : 150-62.
- 370 39. Hamdoun E, Karachunski P, Nathan B, *et al.* Case report: The specter of untreated congenital
 hypothyroidism in immigrant families. *Pediatrics* 2016; 137.
- 3/1 hypothyroidism in ininigrant families. *Pediatrics* 2016; 157. 372 40 Alvarez OA Hustace T Voltaire M *et al.* Newborn screening for si
- 40. Alvarez OA, Hustace T, Voltaire M, *et al.* Newborn screening for sickle cell disease using
 point-of-care testing in low-income setting. *Pediatrics* 2019; 144.
- 41. McGann PT, Grosse SD, Santos B, *et al.* A cost-effectiveness analysis of a pilot neonatal
 screening program for sickle cell anemia in the Republic of Angola. *J Pediatr* 2015; 167 : 13149.
- 42. Leger J, Olivieri A, Donaldson M, et al. European Society for Paediatric Endocrinology
- 378 consensus guidelines on screening, diagnosis, and management of congenital hypothyroidism.
 379 *Horm Res Paediatr* 2014; 81 : 80-103.
- 43. Pang S, Hotchkiss J, Drash AL, *et al.* Microfilter paper method for 17 alphahydroxyprogesterone radioimmunoassay: its application for rapid screening for congenital adrenal
 hyperplasia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1977; 45 : 1003-8.
- 44. Migeon CJ, Donohoue PA. Adrenal disorders. In : Kappy MS, Blizzard RM, Migeon CJ, eds.
- 384 The diagnosis and treatment of endocrine disorders in childhood and adolescence. Springfield,
- 385 Illinois, U.S.A. : Charles C. Thomas, 1994 : 717-856.
- 45. Perry R, Kecha O, Paquette J, *et al.* Primary adrenal insufficiency in children: twenty years
 experience at the Sainte-Justine Hospital, Montreal. *J ClinEndocrinol Metab* 2005; 90 : 3243-50.
- 46. Miranda MC, Haddad LBP, Madureira G, et al. Adverse outcomes and economic burden of
- congenital adrenal hyperplasia late diagnosis in the newborn screening absence. J Endocr Soc
 2020; 4 : bvz013.
- 47. Van Vliet G, Czernichow P. Screening for neonatal endocrinopathies: rationale, methods and
 results. *SeminNeonatol* 2004; 9 : 75-85.
- 48. Coulm B, Coste J, Tardy V, *et al.* Efficiency of neonatal screening for congenital adrenal
 hyperplasia due to 21-hydroxylase deficiency in children born in mainland France between 1996
 and 2003. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* 2012; 166 : 113-20.
- 49. Gau M, Konishi K, Takasawa K, et al. The progression of salt-wasting and the body weight
- change during the first 2 weeks of life in classical 21-hydroxylase deficiency patients. *Clin Endocrinol (Oxf)* 2020.
- 50. Hird BE, Tetlow L, Tobi S, *et al.* No evidence of an increase in early infant mortality from
 congenital adrenal hyperplasia in the absence of screening. *Arch Dis Child* 2014; 99 : 158-64.
- 401 51. Carroll AE, Downs SM. Comprehensive cost-utility analysis of newborn screening strategies.
- 402 *Pediatrics* 2006; 117 : S287-95.
- 403 52. Yoo BK, Grosse SD. The cost effectiveness of screening newborns for congenital adrenal
 404 hyperplasia. *Public Health Genomics* 2009; 12: 67-72.
- 405 53. Grosse SD, Van Vliet G. Challenges in assessing the cost-effectiveness of newborn screening:
- 406 The example of congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *Int J Neonatal Screen* 2020; 6.
- 407 54. Yoo BK, Grosse SD. Erratum. *Public Health Genomics* 2018; 21 : 100.
- 408 55. Fox DA, Ronsley R, Khowaja AR, et al. Clinical impact and cost efficacy of newborn
- 409 screening for congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *J Pediatr* 2020; 220 : 101-8.e2.

- 410 56. Harasymiw LA, Grosse SD, Sarafoglou K. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder among US
- children and adolescents with congenital adrenal hyperplasia. *J Endocr Soc* 2020; 4 : bvaa152.
- 412 57. Speiser PW, Arlt W, Auchus RJ, et al. Congenital adrenal hyperplasia due to steroid 21-
- hydroxylase deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*2018; 103 : 4043-88.
- 58. Lasarev MR, Bialk ER, Allen DB, Held PK. Application of principal component analysis to
- 416 newborn screening for congenital adrenal hyperplasia. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2020; 105.
- 417 59. Speiser PW, Chawla R, Chen M, et al. Newborn screening protocols and positive predictive
- value for congenital adrenal hyperplasia vary across the United States. *Int J Neonatal Screen* 2020;
 6.
- 420 60. Lai F, Srinivasan S, Wiley V. Evaluation of a two-tier screening pathway for congenital adrenal
- 421 hyperplasia in the New South Wales newborn screening programme. *Int J Neonatal Screen* 2020;
 422 6.
- 423 61. Grob F, Van Vliet G. Avoiding the overdiagnosis of congenital hypothyroidism in premature 424 newborns. *Pediatrics* 2019; 144.
- 62. Coste J, Carel JC, Autier P. [The grey realities of population screening]. *Rev Epidemiol Sante*
- 426 *Publique* 2012; 60 : 163-5.